



Editorial debate: Challenges an oncologist has to face during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic within a universal healthcare system

Camillo Porta 

To cite: Porta C. Editorial debate: Challenges an oncologist has to face during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic within a universal healthcare system. *ESMO Open* 2020;5:e000790. doi:10.1136/esmooopen-2020-000790

Received 15 April 2020
Accepted 20 April 2020

In these dramatic days of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, what is our role as oncologists in a country like Italy, characterised by a very high prevalence of the Covid-19 infection and high mortality rates, and a universal healthcare system?

The answer looks easy: continue to guarantee the best care to cancer patients in order to avoid leaving anyone behind.

True, but it's not as simple as it looks.

Our healthcare system is overwhelmed by the number of critically ill patients, by the need for beds and ventilators in our intensive care units (ICUs),¹ not to mention the very serious situation facing many physicians and nurses working in war-like conditions, becoming infected and sometimes dying from Covid-19.

Yet, almost no one is allowed to mention the word “triage” in the media; we simply cannot induce panic by suggesting that we are unable to continue treating all patients coming to the ICU the same way. It is hard to admit, but triage is still a cornerstone in the management of catastrophes such as the current pandemic, although it will always be an unresolved ethical issue.²

Should we do triage too, as oncologists, in our wards?

I think the answer is yes, although this is a tough decision for all of us, especially in a universal healthcare system like ours.

Right or wrong, too many cancer patients still receive treatments which won't have any impact on their survival^{3,4}; indeed, the survival gain of many later-line therapies is extremely limited, at best.³ Furthermore, we know that mortality within 30 days from chemotherapy is as high as 8.1%, even in a top European institution such as the Royal Marsden in London.⁵

However, many of us are used to administering relatively useful anticancer treatments,

because ultimately these treatments are reimbursed (at least in a country such as Italy); this is because patients often ask for further therapies (especially when not adequately informed about their prognosis), and because it is definitely easier to prescribe a new agent, instead of trying to explain that end-of-life care is sometimes the most ethical, although tough, choice to pursue.⁶

Now, in order to protect our patients from the unnecessary harm that may result from being admitted to hospital during a pandemic, as well as to preserve valuable resources for the management of Covid-19 patients, we should start revising this attitude.

After having consumed a very large amount of research resources, at the expense of other disciplines such as infectious diseases, it is now time to reconsider our role in medicine, and go back to the roots of a close and personal relationship with our patients. Furthermore, we should cease to consider patients as a source of economical income for our institutions, and instead do what is best for them and not what is economically convenient.

Twitter Camillo Porta @CPRT65

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; internally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, any changes made are indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/esmooopen-2020-000800>

© Author (s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. Published by BMJ on behalf of the European Society for Medical Oncology.

Dept. of Biomedical Sciences and Human Oncology, University of Bari 'A. Moro', Pavia, Italy

Correspondence to
Professor Camillo Porta;
camillo.porta@gmail.com

**ORCID iD**

Camillo Porta <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2412-1563>

REFERENCES

- 1 Remuzzi A, Remuzzi G. Covid-19 and Italy: what next? *Lancet* 2020;30627–9.
- 2 Ghanbari V, Ardalan A, Zareiyan A, *et al.* Ethical prioritization of patients during disaster triage: a systematic review of current evidence. *Int Emerg Nurs* 2019;43:126–32.
- 3 Davis C, Naci H, Gurpinar E, *et al.* Availability of evidence of benefits on overall survival and quality of life of cancer drugs approved by European Medicines Agency: retrospective cohort study of drug approvals 2009–13. *BMJ* 2017;359:j4530.
- 4 Cervantes A. Editorial debate: challenges we oncologists, working within a universal healthcare system, have to face in these hard times. *ESMO Open* 2020;5:XXXXXX.
- 5 O'Brien MER, Borthwick A, Rigg A, *et al.* Mortality within 30 days of chemotherapy: a clinical governance benchmarking issue for oncology patients. *Br J Cancer* 2006;95:1632–6.
- 6 Braga S. Why do our patients get chemotherapy until the end of life? *Ann Oncol* 2011;22:2345–8.