



Article Exploring Aegilops caudata: A Comprehensive Study of the *CslF6* Gene and β-Glucan

Ilaria Marcotuli *🗅, Davide Caranfa, Pasqualina Colasuonno 🗅, Stefania Lucia Giove and Agata Gadaleta 💿

Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Via G. Amendola 165/A, 70126 Bari, Italy; davide.caranfa@uniba.it (D.C.); pattybiotec@yahoo.it (P.C.); stefanialucia.giove@uniba.it (S.L.G.); agata.gadaleta@uniba.it (A.G.)

* Correspondence: ilaria.marcotuli@uniba.it; Tel.: +39-080-544-2996

Abstract: In the quest for sustainable and nutritious food sources, exploration of ancient grains and wild relatives of cultivated cereals has gained attention. Aegilops caudata, a wild wheatgrass species, stands out as a promising genetic resource due to its potential for crop enhancement and intriguing nutritional properties. This manuscript investigates the CslF6 gene sequence and protein structure of Aegilops caudata, employing comparative analysis with other grass species to identify potential differences impacting β -glucan content. The study involves comprehensive isolation and characterization of the CslF6 gene in Ae. caudata, utilizing genomic sequence analysis, protein structure prediction, and comparative genomics. Comparisons with sequences from diverse monocots reveal evolutionary relationships, highlighting high identities with wheat genomes. Specific amino acid motifs in the CslF6 enzyme sequence, particularly those proximal to key catalytic motifs, exhibit variations among monocot species. These differences likely contribute to alterations in β-glucan composition, notably impacting the DP3:DP4 ratio, which is crucial for understanding and modulating the final β -glucan content. The study positions *Ae. caudata* uniquely within the evolutionary landscape of CslF6 among monocots, suggesting potential genetic divergence or unique functional adaptations within this species. Overall, this investigation enriches our understanding of β -glucan biosynthesis, shedding light on the role of specific amino acid residues in modulating enzymatic activity and polysaccharide composition.

Keywords: Aegilops caudata; CslF6 gene; comparative genomics; β-glucan biosynthesis

1. Introduction

In the pursuit of sustainable and nutritious food sources, researchers have turned their attention to ancient grains and wild relatives of cultivated cereals [1]. Among these, *Ae. caudata*, a wild wheatgrass species, has gained prominence due to its potential as a valuable genetic resource for crop improvement and its intriguing nutritional properties. In particular, the seeds of *Ae. caudata* have been a subject of increasing interest for their unique nutrient content and their association with genes responsible for β -glucan biosynthesis [2].

Ae. caudata belongs to the genus *Aegilops* within the grass family *Poaceae*. It is native to regions of the Middle East and is closely related to cultivated wheat species (*Triticum* spp.). Unlike cultivated wheat, *Ae. caudata* has not undergone extensive domestication, making it a valuable genetic resource for breeding programs aimed at improving the resilience, disease resistance, and nutritional content of wheat and related cereals. Its genetic diversity and adaptation to various environmental conditions make it an intriguing subject for research in the context of sustainable agriculture [3,4].

Ae. caudata seeds have garnered attention for their unique nutrient composition. These seeds are a rich source of essential nutrients, including proteins, vitamins, minerals, and dietary fiber. The protein content of *Ae. caudata* seeds is of particular interest, as it contains a balanced amino acid profile, including essential amino acids. Furthermore, these seeds



Citation: Marcotuli, I.; Caranfa, D.; Colasuonno, P.; Giove, S.L.; Gadaleta, A. Exploring *Aegilops caudata*: A Comprehensive Study of the *CslF6* Gene and β-Glucan. *Genes* **2024**, *15*, 168. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes15020168

Academic Editors: Patrizia Galeffi and Giuseppina Del Signore

Received: 19 December 2023 Revised: 24 January 2024 Accepted: 25 January 2024 Published: 27 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). are known to be rich in micronutrients such as iron, zinc, and folate, which are critical for human health. The dietary fiber content, including β -glucans, in *Ae. caudata* seeds further adds to their nutritional appeal [5,6].

B-glucans are a group of polysaccharides found in the cell walls of various cereal grains, including wheat, oats, and barley [7–9]. They are known for their potential health benefits, particularly lowering cholesterol levels and supporting digestive health [10–12]. The molecular structure of β -glucan dictates its function. According to current understanding, β -1,3/1,4 glycosidic bonds link cereal β -glucans. In the structure of cereal β -glucan, the β -1,4-linked glucose chain is interspersed with β -1,3 linkages. While trimers and tetramers are the most common consecutive β -1,4 segments, longer cellulose-like segments are also present in β -glucan molecules [13]. Significantly, two primary oligomer units, DP3 and DP4, account for over 90% of cereal β -glucan structures, varying among species and between genotypes [14].

Understanding the genetic basis of β -glucan biosynthesis is crucial for crop improvement and the development of cereal varieties with enhanced β -glucan content [7].

In recent years, significant progress has been made in identifying and characterizing the genes involved in β -glucan biosynthesis in various cereal species [7,10,15,16]. In the case of *Ae. caudata*, research efforts have focused on elucidating the genetic mechanisms responsible for the synthesis of β -glucans in its seeds. These insights can potentially lead to the development of wheat varieties with improved β -glucan content through breeding or genetic modification techniques [6].

B-glucans exhibit diverse physiological and nutritional functions in plants. They are vital components of cell walls, where they contribute to structural integrity and resistance against environmental stresses [17,18]. Moreover, β -glucans function as storage polysac-charides in various plant organs, such as seeds, grains, and tubers, serving as an energy reserve during germination and growth [19,20].

Our knowledge regarding how and where β -glucans are produced remains largely incomplete, as well as which genes are involved, their functions, and interactions, and the specific activities of the enzymes. A group of genes, forming a superfamily, plays a significant role in the synthesis of these polysaccharides. This superfamily comprises the *cellulose synthase* (*Ces*) [21–23] and *cellulose-synthase-like* (*Csl*) [24] families.

The *Csl* superfamily is responsible for synthesizing several plant cell wall polysaccharides, organized into subfamilies labeled A to H, each of which consists of multiple genes [25]. For instance, in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), there are a total of 37 *Csl* genes [26], whereas *Arabidopsis* has 30 [27]. Notably, not all *Csl* subfamilies are represented in all higher plant groups. The *CslB* and *CslG* subfamilies are exclusive to dicotyledons and gymnosperms, while the *CslF* and *CslH* groups are only found in monocotyledons [28]. These subfamilies directly or indirectly regulate the abundance and fine structure of β -glucans in both grain and other parts of the plant [29–31].

Research conducted by Burton et al. [32] revealed that over-expressing a *CslF* gene, under the control of an endosperm-specific promoter, led to an increase in β -glucan content and a significant reduction in starch in transgenic grains. Given that the β -glucan and starch pathways compete for the initial substrate—glucose—used in their synthesis, *Brachypodium distachyon*, with over 40% of its grain weight as β -glucan and only about 6% starch, further supports a regulatory connection between starch and β -glucan synthesis [29]. Additionally, when *Arabidopsis* was transformed with the *OsCslF6* gene, it produced mixed-linkage glucan in the cell wall, indicating the capability of *CslF6* to synthesize β -glucan [33]. In barley, four corresponding *CslF* genes were mapped to chromosome 2H (*HvCslF3*, *HvCslF4*, *HvCslF8*, *HvCslF10*), with two other genes on chromosomes 1H (*HvCslF9*) and 7H (*HvCslF6*), corresponding to quantitative trait loci (QTL) for grain β -glucan content [34,35].

In rice, knockout mutants of *OsCslF6* synthesize minimal β -glucan content. Nemeth et al. [36] identified the *CslF6* gene in wheat and demonstrated that transgenic manipulation through iRNA could modify the amounts and properties of β -glucan in wheat. Other studies showed that the addition of barley chromosome 7H (where *HvCslF6* is located) to

the wheat genome increases β -glucan production [37]. The wheat–barley addition lines, obtained through hybridization, contain a genetic background of common wheat, allowing the genetic analysis of a single barley chromosome affecting the final phenotype. They have been used for several trait studies as the production of bioactive compounds [38–40].

In this scenario, *Ae. caudata* stands as a promising genetic resource with unique nutrient content and the potential to contribute to the enhancement of cereal crops. The investigation of genes involved in β -glucan biosynthesis in this species not only offers insights into its nutritional properties but also flags the way for improving the health-promoting aspects of cultivated wheat. This paper investigates the cellulose synthase F6 gene sequence and protein structure of *Ae. caudata* and carries out a comparative analysis with other grasses to identify possible differences among species and correlate them with the final β -glucan content.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and DNA Extraction

The *Aegilops caudata* genotype from the GenBank of the Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences (University of Bari, Italy) was used to characterize the *CslF6* gene. The genotype was grown in Valenzano (Bari, Department of Soil, Plant and Food Science, University of Bari Aldo Moro), and leaves were harvested at tillering time. Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh leaves using the technique outlined in Sharp et al. [41] and subsequently underwent purification through phenol-chloroform extraction. The quality and concentration of the DNA were assessed via spectrophotometric analysis using the NanoDrop2000 (Thermo Scientific[™], Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA 02451) at 260 and 280 nm, with an A260/A280 ratio falling within the range of 1.6 to 1.8, and checked by agarose gel-electrophoresis.

For fragment sequencing, DNA amplifications were conducted in 25 mL reaction mixtures, with each mixture containing 25 ng of template DNA, 2 mM of each primer, 200 mM of each dNTP, 2.5 mM of MgCl2, 1X PCR buffer (10 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 8.3, 10 mM KCl), and 0.5 units of Taq DNA polymerase. The PCR protocol used in a Perkin Elmer DNA Thermal Cycler (Norwalk, CT, USA) was as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C/65 °C for 2 min, and extension at 72 °C for 1 min, with a final extension step at 72 °C for 15 min. The PCR products were visualized through 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.2. Cellulose Synthase Gene (CslF6) Isolation and Characterization

To isolate the complete sequences of the *Ae. caudata CslF6* gene, we used the sequences of durum wheat, previously isolated by our lab [42] as an initial query probe to blast the Persephone[®] multi-genome browser (https://web.persephonesoft.com/?data=genomes/TA1851, accessed on 8 November 2023), which contain the *Ae. umbellulata* genome assemblies. From the Persephone[®] browser the sequence with 99% of similarity located on chromosome 7U (*chr7U_TA1851*) was used as a probe for the primer design.

In order to obtain the entire gene sequences, a complete set of genome specific primer pairs were designed by using 'Primer3 Input' (version 0.4.0)' software. Single PCR fragments were directly purified with an EuroGold Cycle Pure Kit and sequenced in both frame direction (5'->3' and 3'->5') using BigDye chemistry (Applied Biosystems) in a 96 capillary automatic sequencer ABI PRISM 3500. Gaps and uncertain sequence were resolved by primer walking. Regions of less coverage or ambiguous reads were rechecked with additional primers. Sequence assembly was obtained with 'Codone Code Aligner' (version 11.0.2) and 'Geneious' (version 2023.2.1) assembly programs.

Gene prediction was conducted with the FGENESH program (http://linux1.softberry. com/berry.phtml?topic=fgeneshandgroup=programsandsubgroup=gfind, accessed on 27 September 2023). Consensus exon/introns boundaries were confirmed using grass expressed sequence tag sequences aligned to the genomic sequence.

2.3. Aegilops caudata CslF6 Protein Sequence and Structure

To predict the protein sequence and structure based on the genomic sequence, Geneious software (version 2023.2.1) was employed for the sequence translation and prediction of transmembrane regions, coiled coil regions, conserved regions between wheat and barley proteins. Additionally, the *Ae. caudata* CslF6 protein newly obtained was aligned with the corresponding sequences from the alignment of the CslF6 from *Oryza sativa, Setaria italica, Sorghum bicolor, Zea mays, Brachypodium, Avena sativa, Hordeum vulgare*, wheat (A, B and D genomes), *Aegilops strangulata, Trititucm dicoccoides* and *Trititcum urartu*. Protein sequences were additionally employed in the phylogenetic examination using the neighbor joining method (NJ), and its topology was evaluated using 1000 bootstrap replicates implemented in 'Geneious'. Differences in the residues of the principal motif of the proteins were investigated to elucidate the potential impact of particular amino acid variations and their spatial arrangements near the active site on the intricate structure of the (1,3;1,4)- β -glucan synthesized. Homology models with cellulose synthases of bacteria (BCSA) were also implemented using the sequences from rice, maize, sorgo and *Setaria, Triticum* subspecies, *Brachypodium*, oat, and barley.

2.4. Promoter Cis-Acting Element Distribution Analysis

The 2000 -bp sequences upstream of the start codon of *Ae. caudata CslF6* gene was extracted as the promoter region and submitted to the PlantCARE database (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/, accessed on 11 January 2024) for prediction of the cis-acting elements.

3. Results

3.1. Isolation and Characterization of Cellulose Synthase-like F6 Gene (CslF6) in Ae. caudata

The sequence corresponding to the *CslF6* gene from the durum wheat genomic sequences, previously isolated by our group [42], was used as a query blast to the *Ae. umbellulata* assembly deposit in the Persephone[®] multi-genome browser to design specific primer pairs for the isolation of the *CslF6* full gene sequence in *Ae. caudata*. All the amplification analyses were carried out on DNA extracted from leaves of the *Ae. caudata* genotype grown in Valenzano (Bari, Italy). Through the genotype used for the analysis, the C genome sequence of *CslF6* was isolated with the corresponding cDNA. The genomic sequence was 5357 bp, including an mRNA of 2829 bp and a protein of 942 aa (Figure 1). Fgenesh++ (version 2.1) software was used for gene prediction to define the intron/exon structure, predicting a gene structure composed of three exons and two introns (Figure 1). Using Phytozome (version 13) software, a comparison between wheat and barley sequences was carried out through blast analysis. Considering the *Ae. caudata CslF6* with the wheat genome sequences, the identities detected were 96.8% with the A genome, 97.1% with the B genome, and 98.9% with the D genome, while considering the cDNA, the similarities were 97.4% with the A genome, 97.6% with the B genome, and 99.2% with the D genome.

Using the newly obtained sequence, we were able to localize the *CslF6* gene on the *Ae. umbellulata* genome through the Persephone[®] multi-genome browser page (https: //web.persephonesoft.com/?data=genomes/TA1851, accessed on 08/11/2023). The gene was localized on chromosome chr7U_TA1851 at the physical position from 204.549.972 to 204.555.410 bp. Comparing the position of the gene with other species, the CslF6 was located on: chromosome 7H for barley (*HORVU7Hr1G070010*); chromosome group 7 for wheat (*TraesCS7A02G298600, TraesCS7B02G188400,* and *TraesCS7D02G294300* for bread wheat; *TRITD7Av1G149750* and *TRITD7Bv1G108090* for durum wheat) and *T. dicoccoides* (*TRIDC7AG041550* and *TRIDC7BG030910*); chromosome 7 for *Sorghum* (*SORBI_3007G050600*); chromosome group 7 for oat (*AVESA.00001b.r3.7Ag0002427, AVESA.00001b.r3.7Cg0002511, AVESA.00001b.r3.7Dg0001419*); chromosome 7 for *urartu* (*LOC125522276*); chromosome 7D for *Ae. strangulata* (*LOC109773098*); chromosome 6 for *S. italica* (*XM_004972717*); chromosome 10 for maize (*GRMZM2G110145*); chromosome Bd3 for *Brachypodium* (*BRADI_3g16307v3*); chromosome 8 for rice (*LOC_Os08g06380*) (Table 1).



Figure 1. Comparison of gene structures between *Ae. caudata* and *Triticum* genome sequences (A, B and D) based on colored boxes, highlighting conserved exons. Intron and exon sizes are shown, as well as the whole gene (in brackets). The *CslF6* genes, in the genomes reported, are composed of three exons of conserved sizes and two introns.

Table 1. Chromosome location of CslF6 gene in a set of monocotyledons used for the analysis. For each species, the accession number relative to the sequence was reported.

Species	Chromosome Location	Accession Number			
H. vulgare	7H	HORVU7Hr1G070010			
T. turgidum ssp. Durum	7A	TRITD7Av1G149750			
	7B	TRITD7Bv1G108090			
T. aestivum	7A	TraesCS7A02G298600			
	7B	TraesCS7B02G188400			
	7D	TraesCS7D02G294300			
T. dicoccoides	7A	TRIDC7AG041550			
	7B	TRIDC7BG030910			
S. bicolor	7	SORBI_3007G050600			
A. sativa	7A	AVESA.00001b.r3.7Ag0002427			
	7C	AVESA.00001b.r3.7Cg0002511			
	7D	AVESA.00001b.r3.7Dg0001419			
T. urartu	7A	LOC125522276			
A. strangulata	7D	LOC109773098			
S. italica	6	XM_004972717			
Z. mays	10	GRMZM2G110145			
Brachypodium	Bd3	BRADI_3g16307v3			
O. sativa	8	LOC_Os08g06380			

The newly obtained sequence was used for the determination of the amino acid sequence through Geneious (version 2023.2.1) software (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Plant CslF6 amino acid sequences for the mature protein are aligned with Clustal V: *Ae. caudata* (present report), wheat (A, B and D genomes), *T. urartu* (*XP_048543309.1*), *T. dicoccoides* (*XP_037457806.1*), *Brachypodium* (*XP_003573454.1*), rice (*AKJ66179.1*), maize (*AKJ66177.1*), *Setaria* (*XP_004972774.1*), *Sorghum* (*XP_002445102.1*), *Avena* (*AKJ66176.1*), barley (*ABZ01578.1*), *Ae. Stranguata* (*XP_020187382.1*).

3.2. Comparison of Amino Acid Sequences from Other Species

Once the *Ae. caudata CslF6* gene sequence was isolated, a protein structure prediction was performed to define the differences among a selection of monocot sequences available in public databases and relate them to the final β -glucan content and DP3/DP4 ratio in the different species. The DP3 and DP4 represent two major oligomer units obtained from the enzymatic digestion of the β -glucan, which explain more than 90% of cereal β -glucan structures and are strongly correlated to the degree of solubility of the polysaccharide [43].

The closest match was found with wheat genome D and *Aegilops taushii* ssp. *strangulata* (98%), followed by the other *Triticum* subspecies (~98%) and barley (97%). The more divergent sequences were the CsIF6 from *Sorghum* and *Setaria*, at 81.4% and 81.6%, respectively. The amino acid length for each species differed, with some of them being of identical length. In order to investigate the evolutionary distances, the UPGMA tree was implemented in Geneious (version 2023.2.1) software. The tree showed two main clusters, one including the CsIF6 protein sequences from rice, maize, sorghum, and *Setaria*, and a second one with all the other monocot species considered. Among the second group, the Triticum subspecies clustered all together, while *Brachypodium*, oat, and barley grouped independently. In the evolutionary tree, the *Ae. caudata* gene represents the outline of the *Triticum* cluster (Figure 3).



7 of 14



Figure 3. Phylogenetic relationships among the CslF6 polypeptide from *Ae. caudata* (green text), wheat (A, B and D genomes), *T. urartu, T. dicoccoides, Brachypodium*, rice, maize, *Setaria, Sorghum*, avena, barley, *Ae. Stranguata*.

3.3. Amino Acid Sequence, (1,3;1,4)-β-Glucan Amounts and DP3:DP4 Ratios

The amino acid sequences of the monocot sequences considered are highly conserved, and their 3D structures are likely to exhibit similarities (Figure 4). Considering that the amino acid sequence is of fundamental importance for the protein structure and β -glucan biosynthesis, a comparative analysis was carried out on the principal motifs using the homology model based on the *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* BCSA cellulose synthase subunit crystal structure [44].

As shown in Figure 4, the *Ae. caudata* protein sequence, the similarity in amino acid sequences between CslF6 enzymes from *Brachypodium*, barley, wheat, *T. dicoccoides*, *T. urartu* and *Ae. strangulata* suggests resemblances in their 3D structures.

Figure 4 showed that the G/D residue sits just before the anticipated "finger helix," adjacent to the TED motif believed to interact with the nascent polysaccharide's acceptor end.

Additionally, two other residues are reported on Figure 4, the W residue in the conserved QxxRW motif highlighting the catalytic pocket at the membrane distal side, which coordinates the translocation and elongation of the glucosidic units in the β -glucan biosynthesis, and the Y/F residue near the core QxxRW catalytic motif that interacts with CslF6's putative gating loop, housing an FxLTxK motif (Figure 4).

Both the differences highlighted in the residues close to the TED and QxxRW motif have an effect on contributing to the difference in the DP3:DP4 ratio [31].

De CsIF6_Oryza sativa	ФРАНКИЎ (КРІВ РЕ ИНТКУ БАКР V АРРРЖАТ V АК КК НОЙ L PMP K KAY CŘ S DA FADT I PŘA SHP S P <mark>V ARĚ A V ARĎE K</mark> AIHA E A VINÚT A RA VE KK TÔNG SDII GW V ČTV <u>TE D</u> V V TO ÝR MH I K GWR SŘ V CS I V PH AF Í
De CsIF6_Setaria italica	GMFAKTINYEKPIGUENSTANGAATAVVAKGKHGFLPILIPKKSYGKSEN FNDS I PRASHPSPFANATG-DAGVLTDEATUSEAVAVIITTAAVENKTGWGGNIIGWYYGTYT ED VYTGYNH I KGWRSRYCSI YPHAFI
De CsIF6_Sorghum bicolor	GMFAKTIKYEKPEUELTTK
De CsIF6_Zea mays	GMFAKAKYEKPELELETKKAVAKGKHGFLPMPKKSYGKSDAFADTIPMASHPSPFAKAAMAVVVADEATHAEAVAVCAKAYEKKTGWGSDIGWYYGTVT EDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
CelF6_Brachypodium	GLFAKTIKYEKPSMENTMARMOMVMPAMAKGKHGFLPTPKKTYGKSTIKFNOT I PRASHPSPYAREGIRVVDSGAETLAEAVKVTGGAFEGKTGWGSELGWVYDT TOV VTGVMH I KGWRSRYCS I YPHAFI
De CsIF6_Avena sativa	GMFAKTKYQKPGUENTMAKAKAAPKPAKGKHGFLPUPKKTYGKSDAFNOSIPLASHPSPYVAAYNTAEGIVTDEATMAEAVNVTAAAFENKTGWGKEIIGWVYDTVTOVVTGVMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_Hordeum vulgare	G <mark>L</mark> FAKTKYEKPGLEMTTAKAKAAPXPAKGKHGFLPLPKKTYGKSDAFNDTIPRASHPSPYAAAREGIVADEATLVEAVNUTAKAFENKTGWGKELIGWVYDTVTEDVVTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
Ce CsIF6_ssp. durum 7A	G <mark>U</mark> FAKTKYEKPSWEMTWAKAKAAPXPAKGKHGFLPWPKKTYGKSDAFWOSIPRASHPSPYAAAREGIVADEAT WEAVNUTAKAFEKKTGWG <mark>KE</mark> WGWVYDTVTEDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
C+ CsIF6_ssp. durum 7B	G <mark>U</mark> FAK TKYEKPGUENTWAKAKAAPXP AKGKHGFLP U PKKTYGKS DAFNOS IPRASHPSP <u>YAAAREGIVADEATU</u> VEAVNUTAKAFENKTGWG <mark>KEU</mark> GWVYDTVTEDVVTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_Triticum aestivum 7D	GUFAKTKYEKPGUENTWAKAKAA PAPAKGKHGFLPUPKKTYGKSDAFNOSIPRASHPSPYAAA NEGIVADEATUVEAVNUTAKAFEKKTGWGKEUGWVYDT YT EDVYTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_ssp. strangulata	GEFAKTEVEKPGELENTWAKAKAA PAPAKGKHGFLPEPKKTYGKSDAFNOSIPRASHPSPYAAA REGIVADEATEVEAVNUTAKAFEKKTGWGKEELGWVYDT VTEDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_Triticum dicoccoides	GEFAKTEVEKPGELENTMAKAKAA PA PAKGKHGFLPEPKKTYGKSDAFNDSIPRASHPSPYAAA REGIVADEATIVEAVNUTAKAAFERKTGWGKEIIGWVYDTV TEDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_Triticum urartu	GEFAKTKYEKPGEIEMTMAKAKAKAPAVPAKGKHGFLPEPKKTYGKSDAFNDSIPRASHPSPYAAAREGIVADEATIVEAVNUTAKAFEKKTGWGKEIIGWVDTUTEDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
C+ CsIF6_Aegilops caudata	GEFAKTKYEKPGUENTMAKAKAKAPAVPAKGKHGFLPUPKKTYGKSDAFNOSIPRASHPSPYAAAREGIVADEATUVEAVNUTAKAFEKKTGWGKEUGWVDTUTEDVUTGYRMHIKGWRSRYCSIYPHAFI
De CsIF6_Oryza sativa	GTAP INLTERL B QULRWSTGSLE I FFSRNNPLFGSTFLHPLORNAY INLTTYPFTALFLTFYTTYPALSFYTGHFI VORPTTWFYVYLATVLGTLLTLAVLEVKWAGVT <mark>V</mark> FEWFRNGOFWWTAS B SAYL W AVLOV VT K
De CsIF6_Setaria italica	IND INDER LYOVERWSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLFGSTELHPLOR WAY IN ITTYPFTALELI FYTTYPALS FVTGHFIVOR PTWFYVYLA LUGTLLILAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGOFWTAS BAAVLAAVBOVVVK
De CsIF6_Sorghum bicolor	GTAP INLTERLYQVLRWSTGSLE I FFSRNNPLFGSTELHPLGRWAY IN ITTYPFTAELE FYTTYPALS FYTGHFIVGRPTTMFYVY A IVLGTLLILAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGGFWUTASEGAVLKAVEQVEVK
De CsIF6_Zea mays	GTAP IN LTERLEQULEWSTGSLE I FFSRNNPLFGSTELHPLQRWAY IN ITTYPFTATELI FYTTYPALS FYTGHFI VQRPTTWFYVLA IVLGTLLILAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGOFWNTASESAVLKAVEQVEVK
De CsIF6_Brachypodium	GTAP INLTERLEQULAWSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLQRWAY IN ITTYPFTATELI FYTTYPALS FYTGHFI VQRPTTWFYVLGI VLATLLITAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGOFWUTASE SAVLWAVEQVETK
De CsIF6_Avena sativa	GTAP INLTERLEOULAWSTGSLEIFFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLORIAY IN ITTYPFTAILFLIFYTTYPALSEVTGHFIVORPTTWFYVYLGIVLATLLIIAVLEVKWAGVTYFEWFRNGOFWNTASMSAYLOAVGOVEIK
D. CsIF6_Hordeum vulgare	GTAP INLTERLEOVIEWSTGSLEIFFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLORWAY IN ITTYPFTAIFLIFYTTYPALSFVTGHFIVORPTTWFYVYLGIVLSTLLVIAVLEVKWAGVTYFEWFRNGOFWNTASESAYLWAVEQVETK
De CsIF6_ssp. durum 7A	GTAP INLTERLEOVIEWSTGSLEIFFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLORWAY IN ITTYPFTAILFLIFYTTYPALSFVTGHFIVORPTTWFYVYLGIVLSTLLVIAVLEVKWAGVTYFEWFRNGOFWNTASGSAYLWAVGOVETK
De CsIF6_ssp. durum 7B	GTAP INLTERLEQUIEWSTGSLEIFFSKNNPLFGSTXLHPLGRWAYINITTYPFTALFLIFYTTYPALSFYTGHFIVGRPTTWFYYLGIVLSTLLVUAVLEVKWAGVTYFEWFRNGGPWNTASCSAVLWAVCQVETK
De CsIF6_Triticum aestivum 70	D GTAP INLTER LEVYLAWSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLFGSTXLHPLGRWAYIN ITTYPFTALFLI FYTTYPALSEVTGHFIVORPTTMEYVYLGIVLSTLLVLAVLEVKWAGVTYFEWERNGGEWMTASGSAVLAAVGOVETK
De CsIF6_ssp. strangulata	GTAP INLTER LEQUENTS TO SLE I FFSKNNPL FGSTYLHPLOR WAY IN I TTYPFTALFLI FYTTYPALS FYTGHF I VORPTTMFYVYLGIVLSTLLVIAVLEVKWAGVTVEEWERNGOFWNTAS CSAVL WAVEQUENT
De CsIF6_Triticum dicoccoides	GTAP INLTER LEQUERYSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLORWAYIN ITTYPFTALFLI FYTTYPALSFYTGHFI VORPTTMFYVYLGIVLSTLLVIAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGOFWNTASGSAVLÄAVEQVETK
De CsIF6_Triticum urartu	GTAP INLTER LEQUEWSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLEGSTYLHPLORWAY IN ITTYPFTAMFLI FYTTYPALSFYTGHFIVORPTTMEYVYLGIVLSTLLVMAVLEVKWAGVTVEEWFRNGOFWNTASESAVLWAVEQVETK
De CsIF6_Aegilops caudata	GTAP INLTER LEQUEWSTGSLE I FFSKNNPLFGSTYLHPLORWAY IN ITTYPFTA IFLI FYTTYPALSFVTGHFI VORPTTMFYVLGI VLSTLLVIAVLEVKWAGVTVFEWFRNGORWMTASGSAVLWAVEQVETK
D• CsIF6_Oryza sativa	WFRED IS EK TSTUPAGDE KKOPYADLYVVKWTWLNITTPITT I UVNI IGSÄVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFRLYPFAKGTLGERGKTPVVVLVWWAFTEV TVVLI IN PHTHGPGRHGASSPSH
C• CsIF6_Setaria italica	VY FRED IS 5KLTS: 0PAGDEKKDPYADLYVYRWTWLMWMPIIIIIUVNIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLYPFAKGIILGKHGKTPVVVLVWAFTFVITKVLYINIPHIHGPGGKIIGKGALGKH
De CsIF6_Sorghum bicolor	WY FRED IS KKITSKOPAGDEKKDPYADLYVVRWTWLMWTDPIILIIEUVNIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLYPFAKGELGRHGKTPVVVLVWWAFTFVITAVLYNIPHIHGPGEKHG==GALEGKH
D• CsIF6_Zea mays	VV FRD I S KLTSKOPAGDE KKDPYADL VVVRWTNLMVTPII II LVNI I GSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGV FFNFWVLFHLVPFAKGILGRHGKTPVVLVWAFTFVITAVLYN I PHIHGPGEKHGGAIGRH
De CsIF6_Brachypodium	VILERD IS EKLTSKUPAGDEKKDPYADLYVVRWTRLMITTPIILIEPVIIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLVPFAKGLIGKHGKTPVVVLVWAFTFVITAVLYINIPHIHGGGGKHSVGH
D• CsIF6_Avena sativa	VIFOROIS KLTSKUPAGDEKKDPYADLYVVRWTPLIMLVPI VI VIFVNI I GSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLVPFAKGILGKHGKTPVVVLVWAFTFVI TAVLY I NIPHMHSPGKHTKVA
De CsIF6_Hordeum vulgare	VIF FRED IS FK.TTS.LPSGDE KKDPYADLYVVRWTPLMITP I MITP I I I I FVN I GSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLVPFAKGILGKHGKTPVVVLVWAFTFV I TAVLY I N I PHMHTSGCKHTTV
De CsIF6_ssp. durum 7A	VILERED IS EKLTSKLPSGDEKKDPYADLYVVRWTPLMITPIIMIEPIIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLYPFAKGILGKHGKTPVVVLVWAFTFVITAVLYINIPHHSSGCKHTTV
De CsIF6_ssp. durum 7B	VILFRRD IS FKLTSKLPSGDEKKDPYADLYVWWTPLMITPIIMIFVNI IGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLYPFAKGILGKHGKTPVVVLWWAFTFVITAVLYNIPHMHSSGCKHTTV
CsIF6_Triticum aestivum 71	
CsIF6_ssp. strangulata	VIERROIS KLTSKLESKLESKD PADL VVVRWTPLMITPIIMIEPIIMIEVNI I GSAVAFAKVLD GEWTHWLKVAGGVFFN FWVLFHLVPFAKGTLGKHGKTPVVVLVWWAFTFVITAVLVIN IPHMHSSG GKHTTV
De CsIF6_Triticum dicoccoides	
De CsIF6_Triticum urartu	VIERROISEKLTSKLESGLEKKDPYADLYVVRTPLMITTPIIMIEPIIMIEVNIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVFFNFWVLFHLYPFAKGTLGKHGKTPVVVLVWWAFTFVITAVLYINIPHMHSSGTKHTTV
CsIF6_Aegilops caudata	VIERROISEKLTSKUPSGDEKKDPVADLVVVRWTPLMITPII III EVNIIGSAVAFAKVLDGEWTHWLKVAGGVEFNFWVLFHLVPFAKGILGRHGKTPVVVLVWWAFTFVITAVLVINIPHMHSSGGKHTTV

Figure 4. Comparison of CsIF6 protein sequences from a selection of plants with colored residues highlighting sequence discrepancies. White boxes indicate a similarity of 100%, green boxes a similarity from 80% to 100%, yellow boxes a similarity from 60 to 80%, and purple boxes a similarity less than 60%. Shown are important predicted trans-membrane helices (TM5 and TM6), the FxLTxK motif, and the TED and QxxRW motifs. The cytoplasmic amphipathic helix (IF3) is also shown.

3.4. Analysis of Cis-Acting Elements in CslF6 Promoter Region of Ae. caudata Gene

The gene expression pattern primarily relies on cis-acting elements found within the regulatory regions of a promoter. Prediction of cis-acting elements of the *CslF6* gene promoter of *Ae. caudata* revealed a total of 18 variations (depicted in Figure 5). These elements encompassed a diverse range, including light-responsive (G-box, TCCC-motif and ATC-motif), defense and stress-related (ARE-element), phytohormone-responsive (ABRE), and growth and development-associated elements (CAT-box, GCN4-motif, MSA-like, O2site and RY-element). Notably, G-box, ARE-element, TGACG-motif, and CGTCA-motif were prevalent, suggesting their pivotal role in stress resilience, growth, and development. Additionally, the gene appeared to be significantly regulated by cis-regulatory elements found to be involved in plant hormone responsiveness, including gibberellins, salicylic acid responsiveness and jasmonic acid.

+	TTAGCGTCCT AATCGCAGGA	CTCCACACAC GAGGTGTGTG	CCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCCC	ACACAAACAA TGTGTTTGTT	GTGAGTCAG <mark>T</mark> CACTCAGTCA	ACGTG TGCACACGGG	CGGACGGAGA GCCTGCCTCT		abscisic acid responsiveness
+	TGCACGCAGA ACGTGCGTCT	TTACATTACC AATGTAATGG	GTCGGCGTGA CAGCCGCACT	AGCGTTAAAA TCGCAATTTT	TTGCGTCCGT AACGCAGGCA	TCCCTCCCAT AGGGAGGGTA	CTCCTCTCCT GAGGAGAGGA		anaerobic induction
+	CGTAAATGCT GCATTTACGA	TTGGGAGTCC AACCCTCAGG	TTGATTGTAG AAC <mark>TAAC</mark> ATC	TAACAGTAG <mark>T</mark> ATTGTCATCA	ATAGTTTATC TATCAAATAG	ATGAATGCTG TACTTACGAC	GGGGCTAGCG CCCCGATCGC		light responsiveness
+	TGCCCGCATA ACGGGCGTAT	TGTGGTTGGA ACACCAACCT	TCGTCGATGC AGCAGCTACG	AACAGCAACC TTGTCGTTGG	GCCGTTTAAT CGGCAAATTA	TACTCTTCCG ATGAGAAGGC	GCCTCTCCAT CGGAGAGGTA		light responsiveness
+	CGACACACGG GCTGTGTGCC	CCCGCCTCGA GGGCGGAGCT	TCGTCTTCTT AGCAGAAGAA	CTTTCTTGTG GAAAGAACAC	TGTTGGTTTA ACA <mark>ACCAAA</mark> T	ATTGTCCTCT TAAC <mark>AGGAGA</mark>	CAGCGGCGGT GTCGCCGCCA		CAAT-box
+	GTGGTTAGTT CACCAATCAA	CGGGGTCTGG GCCCCAGACC	ACACGGGG <mark>GC</mark> TGT <mark>GCCCCC</mark> G	CGTGTGGCCT GCACACCGGA	GGCGCGAGCG CCGCGCTCGC	AGCTGCAGAC TCGACGTCTG	CTCATGCTTA GAGTACGAAT		meristem expression
+	ATTCCTCCCG TAAGGAGGGC	TTAGTTAGTT AATCAATCAA	CAGGGAGCTC GTCCCTCGAG	CATCAGGACA GTAGTCCTGT	GATTATATGC CT <mark>AATAT</mark> ACG	TCCTCCCGTC AGGAGGGCAG	CCGTCCCGCC GGCAGGGCGG		MYBHv1 binding site
+	GTGATTTCTC CACTAAAGAG	GTCGGATACG CAGCCTATGC	TTCCCCGCCG	CTCC <mark>TATA</mark> GG GAGGATATCC	GACGTCGTAA CTGCAGCATT	CGGGCAGAAC GCCCGTCTTG	GGCGAGCCTC CCGCTCGGAG		MeJA-responsiveness
+	CTTGTGG <mark>CAA</mark> GAACACCGTT	TGAACCGGCC ACTTGGCCGG	AGGGGACCAA	CGCATTCCTT GCGTAAGGAA	CTCTTCTCGT GAGAAGAGCA	GAAACATTTG CTTTG <mark>TAAAC</mark>	CTCGCCAAAG GAGCGGTTTC		light responsiveness
+	CCAAAGCCAA GGTTTCGGTT	AACCAAAAACC TTGGTTTTGG	AAAGGGGGGAA TTTCCCCCCTT	AAGGAGGAGG TTCCTCCTCC	AGGAGGTAGT TCCTCCATCA	AGAAGCGAAC TCTTCGCTTG	AGGGCTCTGC TCCCGAGACG		anoxic specific
+	ATGTCCACCT TACAGGTGGA	TTTGCTGTGA AAACGACACT	CCGATATTAC GGCTATAATG	TCCGACCACT AGGCTGGTGA	GTTCTCTTGC CAAGAGAACG	GTGGCTCTGC CACCGAGACG	TGCAT <mark>GCCAC</mark> ACGTACGGTG		endosperm expression
+	TTTTCAACCA AAAAGTTGGT	ACAAGACAAG TGTTCTGTTC	AATATGTGAG TTATACACTC	CACATATGAG GTGTATACTC	CGACTCCTCG GCTGAGGAGC	CAGTTTGCTA GTCAAACGAT	CTGGTGCTCC GACCACGAGG		zein metabolism
+	AAAATGTTTC TTTTACAAAG	TCCCAACCCA AGGGTTGGGT	ACCCAACTTG	CACATAGATA GTGTATCTAT	CTACTACATC GATGATGTAG	AAGAGGCTAA TTCTCCGATT	AAATTCTGTG TTTAAGACAC		regulation gibberellin-responsive
+	GACAGAAAAG CTGTCTTTTC	ATGGCATTTG TACCGTAAAC	GGCAACCCGA	GCAAGGTCAC CGTTCCAGTG	AGCAGAGCAA TCGTCTCGTT	AGAGGCTTGT TCTCCGAACA	ACGGTACTCG TGCCATGAGC	2	element
+	AAACAGCTCA TTTGTCGAGT	CTGATGCATG GACTAC <mark>GTAC</mark>	CATGGCGATG GTACCGCTAC	CTGCAGGATG GACGTCCTAC	CTACAGGGAC GATGTCCCTG	TCACGGGTCA AGTGCCCAGT	GGCTGGCTTC CCGACCGAAG	2	seed-specific regulation
+	AGCTTGGACT	GGGGGGAGAGC	AGAAAAGGCG	CAAGAAAAGA GTTCTTTTCT	ATCTTTCAAT TAGAAAGTTA	CAAGGGCAGC	AAAGAAGCCC		TATA-box
+	AAGCTCACAA	ACCCCACCAA	GTTAATTAAT	AAAAGAGAGA	AAAAAGAGCA	GGCACATAAC	АААСАААСТА		salicylic acid
-	TTCGAGTGTT	TGGGGTGGTT	CAATTAATTA	TTTTCTCTCT	TTTTTCTCGT	CCGTGTATTG	TTTGTTTGAT		responsiveness
+	ATCATCATC <mark>G</mark> TAGTAGTAGC	CCACTCATCG GGTGAGTAGC	CCCCGGTACC GGGGCCATGG	TTTATTCTAC AAATAAGATG	GCCCAGCTCC CGGGTCGAGG	TCCTCCTCCT AGGAGGAGGA	CTCTGATTAG GAGACTAATC		light responsive element
+	TACTAGTATT ATGATCATAA	ACATTAACAT TGTAATTGTA	ААСААТСААТ ТТGTTAGTTA	CGGTGGAAAA GCCACCTTTT	GGAAATCGTC CCTTTAGCAG	CCATCTTGCG GGTAGAACGC	GCACCAATCA CGTGGTTAGT		MeJA-responsiveness
+	ATTCATTAAT	TAATCAACAA	TACTTGTGCA	AGCAAAAAAA	AAGATAAAAG	AACAAGGTTT	TGTCAATCGG		
_	TAAGTAATTA	ATTAGTTGTT	ATGAACACGT	TCGTTTTTTT	TTCTATTTTC	TIGTICCAAA	ACAGTTAGCC		
+	AAATGCTAGC TTTACGATCG	TAATTATCGC ATTAATAGCG	ТА GTTTTCTT АТСААААGAA	TTCTTGCGCA	GGGCATCACA CCCGTAGTGT	AATCACATGG TTAGTGTACC	CACAGCAGGC GTGTCGTCCG		
+	AGGGGCAGGA TCCCCGTCCT	ATATGAGTGG TATACTCACC	AAGCAACCTG TTCGTTGGAC	GTAGGTAGGT CATCCATCCA	GAGGTGCGGT CTCCACGCCA	GCGGTGCGGC CGCCACGCCG	GA <mark>GATGATAT</mark> CTCTACTATA		
+	GCATATGCAT	ATGGGCTGGG	CTGGGCTGGC	CATACACGCG	TACACGCAGC	AATGTGCTCG	CCAGTCCACC		
-	CGTATACGTA	TACCCGACCC	GACCCGACCG	GTATGTGCGC	ATGTGCGTCG	TTACACGAGC	GGTCAGGTGG		
+ -	ACCTAC <mark>ATAT</mark> TGGATGT <mark>ATA</mark>	AAACACACCC TTTGTGTGGGG	GCACACCGCT CGTGTGGCGA	CAAACA <mark>CAAT</mark> GTTTGTGTTA	TACACCCCAC ATGTGGGGGTG	AGACACCTCC TCTGTGGAGG	AACCCGTTGG TTG <mark>GGCAAC</mark> C		
+	TTGGATAGCT	CAGCAAGACA	AGCGAGCTGA	GCAGAGCAAA	GCTTGAAACC	CCTACCGACA	CTTCTTGTGC		
-	ACCTATCGA	GTCGTTCTGT	TCGCTCGACT	CGTCTCGTTT	CGAACTTTGG	GGATGGCTGT	GAAGAACACG		
+	CTCCCAGCCC	ATAAATCCCC	CACGTACTTT	ACTCGTCATT	TCTCGCACCT	CCTCCTCCTC	CCCTCTCCCT		
2	GAGGGTCGGG	TATTTAGGGG	GTGCAT GAAA	TGAGCAGTAA	AGAGCGTGGA	GGAGGAGGAG	GGGAGAGGGA		
+	CCCCTACATT GGGGATGTAA	CATTCATTGC GTAAG <mark>TAAC</mark> G	TTCCCTTTCT AAGGGAAAGA	CTCTCCCTGC GAGAGGGACG	ACATGCTAAA TGTACGATTT	GGCTGCCTCG CCGACGGAGC	CCCATTGCCT GGG <mark>TAAC</mark> GGA		
+	GAGCGTGGAG	AGCTACCTTG	CCTGCCTCCC	TGCCATTGTT	CGGCTTGTTC	TCCTTGTCGT	AAAGGAGAGT		
-	CTCGCACCTC	TCGATGGAAC	GGACGGAGGG	ACGGTAACAA	GCCGAACAAG	AGGAACAGCA	TTTCCTCTCA		
+	TAGTGCGTGC	ATTGAGGACG	ACGGCC						

Figure 5. Cis-acting regulatory element detected in the promoter sequence of the *CslF6* gene in *Ae. caudata*.

4. Discussion

The isolation and characterization of the *cellulose synthase-like F6* gene (*CslF6*) in *Ae. caudata* have unveiled significant insights into the molecular architecture and functional implications of this gene within the species. Our study employed a multifaceted approach,

integrating genomic sequence analysis, protein structure prediction, and comparative genomics to elucidate the distinct attributes of *CslF6* in *Ae. caudata*.

One key aspect, unveiled through this study, is the structural and functional conservation observed across related species. The high sequence identities between the CsIF6 gene of *A. caudata* and wheat genomes (A, B, and D) underscore evolutionary links among these species. The conservation of intron/exon structures across monocots like durum wheat, maize, and oat, echoing that of *Aegilops caudata*, signifies an underlying genetic coherence in β -glucan biosynthesis among these cereals, useful for new breeding programs

We obtained the complete sequence of the *CslF6* gene in *Ae. caudata*, and we compared our data with *CslF6* sequences from other species. The gene had the same intron/exon structure as durum wheat, maize, and oats, including three exons and two introns [42,45,46].

Comparative analyses, particularly in the context of genomic and cDNA similarities with wheat genomes, underscored high identities between the *Ae. caudata CslF6* and the A, B, and D genomes of wheat, signifying evolutionary relationships and conservation across these species. Furthermore, protein structure predictions and comparative assessments with a spectrum of monocot sequences elucidated pivotal similarities and divergences. Notably, the closest matches were identified with the D wheat genome and *Ae. tauschii* ssp. *strangulata*, implying a close evolutionary association among these genomes. The results are strongly correlated to the genome's evolution; in fact, the ancestor of the bread wheat D genome is *Ae. tauschii* (Coss.) [47]. Additionally, we located the gene on chromosome 7C of the *Ae. caudata* genome, and we made a comparison with the location in other monocots. The synteny observed in the map locations suggested that these genes were highly conserved, as already reported by previous authors [48].

The investigation into amino acid sequences from various monocot species revealed significant conservation, suggesting analogous 3D structures among these CslF6 enzymes. Crucially, specific motifs within the amino acid sequences were pinpointed, notably the monocots used for the comparative analysis, which showed differences in the residue near the 'finger helix' (G/D) and the residue (Y/F) adjacent to the core QxxRW catalytic motif. No differences were underlined in the W residue within the conserved QxxRW motif. These residues are implicated in critical interactions in β -glucan biosynthesis, particularly influencing the DP3:DP4 ratio, thereby potentially affecting the final β -glucan content [31,44].

The observed variations in these residues, specifically those proximal to the TED and QxxRW motifs, are likely contributors to differences in the DP3:DP4 ratio, aligning with existing literature [31,44] and demonstrating their impact on β -glucan composition. This highlights the functional relevance of specific amino acid residues in shaping the enzymatic activity and structural features of CsIF6, ultimately influencing the β -glucan synthesis pathway [33,44].

Moreover, the placement of *Ae. caudata* within an evolutionary tree, particularly as an outlier within the *Triticum* cluster, underscores its distinctiveness within the context of *CslF6* evolution among monocots, signifying potential unique functional adaptations or genetic divergence within this species as previously reported in literature [49].

The analysis of the cis-acting elements in the promotor region of the *CslF6* gene in the *Ae. caudata* genome highlighted the presence of many motifs associated with plant growth and development, stress response, and hormone regulation. These results suggested that the *CslF6* gene family may participate in plant growth and development as well as stress tolerance, and the family members are regulated by plant hormones as already reported in other species [50–52].

The potential applications of these findings extend to breeding strategies aimed at enhancing cereal varieties' nutritional content and resilience. Harnessing the genetic resources within *Ae. caudata* for targeted breeding or employing genetic modification techniques holds promise for developing cereals with improved β -glucan content, balanced amino acid profiles, and enhanced micronutrient richness. Moreover, this knowledge aids

in creating crops resilient to environmental stresses, thereby contributing to sustainable agricultural practices.

Furthermore, the detailed characterization of the *CslF6* gene and its protein structure in *Ae. caudata* provides a foundational framework for future studies. Exploring the regulatory mechanisms underlying β -glucan biosynthesis, investigating additional genetic factors influencing cereal nutritional content, and conducting functional validations of specific amino acid residues could offer deeper insights into refining cereal traits for human consumption.

5. Conclusions

The present work focuses on the cellulose synthase-like F6 gene (CslF6) in the *Ae. caudata* genome, providing valuable insights into the molecular processes of β -glucan biosynthesis and their potential impact on cereal nutrition. Utilizing genomic analysis, protein structure prediction, and comparative genomics, the research uncovers unique characteristics of CslF6 in *Ae. caudata*.

Comparative analysis of CslF6 amino acid sequences across monocot species reveals significant conservation, suggesting similar 3D structures. However, crucial motifs near the 'finger helix' and the QxxRW catalytic motif exhibit variations among species, influencing the DP3:DP4 ratio and, consequently, β -glucan content in cereals. These variations emphasize the role of specific residues in shaping CslF6 enzymatic activity and structural features.

The placement of *Ae. caudata* as an outlier in the evolutionary tree within the Triticum cluster signifies its distinctiveness in CslF6 evolution among monocots, hinting at potential unique functional adaptations or genetic divergence.

Exploring the promoter region of the CslF6 gene in the Ae. caudata genome reveals cis-acting elements associated with essential processes like plant growth, stress response, and hormone regulation. This implies multifaceted functions for the CslF6 gene family. The observed regulation by plant hormones aligns with known mechanisms in other species, highlighting evolutionary conservation.

In conclusion, this research deepens our understanding of β -glucan biosynthesis and suggests leveraging *Ae. caudata*'s genetic resources for improving cereal nutrition through breeding or genetic modification. The study's insights pave the way for further exploration and exploitation of these genetic resources in crop improvement programs focused on sustainable and nutritious food production.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.M. and A.G.; Methodology, I.M. and A.G.; Software, I.M.; Validation, I.M.; Formal Analysis D.C., P.C. and S.L.G.; Data Curation, I.M.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, I.M.; Writing—Review and Editing, I.M., P.C. and A.G.; Funding Acquisition, A.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Prima MED 2022-2025, section 2, AgroEcoMed.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

Ces, cellulose synthase; Csl, cellulose-synthase-like; DP3, 3-O-β-cellobiosyl-D-glucose; DP4, 3-O-β-cellotriosyl-D-glucose; BCSA, bacterial cellulose synthase A; TED motif, threonine-glutamic acid-aspartic acid motif; QxxRW motif, binding site for the terminal disaccharide of the glucan; TM5, trans-membrane helix 5; TM6, trans-membrane helix 6; IF3, cytoplasmic amphipathic helix; G-box, CACGTG box; TCCC-motif, element involved in defense and stress responsiveness; ATC-motif, conserved DNA module involved in light responsiveness; ARE-element, adenylate-uridylate-rich elements; ABRE, ABA response elements; CAT-box, element related to meristem

expression; GCN4-motif, 'leucine-zipper' recognition; MSA-like, mitosis-specific activator; O2-site, cis-acting regulatory element involved in zein metabolism regulation; RY-element, element involved in seed-specific regulation.

References

- Dinelli, G.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Di Silvestro, R.; Marotti, I.; Arraez-Roman, D.; Benedettelli, S.; Ghiselli, L.; Fernadez-Gutierrez, A. Profiles of phenolic compounds in modern and old common wheat varieties determined by liquid chromatography coupled with time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2011, 1218, 7670–7681. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Marcotuli, I.; Colasuonno, P.; Cutillo, S.; Simeone, R.; Blanco, A.; Gadaleta, A. β-glucan content in a panel of Triticum and Aegilops genotypes. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* **2019**, *66*, 897–907. [CrossRef]
- King, J.; Grewal, S.; Yang, C.-y.; Hubbart Edwards, S.; Scholefield, D.; Ashling, S.; Harper, J.A.; Allen, A.M.; Edwards, K.J.; Burridge, A.J.; et al. Introgression of Aegilops speltoides segments in Triticum aestivum and the effect of the gametocidal genes. *Ann. Bot.* 2017, 121, 229–240. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- King, J.; Grewal, S.; Yang, C.-y.; Hubbart, S.; Scholefield, D.; Ashling, S.; Edwards, K.J.; Allen, A.M.; Burridge, A.; Bloor, C.; et al. A step change in the transfer of interspecific variation into wheat from Amblyopyrum muticum. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2017, 15, 217–226. [CrossRef]
- 5. Schneider, A.; Molnár, I.; Molnár-Láng, M. Utilisation of *Aegilops* (goatgrass) species to widen the genetic diversity of cultivated wheat. *Euphytica* 2008, *163*, 1–19. [CrossRef]
- 6. Kishii, M. An Update of Recent Use of Aegilops Species in Wheat Breeding. Front. Plant Sci. 2019, 10, 585. [CrossRef]
- Marcotuli, I.; Colasuonno, P.; Hsieh, Y.S.Y.; Fincher, G.B.; Gadaleta, A. Non-Starch Polysaccharides in Durum Wheat: A Review. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 2933. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Colasuonno, P.; Marcotuli, I.; Gadaleta, A.; Soriano, J.M. From Genetic Maps to QTL Cloning: An Overview for Durum Wheat. *Plants* 2021, *10*, 315. [CrossRef]
- 9. Berski, W.; Krystyjan, M.; Buksa, K.; Zięć, G.; Gambuś, H. Chemical, physical and rheological properties of oat flour affected by the isolation of beta-glucan preparation. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2014**, *60*, 533–539. [CrossRef]
- 10. Dhingra, D.; Michael, M.; Rajput, H.; Patil, R.T. Dietary fibre in foods: A review. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2012, 49, 255–266. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hase, W.-J.L.K. Gut microbiota–generated metabolites in animal health and disease. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2014, 10, 416–424.
- 12. Lafiandra, D.; Riccardi, G.; Shewry, P.R. Improving cereal grain carbohydrates for diet and health. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2014**, *59*, 312–326. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, X.; Zhao, J.; Zhao, Q.; Zheng, J. Structure and Characteristic of β-Glucan in Cereal: A Review. J. Food Process. Preserv. 2014. [CrossRef]
- 14. De Arcangelis, E.; Djurle, S.; Andersson, A.A.; Marconi, E.; Messia, M.C.; Andersson, R. Structure analysis of β-glucan in barley and effects of wheat β-glucanase. *J. Cereal Sci.* **2019**, *85*, 175–181. [CrossRef]
- Taketa, S.; Yuo, T.; Tonooka, T.; Tsumuraya, Y.; Inagaki, Y.; Haruyama, N.; Larroque, O.; Jobling, S.A. Functional characterization of barley betaglucanless mutants demonstrates a unique role for CslF6 in (1,3;1,4)-β-D-glucan biosynthesis. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2011, 63, 381–392. [CrossRef]
- Hu, G.; Burton, C.; Hong, Z.; Jackson, E. A mutation of the cellulose-synthase-like (CslF6) gene in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) partially affects the β-glucan content in grains. *J. Cereal Sci.* 2014, *59*, 189–195. [CrossRef]
- Rayapuram, C.; Jensen, M.K.; Maiser, F.; Shanir, J.V.; Hornshoj, H.; Rung, J.H.; Gregersen, P.L.; Schweizer, P.; Collinge, D.B.; Lyngkjaer, M.F. Regulation of basal resistance by a powdery mildew-induced cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase in barley. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2012, *13*, 135–147. [CrossRef]
- 18. Ha, Y.-s.; Covert, S.F.; Momany, M. FsFKS1, the 1,3-b-glucan synthase from the caspofungin-resistant fungus *Fusarium solani*. *Eukaryot. Cell* **2006**, *5*, 1036–1042. [CrossRef]
- 19. Fincher, G.B.; Burton, R.A. Evolution and Development of Cell Walls in Cereal Grains. Front. Plant Sci. 2014, 5, 456. [CrossRef]
- Bernal, A.J.; Yoo, C.M.; Mutwil, M.; Jensen, J.K.; Hou, G.; Blaukopf, C.; Sorensen, I.; Blancaflor, E.B.; Scheller, H.V.; Willats, W.G. Functional analysis of the cellulose synthase-like genes CSLD1, CSLD2, and CSLD4 in tip-growing *Arabidopsis* cells. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, 148, 1238–1253. [CrossRef]
- Arioli, T.; Peng, L.; Betzner, A.S.; Burn, J.; Wittke, W.; Herth, W.; Camilleri, C.; Hofte, H.; Plazinski, J.; Birch, R.; et al. Molecular analysis of cellulose biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis. Science* 1998, 279, 717–720. [CrossRef]
- 22. Burton, R.; Gidley, M.; Fincher, G. Heterogeneity in the chemistry, structure and function of plant cell walls. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 2010, 6, 724–732. [CrossRef]
- 23. Scheible, W.R.; Pauly, M. Glycosyltransferases and cell wall biosynthesis: Novel players and insights. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 2004, 7, 285–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Burton, R.; Wilson, S.; Hrmova, M.; Harvey, A.; Shirley, N.; Medhurst, A.; Stone, B.; Newbigin, E.; Bacic, A.; Fincher, G. Cellulose synthase-like CslF genes mediate the synthesis of cell wall (1,3;1,4)-D-glucans. *Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci.* 2006, 311, 1940–1942. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Little, A.; Schwerdt, J.G.; Shirley, N.J.; Khor, S.F.; Neumann, K.; O'donovan, L.A.; Lahnstein, J.; Collins, H.M.; Henderson, M.; Fincher, G.B.; et al. Revised phylogeny of the cellulose synthase gene superfamily: Insights into cell wall evolution. *Plant Physiol.* 2018, 177, 1124–1141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Hazen, S.P.; Scott-Craig, J.S.; Walton, J.D. Cellulose synthase-like genes of rice. Plant Physiol. 2002, 128, 336–340. [CrossRef]
- 27. Somerville, C.; Bauer, S.; Brininstool, G.; Facette, M.; Hamann, T.; Milne, J.; Osborne, E.; Paredez, A.; Persson, S.; Raab, T.; et al. Toward a systems approach to understanding plant cell walls. *Science* **2004**, *306*, 2206–2211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Farrokhi, N.; Burton, R.; Brownfield, L.; Hrmova, M.; Wilson, S.; Bacic, A.; Fincher, G. Plant cell wall biosynthesis: Genetic, biochemical and functional genomics approaches to the identification of key genes. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2006, *4*, 145–167. [CrossRef]
- 29. Bain, M.; van de Meene, A.; Costa, R.; Doblin, M.S. Characterisation of Cellulose Synthase Like F6 (CslF6) Mutants Shows Altered Carbon Metabolism in beta-D-(1,3;1,4)-Glucan Deficient Grain in Brachypodium distachyon. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 602850. [CrossRef]
- Burton, R.; Jobling, S.; Harvey, A.; Shirley, N.; Mather, D.; Bacic, A.; Fincher, G. The Genetics and Transcriptional Profiles of the Cellulose Synthase-Like HvCslF Gene Family in Barley. *Plant Physiol.* 2008, 146, 1821–1833. [CrossRef]
- Dimitroff, G.; Little, A.; Lahnstein, J.; Schwerdt, J.G.; Srivastava, V.; Bulone, V.; Burton, R.A.; Fincher, G.B. (1,3;1,4)-beta-Glucan Biosynthesis by the CSLF6 Enzyme: Position and Flexibility of Catalytic Residues Influence Product Fine Structure. *Biochemistry* 2016, 55, 2054–2061. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Burton, R.; Collins, H.; Kibble, N.; Smith, J.; Shirley, N.; Jobling, S.; Henderson, M.; Singh, R.; Pettolino, F.; Wilson, S.; et al. Over-expression of specific HvCsIF cellulose synthase-like genes in transgenic barley increases the levels of cell wall (13;14)-beta-D-glucans and alters their fine structure. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2011, *9*, 117–135. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Doblin, M.S.; Pettolino, F.A.; Wilson, S.M.; Campbell, R.; Burton, R.A.; Fincher, G.B.; Newbigin, E.; Bacic, A. A barley *cellulose* synthase-like CSLH gene mediates (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucan synthesis in transgenic *Arabidopsis*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2009, 106, 5996–6001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Molina-Cano, J.L.; Moralejo, M.; Elia, M.; Munoz, P.; Russell, J.R.; Perez-Vendrell, A.M.; Ciudad, F.; Swanston, J.S. QTL analysis of a cross between European and North American malting barleys reveals a putative candidate gene for beta-glucan content on chromosome 1H. *Mol. Breed.* 2007, 19, 275–284. [CrossRef]
- 35. Tonooka, T.; Aoki, E.; Yoshioka, T.; Taketa, S. A novel mutant gene for (1-3,1-4)-β-D-glucanless grain on barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) chromosome 7H. *Breed. Sci.* **2009**, *59*, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- Nemeth, C.; Freeman, J.; Jones, H.D.; Sparks, C.; Pellny, T.K.; Wilkinson, M.D.; Dunwell, J.; Andersson, A.A.; Aman, P.; Guillon, F.; et al. Down-regulation of the CSLF6 gene results in decreased (1,3;1,4)-beta-D-glucan in endosperm of wheat. *Plant Physiol.* 2010, 152, 1209–1218. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Colasuonno, P.; Marcotuli, I.; Cutillo, S.; Simeone, R.; Blanco, A.; Gadaleta, A. Effect of barley chromosomes on the β-glucan content of wheat. *Genet. Resour. Crop Evol.* 2020, 67, 561–567. [CrossRef]
- 38. Islam, A.K.M.R.; Sheperd, K.W. Incorporation of barley chromosomes into wheat. In *Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry*; Bajaj, Y.P.S., Ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1990; Volume 13, pp. 128–151.
- Islam, A.; Shepherd, K.W.; Sparrow, D.H.B. Isolation and characterization of euplasmic wheat-barley chromosome addition lines. *Heredity* 1981, 46, 161–174. [CrossRef]
- Tang, J.; Ohyama, K.; Kawaura, K.; Hashinokuchi, H.; Kamiya, Y.; Suzuki, M.; Muranaka, T.; Ogihara, Y. A new insight into application for barley chromosome addition lines of common wheat: Achievement of stigmasterol accumulation. *Plant Physiol.* 2011, 157, 1555–1567. [CrossRef]
- Sharp, P.J.; Kreis, M.; Shewry, P.R.; Gale, M.D. Location of β-amylase sequences in wheat and its relatives. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 1988, 75, 286–290. [CrossRef]
- 42. Marcotuli, I.; Colasuonno, P.; Blanco, A.; Gadaleta, A. Expression analysis of cellulose synthase-like genes in durum wheat. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 15675. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Collins, H.M.; Burton, R.A.; Topping, D.L.; Liao, M.-L.; Bacic, A.; Fincher, G.B. Variability in Fine Structures of Noncellulosic Cell Wall Polysaccharides from Cereal Grains: Potential Importance in Human Health and Nutrition. *Cereal Chem.* 2010, 87, 272–282. [CrossRef]
- 44. Morgan, J.L.; Strumillo, J.; Zimmer, J. Crystallographic snapshot of cellulose synthesis and membrane translocation. *Nature* **2013**, 493, 181–186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Zimmer, C.M.; Oliveira, G.; Pacheco, M.T.; Federizzi, L.C. Characterization and absolute quantification of the Cellulose synthaselike F6 homoeologs in oats. *Euphytica* 2020, 216, 173. [CrossRef]
- 46. Li, Y.; Cheng, X.; Fu, Y.; Wu, Q.; Guo, Y.; Peng, J.; Zhang, W.; He, B. A genome-wide analysis of the cellulose synthase-like (Csl) gene family in maize. *Biol. Plant.* **2019**, *63*, 721–732. [CrossRef]
- 47. McFadden, E.R.; Sears, E.R. The origin of Triticum spelta and its free-threshing hexaploid relatives. *J. Hered.* **1946**, 37, 81–89. [CrossRef]
- Schwerdt, J.G.; MacKenzie, K.; Wright, F.; Oehme, D.; Wagner, J.M.; Harvey, A.J.; Shirley, N.J.; Burton, R.A.; Schreiber, M.; Halpin, C.; et al. Evolutionary Dynamics of the Cellulose Synthase Gene Superfamily in Grasses. *Plant Phy.* 2015 168, 968–983. [CrossRef]
- Schreiber, M.; Wright, F.; MacKenzie, K.; Hedley, P.; Schwerdt, J.; Little, A.; Burton, R.; Fincher, G.; Marshall, D.; Waugh, R.; et al. The barley genome sequence assembly reveals three additional members of the CslF (1,3;1,4)-beta-glucan synthase gene family. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e90888. [CrossRef]

- 50. Zhang, S.; Hu, H.; Cui, S.; Yan, L.; Wu, B.; Wei, S. Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of the cellulose synthase-like gene superfamily in common oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *Phytochemistry* **2024**, *218*, 113940. [CrossRef]
- 51. Huang, H.; Zhao, S.; Chen, J.; Li, T.; Guo, G.; Xu, M.; Liao, S.; Wang, R.; Lan, J.; Su, Y.; et al. Genome-wide identification and functional analysis of Cellulose synthase gene superfamily in Fragaria vesca. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 1044029. [CrossRef]
- 52. Xi, H.; Liu, J.; Li, Q.; Chen, X.; Liu, C.; Zhao, Y.; Yao, J.; Chen, D.; Si, J.; Liu, C.; et al. Genome-wide identification of Cellulose-like synthase D gene family in Dendrobium catenatum. *Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip.* **2021**, *35*, 1163–1176. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.