ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Biofertilisation with a consortium of growth-promoting bacterial strains improves the nutritional status of wheat grain under control, drought, and salinity stress conditions

Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi¹ | Hamada AbdElgawad^{2,3} | Erik Verbruggen⁴ | Shereen Magdy Korany⁵ | Emad A. Alsherif^{3,6} | Gerrit T. S. Beemster² | Carmine Crecchio¹

¹Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy

²Integrated Molecular Plant Physiology Research (IMPRES), Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

³Department of Botany and Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Beni-Suef University, Beni-Suef, Egypt

⁴Plants and Ecosystems Research Group, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1C, Wilrijk, Belgium

⁵Department of Biology, College of Science, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

⁶Biology Department, College of Science and Arts at Khulis, University of Jeddah, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence

Carmine Crecchio, Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy. Email: carmine.crecchio@uniba.it

Funding information

EMBO Scientific Exchange Grants, Grant/Award Number: SEG9103; Researchers Supporting Project, Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, Grant/Award Number: PNURSP2022R214

INTRODUCTION

Edited by R.M. Rivero

1 |

Abstract

We investigated the effect of plant growth-promoting bacterial strains (PGPB) as biofertilisers on the grain metabolic composition of durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.). To this aim, we conducted a greenhouse experiment where we grew durum wheat plants supplied with a biofertiliser consortium of four PGPB and/or chemical fertiliser (containing nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and zinc), under non-stress, drought (at 40% field capacity), or salinity (150 mM NaCl) conditions. Nutrient accumulations in the grain were increased in plants treated with the biofertiliser consortium, alone or with a half dose of chemical fertilisers, compared to those in no fertilisation treatment. A clear benefit of biofertiliser application in the improvement of protein, soluble sugar, starch, and lipid contents in the grains was observed in comparison with untreated controls, especially under stress conditions. The most striking observation was the absence of significant differences between biofertiliser and chemical fertiliser treatments for most parameters. Moreover, the overall response to the biofertiliser consortium was accompanied by greater changes in amino acids, organic acids, and fatty acid profiles. In conclusion, PGPB improved the metabolic and nutrient status of durum wheat grains to a similar extent as chemical fertilisers, particularly under stress conditions, demonstrating the value of PGPB as a sustainable fertilisation treatment.

Durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) is one of the most widespread crops in the Mediterranean basin, where it often suffers from climateinduced environmental stress (Cramer et al., 2018). In Italy, the second-largest producer of durum wheat, this challenge has always been associated with increasing environmental and economic risks due to the increased consumption of chemical fertilisers (Gazzani, 2021). In 2020, 2.09 million tons of chemical fertilisers were used in Italy, a slight increase of 5.7% since 2015 (ISTAT, 2021). Increased demand for agrochemicals has played a significant role in the upward movement in their negative impacts on the environment (e.g., land degradation and ecosystem deterioration), water (e.g., degradation of surface water and groundwater), and food product quality (e.g., accumulation of harmful substances) (Paladino et al., 2020). However, during this period, the consumption of

chemical-free fertilisers has increased by 54%, but this amount is still small compared to chemical fertilisers (ISTAT, 2021).

iologia Plantaru

Among the alternative fertilisation approaches for sustainable development, the use of plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), as biostimulants is becoming a more widely accepted technique for improving agricultural productivity and plant stress tolerance (Bakhshandeh et al., 2020; Saberi Riseh et al., 2021). Recent evidence suggests that various pathways are activated by these beneficial bacteria, producing growth regulators (Khan, 2021), inducing the solubilisation of insoluble minerals and biological fixation of nitrogen (Pii et al., 2016), improving antagonistic potential against phytopathogens (Wang et al., 2021), stimulating the plant antioxidant defence system (Ha-Tran et al., 2021), and improving plant tolerance to heavy metal stress (AbdElgawad et al., 2021a, 2021b).

In recent years, many attempts have been made to investigate the bio-fertilisation, bio-protection, and bio-remediation aspects of PGPBs (Crecchio, 2020; Manoj et al., 2020; Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2018a). Applications of PGPB, as an alternative to traditional fertilisers, could affect the primary and secondary metabolisms in the wheat grain. A considerable amount of literature has been published on the effect of environmental stress on biochemical processes for the synthesis of both major (starch, proteins, and polysaccharides) and minor (e.g., lipids, phenolic, vitamins, minerals, etc.) components of the mature cereal grain (Călinoiu & Vodnar, 2018; Sakr et al., 2021; Sehgal et al., 2018). Nevertheless, relatively little attention has been paid to the drivers of specific changes in metabolomic profile responses in the grains in response to bio-inoculation, especially under stress conditions.

Abiotic stresses are increasingly recognised as a serious and worldwide concern in sustainable wheat production by declining grain yield and quality via reduced end-use functional properties such as the content of carbohydrates and proteins (Riaz et al., 2021). Besides the genetic effects, there is a consensus among researchers that grain yield and quality in cereals are influenced not only by changes in the content of proteins, starch, and lipids in the grains and their interactions under stress but also by the content of primary and secondary metabolites (Chen et al., 2020; Graziano et al., 2020). Using metabolite profiling to analyse the metabolite composition of complex plant matrices, researchers have been able to describe the biological and biochemical composition of grains and understand the impact of various biological conditions (Beleggia et al., 2013; Zhen et al., 2016).

Years ago, we started comprehensive research to advance the knowledge of the relationship between soil biological fertility levels and the communities of beneficial soil bacteria. As a part of this project, we isolated several beneficial bacterial strains from durum wheat fields at Lavello (Southern Italy, Basilicata region, located at 41°03'N, 15°42'E) and identified the four most beneficial among them as *Acinetobacter pittii*, *Acinetobacter oleivorans*, *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus*, and *Comamonas testosteroni* (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021c). These bacterial strains showed a promising ability, not only in transforming the insoluble complexes of phosphate, potassium, and zinc to soluble forms and biological fixing of nitrogen in vitro conditions, respectively (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021c), but also in improving some agronomic and physiological parameters of durum wheat plants in a greenhouse experiment (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021a). Also,

it was determined how the application of these beneficial bacterial strains, as bio-inoculant, shaped the rhizosphere and root-associated bacterial communities under stress (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021b). Apart from the previous investigation on the physiological and molecular changes in the roots and leaves in stressed plants in response to the bio-inoculation, the debate about plant grains, as an important source of dietary nutrients, has also gained fresh prominence, especially since their metabolic compounds in response to bio-fertiliser have not been addressed in a comprehensive study, so far. Therefore, the key questions of the present study, focusing on grains, were as follows: (1) are there any changes in the grain of plants treated with microbial/chemical fertilisation treatments under stress conditions? (2) If so, have these changes been made to stimulate increased plant stress tolerance, or were they the subsequent results of the plant's response to stress?

In this regard, our research aims to advance our understanding of the interaction between plant growth conditions (optimal or stress), fertilisation (chemical or native PGPB consortium as bio-inoculants), and metabolite composition of durum wheat grains. Attempts were also extended to find a logical relationship between metabolic compounds and nutrient concentrations in grains. We hypothesise that applying the biofertiliser consortium and traditional chemical fertilisation and stress conditions profoundly influence the metabolic composition of durum wheat grain. Moreover, these changes in the grain induce stress tolerance, which prevents loss of grain yield.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Greenhouse experiment

As fully described by Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al. (2021a), durum wheat seeds (var. Furio Camillo) and the clay loam soil were collected for the greenhouse experiment from the same durum wheat fields where the PGPBs were isolated. Plants were grown in constant light (14/10 h light/dark) at 20°C. Briefly, the fertilisation treatments took place at four levels, which included (1) Co: no fertilisation (control); (2) BC: seed inoculation with the biofertiliser consortium of four PGPB strains and pot inoculation by the bacterial suspension $(10^{-6} \text{ CFU ml}^{-1})$ every 3 weeks; (3) CF: soil treated by a combination of chemical fertilisers before planting, such as mono ammonium phosphate (52% P₂O₅ and 11% N; 115 kg ha⁻¹), potassium sulphate (44% K₂O; 75 kg ha⁻¹), and zinc oxide (75% Zn; 10 kg ha⁻¹) as well as ammonium sulphate (21% N; 290 kg ha⁻¹; divided into three parts and added before planting, at tillering and flowering stages); and (4) BC $+ \frac{1}{2}$ CF: a combination treatment of biofertiliser consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers. Stress treatment was established at three levels, including (1) non-stress control; (2) drought stress at 40% of field capacity (a result of less watering); and (3) salinity stress at 150 mM NaCl, by applying saline solutions every 3 days from the 63 DAS (booting stage) until 81 DAS. Grain samples were harvested from each pot (totally 36 pots; four fertilisation treatments \times three stress levels \times three replications) at 124 days after sowing (DAS) for further analyses.

2.2 | Determination of N, P, K, and Zn concentration in durum wheat grain

Using an S2Picofox TXRF Spectrometer, the concentrations of P, K, and Zn in durum wheat grains were determined using total-reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) (Bruker Nano GmbH). The total nitrogen in the grain was also determined using the Kjeldhal technique (Model UDK 149 Automatic Kjeldhal Distillation Unit, VELP Scientifica).

2.3 | Metabolite profiling

2.3.1 | Carbohydrate extraction and estimation

Soluble sugars were separated in ethanol (80% vol/vol) at 80°C for 60 min, then added newly made anthrone reagent (150 mg anthrone in 100 ml H2SO4 [72%]), heated in a water bath at 100°C for 10 min, and then cooled in an ice bath for 5 min. The starch concentration of the remaining pellet following soluble sugar extraction was determined (Galtier et al., 1995). To extract starch, the starch solution was hydrated and gelatinised (90%) with dimethyl sulfoxide, precipitated and rinsed with ethanol, centrifuged, vacuum-dried at 30°C, and processed with a mixture of -amylase and amyloglucosidase. A multi-mode microplate reader (Synergy Mx, Biotek) was used to determine total soluble and insoluble sugar by reading their absorbance at 625 nm (de Sousa et al., 2017).

2.3.2 | Measurement of soluble and total protein and amino acid profile

Extraction of soluble and insoluble proteins was carried out according to the method described by Hartree (1972) with some modifications (AbdElgawad et al., 2014). Briefly, ground grain samples (100 mg) were homogenised in 0.05 M K-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and centrifuged (22,000g, 4°C, 20 min). To precipitate the soluble protein, 10% wt/vol trichloroacetic acid (TCA) was added to the supernatant and redissolved in 1 N NaOH. After washing with ethanol (80% vol/vol), TCA (10% wt/vol), ethanol: chloroform (31% vol/vol), ethanol: ether (31% vol/vol), and ether to remove phenolic chemicals, the remaining pellet was utilised to detect insoluble proteins. The washed pellet was re-dissolved in 1 N NaOH at 80°C for 1 h, and finally, soluble and insoluble protein content was measured by reading the absorbance at 650 nm.

Ground grain samples (100 mg) were used for amino acids extraction by homogenising in 80% aqueous ethanol for 1 min at 7000 g, spiking with norvaline, followed by centrifuging at 14,000 g for 20 min. The particle was re-suspended in chloroform after the clear supernatant was vacuum-evaporated. During this time, the residual was re-extracted with HPLC grade deionised water, centrifuged again, and the supernatant was combined with the pellet suspended in chloroform. The aqueous phase obtained by centrifugation was filtered using a Millipore microfilter (0.2 M pore size) (14,000 g, 10 min). A Waters Acquity UPLC-tqd system (Milford) with a BEH amide column was used to measure amino acids quantitatively (Zinta et al., 2018).

2.3.3 | Assessment of total lipid content and fatty acid profile

Total lipid analysis was done using a modified protocol of Bligh and Dyer (1959). Briefly, a mixture of chloroform-methanol (1:2 vol/vol) and distilled water were added to 100 mg of ground samples, followed by homogenising the suspension and adding chloroform and water. The bottom layer (organic phase) achieved by centrifugation was transferred into new pre-weighed tubes. Meanwhile, the upper liquid phase was mixed with chloroform and acetic acid, and the bottom phase was added to the first organic phase after the centrifugation. Finally, the solvent was evaporated, and the tube was weighed again to estimate the lipid content by gravimetric analysis.

Fatty acids extraction and quantification were performed according to the protocol described by Torras-Claveria et al. (2014). Briefly, methanol was added to 100 mg of grain samples at room temperature until the discolouration of the samples, followed by adding codeine and nonadecanoic acids as internal standards. The analysis of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) was carried out on a Hewlett-Packard 6890, MSD 5975 mass. Fatty acids were identified using the NIST 05 database and Golm Metabolome Database (http:// gmd.mpimp-golm.mpg.de).

2.3.4 | Organic acid analysis

According to AbdElgawad et al. (2014) a known weight of ground grain samples (ca 100 mg) was utilised for the quantitative assessment of individual organic acids (2021). Organic acids were extracted in phosphoric acid (0.1%) supplemented with butylated hydroxyanisole, then centrifuged at 14,000g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatants were filtered through Millipore microfilters (0.2 M pore size) and submitted to HPLC isocratically with 0.001 N sulphuric acid, set at 210 nm, and a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹. The Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano HPLC system was used for the assay. Similarly, the separation was carried out at 65°C using an Aminex HPH-87 H (310 mm 7.7 mm) column with a Bio-Red IG Cation H (30 4.6) pre-column.

2.3.5 | Determination of tocopherol content and antioxidant capacity

Tocopherols were extracted in n-hexane solvent and quantified by HPLC (Shimadzu) using normal phase conditions (Partisil Pac $5-\mu m$ column material, length 250 mm, i.d. 4.6 mm), based on the methods described by AbdElgawad et al. (2015). Dimethyl tocol (DMT; 5 ppm) was also used as an internal standard. Data were analysed with Shimadzu Class VP 6.14 software provided by the HPLC system.

The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) was measured to evaluate the total antioxidant capacity in durum wheat grains, as fully described by AbdElgawad et al. (2021a, 2021b). Briefly, the extraction was done by adding ethanol (80% vol/vol) and centrifuging at 14,000 for 20 min. For 30 min at room temperature, FRAP reagent (20 mM

iologia Planta

 $FeCl_3$ in 0.25 M acetate buffer, pH 3.6) was combined with a known volume of the produced extract. A multi-mode microplate reader was used to measure the absorbance at 517 nm.

2.3.6 | Estimation of polyphenol and proline content

Polyphenols were extracted in ethanol (80% vol/vol), centrifuged, washed the pellet by ethanol (80% vol/vol), and finally quantified by a Folin–Ciocalteu assay according to Zhang et al. (2006) at 625 nm using a multi-mode microplate reader. Gallic acid also was used as a reference standard for plotting the calibration curve (0–25 μ g ml⁻¹).

Proline content was measured by homogenising the ground grain samples in aqueous sulfosalicylic acid (3%), centrifuging at 10,000 *g* for 30 min, elutriating the supernatant, and twice washing the pellet with aqueous sulfosalicylic acid (3%). Finally, the supernatants were enriched by toluene and the ninhydrin acid reagent and measured calorimetrically at 520 nm using a multi-mode microplate reader (AbdElgawad et al., 2015).

2.4 | Statistical analysis

SigmaPlot (SigmaPlott v11.0, Systat Software Inc.) was used to perform statistical analyses such as a two-way analysis of variance and Tukey's HSD (honestly significant difference) test and to draw the graphs. The NCSS program was used to perform Ward's clustering analysis (Version 21.0.3.).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Grain yield

As reported earlier (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021a), fertilisation treatments increased grain yield under both non-stress and stress conditions. The grain yield reached the highest value in non-stress, drought, and salinity when treated with respectively BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF (1.05 g plant⁻¹), BC (0.46 g plant⁻¹), and BC (0.61 g plant⁻¹). These results demonstrate that the biofertilisation treatment is especially effective under stress conditions, whereas chemical fertilisation has a stronger effect under optimal conditions (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021a).

3.2 | Soluble sugar and starch content

To understand how these treatments affected the composition of the grains, we first analysed their carbohydrate composition. There was a strong interaction between the effect of fertilisation and drought and salinity stress: In unfertilised plants, drought and salinity had a non-significant effect on the amount of starch and soluble sugars in the grain (Figure 1). In contrast, CF and BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF resulted in significantly



FIGURE 1 The effect of chemical and biofertilisation on carbohydrate composition of durum wheat grain under optimal and stress conditions. Soluble sugar (A) and starch (B) content in grain under different fertilisation and stress conditions. Means (\pm standard error; n = 3) followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% probability level (Tukey's HSD test).

higher soluble sugar contents compared to the controls under nonstress (+64.1 and +69.7%), whereas the increase by BC alone was smaller and not significant (Figure 1A). Under drought and salinity stress, the effect of CF and BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF on soluble sugar levels was strongly enhanced, whereas starch levels tended to be reduced significantly in salinity-treated plants and non-significantly in droughttreated plants. These results show that chemical fertilisers strongly impact the carbohydrate composition, particularly under stress conditions.

3.3 | Soluble and total protein and amino acid profile

Next, we determined the effect of the treatments on protein and amino acid composition. Again, in unfertilised plants, the stress had a non-significant impact on seed soluble and insoluble protein levels (Figure 2). However, BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF treatment significantly increased the amount of total protein in drought-stressed grains compared to the control (+31.7%), this increase was not significant compared to CF and BC treatments which were 16.2% and 9.8% higher than control



FIGURE 2 The effect of chemical and biofertilisation on protein composition of durum wheat grain under optimal and stress conditions. Soluble protein (A), and total protein (B) content, and their relationships with nitrogen accumulation in grain (C), as well as the content of storage proteins (D) under different fertilisation and stress treatments. Means (±standard error; n = 3) followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% probability level (Tukey's HSD test). * and ** significant at p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 levels. BC, biofertilizer consortium of four PGPB strains; BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF: a combination treatment of biofertilizer consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers; CF, soil treated by chemical fertilisers; Co, no fertilisation (control)

(Figure 2A,B). As nitrogen is a major constituent of proteins, and a regression analysis allowed us to demonstrate an expected close correlation between nitrogen content in grains total proteins ($R^2 = 0.79$; p < 0.01) and soluble protein ($R^2 = 0.35$; p < 0.05) contents (Figure 2C).

Next, we determined the composition of soluble proteins. Globulins formed the major class of storage proteins, contributing from 6.1 μ g g⁻¹ (in no-fertilisation under salinity) to 16.6 μ g g⁻¹ (in BC + %CF fertilisation level in non-stress conditions), followed by albumin (4.8–7.4 μ g g⁻¹), prolamin (1.8–5.5 μ g g⁻¹), and glutelin (0.1–0.2 μ g g⁻¹). The maximum concentrations of these storage proteins occurred in BC + %CF, which were 24.2%, 51.8%, 66.1%, and 45.4% in non-stress, 26.7%, 32.3%, 39.7%, and 14.7% in drought, and 22.0%, 103.2%, 121.5%, and 52.3% higher than those in no-fertilisation level, respectively (Figure 2D).

We focused on the specific changes in amino acid compositions in the grains as an important nutritional quality trait in durum wheat plants. Glutamine and proline were the most abundant amino acids in grains, which varied from 3.3 and 1.9 to 6.1 and 5.8 (mg 100 mg⁻¹ of protein), followed by ornithine (2.2–5.7 mg 100 mg⁻¹ of protein) and glutamate (1.4–5.1 mg 100 mg⁻¹ of protein). The concentration of almost all amino acids in grains was affected by biofertiliser consortium/chemical fertilisation and stress treatments (Figure 3). Higher concentrations of specific amino acids (e.g., serine, asparagine, lysine, alanine, and histidine) were found in the grains of plants treated with BC or BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF, while higher concentrations of other amino acids (e.g., leucine, aspartate, and tyrosine) were detected in plants treated with CF. Ward's clustering method, using Euclidean distance, revealed that the fertilisation levels clustered into different groups in terms of the amino acid compositions, in which the distance among them varied from about 1.2 to 3.8 (Figure 3).

The proline content was measured as essential proteinogenic amino acid and a known stress defence molecule. Accordingly, no significant difference in proline content was detected when plants were stimulated with BC compared to unfertilised control plants. Moreover, following the application of CF and, BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF, a non-significant increase in the proline content was about 27.6% and 46.7% in drought, and 20.3% and 14.8% higher than no fertilisation treatment (Figure 4A). These results show that stress in combination with fertilisation increases protein content as well as protein and amino acid composition of the grains.

3.4 | Antioxidant capacity and polyphenol content

The general response of plants to abiotic stress conditions is an upregulation of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defence mechanisms. To determine if this response extends to the grains, we first



FIGURE 3 The effect of chemical and biofertilisation on amino acid composition of durum wheat grains under optimal and stress conditions. BC, biofertilizer consortium of four PGPB strains; BC + ½CF: a combination treatment of biofertilizer consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers; CF, soil treated by chemical fertilisers; Co, no fertilisation (control)



FIGURE 4 The content of proline (A) the ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) (B), polyphenols (C), and lipid (D) in grains under different fertilisation and stress treatments. Means (\pm standard error; n = 3) followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% probability level (Tukey's HSD test)

FIGURE 5 The effect of chemical and biofertilisation on tocopherol levels of durum wheat grain under optimal and stress conditions. Means in each parameter followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% probability level (Tukey's HSD test). BC, biofertilizer consortium of four PGPB strains; BC + ½CF: a combination treatment of biofertilizer consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers: CF. soil treated by chemical fertilisers; Co, no fertilisation (control)



analysed their total antioxidant levels. The concentration of FRAP was not significantly affected by stress or fertilisation, although there was a consistent tendency to be lower in response to BC or BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF (Figure 4D).

Polyphenols content was consistently increased in the grains of stress-treated plants, whereas fertilisation had no significant impact (Figure 4C). We observed no significant effect of stress treatments on tocopherols in unfertilised seeds or seeds from plants supplied with chemical fertiliser (Figure 5). Interestingly, total tocopherol levels were significantly reduced when biofertiliser (p < 0.05) alone or in combination with chemical fertiliser was applied under stress conditions.

3.5 | Organic acid levels

The present research also sought to find any change in organic acids composition, as critical functions in many cellular processes. In this regard, we detected six organic acids in all samples: succinate, citrate, lactate, malate, oxalate, and trans-aconitic, respectively (Table 1). Fertilisation treatments increased oxalate concentrations at all levels of stress, while almost all fertilisation levels reduced citrate and lactate concentrations (with some exceptions) (Table 1).

3.6 | Total lipid content and fatty acid profile

Lipid content and fatty acid levels were determined to have a clear idea of their possible changes in response to the fertilisation

treatments and to make comparisons with nutrient status in the grains. In this regard, the results showed that lipid content was not affected by stress, but BC, CF, and BC $+ \frac{1}{2}$ CF treatments consistently increased levels (Figure 4D). Eighteen fatty acids were detected in grains, the most important of which were palmitic acid (hexadecanoic: C 16:0) as a major saturated fatty acid, as well as linolenic acid (octadecatrienoic; C 18:3), and oleic acid (octadecenoic; C 18:1) as the major unsaturated fatty acids, which accounted for about 76%-80% of the fatty acid concentrations. Consistent with the overall lipid contents, fatty acid levels were not affected by the stress conditions, but fertilisation led to a considerable increase in overall levels (Figure 6). Application of biofertiliser consortium, alone (BC) or in combination with a half dose of chemical fertilisers (BC $+ \frac{1}{2}$ CF), had the greatest effect on increasing the concentration of fatty acids (e.g., Octadecenoic [18.1 and 18.3]) under both non-stress and stress conditions. Strong evidence of the difference between the effect of biofertiliser and chemical fertiliser on the composition of fatty acids was obtained from Ward's clustering analysis, which showed that these treatments were clustered into two groups in each stress treatment (Figure 6).

3.7 | Nutrient concentrations

Nutrient status in the grains was determined to reveal their effectiveness from the fertilisation and stress treatments and to assess their relationship with metabolic parameters. Accordingly, nutrient levels in grains were affected by fertilisation and stress treatments (Table 2).

SL
5
:⊒
÷
Ē
8
SS
e
÷
0
P
Ъ
_
g
.⊑.
E
ă
ĩ
e
p
5
č
ai.
5
μ μ
ā
e
⇒
>
Ε
Ľ,
Ľ
ď
÷
0
IS
5
·∺
ਗੁ
뉵
Ę.
B
Ĕ
ō
U U
p
<u> </u>
ъ
.2
E
60
5
0
LC LC
č
5
÷
1
10
iz.
tiliza
ertiliza
fertiliza
iofertiliza
biofertiliza
d biofertiliza
nd biofertiliza
and biofertiliza
al and biofertiliza
ical and biofertiliza
mical and biofertiliza
emical and biofertilize
chemical and biofertiliza
f chemical and biofertiliza
of chemical and biofertiliza
t of chemical and biofertilize
ect of chemical and biofertilize
ffect of chemical and biofertilize
effect of chemical and biofertilize
e effect of chemical and biofertilize
he effect of chemical and biofertiliza
The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
. The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
1 The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
E 1 The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
LE 1 The effect of chemical and biofertiliza
BLE 1 The effect of chemical and biofertiliza

Stress	Fertilisation	Succinate (ng g^{-1})	Percentage change	${\sf Malate} ({\sf ng} \ {\sf g}^{-1})$	Percentage change	Citrate ($ng g^{-1}$)	Percentage change	Lactate (ng ${ m g}^{-1}$)	Percentage change	Trans- aconitic (ng g ⁻¹)	Percentage change	Oxalate ($\log g^{-1}$)	Percentage change
-noN	S	307.19 ab	I	96.93 ab	I	184.15 a-c	I	176.34 a-c	I	29.80 bc	I	60.70 ab	1
stress	BC	347.64 ab	+13.2	106.27 a	+9.6	151.44 d	- 21.6	142.63 d	- 23.6	31.06 a-c	+4.2	64.81 a	+6.8
	Ъ	297.52 ab	-3.15	108.37 a	+11.8	191.83 a-c	+4.2	182.83 a-c	+3.7	28.59 bc	-4.2	60.82 ab	+0.2
	BC+%CF	327.98 ab	+6.8	98.74 ab	+1.9	181.60 a-c	-1.4	172.79 а-с	-2.1	32.13 a-c	+7.8	66.55 a	+9.6
Drought	S	360.83 ab	I	95.89 ab	I	196.45 ab	I	188.43 ab	I	33.07 ab	ı	63.33 ab	I
	BC	266.89 b	-35.2	92.62 ab	-3.5	148.86 d	-32.0	141.15 d	-33.5	33.04 ab	-0.1	66.63 a	+4.7
	CF	385.44 a	+6.8	110.41 a	+15.1	208.80 a	+6.3	200.76 a	+6.5	27.10 с	-22.0	63.63 ab	+0.5
	BC+%CF	290.12 ab	-24.4	94.91 ab	-1.0	163.36 cd	-20.2	154.21 cd	-22.2	29.28 bc	-12.9	68.10 a	+7.5
Salinity	S	337.02 ab	I	85.62 b	I	184.90 a-c	I	176.71 a-c	I	26.70 c	I	51.28 b	I
	BC	305.06 ab	-10.5	82.05 b	-4.3	167.01 b-d	-10.7	159.78 b-d	-10.6	36.07 a	+35.1	67.47 a	+31.6
	CF	315.86 ab	-6.7	86.36 b	+0.8	171.41 b-d	-7.9	164.68 b-d	-7.3	29.18 bc	+9.3	55.94 ab	+9.1
	BC+%CF	269.96 b	-24.8	87.25 b	+1.9	151.07 d	-22.4	143.03 d	-23.5	33.66 ab	+26.1	58.08 ab	+13.3
<i>Note</i> : Mean Abbreviatio no fertilisati	s in each colurn ns: BC, bioferti on (control).	nn followed b Ilizer consorti	yy similar letter(ium of four PGF	(s) are not sign DB strains; BC	nificantly differe + ½CF: a comb	nt at 5% probabili vination treatmen	ity level (Tukey t of biofertilizer	test); $(n = 3)$. · consortium and	half dose of chem	nical fertilisers; CF	; soil treated by	' chemical fe	tilisers; Co,

8 of 15 Physiologia Plantarum



FIGURE 6 The effect of chemical and biofertilisation on fatty acid composition levels of durum wheat under optimal and stress conditions. BC, biofertilizer consortium of four PGPB strains; BC + ½CF: a combination treatment of biofertilizer consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers; CF, soil treated by chemical fertilisers; Co, no fertilisation (control)

TABLE 2	The effect of chemical and biofertilization	on nutrient concentrat	tions of durum whe	at grain under	optimal and stress cor	nditions
---------	---	------------------------	--------------------	----------------	------------------------	----------

Stress	Fertilisation	N (%)	Percentage change	Ρ (μg g ⁻¹)	Percentage change	K ($\mu g g^{-1}$)	Percentage change	Zn (μg g ⁻¹)	Percentage change
Non-	Со	1.90 d	-	4577.11 b	-	6698.42 e	-	45.40 c	-
stress	BC	1.99 cd	+4.6	5906.83 ab	+29.1	7969.54 с-е	+19.0	52.47 bc	+15.6
	CF	1.95 cd	+2.6	7370.27 a	+61.0	8933.29 b-d	+33.4	51.34 bc	+13.1
	$BC+ {}^{\prime}\!\!{}_{2}CF$	2.45 ab	+28.9	7491.67 a	+63.7	8852.97 b-d	+32.2	59.71 ab	+31.5
Drought	Co	2.04 cd	-	5921.56 ab	-	7291.14 de	-	51.10 bc	-
	BC	2.23 b-d	+9.4	7389.27 a	+24.8	9432.57 a-c	+29.4	70.83 a	+38.6
	CF	2.49 ab	+21.9	8544.60 a	+44.3	11464.94 a	+57.2	70.15 a	+37.2
	$BC+ {}^{1\!\!}_{2}CF$	2.61 a	+27.9	8076.81 a	+36.4	10390.39 ab	+45.5	67.55 a	+32.2
Salinity	Co	2.00 cd	-	6083.65 ab	-	7862.21 с-е	-	50.44 bc	-
	BC	2.17 b-d	+8.4	6504.37 ab	+6.9	8431.18 b-е	+7.2	58.13 a-c	+15.2
	CF	2.28 a-c	+14.1	6637.40 ab	+9.1	8053.02 с-е	+2.4	53.86 bc	+6.8
	$BC+ {}^{1\!\!}_2CF$	2.29 a-c	+14.5	7925.17 a	+30.3	9718.84 a-c	+23.6	62.57 ab	+24.1

Note: Means in each column followed by similar letter(s) are not significantly different at 5% probability level (Tukey test); (n = 3).

Abbreviations: BC, biofertilizer consortium of four PGPB strains; BC + ½CF: a combination treatment of biofertilizer consortium and half dose of chemical fertilisers; CF, soil treated by chemical fertilisers; Co, no fertilisation (control).

Interestingly, both drought and salinity increased the nutrient accumulation in grains in comparison with the non-stress condition. Moreover, the concentration of total nitrogen reached the maximum values in the combined treatment of biofertiliser consortium and half dose of chemicals (BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF) in each stress level, which were 28.9%, 27.9%, and 14.5% higher than those in unfertilised control plants in nonstress, drought, and salinity conditions, respectively (Table 2). Although similar results were obtained for phosphorus, zinc, and potassium under non-stress and salinity treatments, the results were slightly different under drought stress; K content was higher in grains from plants under chemical fertilisation. The highest concentrations of these nutrients in grain under drought treatment were obtained from chemical fertiliser (CF) in non-stress, biofertiliser consortium (BC) under drought, and CF treatments in salinity level, although they were not significantly different from other fertilisers levels (Table 2).

3.8 | Correlation analysis

Finally, we used a Pearson correlation analysis to investigate the relationship between nutrient accumulation in grains and metabolic parameters. Significant positive correlations were found between

Physiologia Plantari

TABLE 3 Correlation coefficients (r) between nutrient

concentration in grain and some metabolic parameters in response to the fertilisation and stress treatments (n = 12)

	Ν	Р	к	Zn
Total protein	0.89**	0.73**	0.81**	0.73**
Soluble protein	0.59*	0.19 ^{ns}	0.34 ^{ns}	0.42 ^{ns}
Soluble sugar	0.79**	0.76**	0.83**	0.61*
Lipid	0.48 ^{ns}	0.63*	0.48 ^{ns}	0.31 ^{ns}
Starch	0.32 ^{ns}	0.24 ^{ns}	0.21 ^{ns}	0.19 ^{ns}
FRAP	-0.38 ^{ns}	-0.56 ^{ns}	-0.47 ^{ns}	-0.36 ^{ns}
Polyphenols	0.46 ^{ns}	0.21 ^{ns}	0.29 ^{ns}	0.42 ^{ns}
Proline	0.87**	0.65*	0.73**	0.60*
Grain yield	0.35 ^{ns}	0.29 ^{ns}	0.37 ^{ns}	0.31 ^{ns}

Note: * and ** significant at p < 0.05 and p < 0.01 levels, respectively. Abbreviation: ns, not significant.

grain nutrient concentrations and total protein, soluble sugar, and proline. In addition, there was a significant positive relationship (p < 0.05) between the concentration of total nitrogen in grains and soluble protein. Moreover, grain lipid content showed a significant correlation (p < 0.05) with the accumulation of phosphorus in grains (Table 3).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our earlier study showed that under non-stress conditions, PGPB inoculation enhanced grain yield to a smaller degree than treatment with chemical fertilisers, while under stress conditions, they tended to be at least as effective. These results suggested that, in contrast to chemical fertilisation, the microbial consortium is able to activate stress tolerance mechanisms (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021b).

In order to answer the key questions of this study, the nutritive values and metabolic compounds in the grain and their relationship with grain yield were investigated, some of which were sugar and protein content in the grain. As Figures 1, 2, and 4A show, increased content of soluble sugar, soluble protein, and proline under stress was recorded in all fertilisation levels, but such increase occurs differently in response to the biofertiliser consortium and chemical fertilisers. Accordingly, the production of these osmolytes in grains was nonsignificantly increased by biofertiliser consortium. There are similarities between the responses expressed by consortium-inoculated plants in this study and those described by Wang et al. (2022), Ilyas et al. (2020), and Upadhyay and Singh (2015), who reported that biofertilisers can stimulate carbohydrate metabolism, and improve the accumulation of soluble sugars, proline, and soluble protein in wheat plants upon exposure to drought and salinity. Synthesising and accumulating such compatible solutes can contribute to maintaining turgor pressure, improving the water-holding capacity of cells and stabilising subcellular structures by acting as osmotic regulators and reactive oxygen species scavengers under stress (Ilyas et al., 2020).

Furthermore, it has already been reported that beneficial bacteria can act as osmolytes and, consequently, help plants to resist osmotic stress by accumulating a considerable amount of compatible solutes inside their cells (Parida & Das, 2005).

On the other hand, we observed a higher production of soluble sugars (significantly) and proline (non-significantly) in plants treated by CF and BC $+ \frac{1}{2}$ CF compared to those inoculated by BC, which could indicate the greater impact of chemical fertiliser on these parameters. In contrast, it seems that further increases in soluble protein content in PGPB-inoculated plants (BC and BC $+ \frac{1}{2}$ CF treatments) indicated a greater effect of beneficial bacteria on the accumulation of soluble protein, as shown in Figure 2B. It is difficult to explain this result, but it might be related to their differences in correlation with nutrients. Accordingly, while soluble sugar and proline were significantly correlated with the concentration of all four measured nutrients (N, P, K, and Zn) in the grain, the soluble protein was correlated only with nitrogen accumulation. In this regard, Triboï et al. (2003) and Sehgal et al. (2018) have already reported that changes in protein content and protein fraction composition under stress primarily result from changes in the amount of nitrogen accumulated during grain filling. Moreover, it has been proved that N acquisition can be linked to protein content, especially proteins associated with N assimilation in plants (Sehgal et al., 2018). In the present research, increased accumulation of amino acids involved in N assimilation (e.g., glutamine, glutamate, aspartate, and asparagine) in biofertiliser-inoculated plants compared to those treated with chemical fertilisers, can somehow confirm this justification. Accordingly, the accumulation of these amino acids in BC treatment was higher than in CF, equal to 3.2%, 27.5%, 9.5%, and 46.3% in non-stress, 15.7%, 68.3%, 15.3%, and 9.7% in drought, and 33.3%, 16.1%, 18.9%, and 68.4% in salinity conditions.

The results in Table 2 indicate the changes in nutrient accumulations in durum wheat grains in response to the application of the PGPB bacterial consortium. This finding was reasonably expected, since our beneficial bacteria, including Acinetobacter, and Comamonas genera, had already shown a great ability in converting the insoluble phosphate, potassium, and zinc complexes to soluble forms, biological fixing the nitrogen, and producing indole acetic acid in vitro conditions (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021c). Prior studies have proved the importance of PGPB in enriching the harvestable and reproductive parts of the plant with macro and micronutrients in non-stress (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2018b) and stress conditions (Meena et al., 2017). What is new and interesting is that there was a tendency for higher nutrient acquisition under stress compared to non-stress conditions. In particular, this increase was accompanied by a decrease in grain yield in stressed plants, which ultimately led to a non-significant correlation between the nutrient accumulation in grain and grain yield. These findings are contrary to the previous research that reported nutrient availability in the soil, and their acquisition, assimilation, and distribution within the plant tissues gravely declined by environmental stress (Etienne et al., 2018; Feller et al., 2018). A possible explanation may be the increment of root biomass or absorption surface area in the root as a mechanism for

stress tolerance in crops, which can result in the uptake of more dissolved nutrients from the soil solution (Studer et al., 2017). Especially since the physiological demand for nutrient uptake under stress conditions can be greater than needed for high yield (Haneklaus et al., 2018). Moreover, the presence of adequate calcium ions in the soils in southern Italy, where lands are covered by carbonate and calcareous soils (Lo Papa et al., 2020), can alter the balance in adsorption between potassium and sodium ions under stress conditions in favour of potassium, and finally improve the accumulation of potassium, calcium, and nitrogen in the plants (Tuna et al., 2007).

Increased concentrations of storage proteins, including globulin, albumin, prolamin, and glutelin, upon exposure to BC + ½CF treatment at each stress level, might also be related to the accumulation of these N-containing amino acids in grains, whose crucial functions in protein translocation and storage in plants have already been reported (Zhen et al., 2016). Prior studies have noted the importance of some amino acids, in particular glutamine, asparagine, lysine, and alanine that were more accumulated under stress conditions in fertilised plants, in contributing to proteins synthesis and acting as signalling molecules to regulate the expression of key transcription factor genes involved in stress responses in plants (Galili, 2002; Kan et al., 2015; Parthasarathy et al., 2019). Moreover, fertilisation levels did not increase the glycine accumulation, one of the most abundant amino acids in grain samples, under non-stress conditions. A possible explanation might be its easier and faster absorption and transfer in the plant than other amino acids because of the lower microbial demand for glycine (Yang et al., 2017). However, increasing glycine concentration in plants treated with fertilisation treatments (especially $BC + \frac{1}{2}CF$) under stress can improve plant stress tolerance by boosting the scavenging system of reactive oxygen species and promoting the accumulation of soluble sugar (Liu et al., 2016).

Figure 1B illustrates a non-significant reduction in grain starch content in nearly all fertilisation levels when the plant was exposed to stress, which resulted in a reduction in grain yield since about 70% of the grain weight is composed of starch. However, this reduction could not be the only reason for the significant reduction in grain yield because of not only the possible considerable reduction in other factors of yield components (e.g., tiller number, grain numbers per spike, number of spikes per plant), but also the lesser effect of stress (particularly drought) on starch content due to the potential of remobilisation and translocation of carbon reserves from vegetative tissue to grains (Bhusal et al., 2017; Prathap et al., 2019). However, the results obtained in the grain yield are similar to those of starch accumulation in terms of greater impacts of biofertiliser consortium than other fertilisation levels under stress conditions. Previous research has indicated that PGPB can contribute to catalysing the transformation of glucose-1-phosphate and ATP to form ADP glucose, as a substrate for starch syntheses, by inducing the enzyme ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (AGPase) (Meena & Rai, 2017). Also, the differences in starch content between the biofertiliser consortium and other fertilisation levels in

hysiologia Plantar

salinity were more pronounced than in drought. This result could be related to the greater ability of our beneficial bacteria, particularly N_2 fixer *Comamonas testosteroni*, to growth in saline conditions in vitro (1% NaCl concentration) (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021c), in comparison to the common PGPB strains. This might explain why the grain yield in salinity was higher than that during drought when the PGPB consortium was applied.

It has already been reported that the degradation of lipids and alteration in their compositions in wheat plants are closely related to stress conditions (Wang et al., 2020). As shown in Figure 4D, lipid concentrations in stress-treated plants remained high in response to fertilisation treatments. In fact, it seems that producing amino acids (e.g., proline) due to higher absorption and accumulation of nutrients (especially nitrogen) in fertilised plants or breaking down proteins in those unfertilised, reduced lipid oxidation (Wang et al., 2016). Based on cluster analysis, biofertiliser treatment, alone or in combination with a half dose of chemical fertiliser, was placed in a separate group compared to chemical fertilisation and no fertilisation. According to Figure 6, the application of the biofertiliser consortium (BC and BC +-½CF treatments) increased the accumulation of most unsaturated fatty acids (seven out of eight) such as octadecenoic (C 18:1), octadecatrienoic (C 18:3), dodecanoic (C 12:0), hexadecanoic (C 16:1), hexadecadienoic (C 16:2), hexadecatrienoic (C 16:3), and tetracosenoic (C 24:1). In contrast, five saturated fatty acids, including tetradecanoic (C 14:0), pentadecanoic (C 15:0), hexadecanoic (C 16:0), octadecanoic (C 18:0), and hexacosanoic (C 26:0), had the highest concentration in chemical and no fertilisation treatments, and the other five saturated fatty acids did not show a specific reaction to fertilisation treatments. These results accord with other studies, which showed that the application of PGPB can enhance the accumulation of unsaturated fatty acids in plant cells, and consequently maintain membrane stability and ensure the metabolism of other substances in cells, especially under stress conditions (Akhtar et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Rezaei-Chiyaneh et al., 2020).

From the data in Figure 5, it is apparent that increases in β -tocopherol and γ -tocopherol contents in plants treated with BC and $BC + \frac{1}{2}CF$ treatments were associated with a simultaneous reduction in α -tocopherol. As a result, a decrease in the grain total tocopherol was observed not only in the plants treated with biofertilisers under both stresses but also in those with chemical fertilisers in salinity conditions. These findings do not support the previous research by Sonbarse et al. (2020), who reported that the application of PGPB can improve the tocopherols, as the main anti-oxidative molecules. In this regard, the plant seems to activate certain mechanisms during the stress in response to the applied treatments, one of which is the production of polyphenols as non-enzymatic antioxidants in the plants, which can provide more protection against potential oxidative damage and enhance the stability of cell membranes (Sarkar et al., 2021). Beneficial bacteria indirectly help restrain the function of oxidising enzymes by stimulating the accumulation of polyphenols, as polyphenols can form complexes with metals that catalyse oxygenation reactions (Notununu et al., 2022).

From Table 1, we can see that the responses of organic acids to biofertiliser and chemical fertilisers were different. Although extensive research has been carried out on alteration in organic acid profile in vegetative parts of plants, no single study exists that examines the effect of PGPB and stress on the organic acid contents of mature grain. An increase in the secretion of organic acids such as oxalate, citrate, and malate in plants under abiotic and biotic stresses has been previously reported (Lou et al., 2016; Tahjib-UI-Arif et al., 2021), but these data must be interpreted with caution since their function and accumulation in the grain may be different from other organs. One of the possible implications of N uptake and its accumulation in the grain in response to biofertiliser and chemical fertilisation can be an increase in the malate accumulation in the grain, since a positive correlation has been reported between malate accumulation in plants and net N assimilation and nitrogen reductase activity (Miyagi et al., 2019). It has been previously reported that plants growing in alkaline soils secrete organic acids, particularly citrate, from their roots to absorb nutrients such as phosphorus and iron by lowering the pH of the rhizosphere (Tahjib-UI-Arif et al., 2021). This can explain the higher citrate levels in no fertilisation and chemical fertiliser treatments since the soils of southern Italy are slightly alkaline (pH > 8) (Yaghoubi Khanghahi et al., 2021b). The observed decrease in citrate content in treatments containing biofertilisers (BC and BC + $\frac{1}{2}$ CF), could be attributed to the nativeness of our beneficial bacteria and their adaptation to the conditions of high pH calcareous soils, which, by providing the necessary nutrients, eliminates the need for the plant to produce more of these organic acids. If we accept this justification for citrate, then the reduction in succinate in the grains of biofertiliser-treated plants is not so unexpected; an effect of the lower concentration of citric acid and, consequently, of a reduced Krebs cycle, the key stage of cellular respiration, will be a lower or no production of succinate in such plant cells. In fact, although succinate acts in several catabolic and anabolic metabolic pathways, it is mainly involved in the citric acid cycle as a product of substrate-level phosphorylation materialised (Tretter et al., 2016).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Increased accumulation of nitrogen in the grains of biofertiliserinoculated plants was directly related to the protein content of the grains and finally led to an increase in amino acids, especially those involved in nitrogen assimilation, such as glutamine, glutamate, aspartate, and asparagine. The occurrence of these phenomena, in turn, not only resulted in an increment in concentrations of storage proteins, including globulin, albumin, prolamin, and glutelin, but also led to an increment in the accumulation of most unsaturated fatty acids and some organic acids (e.g., malate and oxalate). Moreover, stimulation of carbohydrate metabolism, especially under stress, occurred in response to the PGPB bacterial consortium inoculum and the consequent increased nutrient accumulation in grains. Changes in metabolic compounds and nutrient concentrations in durum wheat grains, including changes in amino acids, organic acids, and fatty acid profiles, might be one of the mechanisms by which PGPB ameliorate grain yield under stress, particularly in comparison with the no fertilisation and chemical fertilisers. Finally, our results provide reliable evidence regarding the application of the native beneficial bacteria, as a biofertiliser consortium, and the possibility of replacing or reducing the need for traditional chemical fertilisers, constituting a useful and sustainable alternative management of fertilisation plans.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Carmine Crecchio, Gerrit T. S. Beemster, Hamada AbdElgawad, and Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi conceived and designed the experiments. Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi drew the figures and wrote the manuscript. Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi and Hamada AbdElgawad performed the experiments, and analysed and summed all the data. Hamada AbdElgawad, Erik Verbruggen, Gerrit T. S. Beemster, and Carmine Crecchio directed the experiments and revised the manuscript. Carmine Crecchio, Gerrit T. S. Beemster, Shereen Magdy Korany, and Emad A. Alsherif contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the EMBO Scientific Exchange Grants (SEG9103), which was granted to Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi to carry out the research in the Laboratory for Integrated Molecular Plant Physiology Research (IMPRES) at the University of Antwerp. The authors are grateful to the Researchers Supporting Project number (PNURSP2022R214), Princess Nourah bint Abdulrahman University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The authors would like to thank Professor Roberto Terzano and Professor Claudio Cocozza from the Department of Soil, Plant and Food Sciences, University of Bari Aldo Moro for providing the use of the some facilities. TXRF analysis was led at the 'Micro X-ray Lab' of the University of Bari Aldo Moro.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Mohammad Yaghoubi Khanghahi Dhttps://orcid.org/0000-0001-7594-4485

Hamada AbdElgawad D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9764-9006 Erik Verbruggen D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7015-1515 Emad A. Alsherif D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7614-9595 Gerrit T. S. Beemster D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6014-053X Carmine Crecchio D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0751-236X

REFERENCES

AbdElgawad, H., Peshev, D., Zinta, G., van den Ende, W., Janssens, I.A. & Asard, H. (2014) Climate extreme effects on the chemical composition of temperate grassland species under ambient and elevated CO₂: a comparison of fructan and non-Fructan accumulators. *PLoS One*, *9*(3), e92044. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092044

- AbdElgawad, H., De Vos, D., Zinta, G., Domagalska, M., Beemster, G. & Asard, H. (2015) Grassland species differentially regulate proline concentrations under future climate conditions: an integrated biochemical and modelling approach. *The New Phytologist*, 208, 354–369. https:// doi.org/10.1111/nph.13481
- AbdElgawad, H., Okla, M.K., Al-Amri, S.S., Al-Hashimi, A., Al-Qahtani, W. H., Al-Qahtani, S. et al. (2021a) Effect of elevated CO₂ on biomolecules' accumulation in caraway (*Carum carvi* L.) plants at different developmental stages. *Plants*, 10(11), 2434. https://doi.org/10.3390/ plants10112434
- AbdElgawad, H., Zinta, G., Abuelsoud, W., Hassan, Y.M., Alkhalifah, D.H. M., Hozzein, W.N. et al. (2021b) An actinomycete strain of Nocardiopsis lucentensis reduces arsenic toxicity in barley and maise. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 471(5), 126055. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jhazmat.2021.126055
- Akhtar, N., Ilyas, N., Mashwani, Z.R., Hayat, R., Yasmin, H., Noureldeen, A. et al. (2021) Synergistic effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and silicon dioxide nano-particles for amelioration of drought stress in wheat. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 166, 160–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2021.05.039
- Bakhshandeh, E., Pirdashti, H., Lendeh, K.S., Gilani, Z., Khanghahi, M.Y. & Crecchio, C. (2020) Effects of plant growth promoting microorganisms inoculums on mineral nutrition, growth and productivity of rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). Journal of Plant Nutrition, 43, 1643–1660.
- Beleggia, R., Platani, C., Nigro, F., de Vita, P., Cattivelli, L. & Papa, R. (2013) Effect of genotype, environment and genotype-by-environment interaction on metabolite profiling in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) grain. Journal of Cereal Science, 57, 183–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jcs.2012.09.004
- Bhusal, N., Sarial, A.K., Sharma, P. & Sareen, S. (2017) Mapping QTLs for grain yield components in wheat under heat stress. *PLoS One*, 12(12), e0189594. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189594
- Bligh, E.G. & Dyer, W.J.A. (1959) Rapid method for the total lipid extraction and purification. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry and Physiology*, 37, 911–917.
- Călinoiu, L.F. & Vodnar, D.C. (2018) Whole grains and phenolic acids: a review on bioactivity, functionality, health benefits and bioavailability. *Nutrients*, 10(11), 1615. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu10111615
- Chen, Z., Chen, H., Jiang, Y., Wang, J., Khan, A., Li, P. et al. (2020) Metabolomic analysis reveals metabolites and pathways involved in grain quality traits of high-quality rice cultivars under a dry cultivation system. *Food Chemistry*, 326, 126845. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem. 2020.126845
- Chen, Z., Du, Y., Mao, Z., Zhang, Z., Li, P. & Cao, C. (2022) Grain starch, fatty acids, and amino acids determine the pasting properties in dry cultivation plus rice cultivars. *Food Chemistry*, 373(Part B), 131472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131472
- Cramer, W., Guiot, J., Fader, M., Garrabou, J., Gattuso, J.P., Iglesias, A. et al. (2018) Climate change and interconnected risks to sustainable development in the Mediterranean. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(11), 972–980. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0299-2
- Crecchio, C. (2020) Genetic diversity of soil bacteria. *Diversity*, 12(11), 414. https://doi.org/10.3390/d12110414
- de Sousa, A., AbdElgawad, H., Asard, H., Pinto, A., Soares, C., Branco-Neves, S. et al. (2017) Metalaxyl effects on antioxidant defenses in leaves and roots of *Solanum nigrum* L. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1967. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01967
- Etienne, P., Diquelou, S., Prudent, M., Salon, C., Maillard, A. & Ourry, A. (2018) Macro and micronutrient storage in plants and their remobilisation when facing scarcity: the case of drought. *Agriculture*, 8, 14.

- Feller, U., Kopriva, S. & Vassileva, V. (2018) Plant nutrient dynamics in stressful environments: needs interfere with burdens. Agriculture, 8(7), 97. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8070097
- Galili, G. (2002) New insight into the regulation and functional significance of lysine metabolism in plants. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 53, 27–43.
- Galtier, N., Foyer, C.H., Murchie, E., Aired, R., Quick, P., Voelker, T.A. et al. (1995) Effects of light and atmosphere CO₂ enrichment on photosynthetic carbon partitioning and carbon/nitrogen ratios in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) plants over-expressing sucrose phosphate synthase. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 46, 1335–1344.
- Gazzani, F. (2021) Rethinking the mineral fertiliser subsidy scheme to promote environmental protection in Italy. *Outlook Agric*, 50(3), 230–237. https://doi.org/10.1177/00307270211031274
- Graziano, S., Marmiroli, N., Visioli, G. & Gullì, M. (2020) Proteins and metabolites as indicators of flours quality and nutritional properties of two durum wheat varieties grown in different Italian locations. *Food*, 9(3), 315. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9030315
- Haneklaus, S.H., Bloem, E. & Schnug, E. (2018) Hungry pants a short treatise on how to feed crops under stress. Agriculture, 8(3), 43. https:// doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8030043
- Hartree, E.F. (1972) Determination of protein: a modification of the Lowry method that gives a linear photometric response. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 48, 422–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(72) 90094-2
- Ha-Tran, D.M., Nguyen, T.T.M., Hung, S.H., Huang, E. & Huang, C.C. (2021) Roles of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in stimulating salinity stress defense in plants: a review. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(6), 3154. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms22063154

ISTAT. (2021) Istituto Nazionale di Statistica. http://dati.istat.it/

- Ilyas, N., Mazhar, R., Yasmin, H., Khan, W., Iqbal, S., Enshasy, H.E. et al. (2020) Rhizobacteria isolated from saline soil induce systemic tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) against salinity stress. Agronomy, 10(7), 989. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10070989
- Kan, C.C., Chung, T.Y., Juo, Y.A. & Hsieh, M.H. (2015) Glutamine rapidly induces the expression of key transcription factor genes involved in nitrogen and stress responses in rice roots. *BMC Genomics*, 16, 731. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1892-7
- Khan, N. (2021) Application of plant growth promoting microorganism and plant growth regulators in agricultural production and research. Agronomy, 11(3), 524. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11030524
- Liu, X., Yang, X., Wang, L., Duan, Q. & Huang, D. (2016) Comparative analysis of metabolites profile in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) affected by different concentrations of gly and nitrate. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 204, 8–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2016.02.037
- Lo Papa, G., Dazzi, C., Némethy, S., Corti, G. & Cocco, S. (2020) Land setup systems in Italy: a long tradition of soil and water conservation sewed up to a variety of pedo-climatic environments. *Italian Journal of Agronomy*, 15(4), 281–292. https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2020.1760
- Lou, H.Q., Fan, W., Xu, J.M., Gong, Y.L., Jin, J.F., Chen, W.W. et al. (2016) An oxalyl-CoA synthetase is involved in oxalate degradation and aluminum tolerance. *Plant Physiology*, 172(3), 1679–1690. https://doi. org/10.1104/pp.16.01106
- Manoj, S.R., Karthik, C., Kadirvelu, K., Arulselvi, P.I., Shanmugasundaram, T., Bruno, B. et al. (2020) Understanding the molecular mechanisms for the enhanced phytoremediation of heavy metals through plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a review. *Journal* of Environmental Management, 254, 109779. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jenvman.2019.109779
- Meena, P. & Rai, A.K. (2017) Biochemical analysis of PGPR and its effect on chlorophyl, ascorbic acid, starch & total polyphenolic content (TPC) of different varieties of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 6(7), 310–321.

- Meena, V.S., Meena, S.K., Verma, J.P., Kumar, A., Aeron, A., Mishra, P.K. et al. (2017) Plant beneficial rhizospheric microorganism (PBRM) strategies to improve nutrients use efficiency: a review. *Ecological Engineering*, 107, 8–32.
- Miyagi, A., Noguchi, K., Tokida, T., Usui, Y., Nakamura, H., Sakai, H. et al. (2019) Oxalate contents in leaves of two rice cultivars grown at a freeair CO₂ enrichment (FACE) site. *Plant Production Science*, 22(3), 407– 411. https://doi.org/10.1080/1343943X.2019.1598272
- Notununu, I., Moleleki, L., Roopnarain, A. & Adeleke, R. (2022) Effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on the molecular responses of maise under drought and heat stresses: a review. *Pedosphere*, 32(1), 90–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(21)60051-6
- Paladino, O., Massabò, M. & Gandoglia, E. (2020) Assessment of nitrate hazards in Umbria region (Italy) using field datasets: good agriculture practices and farms sustainability. *Sustainability*, 12(22), 9497. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su12229497
- Parida, A.K. & Das, A.B. (2005) Salt tolerance and salinity effect on plants: a review. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 60, 324–349.
- Parthasarathy, A., Savka, M.A. & Hudson, A.O. (2019) The synthesis and role of β-alanine in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science, 10, 921. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00921
- Pii, Y., Borruso, L., Brusetti, L., Crecchio, C., Cesco, S. & Mimmo, T. (2016) The interaction between iron nutrition, plant species and soil type shapes the rhizosphere microbiome. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 99, 39–48.
- Prathap, V., Ali, K., Singh, A., Vishwakarma, C., Krishnan, V., Chinnusamy, V. et al. (2019) Starch accumulation in rice grains subjected to drought during grain filling stage. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 142, 440–451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019. 07.027
- Rezaei-Chiyaneh, E., Amirnia, R., Amani Machiani, M., Javanmard, A., Maggi, F. & Morshedloo, M.R. (2020) Intercropping fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* L.) with common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by PGPR inoculation: a strategy for improving yield, essential oil and fatty acid composition. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 261, 108951. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108951
- Riaz, M.W., Yang, L., Yousaf, M.I., Sami, A., Mei, X.D., Shah, L. et al. (2021) Effects of heat stress on growth, physiology of plants, yield and grain quality of different spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *Sustainability*, 13(5), 2972. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su13052972
- Saberi Riseh, R., Ebrahimi-Zarandi, M., Gholizadeh Vazvani, M. & Skorik, Y. A. (2021) Reducing drought stress in plants by encapsulating plant growth-promoting bacteria with polysaccharides. *International Journal* of *Molecular Sciences*, 22(23), 12979. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijms222312979
- Sakr, N., Rhazi, L. & Aussenac, T. (2021) Bread wheat quality under limiting environmental conditions: I-molecular properties of storage proteins and starch constituents in mature grains. *Agriculture*, 11(4), 289.
- Sarkar, J., Chakraborty, U. & Chakraborty, B. (2021) High-temperature resilience in *Bacillus safensis* primed wheat plants: a study of dynamic response associated with modulation of antioxidant machinery, differential expression of HSPs and osmolyte biosynthesis. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 182, 104315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envexpbot.2020.104315
- Sehgal, A., Sita, K., Siddique, K.H.M., Kumar, R., Bhogireddy, S., Varshney, R.K. et al. (2018) Drought or/and heat-stress effects on seed filling in food crops: impacts on functional biochemistry, seed yields, and nutritional quality. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 1705. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01705
- Sonbarse, P.P., Kiran, K., Sharma, P. & Parvatam, G. (2020) Biochemical and molecular insights of PGPR application for the augmentation of carotenoids, tocopherols, and folate in the foliage of Moringa oleifera. *Phytochemistry*, 179, 112506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem. 2020.112506

- Studer, C., Hu, Y. & Schmidhalter, U. (2017) Interactive effects of N-, Pand K-nutrition and drought stress on the development of maise seedlings. *Agriculture*, 7(11), 90. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture7110090
- Tahjib-Ul-Arif, M., Zahan, M.I., Karim, M.M., Imran, S., Hunter, C.T., Islam, M.S. et al. (2021) Citric acid-mediated abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(13), 7235. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22137235
- Torras-Claveria, L., Berkov, S., Codina, C., Viladomat, F. & Bastida, J. (2014) Metabolomic analysis of bioactive Amaryllidaceae alkaloids of ornamental varieties of narcissus by GC-MS combined with k-means cluster analysis. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 56, 211–222.
- Tretter, L., Patocs, A. & Chinopoulos, C. (2016) Succinate, an intermediate in metabolism, signal transduction, ROS, hypoxia, and tumorigenesis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1857(8), 1086– 1101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2016.03.012
- Triboï, E., Martre, P. & Triboï-Blondel, A.M. (2003) Environmentallyinduced changes of protein composition for developing grains of wheat are related to changes in total protein content. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54, 1731–1742. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erg183
- Tuna, A.L., Kaya, C., Ashraf, M., Altunlu, H., Yokas, I. & Yagmur, B. (2007) The effects of calcium sulphate on growth, membrane stability and nutrient uptake of tomato plants grown under salt stress. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 59, 173–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envexpbot.2005.12.007
- Upadhyay, S.K. & Singh, D.P. (2015) Effect of salt-tolerant plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria on wheat plants and soil health in a saline environment. *Plant Biology*, 17, 288–293.
- Wang, Q., Dodd, I.C., Belimov, A.A. & Jiang, F. (2016) Rhizosphere bacteria containing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase increase growth and photosynthesis of pea plants under salt stress by limiting Na+ accumulation. *Functional Plant Biology*, 43, 161–172.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, X., Huang, G., Feng, F., Liu, X., Guo, R. et al. (2020) Dynamic changes in membrane lipid composition of leaves of winter wheat seedlings in response to PEG-induced water stress. *BMC Plant Biology*, 20, 84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-020-2257-1
- Wang, H., Liu, R., You, M.P., Barbetti, M.J. & Chen, Y. (2021) Pathogen biocontrol using plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPR): role of bacterial diversity. *Microorganisms*, 9(9), 1988. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms9091988
- Wang, X., Zhang, J., Wang, X., An, J., You, C., Zhou, B. et al. (2022) The growth-promoting mechanism of *Brevibacillus laterosporus* AMCC100017 on apple rootstock Malus robusta. *Hortic Plant J*, 8, 22– 34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2021.11.005
- Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., Ricciuti, P., Allegretta, I., Allegretta, I., Terzano, R. & Crecchio, C. (2018a) Solubilisation of insoluble zinc compounds by zinc solubilising bacteria (ZSB) and optimisation of their growth conditions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 25, 25862–25868. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2638-2
- Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., Pirdashti, H., Rahimian, H., Nematzadeh, G. & Ghajar Sepanlou, M. (2018b) Potassium solubilising bacteria (KSB) isolated from rice paddy soil: from isolation, identification to K use efficiency. Symbiosis, 76(1), 13–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-017-0533-0
- Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., Leoni, B. & Crecchio, C. (2021a) Photosynthetic responses of durum wheat to chemical/microbiological fertilisation management under salt and drought stresses. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 43, 123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-021-03289-z
- Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., Crecchio, C. & Verbruggen, E. (2021b) Shifts in the rhizosphere and endosphere colonising bacterial communities under drought and salinity stress as affected by a biofertiliser consortium. *Microbial Ecology*, 84, 483–495. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00248-021-01856-y
- Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., Strafella, S., Allegretta, I. & Crecchio, C. (2021c) Isolation of bacteria with potential plant-promoting traits and

15 of 15

optimisation of their growth conditions. *Current Microbiology*, 78, 464–478. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-020-02303-w

- Yang, X., Cui, X., Zhao, L., Guo, D., Feng, L., Wei, S. et al. (2017) Exogenous glycine nitrogen enhances accumulation of glycosylated flavonoids and antioxidant activity in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa L.*). Front Plant Sci, 8, 2098. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02098
- Zhang, Q., Zhang, J., Shen, J., Silva, A., Dennis, D.A. & Barrow, C.J. (2006) A simple 96-well microplate method for estimation of total polyphenol content in seaweeds. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, 18, 445–450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-006-9048-4
- Zhen, S., Zhou, J., Deng, X., Zhu, G., Cao, H., Wang, Z. et al. (2016) Metabolite profiling of the response to high-nitrogen fertiliser during grain development of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Cereal Science, 69, 85–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2016. 02.014
- Zinta, G., AbdElgawad, H., Peshev, D., Weedon, J.T., van den Ende, W., Nijs, I. et al. (2018) Dynamics of metabolic responses

to periods of combined heat and drought in Arabidopsis thaliana under ambient and elevated atmospheric CO₂. Journal of Experimental Botany, 69, 2159–2170. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery055

How to cite this article: Yaghoubi Khanghahi, M., AbdElgawad, H., Verbruggen, E., Korany, S.M., Alsherif, E.A., Beemster, G.T.S. et al. (2022) Biofertilisation with a consortium of growth-promoting bacterial strains improves the nutritional status of wheat grain under control, drought, and salinity stress conditions. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 174(6), e13800. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13800</u>