

THE BAUM–CONNES CONJECTURE LOCALISED AT THE UNIT ELEMENT OF A DISCRETE GROUP

PAOLO ANTONINI, SARA AZZALI, AND GEORGES SKANDALIS

ABSTRACT. We construct a Baum–Connes assembly map localised at the unit element of a discrete group Γ . This morphism, called μ_τ , is defined in KK -theory with coefficients in \mathbb{R} by means of the action of the idempotent $[\tau] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ canonically associated to the group trace of Γ . We show that the corresponding τ -Baum–Connes conjecture is weaker than the classical one but still implies the strong Novikov conjecture. The right hand side of μ_τ is functorial with respect to the group Γ .

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	KK -theory with real coefficients	4
3.	The τ -element and the τ -part	7
4.	Action of $[\tau]$ on the classifying space	12
5.	Comparison between $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$ and $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$	13
6.	Relation with the Novikov conjecture	16
7.	Exactness and monster-based counterexamples	17
	References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

The Baum–Connes conjecture [BC00] predicts an isomorphism between two abelian groups naturally associated with a discrete group Γ . More precisely, an assembly map

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$$

is constructed and is conjectured to be an isomorphism. The left hand side is a topological object, based on the K -homology of proper Γ -spaces with compact quotient; the right hand side is analytic, the K -theory of the reduced C^* -algebra of Γ .

We refer to the vast literature on the subject (see [BC00, BCH93], the book [Val02] and the recent articles [BGW16, BEW18, GJV19] and the references therein). One of the main motivations of the conjecture is that the injectivity of μ implies the Novikov conjecture.

Key words: assembly maps, Novikov conjecture, equivariant Kasparov theory, classifying spaces.
MSC2010 classification: 19K35, 46L80 (primary), 58J22, 55R40 19D55 (secondary).

Paolo Antonini was partially supported by the grant H2020-MSCA-RISE-2015-691246-QUANTUM DYNAMICS; Sara Azzali acknowledges support from the DFG grant *Secondary invariants for foliations* within the Priority Programme SPP 2026 “Geometry at Infinity”.
 Published on: Compositio Math. 156 (2020), 2536E2559.
 doi:10.1112/S0010437X20007502 .

In fact, the Novikov conjecture is implied by the rational injectivity of the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly map [Kas88, MF80]

$$\tilde{\mu} : K_*(B\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$$

and the Baum–Connes map incorporates the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly in the sense that $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma : K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ is a natural, rationally injective “forgetful” map. When Γ is torsion free, one simply has $\mu = \tilde{\mu}$. In general, the left hand side $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ of the Baum–Connes map also contains information coming from finite order elements of Γ , [BCH93].

The more general formulation of the Baum–Connes conjecture is the one called “with coefficients”, which involves the action of a discrete group Γ on a C^* -algebra A . In this case, the conjecture about the bijectivity of the map

$$\mu^A : K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \longrightarrow K(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$$

is known to admit counterexamples [HLS02].

In the present paper we apply the model of KK -theory with real coefficients developed in [AAS16] to study the properties of the assembly maps. We explain how adding coefficients in \mathbb{R} provides a way to localise at the unit element of Γ . The upshot is a localised form of the Baum–Connes conjecture that we relate to the classical Baum–Connes and Novikov conjectures.

In [AAS16] we identified a distinguished idempotent element $[\tau]$ of the commutative ring $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ which is canonically associated to the group Γ via its group trace. If the group Γ acts freely and properly on a locally compact space Y , then $[\tau]$ acts as the identity element on the Γ -algebra of functions $C_0(Y)$, *i.e.* it defines the unit element of the ring $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), C_0(Y))$.

Via the action of the idempotent $[\tau]$ we define for Γ -algebras A, B the τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$ to be the subgroup

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)_{\tau} := \{x \otimes [\tau]; x \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)\} = \{x \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B) : x \otimes [\tau] = x\}$$

on which $[\tau]$ acts as the identity.

The map μ_{τ} that we construct is defined on KK -theory with real coefficients and relates the τ -parts of the left and right hand side of the Baum–Connes assembly map.

- The left hand side of the τ -assembly morphism will be $K_{*, \mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau}$.
- For the right hand side, it is natural to define the τ -part of $K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ letting $[\tau]$ act via descent *i.e.* by right multiplication with the idempotent element $J_r^{\Gamma}(1_A \otimes [\tau])$ of the ring $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$. We thus set

$$K_{*, \mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau} := \{\xi \in K_{*, \mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma) : \xi \otimes J_r^{\Gamma}(1_A \otimes [\tau]) = \xi\}.$$

- The assembly morphism defines a map

$$\mu_{\tau} : K_{*, \mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau} .$$

The τ -form of the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients in a Γ -algebra A is then the statement that μ_{τ} is an isomorphism.

We show that the τ -form of the Baum–Connes conjecture is weaker than the Baum–Connes conjecture, in the sense that, if the Baum–Connes assembly map is injective (*resp.* surjective) for $A \otimes N$ for every II_1 -factor N then μ_{τ} is injective (*resp.* surjective) for A (Theorem 3.10).

Moreover, the τ -form of Baum–Connes is “closer” to the Novikov conjecture, since it is only concerned with the part of $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ corresponding to free and proper actions. We show

Theorem 6.2. *If $\mu_\tau : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_\tau$ is injective, then the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly map $K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$ is rationally injective.*

To do so, exploiting the properties of KK -theory with real coefficients, we prove (Theorem 5.4) that the τ -part of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ identifies with $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(B\Gamma)$ and, more generally, the τ -part of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ identifies with $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$.

We already know from [AAS16] that the idempotent $[\tau]$ acts as the identity on $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$.

To show that the τ -part of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ is contained in $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$, we construct a compact Γ -space X with an invariant probability measure on which each torsion subgroup of Γ acts freely. In particular, this provides an inverse t to the map $\sigma : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau$ on the τ -part.

The relation between the localised Baum–Connes assembly map μ_τ and the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly $\tilde{\mu}$ is summarised by the following commutative diagram (Remark 6.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau \xrightarrow{\mu_\tau} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_\tau \\
 & \nearrow \sigma_* & \downarrow \\
 & & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma) \\
 & \nwarrow t & \uparrow \beta \\
 K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(B\Gamma) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & K_*(C_r^*\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} \\
 \uparrow \cong & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1} & \\
 K_*(B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} & &
 \end{array}$$

This also provides informations about the image of the assembly map $\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1$. More precisely, applying the natural map β , the image of $\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1$ is in the τ -part of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)$.

Let us also mention some other potentially important features of our construction. First, the localised map μ_τ does not distinguish between full and reduced group C^* -algebra: in fact we observe (Proposition 3.3) that

$$K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma)_\tau \simeq K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_\tau .$$

The second feature is related to functoriality. It is known that the reduced C^* -algebra of groups is not functorial with respect to (non injective) morphisms $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ of countable groups, unlike the left hand side of $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$. On the other hand, we show that the group $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma)_\tau$ is functorial (see Section 3.2).

From the above properties, arguing that the Baum–Connes conjecture holds for hyperbolic groups ([Laf12]), one could hope to be able to establish bijectivity of μ_τ in a great generality.

For the case with coefficients in a Γ -algebra this is unfortunately not the case: in Section 7 we show that the construction of [HLS02] for group actions using the “Gromov monster” still provides counterexamples to the bijectivity of the localised Baum–Connes assembly $\mu_\tau : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_\tau \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$ for a suitable choice of coefficients A . Again the failure of exactness is the source of counterexamples. In fact, using the properties of a Gromov

monster group Γ ([AD08, Gro03]), and letting $A := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; c_0(\Gamma))$ we show that the sequence of the τ -parts

$$K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}((A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$$

is not exact in the middle. This proves that μ_τ cannot be an isomorphism for every Γ -algebra.

On the terminology. Let's say in what sense the element τ *localises at the unit element*.

- Naively, τ really takes the value of a function at the unit element.
- In a more sophisticated sense, we know from [BCH93] that the left hand side $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ rationally breaks into contributions of the various conjugacy classes of Γ . In the same way, it is known from [Bur85] that the cyclic cohomology of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$ breaks into contributions of the various conjugacy classes of the group; the one associated to the unit element is equal to the cohomology group $H^*(\Gamma; \mathbb{C}) = H^*(B\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$. The idempotent $[\tau]$ isolates this component at the level of the “right hand side” $K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$.

Notation. All the tensor products considered here are minimal tensor products and will be just written with the sign “ \otimes ”.

We will use the sign “ \otimes_D ” for the Kasparov product of KK -elements over a C^* -algebra D in the sense of [Kas81, Kas88]. When $x \in KK(A, D)$ and $y \in KK(D, B)$ we will sometimes drop the subscript D and write $x \otimes y$ instead of $x \otimes_D y$.

Throughout the paper, when dealing with classifying spaces $E\Gamma$ and $B\Gamma$, we always use the notation $K_*(B\Gamma)$ and or $K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma)$ to mean the K -homology with compact supports (or Γ -compact supports), which are often denoted by RK_* and RK_*^Γ in the literature.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the referee for many helpful suggestions which greatly improved the paper.

2. KK -THEORY WITH REAL COEFFICIENTS

In this section we briefly recall some constructions we will need later.

2.1. Non-separable C^* -algebras and K -theory. Let us recall some material from [Sk85b]. One shows that, when A is a separable C^* -algebra, then for every C^* -algebra B , the group $KK(A, B)$ is the inductive limit over all the separable subalgebras of B . Based on this, one constructs a new group $KK_{\text{sep}}(A, B)$ for non necessarily separable A and B ; it is defined as the projective limit of the groups $KK(A_1, B)$ where A_1 runs over all the separable subalgebras $A_1 \subset A$. It enjoys two important properties:

- the familiar Kasparov group maps to this new $KK(A, B)$,
- in the new $KK(A, B)$ the Kasparov product

$$\otimes_D : KK_{\text{sep}}(A_1, B_1 \otimes D) \times KK_{\text{sep}}(D \otimes A_2, B_2) \longrightarrow KK_{\text{sep}}(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$$

is always defined without separability assumptions. This follows from the naturality of the Kasparov product in the separable case.

One may note that all these facts can immediately be extended to the equivariant case - with respect to a second countable group Γ . We have

Lemma 2.1. *Let Γ be a countable discrete group, and B a Γ -algebra.*

- (1) *For every separable Γ algebra A , the group $KK^\Gamma(A, B)$ is the inductive limit of $KK^\Gamma(A, B_1)$ where B_1 runs over separable Γ -subalgebras $B_1 \subset B$.*

- (2) For every separable algebra A , the group $KK(A; B \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ is the inductive limit of $KK(A, B_1 \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ where B_1 runs over separable Γ -subalgebras $B_1 \subset B$.

The proof of (1) goes as in [Sk85b, Rk. 3.2], by using that Γ is a countable group.

Statement (2) follows from the fact that every separable subalgebra of $B \rtimes_r \Gamma$ is contained in a $B_1 \rtimes_r \Gamma$.

Note that the same remarks hold also for full crossed products.

2.2. KK -theory with real coefficients. In [AAS16], we constructed the functor $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G$ by taking an inductive limit over II_1 -factors. More precisely, given a locally compact group (oid) and two G -algebras A and B , we defined $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ as the limit of $KK^G(A, B \otimes M)$ where M runs over II_1 -factors with trivial G action and unital embeddings.

An equivalent definition of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ can be given by taking limits of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B \otimes D)$ over pairs (D, λ) where D is a unital C^* -algebra with trivial G -action, and λ is a tracial state on D [AAS16, Remarks 1.6].

2.3. The Kasparov product in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G$. Let $x_1 \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A_1, B_1 \otimes D)$ and $x_2 \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(D \otimes A_2, B_2 \otimes M_2)$; represent them as elements $y_1 \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A_1, B_1 \otimes M_1 \otimes D)$ and $y_2 \in KK^G(D \otimes A_2, M_2 \otimes B_2)$ where M_1 and M_2 are II_1 -factors. The Kasparov product $(y_1 \otimes 1_{A_2}) \otimes_D (1_{B_1 \otimes M_1} \otimes y_2)$ is an element in $KK^G(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes B_2)$. Finally using the canonical morphism $M_1 \otimes M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \overline{\otimes} M_2$ to the von Neumann tensor product, we obtain an element $x_1 \otimes_D x_2 \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$.

The Kasparov product in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G$ has all the usual properties (bilinearity, associativity, functoriality, ...). In particular, we have:

Lemma 2.2. *The exterior product in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G$ is commutative.*

Proof. Let A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 be G -algebras, $x_i \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A_i, B_i)$. There exist II_1 -factors M_1, M_2 with trivial G -action such that x_i are images of $y_i \in KK^G(A_i, B_i \otimes M_i)$. By [Kas88, Thm 2.14 (8)], the exterior Kasparov products $y_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y_2$ and $y_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y_1$ coincide (under the natural flip isomorphisms) in $KK^G(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes M_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes M_2)$. The Kasparov products $x_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} x_2$ and $x_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} x_1$ are, by definition, the images of $y_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y_2$ and $y_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} y_1$ respectively in the group $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A_1 \otimes A_2, B_1 \otimes B_2)$ through a morphism from $M_1 \otimes M_2$ to a II_1 -factor (e.g. their von Neumann tensor product) and then passing to the inductive limit. They coincide. \square

As a direct consequence, we find:

Proposition 2.3. *For every pair (A, B) of G -algebras, $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ is a module over the ring $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ and the Kasparov product is $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ -bilinear. In particular $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, A)$ is an algebra over this ring.* \square

Remarks 2.4.

- (1) Note also that using the group morphism $G \rightarrow \{1\}$, we obtain a ring morphism $\mathbb{R} = KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ and it follows that the groups $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ are real vector spaces and $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, A)$ is an algebra over \mathbb{R} (see also [AAS16, Remark 1.9]).
- (2) Let M be a II_1 -factor with trivial G -action. The identity of M defines an element $[\text{id}_M] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(M, \mathbb{C})$. We have a canonical map $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B \otimes M) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ by Kasparov product with this element. Let us describe it:
let N be a II_1 -factor and $x \in KK^G(A, B \otimes M \otimes N)$ representing in the limit an element in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B \otimes M)$. Denote by $M \overline{\otimes} N$ the von Neumann tensor product

of M and N . Using the embedding $B \otimes M \otimes N \rightarrow B \otimes (M \overline{\otimes} N)$ we get a morphism $KK^G(A, B \otimes M \otimes N) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$.

Let $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M$ denote the unital inclusion. The element $\iota^*[\text{id}_M]$ is the unit element of the ring $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. The element $\iota_*[\text{id}_M]$ is therefore an idempotent in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(M, M)$. It is not clear what this idempotent is.

- (3) The exterior product map $KK^G(A, B) \times KK^G(C, D \otimes M) \rightarrow KK^G(A \otimes C, B \otimes D \otimes M)$ induces an “inhomogeneous product” map

$$\otimes : KK^G(A, B) \otimes KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(C, D) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A \otimes C, B \otimes D) . \quad (2.1)$$

Clearly this is the same as computing the product in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}$ after applying the change of coefficient map $KK^G(A, B) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ (see also [AAS16, Section 1.5]).

- (4) **Real KK for non-separable algebras.** We adapt the discussion in the above Section 2.1 to define $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ when G is second countable locally compact, and A, B are generally non-separable C^* -algebras.

- To begin with let’s take A separable, B any C^* -algebra and G any locally compact group. Then there exists the inductive limit $\varinjlim_N KK^G(A, B \otimes N)$ with

N running over the space of all II_1 -factors (acting on a fixed separable Hilbert space) with tracial embeddings as morphisms. The existence of the limit is given by exactly the same proof in [AAS16] where only the general properties of the space of II_1 -factors are used and there is no need of separability assumptions on B . We can thus put:

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B) := \varinjlim_N KK^G(A, B \otimes N).$$

- Assume A is separable; start with any element in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ represented by some $x \in KK^G(A, B \otimes N)$ then we can find a separable subalgebra $D \subset B \otimes N$ whose image in $KK^G(A, B \otimes N)$ is x . We can then find also a separable C^* -subalgebra $B_1 \subset B$ and an element $x_1 \in KK^G(A, B_1 \otimes N)$ representing x . This implies

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B) = \varinjlim_{\substack{B' \subset B \\ B' \text{ separable}}} KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B).$$

- By the above remark we can define $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A, B)$ for not necessarily separable A and B and countable G . It is the analogous of $KK_{sep}^G(A, B)$ of section 2.1:

$$KK_{sep, \mathbb{R}}^G(A, B) := \varprojlim_{\substack{A' \subset A \\ A' \text{ separable}}} KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(A', B) = \varprojlim_{\substack{A' \subset A \\ A' \text{ separable}}} \varinjlim_N KK^G(A', B \otimes N), \quad (2.2)$$

and is also given with a well defined Kasparov product.

2.4. KK -elements associated with traces. If D is a C^* -algebra (with trivial G -action) and λ is a tracial state on D , we may map D in a trace preserving way into a II_1 -factor and thus λ gives rise to a natural KK -class with real coefficients $[\lambda] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, \mathbb{C})$.

Let us make some remarks.

Remarks 2.5. Let D be a G -algebra and λ a tracial state on D .

- (1) If the action of G on D is inner - *i.e.* through a continuous morphism of G into the set of unitary elements of D , then D with the G action is Morita equivalent to the algebra D with trivial G action, and thus $[\lambda] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(D, \mathbb{C})$ is still well defined.

- (2) Recall that when G is discrete and acts trivially on B the groups $KK^G(A, B)$ and $KK(A \rtimes G, B)$ coincide (the crossed product $A \rtimes G$ is the maximal one). The same isomorphism holds at $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G$ level.
- (3) If G is discrete and λ is invariant, then the crossed product algebra $D \rtimes G$ carries the natural (dual) trace associated with λ . We have an equivariant inclusion $D \rightarrow D \rtimes G$ where the action of G is now inner on $D \rtimes G$. We thus still get an element $[\lambda] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^G(D, \mathbb{C})$.
- (4) Let Γ be a discrete group. Then by the discussion above every tracial state on $C^*\Gamma$ defines an element of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. Let σ, σ' be tracial states on $C^*\Gamma$. Let $\delta : C^*\Gamma \rightarrow C^*\Gamma \otimes C^*\Gamma$ denote the coproduct; then we can write $[\sigma] \otimes [\sigma'] = [\sigma \cdot \sigma']$ where $\sigma \cdot \sigma'$ is the tracial state $\sigma \cdot \sigma' := (\sigma \otimes \sigma') \circ \delta$. We have $\sigma \cdot \sigma'(g) = \sigma(g)\sigma'(g)$ on every $g \in \Gamma$.

In particular, the canonical group trace tr_{Γ} which satisfies $\text{tr}_{\Gamma}(e) = 1$ and $\text{tr}_{\Gamma}(g) = g$ for $g \neq e$ absorbs every tracial state: $[\sigma] \otimes [\text{tr}_{\Gamma}] = [\text{tr}_{\Gamma}]$.

2.5. Descent morphism. Let us describe the descent morphism in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let Γ be a discrete group, then there is a descent map

$$J^{\Gamma} : KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B) \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes \Gamma, B \rtimes \Gamma), \quad (2.3)$$

with respect to the maximal crossed product, which is induced by the classical Kasparov descent map on KK^{Γ} . It is natural with respect to the Kasparov product and satisfies $J^{\Gamma}(1_A) = 1_{A \rtimes \Gamma}$.

Indeed let $M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of C^* -algebras, both with trivial Γ action, and let B a Γ -algebra; we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B \otimes M) \rtimes \Gamma & \longrightarrow & (B \otimes N) \rtimes \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (B \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes M & \longrightarrow & (B \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes N, \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

which follows from the universal properties of the full crossed product and the fact that Γ acts trivially on M and N . When $M \hookrightarrow N$ is a unital embedding of II_1 -factors, applying the KK functor and the Kasparov descent map before passing to the limit defines (2.3).

We have a commutative diagram similar to (2.4) involving reduced crossed products. Actually, when taking reduced crossed products (and minimal tensor products) the vertical arrows are isomorphisms: let B be acting faithfully on the Hilbert space H_1 and M on the Hilbert space H_2 ; then both $(B \otimes M) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ and $(B \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes M$ are canonically and faithfully represented in the Hilbert space $H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes \ell^2 \Gamma$ - and the same with N instead of M .

Therefore, in the same way we define a reduced descent morphism

$$J_r^{\Gamma} : KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B) \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, B \rtimes_r \Gamma). \quad (2.5)$$

3. THE τ -ELEMENT AND THE τ -PART

Let Γ be a discrete group; the τ -element of Γ is associated to Γ by means of its canonical trace $\text{tr}_{\Gamma} : C^*\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Indeed applying point (4) of Remark 2.5 to tr_{Γ} we get a natural class that we call $[\tau] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$.

Two algebraic properties of $[\tau]$ are immediate to check: $[\tau]$ is an idempotent, *i.e.* $[\tau] \otimes [\tau] = [\tau]$ (see [AAS16]); furthermore, $[\tau]$ is *central* in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$, *i.e.* for any Γ -algebras A, B and any $[x] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$ then $[x] \otimes [\tau] = [\tau] \otimes [x]$, as follows by Lemma 2.2.

Since τ is fundamental for what follows, it is worth giving a more detailed description:

- one starts with any trace preserving morphism $\varphi_N : C^*\Gamma \longrightarrow N$ to a II_1 -factor which defines a class in $KK(C^*\Gamma, N)$ and in the limit a class $[\varphi_N] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma; \mathbb{C})$.
- Consider Γ acting trivially on \mathbb{C} and apply the canonical isomorphism $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ to the class $[\varphi_N]$. The element we get is $[\tau]$.
- Concretely $[\tau]$ is represented in the limit by the class in $KK^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, N)$ of the Γ - (\mathbb{C}, N) bimodule which is N (considered as a Hilbert N -module) with the Γ -action $\gamma \cdot n = \varphi_N(\gamma)n$. If Γ is an i.c.c. group, one can take $N = L\Gamma$ to be the group von Neumann algebra of Γ . More generally one can for instance embed Γ in an i.c.c. group Γ' and take N to be the group von Neumann algebra of Γ' .

3.1. The τ -part of K -theory.

3.1.1. *The τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$ and of crossed products.* The action of the idempotent $[\tau]$ suggests the following definition.

Definition 3.1. We will denote by $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)_{\tau}$ the image of the idempotent $[\tau]$ acting on $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$ and call it the τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$.

It is natural to define the τ -part also for the K -theory of group C^* -algebras (or more generally crossed products). To do so, we consider the action of the element $J^{\Gamma}([\tau])$ obtained by applying the descent morphisms, as follows.

Definition 3.2 (τ -part of crossed products). Let J^{Γ} and J_r^{Γ} be the descent maps defined in (2.3), (2.5). Let A be a Γ - C^* -algebra. Let 1_A denote the unit of $KK^{\Gamma}(A, A)$. We set

$$[\tau^A]_{\max} := J^{\Gamma}(1_A \otimes [\tau]) \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes \Gamma, A \rtimes \Gamma) \quad (3.1)$$

$$[\tau^A]_r := J_r^{\Gamma}(1_A \otimes [\tau]) \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, A \rtimes_r \Gamma). \quad (3.2)$$

When $A = \mathbb{C}$ we simply write $[\tau]_{\max}$ and $[\tau]_r$.

Let now D be any C^* -algebra. We call *the τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$* the image of the idempotent $[\tau^A]_r$ acting by right multiplication on $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$:

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau} := \text{Image}\{ \cdot \otimes [\tau^A]_r : KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \}.$$

Analogously, *the τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes \Gamma)$* , denoted $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes \Gamma)_{\tau}$, is the image of $[\tau^A]_{\max}$ acting by left multiplication on $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes \Gamma)$. When $D = \mathbb{C}$ we abbreviate with $K_{*, \mathbb{R}}(C^*\Gamma)_{\tau}$ and $K_{*, \mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_{\tau}$.

3.1.2. *Reduced versus maximal crossed products.* The name τ -part is unambiguous; indeed the next Proposition shows that $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes \Gamma)_{\tau}$ and $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau}$ are canonically isomorphic.

Let $\lambda^A : A \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow A \rtimes_r \Gamma$ be the natural morphism and denote by $[\lambda^A] \in KK(A \rtimes \Gamma, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ its class.

There is also a natural morphism $\Delta^A : A \rtimes_r \Gamma \rightarrow (A \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes C_r^*\Gamma$ induced by the coproduct. Indeed, the coproduct gives a morphism $\Delta_{\max}^A : A \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow (A \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma)$ defined by the covariant morphism $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$ and $g \mapsto g \otimes \lambda_g$. Let $A \rtimes \Gamma$ be faithfully represented on a Hilbert space H . Then we obtain a faithful representation π of $(A \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes C_r^*(\Gamma)$ on $H \otimes \ell^2(\Gamma)$. The composition $\pi \circ \Delta_{\max}^A$ is the canonical faithful representation of the reduced crossed product. It follows that the morphism Δ_{\max}^A factors through $A \rtimes_r \Gamma$: there is a (faithful) morphism $\Delta^A : A \rtimes_r \Gamma \rightarrow (A \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes C_r^*\Gamma$ such that $\Delta_{\max}^A = \Delta^A \circ \lambda^A$.

Composing with a trace preserving embedding of $C_r^*\Gamma$ in a II_1 -factor N , we thus obtain a class $[\Delta_{\tau}^A] = [\Delta^A] \otimes_{C_r^*\Gamma} [\tau] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, A \rtimes \Gamma)$.

Proposition 3.3. (Cf. [AAS16, proof of Remark 2.4]) *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda^A] \otimes [\Delta_\tau^A] &= [\tau^A]_{\max} \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes \Gamma, A \rtimes \Gamma), \\ [\Delta_\tau^A] \otimes [\lambda^A] &= [\tau^A]_r \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, A \rtimes_r \Gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Then for every C^* -algebra D the product with $[\Delta_\tau^A]$ induces a canonical isomorphism

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \xrightarrow{\cong} KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes \Gamma)_\tau.$$

Proof. Represent $[\tau]$ using a trace preserving morphism $\varphi : C_r^*\Gamma \rightarrow N$. Then $[\tau^A]_{\max}$ is given by the composition $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_{\max}^A = (\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta^A \circ \lambda^A$. The first equality follows.

In the same way, $[\tau^A]_r$ is given by the composition $(\text{id} \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta_r^A$, where Δ_r^A is the usual coproduct $A \rtimes_r \Gamma \rightarrow (A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes C_r^*\Gamma$. The second equality follows since $\Delta_r^A = (\lambda^A \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta^A$. \square

We can interpret the above fact by saying that $[\tau]$ belongs to the “ K -amenable part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ ” in the spirit of [Cun83].

3.1.3. Functoriality. We remark here a functoriality property of the τ -parts that we need later.

Let A and B be Γ -algebras and $x \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(A, B)$.

Proposition 3.4. *The map $\cdot \otimes_{J_r(x)} : KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, B \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ preserves the τ -parts inducing a map $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D, B \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$. In particular if $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an equivariant morphism, the induced map $f_* : KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, B \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ preserves the τ -parts.*

Proof. Let $y \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D; A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$. Then $y = y \otimes J_r(1_A \otimes [\tau])$ and $y \otimes J_r(x) = y \otimes J_r([\tau] \otimes x) = y \otimes J_r(x \otimes [\tau]) \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(D; B \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$. \square

This discussion holds of course also for the full crossed product.

3.2. Naturality of the τ -part of $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*\Gamma)$ with respect to group morphisms.

Let $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ be a morphism of groups. It defines a morphism $\varphi : C^*\Gamma_1 \rightarrow C^*\Gamma_2$ and therefore an element $[\varphi] \in KK(C^*\Gamma_1, C^*\Gamma_2)$. This morphism is not defined in general at the level of reduced C^* -algebras (if $\ker \varphi$ is not amenable) unlike the left hand side $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ of the Baum–Connes assembly map.

Using the τ elements, we can easily bypass this difficulty.

We denote by $[\tau_1] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma_1}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ and $[\tau_2] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma_2}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ the corresponding τ -elements.

Define $[\varphi]_r \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma_1, C_r^*\Gamma_2)$ by putting $[\varphi]_r = (\lambda_2 \circ \varphi)_*[\Delta_{\tau_1}]$ where $[\Delta_{\tau_1}] = [\Delta_{\tau_1}^{\mathbb{C}}] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma_1, C^*\Gamma_1)$ was defined in section 3.1.2.

By Kasparov product by $[\varphi]_r$, we obtain a linear map $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*\Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{\otimes[\varphi]_r} KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*\Gamma_2)$.

Claim 3.5. $[\varphi]_r = J_r^{\Gamma_1}([\tau_1]) \otimes [\varphi]_r \otimes J_r^{\Gamma_2}([\tau_2])$. In particular, the map $\otimes[\varphi]_r$ preserves the τ -parts.

Indeed,

- $[\Delta_{\tau_1}] = J_r^{\Gamma_1}([\tau_1]) \otimes [\Delta_{\tau_1}]$, and thus $[\varphi]_r = J_r^{\Gamma_1}([\tau_1]) \otimes [\varphi]_r$.
- The element $[\varphi]_r$ is the class of a morphism $C_r^*(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma_2) \otimes N_1$ given by $\delta_{g_1} \mapsto \delta_{\varphi(g_1)} \otimes j_1(\delta_{g_1})$ (for $g_1 \in \Gamma_1$) where N_1 is any II_1 -factor and $j_1 : C_r^*\Gamma_1 \rightarrow N_1$ is any trace preserving morphism.

Let $j_1 : C_r^*\Gamma_1 \rightarrow N_1$ and $j_2 : C_r^*\Gamma_2 \rightarrow N_2$ be trace preserving inclusions into II_1 -factors. The element $[\varphi]_r \otimes J_r^{\Gamma_2}([\tau_2])$ corresponds to the morphism $C_r^*(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow$

$C_r^*(\Gamma_2) \otimes N_2 \overline{\otimes} N_1$, given by $\delta_{g_1} \mapsto \delta_{\varphi(g_1)} \otimes j_2(\delta_{\varphi(g_1)}) \otimes j_1(\delta_{g_1})$. But the map $\delta_{g_1} \mapsto j_2(\delta_{\varphi(g_1)}) \otimes j_1(\delta_{g_1})$ is a trace preserving inclusion of $C_r^*\Gamma_1$ into the II_1 -factor $N_2 \overline{\otimes} N_1$, and thus $[\varphi]_r \otimes J_r^{\Gamma_2}([\tau_2]) = [\varphi]_r$.

Hence we have shown

Proposition 3.6. *A morphism of discrete groups $\varphi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ naturally induces a linear map*

$$K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma_1)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma_2)_\tau .$$

3.3. The τ -Baum–Connes map.

3.3.1. *Classifying spaces.* Let Γ be a discrete group and A a Γ -algebra. The group $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ is defined [BCH93] by

$$K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) = \varinjlim_Y KK_*^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A).$$

In this direct limit of Kasparov equivariant homology groups, all the proper cocompact Γ -invariant subspaces $Y \subset \underline{E}\Gamma$ of the classifying space for proper actions $\underline{E}\Gamma$ are taken into account.

The universal property of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ ensures that every proper Γ -space Z has a Γ -map to $\underline{E}\Gamma$ which is unique up to homotopy. Therefore $K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma; A)$ is the limit of the inductive system $KK_*^\Gamma(C_0(Z), A)$ where Z runs over proper and cocompact Γ -spaces. We can therefore use the following notation: for a pair (Y, y) where Y is a proper and cocompact Γ -space and $y \in KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A)$, we denote by $\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket$ its associated class in the inductive limit.

Concerning real coefficients, we define:

$$K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) = \varinjlim_{\substack{Y \subset \underline{E}\Gamma \\ Y \text{ } \Gamma\text{-compact}}} KK_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A).$$

Notice this is an iterated limit, first over factors, then on subsets Y . It exists because $Y \mapsto KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A)$ is a directed system with values groups. Also by Remark 1.6 (4) in [AAS16], the opposite iterated limit

$$\varinjlim_N \varinjlim_{\substack{Y \subset \underline{E}\Gamma \\ Y \text{ } \Gamma\text{-compact}}} KK_*^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A \otimes N) = \varinjlim_N K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A \otimes N).$$

exists. Here N ranges over a fixed space of II_1 -factors acting on a separable Hilbert space and with morphisms $N \rightarrow M$ which are trace preserving embeddings. We can show that these two limits coincide and give a well defined group $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$. Indeed we are just taking the limits in the two entries of the covariant bifunctor $(Y, N) \mapsto KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A \otimes N)$ defined on the corresponding product category. Generalising the notation above, we are thus allowed to represent elements in $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ as classes $\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket$ with Y proper Γ -compact Γ -space and $y \in KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A \otimes N)$.

3.3.2. *The Baum–Connes map with real coefficients.* Let A be a Γ -algebra. Recall that the Baum–Connes assembly map $\mu^A : K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \rightarrow K_*(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ assigns to $\llbracket Y, \xi \rrbracket \in K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$, as above the element

$$\mu^A(\llbracket Y, \xi \rrbracket) = p_Y \otimes j_r^\Gamma(\xi)$$

where $p_Y \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(Y) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ is the Kasparov projector.

The map μ^A factors through the K -theory of the maximal crossed product $K_*(A \rtimes \Gamma)$. We will sometimes write just μ instead of μ^A if $A = \mathbb{C}$ or when there is no ambiguity on the Γ -algebra A .

Replacing A with $A \otimes N$ for a II_1 -factor N (with trivial Γ -action), and using the isomorphism $(A \otimes N) \rtimes_r \Gamma \simeq (A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N$ defines a collection of assembly maps $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A \otimes N) \longrightarrow K_*((A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N)$ passing to the limit to an assembly map in $K_{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma). \quad (3.4)$$

By construction $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ is formally defined by the same recipe as μ .

Lemma 3.7. *The assembly map $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by first applying the real reduced descent map and then taking the $KK_{\mathbb{R}}$ -product with $p_Y \in KK(\mathbb{C}, C_0(Y) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ followed by the direct limit on Y :*

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y); A) \xrightarrow{J_r^{\Gamma}} KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C_0(Y) \rtimes_r \Gamma; A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \xrightarrow{p_Y \otimes} KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}; A \rtimes \Gamma) .$$

Proof. We know that we can interchange the limits in our definition of $K_{\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$. Then the Lemma follows immediately by the definition of the descent morphism in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}$ (2.3) and of the $KK_{\mathbb{R}}$ -Kasparov product. Indeed starting from (3.4), and with self-explanatory notation:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}} = \lim_N \mu^{A \otimes N} = \lim_N \lim_Y p_Y \otimes J_r^{\Gamma} = \lim_Y p_Y \otimes \lim_N J_r^{\Gamma} .$$

□

3.3.3. The τ -Baum–Connes map. We now define a map $\mu_{\tau} : K_{\mathbb{R},*}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R},*}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau}$ between the corresponding τ -parts of the real K -theory.

Let us remark that if A, B are Γ -algebras, $z \in K_{\mathbb{R},*}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ and $y \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$ then we have $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^B(z \otimes y) = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}^A(z) \otimes J_r^{\Gamma}(y)$. Taking $B = A$, we find that the real assembly map $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^A$ is $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ linear from the right $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ -module $K_{\mathbb{R},*}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ to the right $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ -module $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ (where $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ acts via the ring morphism $J_r^{\Gamma} : KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$). In particular, using the morphism $y \mapsto 1_A \otimes y$ from $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$, it follows that $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^A$ is $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ -linear.

We therefore find a map μ_{τ} filling the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbb{R}}} & K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \\ \downarrow [\tau] & & \downarrow [\tau]_r \\ K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\tau}} & K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau} . \end{array}$$

Here on the right vertical arrow there is the product with $[\tau]_r := J_r^{\Gamma}(1_A \otimes [\tau])$. It is straightforward to check directly the existence of μ_{τ} ; indeed using Lemma 3.7 we compute for every $z \in K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ represented as a class $[[Y, y]]$ with $y \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A)$:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(z) \otimes [\tau]_r = p_Y \otimes J_r^{\Gamma}(y \otimes [\tau]) = p_Y \otimes J_r^{\Gamma}([\tau] \otimes y) = \mu_{\mathbb{R}}([\tau] \otimes z) .$$

It follows that $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ descends to a map on the τ -parts simply given by:

$$\mu_{\tau}(x \otimes [\tau]) := \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \otimes [\tau]_r, \quad x \in K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A).$$

Definition 3.8. We call $\mu_{\tau} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} \longrightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau}$ the τ -Baum–Connes map.

We can state the following τ -form of the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients:

“Conjecture” 3.9. *The map $\mu_{\tau} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} \longrightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau}$ is bijective.*

Theorem 3.10. *Fix a Γ - C^* -algebra A ; if the Baum–Connes map*

$$\mu : K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A \otimes N) \longrightarrow K_*(A \rtimes_r \Gamma \otimes N)$$

with coefficients in $A \otimes N$ is injective (surjective) for any choice of a II_1 -factor N , then

- (1) *the corresponding $\mu_{\mathbb{R}} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ is injective (surjective);*
- (2) *the corresponding $\mu_{\tau} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau} \longrightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_{\tau}$ is injective (surjective).*

Proof. We prove (1). Statement (2) follows since the morphism $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ is $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ linear.

To establish the surjectivity statement just note that every element of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ is the image of an element of $K_*((A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N) = K_*((A \otimes N) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ and thus, by surjectivity of $\mu^{A \otimes N}$, from an element in $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A \otimes N)$.

Let us now establish the injectivity statement. Let $x \in K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ be such that $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^A(x) = 0 \in K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$.

The element x comes from an element $x_0 \in K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A \otimes N_0)$. The fact that $x \in \ker \mu_{\mathbb{R}}$ means that $\mu(x_0)$ is zero in some $K_*((A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N_2)$, where $N_1 \subset N_2$. By injectivity of $\mu^{A \otimes N_2}$ it follows that the image of $x_0 \in K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A \otimes N_2)$ is 0 and therefore $x = 0$. \square

4. ACTION OF $[\tau]$ ON THE CLASSIFYING SPACE

4.1. The group $K_*^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$. Replacing $\underline{E}\Gamma$ by the usual classifying space $E\Gamma$ in the definition of $K_*^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ we get the construction of the group $K_*^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$:

Definition 4.1. The equivariant K -homology with Γ -compact supports of the standard classifying space for free and proper actions is the group

$$K_*^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A) = \varinjlim_{\substack{Y \subset E\Gamma \\ Y \text{ } \Gamma\text{-compact}}} KK_*^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A).$$

In the same way as for $\underline{E}\Gamma$, the universal property of $E\Gamma$ ensures that every free and proper Γ -space Z has a Γ -map to $E\Gamma$ which is unique up to homotopy. Therefore $K_*^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$ is the limit of the inductive system $KK_*^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A)$ where Y runs over free, proper and cocompact Γ -spaces.

We denote by $\langle\langle Y, y \rangle\rangle$ the class in $K_*^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$ of a pair (Y, y) where Y is a free proper and cocompact Γ -space and $y \in KK^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A)$.

4.2. Atiyah’s theorem and $B\Gamma$. In [AAS14] we proved that Atiyah’s theorem for a covering space $\tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ with deck group Γ is equivalent to the triviality in K -theory of the Mishchenko bundle $\tilde{V} \times_{\Gamma} N$ constructed from a morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow U(N)$ into a II_1 -factor N (see also the recent [KP18]). This inspired the definition of “ K -theoretically free and proper” (KFP) algebras as the Γ -algebras where $[\tau]$ acts as the identity [AAS16].

Definition 4.2. For a Γ -algebra A we say that it has the (KFP) property if the equation $1_A^{\Gamma} \otimes [\tau] = 1_{A,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$ holds in $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$.

Here 1_A^{Γ} and $1_{A,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}$ are the units of the rings $KK^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ and $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$ respectively.

Remark 4.3. Note that A has the (KFP) property if and only if $KK_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)_{\tau} = KK_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, A)$. Also, if A has the (KFP) property then by Lemma 2.2 we know that $[\tau]$ acts as the unit element also on $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(A, B)$ and $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(B, A)$ for every C^* -algebra B .

The main examples of (KFP) algebras are the free and proper ones in the language of Kasparov [Kas88] (see [AAS16, Theorem 3.10]). Of course $C_0(Y)$ for a cocompact free and proper Γ -space Y is (KFP). Put in another way:

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be any Γ - C^* -algebra. The action of $[\tau]$ on $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$ is the identity. In particular*

$$K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)_\tau = K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$$

Proof. The K -homology group $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$ is defined as a limit over all the cocompact Γ -invariant pieces. It is thus sufficient to prove that for every cocompact free and proper Γ -space Y the element $[\tau]$ acts as the identity on $KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A)$. This is true because $C_0(Y)$ is (KFP) and by Remark 4.3. \square

5. COMPARISON BETWEEN $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$ AND $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$

In this section we use $[\tau]$ to compare the K -homology of $E\Gamma$ with the one of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ at the level of real coefficients. In particular for any Γ -algebra A we show that the natural map $\sigma : E\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{E}\Gamma$ induces an isomorphism

$$K_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\sigma_*} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_\tau .$$

In the case $A = \mathbb{C}$ this will be used in Section 6 and implies an isomorphism

$$K_*(B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow[\simeq]{} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau .$$

Note that $\sigma_*(\langle\langle Y, y \rangle\rangle) = \llbracket Y, y \rrbracket$ for every free, proper cocompact Γ -space Y and every $y \in KK_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A)$.

We construct the inverse of σ_* using any compact probability space where Γ acts probability measure preserving (p.m.p.) and sufficiently freely.

Proposition 5.1. *Let (X, m) be any compact p.m.p. Γ -space. The invariant measure m defines a dual trace $t_m : C(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ hence an element $[t_m] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C(X), \mathbb{C})$. We have $i_X^*[t_m] = [\tau]$ where $i_X : \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow C(X)$ is the unital inclusion and $[t_m] \otimes [\tau] = [t_m]$.*

Proof. The first point is an immediate consequence of Remark 2.5. By definition of a dual trace, we have $t_m \circ i_X = \tau$. Finally, $[t_m] \otimes [\tau]$ is the class of the trace $(t_m \otimes \tau) \circ \delta_X$ on $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, where $\delta_X : C(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow (C(X) \rtimes \Gamma) \otimes C^*\Gamma$ is the coaction. Obviously $(t_m \otimes \tau) \circ \delta_X = t_m$ since t_m is a dual trace. \square

5.1. Property (TAF).

Definition 5.2. A compact space X with an action of Γ such that every torsion element acts freely is said to have property (TAF), *torsion acts freely*.

Note that if X is (TAF) and Y is a proper Γ -space, then $Y \times X$ is free and proper with respect to the diagonal action. We use this simple remark to map naturally $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ to the “free and proper part” $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$, for every Γ -algebra A .

Proposition 5.3. *Let (X, m) be any compact p.m.p. Γ -space with (TAF) property, and $[t_m] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C(X), \mathbb{C})$ the class constructed above.*

(1) The product by $[t_m]$ induces a well-defined map

$$t_{(X,m)} : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \longrightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A), \quad (5.1)$$

given at the level of cycles by $t_{(X,m)}(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket) = \llbracket Y \times X, y \otimes [t_m] \rrbracket$ (where Y is a proper Γ -compact space and $y \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A)$).

(2) The composition $t_{(X,m)} \circ \sigma_*$ is the identity of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$.

(3) The map $t_{(X,m)}$ does not depend on the choice of (X, m) . We will denote it by t .

Proof. Let $\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket \in K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$. Then $y \otimes [t_m] \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y \times X), A)$ and $Y \times X$ maps to $E\Gamma$ because it is free and proper.

If Z is another proper Γ -compact space and $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is a Γ -equivariant map then $(f \times \text{id}_X)_*(y \otimes [t_m]) = f_* y \otimes [t_m]$. This shows that $t_{(X,m)}$ is well defined in the limit.

If the action of Γ on Y is free and proper, using the map of free and proper Γ -spaces $q : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$, it follows that the class $\llbracket Y \times X, w \rrbracket$ in $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$ of an element $w \in KK_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y \times X), A)$ is equal to $\llbracket Y, q_*(w) \rrbracket$. In particular, $\llbracket Y \times X, y \otimes [t_m] \rrbracket = \llbracket Y, y \otimes i_X^*[t_m] \rrbracket = \llbracket Y, y \otimes [\tau] \rrbracket = \llbracket Y, y \rrbracket$. Thus (2) follows.

Given (X_1, m_1) and (X_2, m_2) , put $X = X_1 \times X_2$ and $m = m_1 \times m_2$. Then, for every cycle $\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket$, we have $\llbracket Y \times X, y \otimes [t_m] \rrbracket = t_{(X_2, m_2)}(\llbracket Y \times X_1, y \otimes [t_{m_1}] \rrbracket)$. Since the action of Γ on $Y \times X_1$ is free and proper, it follows that $t_{(X_2, m_2)}(\llbracket Y \times X_1, y \otimes [t_{m_1}] \rrbracket) = \llbracket Y \times X_1, y \otimes [t_{m_1}] \rrbracket$ from (2), i.e. $t_{(X,m)}(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket) = t_{(X_1, m_1)}(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket)$.

In the same way $t_{(X,m)}(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket) = t_{(X_2, m_2)}(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket)$. \square

We will show below (Theorem 5.7) that every discrete countable Γ admits a compact (TAF) p.m.p. space.

In the next theorem we are going to use this space. Let $\sigma_* : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A) \rightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)$ be induced by the natural map $\sigma : E\Gamma \rightarrow \underline{E}\Gamma$.

Theorem 5.4. *The morphism t is a left inverse of σ_* . More precisely*

(1) $t \circ \sigma_* = \text{id}$ and $\sigma_* \circ t = \tau$, i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \\ \tau = \text{id} \downarrow & \swarrow t & \downarrow \tau \\ K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_*} & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A) \end{array}$$

(2) As a consequence we have an induced isomorphism

$$\sigma_* : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_{\tau}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. We already proved in Prop. 5.3.2) that $t \circ \sigma_*$ is the identity of $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(E\Gamma; A)$.

Let Y be a free proper and cocompact Γ -space and $y \in KK_{\mathbb{R}}^{\Gamma}(C_0(Y), A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_* \circ t)(\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket) &= \sigma_*(\llbracket Y \times X, y \otimes [t_m] \rrbracket) = \llbracket Y \times X, y \otimes [t_m] \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket Y, (y \otimes i_X(t_m)) \rrbracket = [\tau]\llbracket Y, y \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 5.1 (3). Notice that the third equality here follows from the defining property of $\underline{E}\Gamma$ as a direct limit over all the proper Γ -spaces. \square

A model of (TAF) space. We construct a natural (TAF) space associated to the discrete group Γ . Let $F \subset \Gamma$ be a finite subgroup; define X_F to be the space of all the (set-theoretical) sections of the projection $\pi : \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma/F$:

$$X_F := \{s : \Gamma/F \longrightarrow \Gamma, \pi \circ s = \text{Id}\}.$$

Notice we can write it as the direct product of all the cosets:

$$X_F = \prod_{[g] \in \Gamma/F} gF.$$

We give X_F the natural topological product structure. In particular it is a compact (Cantor) metrizable space. Let us consider on every coset gF the counting measure normalised by $\#(F)^{-1}$. Then we define m^F to be the product measure on X_F . Thanks to the normalisation m^F is a probability measure.

The group Γ naturally acts on the left on Γ and on Γ/F , then also on X_F by setting

$$\Gamma \curvearrowright X_F, \quad (\gamma \cdot s)[x] = \gamma s([\gamma^{-1}x]), \quad s \in X_F, x \in \Gamma.$$

Indeed (since π is equivariant), $\gamma \cdot s$ is a section.

Lemma 5.5. *Properties of X_F :*

- (1) F acts freely on X_F .
- (2) The product measure m^F is Γ -invariant.

Proof. (1) If $a \in F$ fixes s , since $aF = F$, $s(aF) = s(aF) = as(F)$ and thus $a = e$.

(2) By definition m^F is the normalised counting measure on every cylindrical set. These cylindrical sets generate the Borel structure and are in the form C_T where for a finite subset $T \subset \Gamma$ we put

$$C_T = \{s \in X_F; s(\pi(x)) = x, \text{ for all } x \in T\}.$$

If $C_T \neq \emptyset$, i.e. if π is injective on T , we have $m^F(C_T) = \#(F)^{-\#(T)}$. As $g(C_T) = C_{g(T)}$, invariance of m_F follows. \square

Definition 5.6. We define

$$X\Gamma := \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} X_F, \quad \mathcal{F} := \{\text{finite subgroups } F \subset \Gamma\},$$

endowed with the product topology, with the product probability measure $m := \prod m^F$ and, with the diagonal action of Γ .

Then $X\Gamma$ is Hausdorff, compact and second-countable; m is Γ -invariant and, by construction, every finite subgroup $F \subset \Gamma$ acts freely on one of the components - and thus on $X\Gamma$. We have shown:

Theorem 5.7. *The compact (Cantor) space $X\Gamma$ has property (TAF) and Γ preserves its probability measure.*

Remark 5.8. It is also possible, although not needed in our construction, to construct a free compact Γ -space with a probability measure preserved.

Indeed, let $g \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$, let $\langle g \rangle$ be the subgroup of Γ it generates, and let Y_g be a free compact $\langle g \rangle$ -space with a probability measure preserved. Let $X_g = (Y_g^\Gamma)^g$ be the subset of Y_g^Γ of $f : \Gamma \rightarrow Y_g$ such that $f(hg) = g^{-1}f(h)$ for all $h \in \Gamma$. The space X_g identifies with $Y_g^{\Gamma/\langle g \rangle}$ thanks to any cross-section $s : \Gamma/\langle g \rangle \rightarrow \Gamma$ and therefore carries a natural product

probability measure (independent of this cross-section). Also, Γ acts on X_g by putting $(h.f)(k) = f(h^{-1}k)$ for every $h, k \in \Gamma$ and fixes this product measure.

Note that the map $f \mapsto f(e)$ is $\langle g \rangle$ -equivariant from X_g to Y_g , and therefore X_g has no g fixed points.

The product $\prod_g X_g$ is the desired space.

6. RELATION WITH THE NOVIKOV CONJECTURE

In this section we prove that the injectivity of μ_τ implies the rational injectivity of the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly map $\tilde{\mu} : K_*(B\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$ and a fortiori the Novikov conjecture. Before we need a simple observation.

Remark 6.1. We will use the fact that for $B\Gamma$, at the level of K -homology, adding real coefficients only discards torsion. In other words there is a canonical isomorphism

$$K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \simeq K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(B\Gamma) \simeq K_*(B\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R}. \quad (6.1)$$

This identification follows immediately starting from the definition of the compactly supported K -homology of $B\Gamma$ as a direct limit of K -homology groups of finite complexes Z . For each one of these compact pieces, the group $KK(C(Z), \mathbb{C})$ is finitely generated and the analogous isomorphism $KK_{\mathbb{R}}(C(Z), \mathbb{C}) \simeq KK(C(Z), \mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ holds. By the flatness of \mathbb{R} , the isomorphism is preserved by the direct limit.

The Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly map will be denoted $\tilde{\mu} : K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$. It is given by $\tilde{\mu} = \mu \circ \sigma_*$. The corresponding map with values in $K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$ will be denoted $\tilde{\mu}_{\max} : K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$.

Theorem 6.2. *If $\mu_\tau : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_\tau$ is injective then the Mishchenko–Kasparov assembly map $\tilde{\mu} : K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$ is rationally injective.*

Proof. It is enough to show that, under the assumption of injectivity of μ_τ , the kernel of $\tilde{\mu}$ consists only of torsion elements. Let $x \in K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma)$ such that $\mu(\sigma_*(x)) = 0$ in $K_*(C_r^*\Gamma)$. By the definition of μ_τ , we deduce that the element $\sigma_*(x) = \sigma_*(x) \otimes [\tau]$ is in the kernel of μ_τ , so that $\sigma_*(x) \otimes [\tau] = 0$ in $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau$. Applying the map t and using that by Theorem 5.4 $t \circ \sigma = \tau$, we have

$$0 = t(\sigma_*(x) \otimes [\tau]) = t(\sigma_*(x)) = x \otimes [\tau] \text{ in } K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma).$$

By Remark 6.1, we conclude that x is torsion in $K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma)$. \square

Remark 6.3. For every C^* -algebra A there is a map $\beta : K_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(A)$ induced by the exterior Kasparov product.

The relation between μ_τ and $\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1$ used in the above proof is summarised in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)_\tau \xrightarrow{\mu_\tau} K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma)_\tau \\ & \nearrow \sigma_* & \downarrow \\ K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma) & \xleftarrow{t} & K_{*,\mathbb{R}}(C_r^*\Gamma) \\ \uparrow \cong & & \uparrow \beta \\ K_*^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1} & K_*(C_r^*\Gamma) \otimes \mathbb{R}. \end{array}$$

The injectivity of the map $\sigma_* : K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma) \longrightarrow K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma)$ (Theorem 5.4) has recently been used in [GWY18] to show that the Novikov conjecture holds for any discrete group admitting an isometric and metrically proper action on an admissible Hilbert-Hadamard space.

7. EXACTNESS AND MONSTER-BASED COUNTEREXAMPLES

We show that the construction of the counterexamples for group actions in [HLS02] still provides counterexample to the bijectivity of the τ -Baum–Connes map μ_τ .

We know from Section 3.1.3 that the τ -parts are functorial. In particular an exact sequence $I \rightarrow A \rightarrow Q$ of Γ - C^* -algebras induces a sequence

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, I \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{C}, Q \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau . \quad (7.1)$$

We will show that exactly with the same Gromov’s group Γ and the same C^* -algebras A and I as in [HLS02], this is NOT exact. This is in spite of the fact that the τ -parts for the maximal and the reduced algebras are the same, and that we could think of (7.1) as induced by the full crossed product exact sequence

$$I \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow A \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow Q \rtimes \Gamma .$$

In fact, we will show that taking the minimal tensor product with a II_1 -factor destroys exactness and this is why the sequence 7.1 fails exactness.

We briefly recall the construction of [HLS02].

Let Γ be a Gromov monster group (see [AD08, Gro03] and the recent [Cou14, Osa14]). This is a finitely generated, discrete group, and one can map (in the sense of Cayley graphs) an expanding sequence of finite graphs X_n to Γ in a controlled and “essentially injective” way. Call $\varphi_n : X_n^0 \longrightarrow \Gamma$ such a collection of maps from the corresponding object spaces X_n^0 .

Given that, one can find a compact metrizable Γ -space Z such that the Baum–Connes map with coefficients in $C(Z) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ is not an isomorphism. To do so, two passages are needed:

- (1) the construction of a sequence of C^* -algebras (involving non-separable ones) which is not exact in the middle. This is done by considering Γ acting by translation on the (non-separable) C^* -algebra $A := \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; c_0(\Gamma))$: then the sequence

$$c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma \longrightarrow A \rtimes_r \Gamma \longrightarrow (A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma \quad (7.2)$$

is non exact in the middle. This happens even at level of K -theory. We will recall later why the sequence:

$$K_0(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma) \longrightarrow K_0(A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \longrightarrow K_0((A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma) \quad (7.3)$$

is not exact in the middle.

- (2) Building on A , an argument of direct limits and Gel’fand duality produces the compact Γ -space Z . This is the separable counterexample.

The middle non exactness of (7.3) is shown directly: there is a class $[p] \in K_0(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ mapping to zero which cannot come from $K_0(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$. The projection

$$p \in A \rtimes_r \Gamma \subset \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; \mathbb{K}(\ell^2(\Gamma)))$$

is constructed using the spectral properties of the expander. First one represents faithfully $A \rtimes_r \Gamma$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)$ then using the maps φ_n and the graph Laplacians on each X_n a bounded operator $D \in \widehat{C_c}(\Gamma; A)$ (unitalization) is constructed. Zero is isolated in the spectrum of D

and $[p]$ is exactly the projection on the kernel. Seeing p as a sequence of infinite matrices p_n , it is easy to check that

$$p_{n,y,z} = \frac{\sqrt{\#(\varphi_n^{-1}(y))\#(\varphi_n^{-1}(z))}}{\#(X_n^0)}, \quad y, z \in \Gamma, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By the essential injectivity of the maps φ_n , the coefficients of p_n tend to 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. It then follows that the image of $p \in (A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ is 0.

The evaluation morphisms $\pi_n : \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}; c_0(\Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma) \rtimes \Gamma$ induce maps

$$\pi_n : K_0(A \rtimes_r \Gamma) \rightarrow K_0(c_0(\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

such that $\pi_n([p]) = [p_n] = 1$ because p_n is a rank one projection. It follows immediately that $[p]$ cannot be the image of an element in $K_0(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ (7.3) because this is isomorphic to an algebraic direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us now pass to the τ -parts:

Theorem 7.1. *Let Γ , A be as in (7.2). The sequence*

$$K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}((A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \quad (7.4)$$

fails to be exact in the middle.

Proof. Let $[p]_{\mathbb{R}} \in K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ be the image of the class $[p]$ of the above projection via the change of coefficients. We show that the element $P := [p]_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes [\tau^A]_r = [p]_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes J_r^\Gamma(1_A \otimes [\tau])$ in the middle group of the sequence is mapped to zero but does not come from the first group.

Since the image of p vanishes already in $(A/c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ the first assertion follows immediately.

Note that $K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(c_0(\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma) \cong \mathbb{R}$: indeed $c_0(\Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma$ and \mathbb{C} are Morita equivalent (before tensoring with a II_1 -factor). In the same way, one sees that $K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma) \rtimes_r \Gamma)$ is the algebraic direct sum $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$.

Now for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the morphism induced by the evaluation π_n on the τ -parts acts on P as

$$\pi_n(P) = \pi_n([p]_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes [\tau^A]_r) = [p_n]_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes [\tau^{C_0(\Gamma)}]_r = [p_n]_{\mathbb{R}}$$

where we have applied that $[\tau]$ acts as the identity on the KFP algebra $C_0(\Gamma)$. In particular $\pi_n(P)$ is nonzero for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that P does not come from $K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}$. \square

Proposition 7.2. *Let $A = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, c_0(\Gamma))$ and $I = c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)$. Consider the exact sequence of Γ -algebras $I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I$. Then the corresponding sequence*

$$K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, I)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A)_\tau \longrightarrow K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A/I)_\tau$$

is exact.

To show Proposition 7.2, first recall that by (5.2) the isomorphism $K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; A)_\tau \simeq K_{*,\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(E\Gamma; A)$ holds true and commutes with the morphisms induced by the sequence $I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I$, so that it is enough to show the following:

Lemma 7.3. *Let Y be a free and proper, cocompact Γ -space. Let $I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I$ be the exact sequence of Γ -algebras appearing in (7.2). Then the sequence*

$$KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), I) \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A) \longrightarrow KK_{\mathbb{R}}^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A/I)$$

is exact.

To show Lemma 7.3, let N be any II_1 -factor with trivial Γ -action. Nuclearity of A and I implies that the sequence

$$I \otimes N \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow (A/I) \otimes N \quad (7.5)$$

is still exact. A completely positive cross section of $A \rightarrow A/I$ induces a completely positive cross section of (7.5) which thus remains semi-split. We now show the following fact in the slightly more general case of any proper Γ -algebra D . In our application it will be $D = C_0(Y)$ which is free and proper.

Lemma 7.4. *Let D be a separable proper Γ -algebra. Let $J \xrightarrow{j} B \xrightarrow{q} B/J$ be a semi-split exact sequence of (possibly non-separable) Γ - C^* -algebras. Then the sequence*

$$KK^\Gamma(D, J) \xrightarrow{j_*} KK^\Gamma(D, B) \xrightarrow{q_*} KK^\Gamma(D, B/J) \quad (7.6)$$

is exact.

Once lemma 7.4 is proved, we shall apply it to $D = C_0(Y)$ and to the exact sequence $I \otimes N \rightarrow A \otimes N \rightarrow (A/I) \otimes N$. The exactness of

$$KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), I \otimes N) \longrightarrow KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), A \otimes N) \longrightarrow KK^\Gamma(C_0(Y), (A/I) \otimes N)$$

will follow. Finally, taking the limit over N of the groups in the exact sequence above, we will prove Lemma 7.3. We are hence left with the

Proof. Lemma 7.4: If B and J are separable, the statement is proved in [EM06, Prop. 2.3]. Let us discuss the generalisation to the non-separable case, for which we follow closely [Sk85a, proof of Prop. 3.1]. More precisely we assume that (7.6) is middle exact in the separable case and we show that it is middle exact in full generality.

Let $x \in KK^\Gamma(D, B)$ such that $q_*(x) = 0$ in $KK^\Gamma(D, B/J)$. As $KK^\Gamma(D, B)$ is the inductive limit over separable subalgebras of B (cf. [Sk85b] and Lemma 2.1), there exists a separable Γ -invariant subalgebra B_1 of B and an element $x_1 \in KK^\Gamma(D, B_1)$ whose image by the inclusion $j_1 : B_1 \rightarrow B$ is x . Let $q_1 : B_1 \rightarrow q(B_1)$ be the restriction of q to B_1 and $\ell_1 : q(B_1) \rightarrow B/J$ be the inclusion. Since $q \circ j_1 = \ell_1 \circ q_1$, we find that $(\ell_1)_*((q_1)_*(x)) = 0$.

As $KK^\Gamma(D, B/J)$ is the inductive limit over separable Γ -invariant subalgebras of B/J , there exists a separable Γ -invariant subalgebra $Q_2 \subset B/J$, containing $q(B_1)$, such that the image of $(q_1)_*(x)$ in Q_2 through the inclusion $\ell : q(B_1) \rightarrow Q_2$ is the 0 element of $KK^\Gamma(D, Q_2)$. Let s be a completely positive section of q and let then B_2 be the (separable) Γ -invariant subalgebra of B generated by $s(Q_2)$, its Γ -translates, and B_1 . Denote by $j : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ the inclusion. Note that $q(B_2) = Q_2$ and put $q_2 : B_2 \rightarrow Q_2$. We then have $(q_2)_*(j_*(x_1)) = \ell_*((q_1)_*(x_1)) = 0$.

Now set $x_2 = j_*(x_1)$ and let $j_2 : B_2 \rightarrow B$ and $\ell_2 : Q_2 \rightarrow B/J$ be the inclusions.

Since $j_1 = j_2 \circ j$, we have $(j_2)_*(x_2) = (j_1)_*(x_1)$.

Applying [EM06, Prop. 2.3] to the exact sequence of separable Γ -algebras

$$0 \rightarrow J \cap B_2 \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

we find that x_2 is the image of an element $y_2 \in KK^\Gamma(D, J \cap B_2)$ whose image in B_2 is x_2 - whence its image in B is x . \square

The above argument provides a (non-separable) counterexample to the bijectivity of μ_τ , more precisely:

Proposition 7.5. *Let $A = \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N}, c_0(\Gamma))$, $I = c_0(\mathbb{N} \times \Gamma)$ as before. If $\mu_\tau^{A/I}$ is injective, then μ_τ^A is not surjective.*

Proof. Let $x \in K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$ the element which fails exactness in the second line of the commutative diagram below. Suppose $\mu_\tau^{A/I}$ is injective and by contradiction that μ_τ^A is surjective. Then the preimage $y \in K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A)_\tau$ of x is mapped to zero in $K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A/I)_\tau$ by the injectivity assumption. Since the second line is exact, y comes from an element in $K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, I)_\tau$ which provides then the contradiction

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, I)_\tau & \longrightarrow & K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A)_\tau & \longrightarrow & K_{0,\mathbb{R}}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma, A/I)_\tau \\ \downarrow \mu_\tau^I & & \downarrow \mu_\tau^A & & \downarrow \mu_\tau^{A/I} \\ K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(I \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau & \longrightarrow & K_{0,\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau & \longrightarrow & K_{0,\mathbb{R}}((A/I) \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau . \end{array}$$

□

- Remarks 7.6.* (1) Using the argument in [HLS02, Remark 12] based on double mapping cones, one may construct an abelian C^* -algebra B such that $K_{\mathbb{R},*}^{\text{top}}(\Gamma; B) = 0$ and $K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau \neq 0$, whence μ_τ is not surjective.
- (2) We further show that we can pass to a separable counterexample. Assume that for an algebra B the map μ_τ^B is not surjective. Then there exists a (commutative) separable Γ -subalgebra $D \subset B$ such that μ_τ^D is not surjective.

Indeed, let $y \in K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$. By functoriality of the assembly μ_τ , it is enough to show that there exists a separable Γ -invariant subalgebra $B' \subset B$ and $y_1 \in K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B' \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$ such that $y = i_*(y_1)$, where $i : B' \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion. In other words it is enough to show that

$$K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau = \lim_{\substack{B' \subset B \\ B' \text{ separable} \\ \Gamma\text{-invariant}}} K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B' \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau . \quad (7.7)$$

Let $y = [\tau] \otimes w$, for $w \in K_{\mathbb{R},*}(B \rtimes_r \Gamma)$. Then there exists a II_1 -factor N such that $w \in K_*((B \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N)$. We apply now Lemma 2.1: there exists a separable $B' \subset B$ such that $w = (i \otimes 1)_*(z_1)$ for a $z_1 \in K_*((B' \rtimes_r \Gamma) \otimes N)$, and where $i : B' \rightarrow B$ is the inclusion. Hence $y = [\tau] \otimes w = [\tau] \otimes (i \otimes 1)_*(z_1) = (i \otimes 1)_*([\tau] \otimes z_1)$ which shows (7.7).

- (3) By Lafforgue's work [Laf12], the Baum–Connes conjecture with coefficients for hyperbolic groups holds. Now, Gromov's monster is an inductive limit of hyperbolic groups. Despite the functoriality of our μ_τ , the failure of the bijectivity of μ_τ of Proposition 7.5 shows that the group $K_{\mathbb{R}}(A \rtimes_r \Gamma)_\tau$ is not compatible with inductive limits of groups. It may be worth noting that the difficulty comes from the fact that the group morphisms in this inductive limit are onto but not one-to-one. In other words, passing from one step to the next one we add relations, not generators.

Let us finally comment on a possibility of fixing the non exactness of our construction along the lines of the recent papers of Baum, Guentner and Willett [BGW16] and of Buss, Echterhoff and Willett [BEW18].

A modified assembly map involving a *minimal exact and Morita compatible* crossed product functor has been proposed in [BGW16], as the “right hand side” that should be considered in the Baum–Connes conjecture (see also [ABES20] for some relations with the strong Novikov conjecture for low degree classes).

One may try to correct the non-exactness in our context by correcting the right hand side for the KK -theory with real coefficients. One could for instance think of replacing

the minimal tensor product with II_1 -factors by a tensor product with II_1 -factors which is *minimal exact* (and Morita compatible).

REFERENCES

- [AAS14] P. Antonini, S. Azzali, G. Skandalis. Flat bundles, von Neumann algebras and K-theory with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -coefficients, *J. K-Theory* **13** (2014) no. 2, 275–303.
- [AAS16] P. Antonini, S. Azzali, G. Skandalis, Bivariant K-theory with \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} -coefficients and rho classes of unitary representations. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016) no. 1, 447–481.
- [ABES20] P. Antonini, A. Buss, A. Engel and T. Siebenand. Strong Novikov conjecture for low degree cohomology and exotic group C^* -algebras, March 2020, [arXiv:1905.07730v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07730v2) [math.OA]
- [AD08] G. Arzhantseva, T. Delzant, Examples of random groups, 2008, available at <http://irma.math.unistra.fr/~delzant/random.pdf>.
- [BC00] P. Baum, A. Connes, Geometric K-theory for Lie groups and foliations, *L'Enseignement Mathématique. Revue Internationale* IIe Série, **46**, no 1-2, (2000), 3–42.
- [BCH93] P. Baum, A. Connes, N. Higson, Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C^* -algebras. 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993), 240–291, *Contemp. Math.*, **167**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [BEW18] A. Buss, S. Echterhoff, R. Willett, The minimal exact crossed product, *Doc. Math.* **23** (2018) 2043–2077 (after publication, the authors added an erratum in the arXiv version [arXiv:1804.02725v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.02725v3) explaining some gaps).
- [BGW16] P. Baum, E. Guentner, R. Willett, Expanders, exact crossed products, and the Baum-Connes conjecture, *Ann. K-Theory*, **1** (2016), no. 2, 155–208.
- [Bur85] D. Burghlea, The cyclic homology of the group rings, *Comm. Math. Helv* **60** (1985), 354–365
- [Cou14] R. Coulon, On the geometry of burnside quotients of torsion free hyperbolic groups, *Int. J. Algebr. Comput.* **24** (2014) 03, 251–345.
- [Cun83] J. Cuntz, K-theoretic amenability for discrete groups, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **344** (1983), 180–195.
- [EM06] D. El Morsli, Semi-exactitude du bifoncteur de Kasparov pour les actions moyennables, Thèse 2006, http://iml.univ-mrs.fr/theses/files/el_morsli-these.pdf
- [GWY18] S. Gong, J. Wu, G. Yu, The Novikov conjecture, the group of volume preserving diffeomorphisms and Hilbert-Hadamard spaces, November 2018, [arXiv:1811.02086](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02086) [math.KT]
- [Gro03] M. Gromov, Random walks in random groups *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **13** (1) (2003), 73–146
- [GJV19] M. P. Gomez Aparicio, P. Julg, A. Valette, The Baum–Connes conjecture: an extended survey, in *Advances in Noncommutative Geometry*, Springer International Publishing 2019.
- [HLS02] N. Higson, V. Lafforgue, G. Skandalis, Counterexample to the Baum–Connes conjecture, *GAFA, Geom. Funct. Anal.* **12** (2002) 330–354.
- [KP18] J. Kaad, V. Proietti, Index theory on the Miščenko bundle, July 2018, [arXiv:1807.05757](https://arxiv.org/abs/1807.05757) [math.KT], to appear in *Kyoto J. Math.*
- [Kas81] G. Kasparov, The operator K-functor and extensions of C^* -algebras, *Math. USSR Izv.* **16**, (1981) 513–572
- [Kas88] G. Kasparov, Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture, *Inventiones mathematicae* **91** (1988) 147–202.
- [Laf12] V. Lafforgue, La conjecture de Baum–Connes à coefficients pour les groupes hyperboliques, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **6** (2012), 1–197.
- [MF80] A. S. Mishchenko, A. T. Fomenko, The index of elliptic operators over C^* -algebras, English Translation: *Math. USSR-Izv.* **15** (1980), no 1, 87–112.
- [Osa14] D. Osajda, Small cancellation labellings of some infinite graphs and applications, preprint 2014, [arXiv:1406.5015v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.5015v3)
- [Sk85a] G. Skandalis, Exact sequences for the Kasparov groups of graded algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* **13** (1985), no. 2, 255–263.
- [Sk85b] G. Skandalis, On the group of extensions relative to a semifinite factor. *J. Operator theory* **37** (1985), no. 2, 193–216.
- [Sk88] G. Skandalis, Une notion de nuclearité en K-théorie, *K-Theory* **1** no. 6 (1988), 549–573.
- [Val02] A. Valette, Introduction to the Baum–Connes conjecture. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (2002).

Paolo Antonini
Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati
via Bonomea, 265
34136 Trieste, Italy
`pantonin@sissa.it`

Sara Azzali
Fachbereich Mathematik
Universität Hamburg
Bundesstrasse 55
20146 Hamburg, Germany
`sara.azzali@uni-hamburg.de`

Georges Skandalis
Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité
Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Paris 06, CNRS, IMJ-PRG
UFR de Mathématiques, CP **7012** - Bâtiment Sophie Germain
5 rue Thomas Mann, 75205 Paris CEDEX 13, France
`skandalis@math.univ-paris-diderot.fr`