

Adherence to remote monitoring recommendations in current clinical practice: data from a remote monitoring database

M. Bertini¹; M. Giammaria²; D. Pecora³; C. Lavalle⁴; A. Salucci⁵; V. E. Santobuono⁶; F. Adamo⁷; P. Pepi⁸; G. Savarese⁹; M. Viscusi¹⁰; A. Santoro¹¹; E. Pisano¹²; F. Vitali¹; S. Valsecchi¹³; A. D'onofrio¹⁴

¹University Hospital Santa Anna, Ferrara, Italy

²“Martini-Maria Vittoria” Hospital - ASL Citta' di Torino, Turin, Italy

³Poliambulanza Foundation Hospital Institute of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

⁴Polyclinic Umberto I, Rome, Italy

⁵University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy

⁶Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro” – Policlinico di Bari, Bari, Italy

⁷G. B. GRASSI Hospital, Rome, Italy

⁸Hospital Carlo Poma, Mantova, Italy

⁹“San Giovanni Battista” Hospital, Foligno, Italy

¹⁰Hospital Sant'anna E San Sebastiano, Caserta, Italy

¹¹Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Senese, Siena, Italy

¹²Vito Fazzi Hospital, Lecce, Italy

¹³Boston Scientific, Milan, Italy

¹⁴AORN Ospedali dei Colli - Monaldi Hospital, Naples, Italy

Funding Acknowledgements: Type of funding sources: None.

Background: The recently published Expert Consensus Statement on the Practical Management of Remote Device Clinic offers evidence-based recommendations for managing patients with cardiovascular implantable electronic devices (CIEDs).

Purpose: We examined data from a remote monitoring (RM) database to evaluate adherence to RM recommendations in current clinical practice.

Methods: According to RM guidelines, patients should be enrolled within 2 weeks of implantation for earlier detection of actionable events, and in any case, within 3 months, as it is associated with improved survival. Continuous connectivity should be maintained. In patients with continuous connectivity, alert-based RM may replace structured intermittent device follow-up. We analyzed data from 4427 CIED patients followed on the LATITUDE (Boston Scientific) remote network at 20 Italian centers. Patients were enrolled between 2010 and 2023, with a median RM duration of 37 months (25th-75th percentile: 21-60).

Results: The number of patients enrolled at the centers significantly increased during the observation period (Figure). In the overall RM population, we identified a group of patients (56%) enrolled after implantation (within 6 months) and a group of patients enrolled late during their follow-up. In the first group, RM receiver activation occurred within 2 weeks in 62% and within 3 months in 90% of patients. The second group consisted mainly of patients not yet in RM at the time of COVID-19 outbreak and included to allow RM of all patients at the centers. Overall, 96% of enrolled patients performed remote data transmissions during the observation period. As of the July 2023 data extraction, 1405 (33%) patients were not monitored, with no significant differences among CIED types (CRT-D: 37%; ICD: 32%; S-ICD: 32; Pacemaker: 30%). Most not-monitored patients (30%) had not transmitted data for over a year, likely due to patient death, CIED extraction, or other irreversible causes. For the remaining 3%, the recent loss of connection (occurring within a timeframe shorter than the scheduled transmission interval) should have prompted interventions to restore connection. Scheduled device transmissions occurred at least once every 3 months in 94% of patients. Considering individual device programming, the 2836 patients with consistent connectivity performed 25,281 scheduled transmissions in one year.

Conclusions: In current clinical practice, RM adoption is continually increasing, with generally observed adherence to post-implantation patient enrollment recommendations. However, a significant proportion of patients may activate RM earlier. Centers must implement solutions to ensure transmission continuity in a substantial percentage of patients. Nevertheless, the workload generated by this activity could be effectively balanced by adopting an alert-based RM strategy and reducing unnecessary scheduled transmissions.

Enrolled patients trend

