

Clinical and Experimental Medicine

Spatial distribution of mast cells around glands in human gastric carcinoma

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CLEM-D-16-00330R1	
Full Title:	Spatial distribution of mast cells around glands in human gastric carcinoma	
Article Type:	Original Article	
Keywords:	Angiogenesis, chymase, gastric cancer, mast cells, spatial distribution.	
Corresponding Author:	Domenico Ribatti, MD University of Bari Medical School Bari, ITALY	
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:		
Corresponding Author's Institution:	University of Bari Medical School	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:		
First Author:	Diego GUIDOLIN	
First Author Secondary Information:		
Order of Authors:	Diego GUIDOLIN	
	Simona RUGGIERI	
	Tiziana ANNESE	
	Cinzia TORTORELLA	
	Andrea MARZULLO	
	Domenico Ribatti, MD	
Order of Authors Secondary Information:		
Funding Information:	"Associazione Italiana Mastocitosi".	Dr. Domenico Ribatti
Abstract:	Angiogenesis, chymase, gastric cancer, mast cells, spatial distribution.	
Response to Reviewers:	<p>In reply to Reviewer #1:</p> <p>1) In the sections analyzed are other cells located nearby MCs and vessels? if so, these additional cells can exert an effect on the different phenotype of mast cells?</p> <p>There are no other inflammatory cells in perivascular position. This location is typical of mast cells.</p> <p>2) Are these MC stained for other pro-angiogenic mediators such as VEGF? In this case, any differences were observed in mast cells expressing VEGF between grade IV and grade II?</p> <p>We don't have stained the section with an anti-VEGF antibody. Nevertheless, other Authors have previously investigated this aspect and have demonstrated an higher VEGF expression in grade IV specimens as compared to grade II ones (References 4 and 9 of the Reference List and Nam et al., Cancer Res Treat 2002;34:41-5).</p> <p>3) For sake of completeness, I would suggest that the Authors add the following reference. Puxeddu I, Piliponsky AM, Bachelet I, Levi-Schaffer F. Mast cells in allergy and beyond. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2003 Dec;35(12):1601-7. Review.</p> <p>We have added this reference.</p> <p>In reply to Reviewer #2</p>	

	<p>English must be corrected, some spelling problems may be resolved.</p> <p>The Ms has been revised by an English native speaker.</p> <p>Lack of significant correlation is given by low number of cases but this fact does not affect the valuable methods which must be extensively used for the quantification of interrelation between mast cells, tumor blood vessels and tumor cells.</p> <p>As suggested also by the Reviewer, the low number of patients is justified by the complexity of the methods used to estimate the spatial distribution of the mast cells.</p>
<p>Suggested Reviewers:</p>	<p>Anca M. CIMPEAN University of Timisoara Medical School, ROMANIA anca.cimpean1972@yahoo.com She is an expert in this field.</p> <p>Valentin DJONOV University of Berne Medical School, SWITZERLAND valentin.djonov@ana.unibe.ch He is an expert in this field</p> <p>Sandra LIEKENS University of Lovanio Medical School, BELGIUM sandra.liekens@rega.kuleuven.be She is an expert in this field</p>

MS CLEM-D-16-00330-REVISED**Spatial distribution of mast cells around vessels and glands in human gastric carcinoma****Running head: Mast cells in gastric carcinoma**

Diego Guidolin ^a, Simona Ruggieri ^b, Tiziana Annese ^b, Cinzia Tortorella ^a, Andrea Marzullo ^c, Domenico Ribatti ^{b, d}

^a Department of Molecular Medicine, University of Padova Medical School, University of Padova, Italy.

^b Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neurosciences, and Sensory Organs, University of Bari Medical School, Bari, Italy

^c Department of Emergency and Transplantation, Pathology Section, University of Bari Medical School, Bari, Italy

^dNational Cancer Institute “Giovanni Paolo II”, Bari, Italy

Correspondence to:

Prof. Domenico RIBATTI

Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neurosciences, and Sensory Organs

University of Bari Medical School

Piazza Giulio Cesare, 11

70124 Bari, Italy

Phone: +39.080.5478326

Fax: +39.080.5478310

E mail: domenico.ribatti@uniba.it

Abstract.

1
2 The spatial distribution of mast cells inside the tumor stroma has been little
3
4 investigated. In this study, we have evaluated tumor mast cells (MCs) distribution in
5
6 gastric cancer through the analysis of the morphological features of the spatial
7
8 patterns generated by these cells, including size, shape, and architecture of the cell
9
10 pattern. The pattern of distribution of tryptase- and chymase-positive MCs around the
11
12 blood vessels and gastric glands in human gastric adenocarcinoma samples was
13
14 investigated by immunohistochemical techniques and by introducing a quantitative
15
16 approach to characterize the spatial distribution of MCs .In human gastric cancer both
17
18 chymase-positive MC and vessels exhibited significant deviations from randomness
19
20 for what it concerns their spatial relationship with gastric parenchyma. As indicated
21
22 by cell-to-gland distances shorter than expected by chance, in grade II samples a
23
24 preferential localization of chymase-positive MC near the gastric glands was
25
26 observed. Interestingly, the same type of spatial association was exhibited by vessels
27
28 in grade IV samples, where vessel-to-gland distances shorter than expected by chance
29
30 were observed. These two findings allow to speculate about a sequence of events in
31
32 which a subpopulation of MC is first recruited around gastric parenchyma to drive the
33
34 subsequent development of a vascular support to the tissue.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Key Words.** Angiogenesis, chymase, gastric glands, gastric cancer, mast cells, spatial
48 distribution; vessels.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1. Introduction

Increased vascularity is associated with haematogenous and lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis of gastric cancer [1-7]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), fibroblast growth factor-2 (FGF-2), and platelet derived endothelial cell growth factor (PD-ECGF) expression correlate with tumor stage [8, 9, 4]. Moreover, VEGF receptors 1 and 2 (VEGFR-1 and VEGFR-2) are expressed in gastric cancer and their overexpression is associated with angiogenesis and metastases to distant organs [10, 11].

Mast cells (MCs) accumulate in the stroma surrounding tumors, where they secrete angiogenic cytokines and proteases [12]. We have previously demonstrated that MC density correlates with angiogenesis and progression of patients with gastric carcinoma [13]. More recently, Ammendola et al. [14] have shown that tryptase-positive MCs and c-kit receptor expressing cells correlate with angiogenesis and lymph node metastasis in gastric cancer.

The aim of this study was to further contribute to the knowledge of tumor MC distribution, investigating the pattern of distribution of tryptase- and chymase-positive MCs around the blood vessels and gastric glands in human gastric adenocarcinoma samples by introducing a quantitative approach to characterize their spatial distribution.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bioptic specimens. Specimens of primary gastric adenocarcinomas were obtained from 17 patients who had undergone curative gastrectomy. None of these patients received preoperative treatment such as radiation and chemotherapy.

1 According to histological stage, eight had stage II disease, and nine had stage IV
2 disease. Tissue samples were fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin according to
3 standard procedures. Four μm -thick sections were cut and mounted on glass slides.
4
5 Full ethical approval and signed informed consent from individual patients were
6
7 obtained to conduct the study. All procedures followed were in accordance with the
8
9 ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation
10
11 (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and later
12
13 versions.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **2.2. Immunohistochemistry** .Three murine monoclonal antibodies (MAb) against the
20
21 endothelial cell marker CD31 (MAb 1A10; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and against
22
23 MC markers tryptase and chymase (Mab AA1, Dako, and, respectively, MabCC1,
24
25 Novocastra Laboratories Ltd, Newcastle, UK) were used in this study. Briefly,
26
27 sections were collected on 3-amino-propyltriethoxysilane coated slides,
28
29 deparaffinized by the xylene ethanol sequence, rehydrated in a graded ethanol scale
30
31 and in Tris-buffered saline (TBS, pH 7.6) and incubated overnight at 4°C with the
32
33 MAbs (1:25 in TBS), after prior antigen retrieval by enzymatic digestion with Ficin
34
35 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) for 30 min at room temperature. The immunodetection
36
37 was performed with alkaline phosphatase anti-alkaline phosphatase (APAAP, Dako)
38
39 and Fast Red as chromogen, followed by haematoxylin counterstaining. A preimmune
40
41 serum (Dako) replacing the primary antibody served as negative control.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **2.3. Image analysis methods.** Computer-assisted image analysis was performed to
50
51 evaluate the area density of CD31-, tryptase- and chymase-positive regions in the
52
53 tissue samples. The image analysis system included a light microscope (DM-R; Leica
54
55 Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and a high-resolution digital camera (DC200;
56
57 Leica Microsystems) transmitting image data to a PC equipped with appropriate
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 software for image acquisition and analysis (QWin; Leica Microsystems, Cambridge,
2 UK). The images of four 200 x magnifications random fields for each of three
3 sections per sample were then acquired, processed to correct shading and enhance the
4 contrast and stored as TIFF files. Images were analyzed according to a previously
5 detailed procedure [15]. Briefly, specifically immunostained structures were
6 identified by selecting the pixels with color hue in a specified yellow orange range (to
7 exclude all the blue haematoxylin stained nuclei) and brightness lower than the mean
8 brightness level exhibited by the negative control sections minus three standard
9 deviations (thus excluding the unspecific staining). The total area of the identified
10 structures was then measured and expressed as percentage of the total area of the
11 analyzed field.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 Computer-assisted image analysis was performed also to characterize the distribution
27 of MCs around vessels and gland profiles. At a primary magnification of 20 x five
28 randomly chosen fields per section were selected and their images acquired in full
29 colors (RGB, 24-bit), processed to correct shading, then filed TIFF. All the image
30 analysis procedures were performed by using the ImageJ software , freely available at
31 <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/>. Color deconvolution was first applied to allow the
32 identification of immunopositive structures. This procedure implements stain
33 separation according to the method by Ruifrok and Johnston [16] and was performed
34 by using an ImageJ plugin specifically developed by Gabriel Landini (see
35 <http://www.mecourse.com/landinig/software/software.html>). As shown in Fig 1A, this
36 procedure leads to the generation of two images containing haematoxylin- and DAB-
37 stained structures respectively. From the latter one, immunopositive profiles can then
38 be easily discriminated by conventional thresholding methods and the total amount of
39 immunoreactivity was evaluated by estimating the percent of periglandular tissue area
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 (Area%) occupied by positive structures. When applied to the images from tryptase-
2 or chymase-stained sections this procedure also allows a direct discrimination of MC
3 profiles and the evaluation of their positions (i.e. x, y-coordinates of the gravity
4 centers). As far as CD31-positive patterns are concerned, a reliable discrimination of
5 single vessel profiles cannot be achieved. Thus, the abovementioned analysis was
6 applied to a sub sample binary image of the cell patterns (Fig. 1 B). The sub sampling
7 was obtained by extracting from the binary image of each pattern the set of pixels
8 corresponding to the points of a superimposed regular grid [17]. The x, y-coordinates
9 of the gravity centers of the selected points were then recorded. Further processing of
10 the obtained data was then applied to characterize the following morphological
11 features of the tissue samples:
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **2.4. Distances between MC or vessels and gastric glands.** To estimate the distance
30 of each MC profile from the glands, a binary image of the glands was obtained by
31 interactively tracing their profiles and further processed to calculate its ‘distance
32 transform’ [18]. This algorithm provides a map where each background pixel is
33 labelled (Fig. 2) with a value equal to its distance from the nearest pixel belonging to
34 a gland profile. The distance from glands of each cell profile was then evaluated by
35 the value the map exhibited at the location corresponding to the x, y-coordinates of
36 the cell profile. Around the observed set of gland profiles, 10 random (Poisson) point
37 patterns were finally computer generated. Each pattern had a number of points equal
38 to the number of observed MC profiles. They underwent the previously described
39 analysis in order to provide Monte Carlo estimates [19] of the distances from vessels
40 in the case of complete spatial randomness (CSR). The same procedure was also
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 applied to the representative points of CD31-positive patterns to estimate distances
2 between vessels and glands.
3
4
5
6

7 **2.5. Architecture of the MC or vessel patterns.** To describe the spatial distribution
8 of the MC within the cell pattern a uniformity index (UI) was estimated according to a
9 previously described procedure [17], schematically illustrated in Fig. 3. The same
10 procedure was also applied to the representative points of CD31-positive patterns to
11 evaluate their overall architecture. UI can assume any value between 1 (when the
12 objects are distributed in a regular array) and 0 (when maximal clustering occurs).
13
14 The value the parameter assumes when a random (Poisson) spatial distribution of
15 profiles occurs was estimated on the abovementioned computer generated random
16 patterns.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 **2.6. Statistics.** Samples were grouped according to the applied staining and within
32 each sample Area% and UI values were averaged to provide representative values for
33 that sample. Differences between grade II and grade IV groups were then tested by
34 unpaired Student's t-test. Paired Student's t-test was instead applied to statistically
35 identify differences between the observed UI values and those estimated on the
36 corresponding computer generated random point patterns. The GraphPad Prism 3.0
37 statistical package (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego CA, USA) was used for the
38 analysis and $p \leq 0.05$ was considered as the limit for statistical significance. For each
39 group, the cumulative frequency distribution [G(d)] of all the observed profile-to-
40 gland distances was calculated. Its expected value under CSR [G₀(d)] was estimated
41 by averaging the cumulative frequency distributions of the distances from glands
42 obtained from the 10 simulated random point patterns. To interpret the profile-to-
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 gland spatial relationship statistically, the 95% confidence envelope for $G_0(d)$ was
2 also calculated from the Monte Carlo simulations [20]. The null hypothesis is that
3 there is no difference between the two functions, i.e. $G(d) = G_0(d)$ for all d . Thus, if
4 $G(d)$ is greater than the confidence envelope around $G_0(d)$, then the profiles are
5 clustered around the glands, i.e. they are closer to the glands than expected by chance.
6
7 If $G(d)$ is lower than the envelope around $G_0(d)$, then short profile-to-gland distances
8 are less frequent than expected by chance, i.e. the placement of the investigated
9 structures close to the glands was 'inhibited' [21].
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 3. Results

23
24
25
26 CD31-positive blood vessels and tryptase- and chymase-positive MCs are more
27 numerous in bioptic specimens of stage IV gastric cancer as compared with stage II
28 (Fig. 4). Moreover, the number of chymase-positive was significantly lower than the
29 number of tryptase-positive MCs. This morphological observation was confirmed by
30 morphometric evaluation, as shown in Fig. 5. The percent area covered by CD31-
31 positive structures or by MCs was significantly higher in the grade IV group than in
32 the grade II samples. Despite the significant differences in size of the CD31-
33 chymase- and tryptase-positive patterns in the two analyzed conditions, no significant
34 differences were detected between grade II and grade IV samples in the parameter UI
35 (Fig. 6) describing the spatial relationships between the elements forming each
36 pattern. Moreover, no significant difference was identified when the experimental
37 patterns were compared to the corresponding computer-generated random point
38 patterns, suggesting for vessels and MC populations a spatial architecture consistent
39 with a random spatial distribution of the elements within the pattern. As far as the
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 spatial relationship between MC or vessels and gastric glands was considered, no
2 significant spatial association between tryptase-positive cells and glands was observed
3
4 in both grade II and grade IV groups. However, as shown in Fig. 7, in grade II
5
6 samples chymase-positive cells appeared clumped around gastric glands as indicated
7
8 by an amount of short cell-to-gland distances significantly higher than expected
9
10 under complete spatial randomness, while CD31-positive structures didn't show any
11
12 significant spatial association with gastric glands. In grade IV samples, on the
13
14 contrary, vessels appeared significantly associated with gastric glands, being
15
16 preferentially located at distances of about 35-50 μm with a frequency significantly
17
18 higher than expected by chance.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **4. Discussion**

28
29 **MCs** represent a cell population widely distributed in connective tissue and several
30
31 studies indicate a mutual spatial and functional relationship between **MCs** and
32
33 vascular endothelial cells. **MCs** have been implicated in the regulation of
34
35 physiological and pathological examples of angiogenesis including wound healing
36
37 [22], ovulation [23], and chronic inflammation [24]. Of significant interest is the
38
39 increasing evidence of the involvement of **MCs** in the angiogenic processes occurring
40
41 in vascular tumors, like hemangioma and hemangioblastoma [25, 26], as well as in a
42
43 number of hematological and solid tumors, including lymphomas [27-29], multiple
44
45 myeloma [30], myelodysplastic syndrome [31], B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia
46
47 [32], breast cancer [33, 34], colon-rectal cancer [35], uterine and cervix cancer [36-
48
49 38], melanoma [39-41], and pulmonary adenocarcinoma [42], in which MC
50
51 accumulation correlates with increased neovascularization, VEGF and FGF-2
52
53 expression, tumor aggressiveness, and poor prognosis [43, 44].
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 In the present study we have further expanded the investigation by analyzing the
2 spatial distributions of MCs and vessels in gastric cancer, their relationship with
3
4 gastric parenchyma and the changes they undergo as far as the pathology gets worse.

5
6 The approach here followed derived from spatial statistics [45, 46] and was based on
7
8 the statistical analysis of the distribution of the distances of MCs and vessels from
9
10 gastric glands with the aim to objectively establish whether MCs and vessels
11
12 displayed any kind of spatial association with gastric parenchyma. Such an analysis
13
14 involved the comparison of the observed distribution of MC/vessels-to-gland
15
16 distances with the one corresponding to the case of complete spatial randomness, i.e.,
17
18 in which the analyzed structures are distributed randomly in the stromal compartment.

19
20 In order to get an insight on possible changes the studied relationship can have as far
21
22 as the pathology progresses, samples from pathological tissues at different stages of
23
24 the disease were considered.

25
26 The results of the study indicated that in human gastric cancer both chymase-positive
27
28 MCs and vessels exhibited significant deviations from randomness for what it
29
30 concerns their spatial relationship with gastric parenchyma. As indicated by cell-to-
31
32 gland distances shorter than expected by chance, in grade II samples a preferential
33
34 localization of chymase-positive MCs near the gastric glands was observed.
35
36 Interestingly, the same type of spatial association was exhibited by vessels in grade
37
38 IV samples, where vessel-to-gland distances shorter than expected by chance were
39
40 observed.

41
42 These two findings allow to speculate about a sequence of events in which a
43
44 subpopulation of MC is first recruited around gastric parenchyma to drive the
45
46 subsequent development of a vascular support to the tissue. Although more specific
47
48 and direct experimental investigations would be needed, this hypothesis shows
49
50

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 consistency with the well documented relationship between MC and angiogenesis in
2 tumors, where they preferentially accumulate at the periphery of the tumor, within the
3 surrounding connective tissue [47]. The fact that **MCs** contribute to the induction of
4 tumor angiogenesis has been demonstrated in studies on MC-deficient mice, which
5 display slow angiogenesis and its restoration after local reconstitution of **MCs** [48].
6
7 **MCs** contain many angiogenic factors and a variety of cytokines [49], such as
8 transforming growth factor-beta, tumor necrosis factor-alpha [50], interleukin-8 [51],
9 FGF-2 [52] and VEGF [53], implicated in normal as well as tumor-associated neo-
10 angiogenesis. Of particular interest for the present discussion are evidences
11 suggesting chymase as a major factor in MC-mediated angiogenesis. In the hamster
12 sponge-implant model it induced formation of granulomas and angiogenesis in a time-
13 and dose-dependent manner [54]. Furthermore, this angiogenic response was inhibited
14 by chymase inhibitors including chymostatin and trypsin inhibitors, but not by a
15 tryptase inhibitor like leupeptin [54]. The angiogenic activity of this protease stored in
16 MC granules was also confirmed *in vivo* in chick embryo chorioallantoic membrane
17 **assay** [55].
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Ethical Approval and Informed Consent.** All procedures followed were in
43 accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human
44 experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964
45 and later versions. Informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included
46 in the study.
47
48
49
50
51
52

53
54
55
56
57 **Competing interests.** Conflict of interest: None.
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3 **Acknowledgement.** This work has been supported by the “Associazione Italiana
4
5 Mastocitosi”.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5. References

1. Ammendola M, Sacco R, Donato G, et al. Mast Cell Positivity to Tryptase Correlates with Metastatic Lymph Nodes in Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients Treated Surgically. *Oncology*. 2013;85:111-6.
2. Araya M, Terashima M, Takagane A, et al. Microvessel count predicts metastasis and prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. *J Surg Oncol*. 1997;65:232-6.
3. Maeda K, Chung YS, Takatsuka S, et al. Tumour angiogenesis and tumour cell proliferation as prognostic indicators in gastric carcinoma. *Brit J Cancer*. 1995;72:319-23.
4. Takahashi Y, Cleary KR, Mai M, Kitadai Y, Bucana CD, Ellis LM. Significance of vessel count and vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptor (KDR) in intestinal-type gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 1996;2:1679-84.
5. Tanigawa N, Amaya H, Matsumura M, Shimomatsuya T. Association of tumour vasculature with tumour progression and overall survival of patients with non-early gastric carcinomas. *Brit J Cancer*. 1997;75:566-71.
6. Tanigawa N, Amaya H, Matsumura M, Shimomatsuya T. Correlation between expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and tumor vascularity, and patient outcome in human gastric carcinoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 1997;15:826-32.
7. Tanigawa N, Amaya H, Matsumura M, et al. Extent of tumor vascularization correlates with prognosis and hematogenous metastasis in gastric carcinomas. *Cancer Res*. 1996;56:2671-6.
8. Maeda K, Chung Y-s, Ogawa Y, et al. Prognostic value of vascular endothelial growth factor expression in gastric carcinoma. *Cancer*. 1996;77:858-63.
9. Takahashi Y, Bucana CD, Akagi Y, et al. Significance of platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor in the angiogenesis of human gastric cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 1998;4:429-34.
10. Maehara Y, Kabashima A, Koga T, et al. Vascular invasion and potential for tumor angiogenesis and metastasis in gastric carcinoma. *Surgery*. 2000;128:408-16.
11. Zhang H, Wu J, Meng L, Shou CC. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors KDR and Flt-1 in gastric cancer cells. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2002;8:994-8.
12. Ribatti D, Crivellato E. *Mast Cells and Tumours*. Springer Science + Business Media; 2011.
13. Ribatti D, Guidolin D, Marzullo A, et al. Mast cells and angiogenesis in gastric carcinoma. *Int J Exp Pathol*. 2010;91:350-6.
14. Ammendola M, Sacco R, Sammarco G, et al. Mast Cells Positive to Tryptase and c-Kit Receptor Expressing Cells Correlates with Angiogenesis in Gastric Cancer Patients Surgically Treated. *Gastroenterol Res Pract*. 2013;2013:1-5.
15. Guidolin D, Zunarelli E, Genedani S, et al. Opposite patterns of age-associated changes in neurons and glial cells of the thalamus of human brain. *Neurobiol of Aging*. 2008;29:926-36.
16. Ruifrok AC, Katz RL, Johnston DA. Comparison of Quantification of Histochemical Staining By Hue-Saturation-Intensity (HSI) Transformation and Color-Deconvolution. *Appl Immunohisto M M*. 2003;11:85-91.
17. Guidolin D, Marinaccio C, Tortorella C, et al. A fractal analysis of the spatial distribution of tumoral mast cells in lymph nodes and bone marrow. *Exp Cell Res*. 2015;339:96-102.

- 1 18. R J. The Image Processing Handbook (6th ed.). Boca Raton, FL: Taylor &
2 Francis. 2011.
- 3 19. Besag J, Diggle PJ. Simple Monte Carlo Tests for Spatial Pattern. Appl Stat.
4 1977;26:327.
- 5 20. Gatrell AC, Bailey TC, Diggle PJ, Rowlingson BS. Spatial Point Pattern Analysis
6 and Its Application in Geographical Epidemiology. T I Brit Geogr. 1996;21:256.
- 7 21. Philimonenko AA, Janáček J, Hozák P. Statistical Evaluation of Colocalization
8 Patterns in Immunogold Labeling Experiments. J Struct Biol. 2000;132:201-10.
- 9 22. Trabucchi E, Radaelli E, Marazzi M et al. The role of mast cells in wound healing.
10 Int J Tissue React. 1988;10:367-72.
- 11 23. Krishna A, Beesley K, Terranova PF. Histamine, mast cells and ovarian function.
12 J Endocrinol. 1989;120:363-71.
- 13 24. Yamada T, Sawatsubashi M, Itoh Y, et al. Localization of vascular endothelial
14 growth factor in synovial membrane mast cells: examination with "multi-
15 labelling subtraction immunostaining". Virchows Arch. 1998;433:567-70.
- 16 25. Glowacki J, Mulliken JB. Mast cells in hemangiomas and vascular malformations.
17 Pediatrics. 1982;70:48-51.
- 18 26. Qu Z, Kayton RJ, Ahmadi P, et al. Ultrastructural Immunolocalization of Basic
19 Fibroblast Growth Factor in Mast Cell Secretory Granules: Morphological
20 Evidence for bFGF Release Through Degranulation. J Histochem Cytochem.
21 1998;46:1119-28.
- 22 27. Marinaccio C, Ingravallo G, Gaudio F, et al. Microvascular density, CD68 and
23 tryptase expression in human Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. Leukemia Res.
24 2014;38:1374-7.
- 25 28. Ribatti D, Nico B, Vacca A, et al. Do mast cells help to induce angiogenesis in B-
26 cell non-Hodgkin's lymphomas? Brit J Cancer. 1998;77:1900-6.
- 27 29. Ribatti D, Vacca A, Marzullo A, et al. Angiogenesis and mast cell density with
28 tryptase activity increase simultaneously with pathological progression in B-cell
29 non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Int J Cancer. 2000;85:171-5.
- 30 30. Ribatti D, Vacca A, Nico B, et al. Bone marrow angiogenesis and mast cell
31 density increase simultaneously with progression of human multiple myeloma.
32 Brit J Cancer. 1999;79:451-5.
- 33 31. Ribatti D, Polimeno G, Vacca A, et al. Correlation of bone marrow angiogenesis
34 and mast cells with tryptase activity in myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia.
35 2002;16:1680-4.
- 36 32. Molica S, Vacca A, Crivellato E, Cuneo A, Ribatti D. Tryptase-positive mast cells
37 predict clinical outcome of patients with early B-cell chronic lymphocytic
38 leukemia. Eur J Haematol. 2003;71:137-9.
- 39 33. Bowrey PF, King J, Magarey C, et al. Histamine, mast cells and tumour cell
40 proliferation in breast cancer: does preoperative cimetidine administration have
41 an effect? Br J Cancer. 2000;82:167-70.
- 42 34. Hartveit F. Mast cells and metachromasia in human breast cancer: Their
43 occurrence, significance and consequence: A preliminary report. J Pathol.
44 1981;134:7-11.
- 45 35. Lachter J, Stein M, Lichtig C, Eidelman S, Munichor M. Mast cells in colorectal
46 neoplasias and premalignant disorders. Dis Colon Rectum. 1995;38:290-3.
- 47 36. Benitez-Briebesca L, Wong A, Utrera D, Castellanos E. The Role of Mast Cell
48 Tryptase in Neoangiogenesis of Premalignant and Malignant Lesions of the
49 Uterine Cervix. J histochem cytochem. 2001;49:1061-2.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1 37. Guidolin D, Marinaccio C, Tortorella C, et al. Non-random spatial relationships
2 between mast cells and microvessels in human endometrial carcinoma. *Clinical*
3 *Exp Med*. 2016.
- 4 38. Ribatti D, Finato N, Crivellato E, et al. Neovascularization and mast cells with
5 tryptase activity increase simultaneously with pathologic progression in human
6 endometrial cancer. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;193:1961-5.
- 7 39. Dvorak AM, Mihm MC, Osage JE, Dvorak HF. Melanoma. An Ultrastructural
8 Study of the Host Inflammatory and Vascular Responses. *J Invest Dermatol*.
9 1980;75:388-93.
- 10 40. Reed JA, McNutt NS, Bogdany JK, Albino AP. Expression of the mast cell
11 growth factor interleukin-3 in melanocytic lesions correlates with an increased
12 number of mast cells in the perilesional stroma: implications for melanoma
13 progression. *J Cutan Pathol*. 2006;23:495-505.
- 14 41. Ribatti D, Vacca A, Ria R, et al. Neovascularisation, expression of fibroblast
15 growth factor-2, and mast cells with tryptase activity increase simultaneously
16 with pathological progression in human malignant melanoma. *Eur J Cancer*.
17 2003;39:666-74.
- 18 42. Ullah E, Nagi A, Lail R. Angiogenesis and mast cell density in invasive
19 pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *J Canc Res Ther*. 2012;8:537.
- 20 43. Ribatti D, Ennas MG, Vacca A, et al. Tumor vascularity and tryptase-positive
21 mast cells correlate with a poor prognosis in melanoma. *Eur J Clin Invest*.
22 2003;33:420-5.
- 23 44. Toth-Jakatics R, Jimi S, Takebayashi S, Kawamoto N. Cutaneous malignant
24 melanoma: correlation between neovascularization and peritumor accumulation
25 of mast cells overexpressing vascular endothelial growth factor. *Hum Pathol*.
26 2000;31:955-60.
- 27 45. Diggle PJ BJ, Gleaves T. *Statistical Analysis of Spatial Point Patterns*. Academic
28 Press, London. 1976:1983:p. 354.
- 29 46. R B. Tests for randomness for spatial point patterns. *J R Statist Soc*.
30 1979;B41:368-74.
- 31 47. Ribatti D, Finato N, Crivellato E, et al. Angiogenesis and mast cells in human
32 breast cancer sentinel lymph nodes with and without micrometastases.
33 *Histopathology*. 2007;51:837-42.
- 34 48. Starkey JR, Crowle PK, Taubenberger S. Mast-cell-deficient W/W^v mice exhibit
35 A decreased rate of tumor angiogenesis. *Int J Cancer*. 1988;42:48-52.
- 36 49. Puxeddu I, Piliponsky AM, Bachelet I, Levi-Schaffer F. Mast cells in allergy and
37 beyond. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 2003;35:1601-1607.
- 38 50. Norrby K. Oral administration of a nitric oxide synthase inhibitor enhances de
39 novo mammalian angiogenesis mediated by TNF-alpha, saline and mast-cell
40 secretion. *Acta Pathol Mic Sc*. 2000;108:496-502.
- 41 51. Grutzkau A, Kruger-Krasagakes S, Kogel H, Moller A, Lippert U, Henz BM.
42 Detection of Intracellular Interleukin-8 in Human Mast Cells: Flow Cytometry as
43 a Guide for Immunoelectron Microscopy. *J histochem cytochem*. 1997;45:935-
44 45.
- 45 52. Qu Z, Liebler JM, Powers MR, et al. Mast cells are a major source of basic
46 fibroblast growth factor in chronic inflammation and cutaneous hemangioma. *Am*
47 *J Pathol*. 1995;147:564-73.
- 48 53. Grutzkau A, Kruger-Krasagakes S, Baumeister H, et al. Synthesis, Storage, and
49 Release of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor/Vascular Permeability Factor
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

(VEGF/VPF) by Human Mast Cells: Implications for the Biological Significance of VEGF206. *Mol Biol Cell*. 1998;9:875-84.

- 1
2
3 54. Muramatsu M, Katada J, Hattori M, Hayashi I, Majima M. Chymase mediates
4 mast cell-induced angiogenesis in hamster sponge granulomas. *Eur J Pharmacol*.
5 2000;402:181-91.
6 55. Ribatti D, Ranieri G, Nico B, Benagiano V, Crivellato E. Tryptase and chymase
7 are angiogenic in vivo in the chorioallantoic membrane assay. *Int J Dev Biol*.
8 2011;55:99-102.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Legend to Figures

1
2
3 **Figure 1: A.** Full color image from a sample visualizing a haematoxylin-
4 counterstained CD31-positive pattern (left panel). By applying a color deconvolution
5 procedure (see text), the two stains can be efficiently separated (right panel). **B.** From
6 the DAB stained component the CD31-positive structures can be easily discriminated.
7 They are shown together with the regular grid used to subsample the binary image of
8 the CD31-positive patterns (left panel). The result of the **sub sampling** procedure
9 (right panel) is a set of representative points to be used for the analysis of the spatial
10 distribution of the pattern (see text).
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Figure 2: A.** Field image showing tryptase-positive **MCs** (brown) and gland profiles
25 outlined in yellow. **B.** Distance transform of the image shown in A: periglandular
26 pixels are labeled according to their distance from the nearest gland profile boundary
27 as indicated by the color-coded map. **C.** The locations of the tryptase-positive cell
28 profiles are shown. The distance of each cell profile from the glands can be estimated
29 by the value of the distance map at the point where the cell profile is located (i.e. at its
30 x, y-coordinates). **D.** A random (Poisson) pattern with the same number of points as
31 the cell pattern in **C** computer-generated in the same tissue area (see text).
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Figure 3.** Schematic illustration of the procedures used to estimate the ‘uniformity
47 index’ used to describe the architecture of an immunopositive pattern. A tryptase-
48 positive cell pattern is shown in **A**. It contains N cells unevenly spaced. Their
49 positions within the periglandular tissue (outlined in blue) are shown in **B**. If they
50 were evenly spaced (regular array of profiles) the distance (d) between their gravity
51 centers would be given by the square root of the ratio $Periglandular\ tissueArea/N$.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Thus, when a dilation of size $(d/2-1)$ is applied to the gravity centers of the cells in the uneven pattern in **B**, some of the points will merge together and the number of objects after dilation will be $N' < N$. They are shown in **C**. The index of uniformity is defined as $UI = N'/N$ [15].

Figure 4. Immunohistochemical staining for CD31, tryptase and chymase in stage II (a–c) and stage IV (d–f) human gastric cancer. In (a, d) endothelial cells immunoreactive for CD31; in (b, e) tryptase-positive mast cells; in (c, f) chymase-positive mast cells. Blood vessels and mast cells are distributed around the gastric glands. Original magnification: a–f, x 200.

Figure 5. The amount of periglandular space occupied by CD31-, chymase- and tryptase-positive structures increases from grade II to grade IV gastric cancer. Values are mean \pm sem. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$ (two-samples Student's t-test).

Figure 6: Uniformity index values (mean \pm sem) of the analyzed immunoreactivity pattern in grade II and grade IV samples. The parameter estimates the degree of spatial uniformity of a pattern (see text) increasing from 0 (maximal clustering) to 1 (regular array of elements). The white column shows the mean UI value estimated on the computer-generated random (Poisson) point patterns.

Figure 7. Analysis of the spatial relationship between chymase-positive MCs (upper panel) or microvessels (lower panel) and gastric glands. Solid lines indicate the difference between the observed distribution of structure-to-gland distances $[G(d)]$ and the estimated distribution $[G_0(d)]$ under complete spatial randomness (CSR).

1 Dotted lines indicate the 95% confidence envelope for CSR. In grade II gastric cancer
2 samples there is a spatial association between chymase-positive **MCs** and glands as
3 indicated by a frequency of short cell-to-vessel distances significantly higher than
4 expected by chance (grey area). A significant spatial association between
5 microvessels and glands was found in grade IV samples.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65













