META-ANALYSIS

Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis: Effects of Pharmacological Treatment for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder on Quality of Life

Alessio Bellato, Ph.D.^(b),* Nadia J. Perrott, M.Sc.^(b),* Lucia Marzulli, Ph.D.^(b), Valeria Parlatini, M.D., Ph.D., David Coghill, M.D., Ph.D.^(b), Samuele Cortese, M.D., Ph.D.^(b)

*Dr. Bellato and Ms. Perrott contributed equally to this work.

Objective: We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to quantify the effect of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication on quality of life (QoL), and to understand whether this effect differs between stimulants and non-stimulants.

Method: From the dataset of a published network meta-analysis (Cortese et al., 2018¹), updated on 27th February 2023 (https://med-adhd.org/), we identified randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of ADHD medications for individuals aged 6 years or more with a diagnosis of ADHD based on the *DSM* (from third to fifth editions) or the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD;* ninth or tenth revision), reporting data on QoL (measured with a validated scale). The risk of bias for each RCTs was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool 2. Multi-level meta-analytic models were conducted with R 4.3.1.

Results: We included 17 RCTs (5,388 participants in total; 56% randomized to active medication) in the meta-analyses. We found that amphetamines (Hedge's g = 0.51, 95% CI = 0.08, 0.94), methylphenidate (0.38; 0.23, 0.54), and atomoxetine (0.30; 0.19, 0.40) were significantly more efficacious than placebo in improving QoL in people with ADHD, with moderate effect size. For atomoxetine, these effects were not moderated by the length of intervention, and did not differ between children/adolescents and adults.

Conclusion: In addition to being efficacious in reducing ADHD core symptom severity, both stimulant and non-stimulant medications are efficacious in improving QoL in people with ADHD, albeit with lower effect sizes. Future research should explore whether, and to what degree, combining pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions is likely to further improve QoL in people with ADHD.

Study preregistration information: Effects of pharmacological treatment for ADHD on quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis; https://osf.io/;qvgps.

Key words: ADHD; stimulants; non-stimulants; quality of life; RCT

J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2024;∎(∎):∎-∎. 🥨

ttention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is characterized by developmentally inappropriate and impairing inattention and/or hyperactivityimpulsivity, which interfere with overall functioning in everyday life.² Indeed, ADHD core symptoms, alongside associated mental and physical problems^{3,4} —especially if not promptly managed—can affect the quality of social interactions and relationships, and overall quality of life, in people with ADHD.

Quality of life (QoL) is a broad concept that is usually defined as a person's satisfaction with their life, and it is measured across several dimensions including psychological, social, health, biological, and economic wellbeing.⁵ Instruments aimed at assessing QoL are usually self-reported (mostly used with adults), whereas QoL in children and

adolescents is sometimes assessed indirectly based on parentor caregiver-reports. Adults with ADHD have been found to report lower QoL compared to their neurotypical peers.^{6,7} Importantly, a linear association between ADHD symptoms and QoL has been observed, with individuals displaying more symptoms also showing lower QoL in areas of life such as work productivity, social and family life, and self-esteem.⁸ Similar results have been found in children and young people with ADHD, especially in relation to social impairment, strained familial relationships, and difficulties with emotion regulation and communication.⁹⁻¹³

Medications for ADHD include stimulants (methylphenidate and amphetamines) and non-stimulants (atomoxetine, clonidine, guanfacine, viloxazine).¹⁴ As QoL is related to ADHD symptom severity, effective management of ADHD

BELLATO et al.

via pharmacological or non-pharmacological interventions could have important positive effects not only on core symptoms but also on QoL in people with ADHD. Coghill et al.7 conducted a systematic review to assess such effects. Most of the eligible studies (ie, those reporting QoL measures before and after pharmacological intervention for ADHD) found significant effects of medication on QoL, in both children/adolescents and adults with the condition. Moreover, a secondary data analysis of 2 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of lisdexamfetamine and guanfacine extended release¹⁵ found associations among medication-related changes in ADHD symptomatology, QoL, and functional outcomes. Although all of these outcomes improved with both medications, the correlation between changes in ADHD symptomatology and changes in either QoL or functional outcomes was smaller than the correlation between changes in functional outcomes and QoL. These findings highlight the importance of understanding what specific functional outcomes and/or QoL domains-besides the main symptomsare affected by medication use in people with ADHD.

However, a formal meta-analysis was beyond the scope of the study by Coghill *et al.*⁷ A systematic review and metaanalysis by Tsujii *et al.*¹⁶ explored QoL in relation to symptom remission in people who had been treated previously with ADHD medication and continued or discontinued the pharmacological treatments (withdrawal studies). The authors found that children and adolescents (but not adults) who discontinued medication reported having significantly lower QoL than those who continued the treatment. However, the interpretation of withdrawal studies is hampered by selection bias, as a sizeable portion of individuals who have been treated with medication may not be willing to be recruited in withdrawal trials.

Therefore, currently no meta-analytic evidence on the effects of ADHD medications on QoL, based on standard (parallel or crossover) RCTs, is available. Moreover, it is not clear whether stimulant (eg, methylphenidate, amphetamine) and non-stimulant (eg, atomoxetine, guanfacine) medications for ADHD have similar or different effects on QoL. We aimed to fill these gaps by conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis of parallel or crossover RCTs to estimate the effects of ADHD medication on QoL, and secondary analyses to investigate whether these effects differed in children/young people vs adults, as well as by class of medications, and whether they were moderated by the length of treatment.

METHOD

Data Sources, Searches, and Study Selection

We followed the most recent Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA)

www.jaacap.org

guidelines¹⁷ (Table S1, available online, provides the PRISMA Checklist). The protocol was pre-registered in OSF (https://osf.io/qvgps/). We drew on the dataset of a 2018 network meta-analysis of RCTs of ADHD medications¹ (https://med-adhd.org/) which we updated on February 27, 2023, to identify RCTs including people of any age with a diagnosis of ADHD based on the *DSM* (from third to fifth editions) or the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD)* (ninth or tenth revision), and reporting data on QoL (measured with a validated scale). For crossover RCTs, we included only data at pre-crossover or, if pre-crossover data were not available, at endpoint after wash-out (when conducted), to avoid a carry-over effect.

The original search in Cortese *et al.*¹ was conducted in PubMed, BIOSIS Previews, CINAHL, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE, ERIC, MED-LINE, PsycINFO, OpenGrey, Web of Science Core Collection, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (UK and Ireland), ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (abstracts and international), and the WHO International Trials Registry Platform, including ClinicalTrials.gov. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), European Medicines Agency (EMA), relevant medication manufacturers' websites, and references of previous systematic reviews and guidelines were hand-searched for additional information. Study authors and medication manufacturers were also contacted to gather unpublished information and data. Each full text of the original dataset of papers included in the network meta-analysis by Cortese et al.¹ was independently screened (by NP and LM) until consensus was reached about its eligibility for the present study. The updated search was conducted with the same search strategy and syntax.

Outcome, Data Extraction and Study Quality Assessment

The main outcome of the present meta-analysis (which was therefore newly extracted for the present study) was QoL, defined as such by the primary study author, and measured with a validated scale. Although for some studies (eg, those using the Adult ADHD Quality of Life Scale) we analyzed a total QoL score, for others (eg, those using the Child Health and Illness Profile [CHIP]) we used domain/subscale scores relative to QoL. Authors NP and LM identified, for each study, which scale was used to assess QoL, and extracted relevant data (ie, means and SDs of total or domain/subscale QoL scores, before and after the intervention; full statistical results and effect sizes for the comparison between pre- and post-treatment QoL scores in the treatment and placebo arms, if means and SDs were not reported in the original paper).

All other relevant study data (ie, sample characteristics, information about treatment) had already been extracted by Cortese et al.¹ for studies up to 2017, whereas they were extracted de novo for the eligible RCTs retrieved in the updated search. The risk of bias of eligible RCTs for the present meta-analysis was assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool 2 (ROB-2),¹⁸ which measures bias as follows: (1) arising from the randomization process (selection bias); (2) due to deviations from the intended intervention; (3) due to missing outcome data; (4) in the measurement of outcomes; (5) in the selection of the reported results; and (6) overall risk of bias. A summary of ROB-2 assessment for each study is included in Figure S1, available online. Data not available from the published report(s) of the study were systematically requested from corresponding, first, or senior author via e-mail.

Data synthesis and analysis

We used the R package esc^{19} to calculate the Hedge's g for each eligible RCT as the standardized mean difference of pre-post intervention changes in QoL between medication and placebo arms. Random-effects models were used to estimate the pooled effect size via metafor²⁰ in R $4.3.1^{21}$, whenever at least 2 studies reported at least 1 of the outcomes, for the same type of medication. Effect sizes were nested within studies in multi-level models for those studies that reported multiple effect sizes (eg, different QoL domains), using the Restricted Maximum-Likelihood estimator. Cross-study heterogeneity was tested with Cochran's Q and I². Funnel plots and the rank correlation test for funnel plot asymmetry (whenever at least 10 studies were included in a meta-analysis) were used to assess publication bias. Meta-regressions were planned (whenever at least 10 studies were included in a meta-analysis) to investigate potential moderating effects of the length of the intervention (measured in number of weeks). Subgroup analyses were also conducted to explore whether developmental stage (children and adolescents vs adults) affected QoL response to medication (whenever at least 10 studies were included in a meta-analysis). A narrative synthesis of the findings is presented to describe those studies for which an effect size could not be calculated. A detailed description of reasons for which a study could not be included in the meta-analysis is reported in Table 2.

RESULTS

A total of 17 studies were included in the meta-analysis (5,388 participants in total; 56% of whom randomized to active medication) (Table 1^{22-38}), whereas 10 were

summarized in the narrative review only (2,306 participants in total, 31% of whom randomized to active medication) (Table 2³⁹⁻⁴⁸). A total of 13 studies included data on adults with ADHD, and 14 on children and/or adolescents. Overall, for 22% of trials (18% of studies included in the meta-analysis, 30% of those in the narrative review) risk of bias was rated low, while it was high for 33% of trials (35% of studies included in the meta-analysis, 30% of those in the narrative review), and there were some concerns for 44% of trials (47% of studies included in the meta-analysis, 40% of those in the narrative review (Figure S1, available online). Further information about the included studies is available in Table 1 and 2.

A variety of scales were used to measure QoL, and this was dependent mainly on the age of participants being assessed. Specifically, for adults, the following scales were used: Adult ADHD Quality-of-Life Scale (AAQoL)⁴⁹; Adult ADHD Impact Module (AIM-A)⁵⁰; and Quality-of-Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form (Q-LES-Q-SF).⁵¹ For children and adolescents, the following were used: Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ)⁵²; Child Health and Illness Profile-Child Edition (CHIP-CE)^{53,54}; ADHD Impact Module–Child (AIM-C)⁵⁵; Youth Quality of Life–Research Version (YQOL-R)⁵⁶; and KINDL-R Questionnaire.⁵⁷ A higher score in all measures indicates better QoL. Overall, we conducted 3 metaanalyses, one for each type of medication: amphetamines (lisdexamfetamine and triple-bead mixed amphetamine salts; 4 studies), methylphenidate (4 studies), and atomoxetine (11 studies).

Amphetamines

Four studies on amphetamines (950 participants with ADHD in total; 45% adults) reported relevant data for effect sizes to be computed. The meta-analysis on 14 effect sizes showed that amphetamines led to better QoL than placebo in individuals with ADHD (Hedge's g = 0.51, standard error (SE) = 0.20, 95% CI = 0.08, 0.94, t = 2.57, p = 0.0233) (Figure 1). Heterogeneity was significant (Q = 47.87; p < .0001), and the funnel plot did not indicate publication bias (Figure S2, available online). We could not conduct a meta-regression to explore whether the length of treatment with amphetamines affected the results of the meta-analysis, or a subgroup analysis to test any differences on the effects of amphetamines on QoL between children/adolescents and adults with ADHD, as fewer than 10 studies were included in the meta-analysis on amphetamines.

One study,⁴¹ included in the narrative synthesis, testing the effectiveness of a 3-week treatment with mixed

First autnor, year, reference Bangs 2008 ²²	Intervention, n (placebo, n) 151 (67)	Developmental stage Children and adolescents	Medication ATX	Length of treatment (wk) 8	QoL scale AIM-C Parent/ caregiver	Country Europe and Australia	Socio-demographic background (% for each RCT arm and group) Intervention: 95.3 White, 91.7 male Control: 95.7 White, 97.1 male
Dittman 2011 ²³	118 (59)	Children and adolescents	АТХ	6	report KINDL-R Parent/caregiver report	Germany	Intervention: 86.0 male Control: 81.4 male Information about race/ethnicity not
Michelson 2001 ²⁴	213 (83)	Children and adolescents	АТХ	ω	CHQ Parent/caregiver	NS	reported 71.4 Male. Information about race/ ethnicity not reported
Svanborg 2009 ²⁵	49 (50)	Children and adolescents	АТХ	10	report CHIP-CE Self and parent/	Sweden	80.8 Male. Information about race/ ethnicity not reported
Brown 2006 ²⁶	92 (49)	Children and adolescents	ATX	~	caregiver report CHO Parent/caregiver report	SU	Intervention: 9.9 African American, 24.8 Hispanic, 5.0 other race/ ethnicity, 60.4 White, 82.2 male Control: 7.7 African American, 25.0 Hispanic, 7.7 other race/athnicity
Newcorn 2008 ²⁷	193, 193 (64)	Children and adolescents	ATX and MPH	9	CHO Parent/caregiver	US	59.6 White, 76.9 male 74.3 Male. Information about race/ ethnicity not reported
Findling 2011 ²⁸	232 (79)	Children and	LDX	4	report YQOL-R Solf 20004	SN	14.8 African American, 25.0 Hispanic,
Banaschewski 2012 ²⁹	104, 107 (106)	adolescents Children and adolescents	and MPH	~	seir-report CHIP-CE Parent/caregiver report	Europe (France, Hungary, Spain, Poland, Belgium, Netherlands, Germany, UK, Italy, Sweden)	 75.0 White, 70.3 male LDX: 0.9 African American, 0.9 Asian, 1.8 other race/ethnicity, 96.4 White, 78.4 male MPH: 3.6 Other race/ethnicity, 96.4 White, 81.1 male Control: 1.8 other race/ethnicity, 98.2 White, 82.7 male

www.jaacap.org

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume \blacksquare / Number \blacksquare / \blacksquare 2024

ADHD MEDICATION AND QUALITY OF LIFE

First author. Intervention. Development Length of Aloc. Length of Aloc. Length of Aloc. Length of Aloc. Length of Aloc. Control 12 Alice Anotacian. Soft-demographic background back of aloc. Adler 2003 ¹³ 171 (158) Adults Aloc. U X Aloc. X Aloc. X </th <th>TABLE 1 Continu</th> <th>led</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	TABLE 1 Continu	led						
Adie Z005 ¹¹ 111 (150) Adults ATX 14 AAOol US 740 Caucistin, *53.6 male Adier 2009 ²⁰ 250 (251) Adults ATX 24 Abool US 819 White Information about set/ self-seport Durell 2013 ¹³ 189 (190) Adults ATX 12 Abool US 819 White Information about set/ self-seport 95 A sain, 75.5 Caucistin, *12.3 Durell 2013 ¹³ 189 (190) Adults ATX 12 Abool US 819 White Information about set/ self-seport Goto 2017 ¹⁴ 189 (190) Adults ATX 10 AAOol Japa, Korea and Intervention: 53.7 Japanese, 187 Goto 2017 ¹⁴ 178 (190) Adults ATX 10 AAOol Japa, Korea and Intervention: 53.7 Japanese, 187 Goto 2017 ¹⁴ 178 (190) Adults Adults 11.1 Hispanic, 52 careain, *1.1 Goto 2013 ¹⁴ 178 (190) Adults Adults 11.4 Taisanese, 46.6 male Adie 20 (61) Adults 10 AMOol US Foreanicy, 11.4 fainterenti	First author, year, reference Adler 2008 ³⁰	Intervention, n (placebo, n) 271 (139)	Developmental stage Adults	Medication ATX	Length of treatment (wk) 24	OoL scale AAQoL Self-report	US	Socio-demographic background (% for each RCT arm and group) Intervention: 5.2 African American, 1.1 Asian, 82.3 Caucasian, ^a 7.8 Hispanic, 3.7 other race/ethnicity, 56.1 male Control: 7.2 African American, 1.4 Asian, 81.3 Caucasian, ^a 9.4 Hispanic, 0.7 other race/ethnicity, 63.3 male
Addler 2003* 250 (25) Adults ATX 24 Adolt US Siferention Sif	Adler 2009 ³¹	171 (158)	Adults	АТХ	14	AAQoL	NS	74.0 Caucasian, ^a 53.6 male
Durell 2013 ¹³ 199 (198) Adults ATX 12 Adolt Use mericinanies (12,3) Goto 2017 ³⁴ 178 (190) Adults ATX 10 Adolt 5,4/report 5,4/rean Americanie, 12,3 Goto 2017 ³⁴ 178 (190) Adults ATX 10 Adolt 5,4/mericanies (11,4) Goto 2017 ³⁴ 178 (190) Adults ATX 10 Adolt 11,4 Shift-an Americanie, 3,11 Adien 2013 ³⁴ 0 (81) Adults ATX 10 Adold 13,90,000 13,4 mericanies, 4,6 mele Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX 10 Adold 13,90,000 13,4 mericanies, 4,6 mele Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX 10 Adold 13,4 mericanies, 4,6 mele Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX Intervention, 63,2 Apprese, 4,6 mele 10 Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX Lawares, 4,6 mele 10 Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX Lawares, 4,6 me	Adler 2009 ³²	250 (251)	Adults	ATX	24	seir-report AAQoL Self-report	SU	87.9 White. Information about sex/ cender not reported
Goto 2017 ^{ad} 178 (190) Adults ATX 10 AQol. Japan, Korea and Self-report Taiwan 56.4 male Korean (15.6 Japanese, 18.7 Korean, 17.6 Taiwanese, 46.0 male Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX 10 AM.A US Rorean (10.13) American Indian or Alaska Native, 11.4 Faiwanese, 48.7 male Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX 10 AM.A US Intervention: 13.4 American Indian or Alaska Native, 11.4 Beck or African American, 1.3 American Indian or Self-report Alaska Native, 1.14 Beck or African American, 1.3 American Indian or Alaska Native, 1.14 Beck or African American, 1.3 American, 1.3 American, 1.3 Other race/ethnicity, 82.3 Wite, S0.6 male Spencer 2008 ³⁴ 136 (132) Adults Nas 7 AIM.A US Intervention: 1.3 American Indian or Alaska Native, 5.2 ethernicity, 83.1 White, S0.6 male Spencer 2008 ³⁴ 136 (132) Adults Nas 7 AIM.A US Other race/ethnicity, 83.1 White, S0.6 male	Durell 2013 ³³	189 (198)	Adults	ATX	2	Self-report	N	Gender not reported Intervention: 5.5 African American, 5.4 Asian, 76.8 Caucasian, ^a 12.3 Hispanic, 58.2 male Control: 11.6 African American, 3.1 Asian, 73.8 Caucasian, ^a 11.1 Hispanic, 0.4 Native American,
Adler 2013 ³⁵ 80 (81) Adults LDX 10 AIM-A US Intervention: 13 American Indian or African American, 2.5 Asian, 1.3 Addres	Goto 2017 ³⁴	178 (190)	Adults	АТХ	10	AAQoL Self-report	Japan, Korea and Taiwan	56.4 male Intervention: 63.7 Japanese, 18.7 Korean, 17.6 Taiwanese, 46.6 male Control: 63.6 Japanese, 19.0 Korean,
Spencer 2008 ³⁶ 136 (132) Adults MAS 7 AIM-A US Intervention: 44 Asian, 6.6 Black, 1.3 Self-report Self-report 0ther race/ethnicity, 86.1 White, 50.4 male Control: 2.2 Asian, 8.9 Black, 5.2 other race/ethnicity, 83.7 White, 49.6 male	Adler 2013 ³⁵	80 (81)	Adults	LDX	6	AIM-A Self-report	S	Arican American Indian or Intervention: 1.3 American Indian or Alaska Native, 11.4 Black or African American, 2.5 Asian, 1.3 other race/ethnicity, 82.3 White, 50.6 male Control: 1.3 American Indian or Alaska Native, 8.8 Black or African American, 1.3 other race/ethnicity, 88.8 White, 5.3 male
	Spencer 2008 ³⁶	136 (132)	Adults	MAS	Γ	AIM-A Self-report	S	Intervention: 4.4 Asian, 6.6 Black, 1.3 other race/ethnicity, 86.1 White, 50.4 male Control: 2.2 Asian, 8.9 Black, 5.2 other race/ethnicity, 83.7 White, 49.6 male

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume \blacksquare / Number \blacksquare / \blacksquare 2024

www.jaacap.org

5

6

First author, year, reference	Intervention, n (placebo, n)	Developmental stage	Medication	Length of treatment (wk)	QoL scale	Country	Socio-demographic background (% for each RCT arm and group)
Goodman 2017 ³⁷	169 (172)	Adults	MPH	9	AIM-A	NS	Intervention: 4.0 Asian, 12.6 Black or
					Self-report		African American, 2.9 other race/
							ethnicity, 80.5 White, 50.6 male
							Control: 0.6 American Indian or
							Alaska Native, 2.3 Asian, 10.3
							Black or African American, 2.3
							other race/ethnicity, 84.6 White,
							54.9 male
Takahashi 2014 ³⁸	143 (140)	Adults	MPH	ω	Q-LES-Q-SF	Japan	48.9 male. Information about race/
					Self-report		ethnicity not reported

www.jaacap.org

Vote: AQOL = Adult ADHD Quality-of-Life Scale; AIM-A = The ADHD Impact Module-Adult; AIM-C = The ADHD Impact Module-Child; ATX = atomoxetine; CHIP-CE = Child Health and Illness Profile-Child Edition; CHQ = Child Health Questionnaire; KINDL-R = Instrument zur erfassung der gesundheitsbezogenen Lebensqualität von Kindern und jugendlichen; LDX = lisdexamfetamine; MAS = mixed amphetamine salts; MPH = methylphenidate; Q-LES-Q-SF = Quality-of-life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form; YQOL-R = Youth Quality ^a "Caucasian" was reported in the original paper, with no further information. of Life–Research Version

amphetamine salts in children with ADHD, found that this medication improved school functioning (as measured by the PedsQL) but no other QoL domains.

Methylphenidate

Four studies on methylphenidate (1,094 participants with ADHD; 57% adults) reported relevant data for effect sizes to be computed. The meta-analysis on 9 effect sizes found that methylphenidate improved QoL significantly more than placebo in individuals with ADHD (Hedge's g = 0.38, SE = 0.07, 95% CI = 0.23, 0.54, t = 5.78, p = .0004) (Figure 2). Heterogeneity was significant (Q = 23.07; p = .0033), and the funnel plot did not indicate publication bias (Figure S3, available online). We could not conduct a meta-regression to explore whether the length of treatment with methylphenidate affected the results of the meta-analysis, or a subgroup analysis to test any differences on the effects of methylphenidate on QoL between children/adolescents and adults with ADHD, as fewer than 10 studies were included in this meta-analysis.

Among those studies that were only summarized narratively, a 6-week study on adults, conducted by Mick et al.,⁴⁶ using immediate release methylphenidate and osmotic release methylphenidate (OROS MPH), found that, regardless of whether participants were in intervention or placebo groups, there was an improvement in Q-LES-Q-SF score. Casas et al.47 conducted a 13-week study in adults using a variety of doses of methylphenidate, and found a statistically significant improvement of QoL from baseline for all medication doses. In the performance and daily functioning scale of the AIM-A, the least-squared means for the group receiving OROS MPH (54 mg) improved by 16.4 (p = .0072), and for the group receiving OROS MPH (72 mg) by 19.8 (p = .0009). On the daily interference scale, in the 54-mg group the QoL score improved by 17.5 (p = .0370), and in the 72-mg group it improved by 17.6 (p = .0261). For the relationship and communication subscale score, in the 72-mg group, scores significantly improved by 13.5 (p = .0052), whereas for the living with ADHD subscale, in the 72-mg group scores improved by 5.9 (p = .0162). In the general well-being subscale, only the 54-mg OROS MPH demonstrated a significant improvement in QoL scores (by 9.5; p = .0356).

Studies that did not find significant effects included the RCT by Rösler *et al.*,⁴⁸ assessing the extent to which 5-week methylphenidate treatment improved QoL in adults (Q-LES-Q was used). Similarly, Wigal *et al.*⁴² conducted a brief (1-week) RCT in children and adolescents with ADHD and explored whether methylphenidate improved QoL. They did not find any statistically significant improvement in QoL during the double-blind period, but they reported

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume ■ / Number ■ / ■ 2024

First author, year, reference	Intervention, n (placebo, n)	Developmental stage	Medication	Length of treatment (wk)	QoL scale	Country	Socio-demographic background (% for each RCT arm and group)	Reason for exclusion from meta-analysis
Dell Agnello 2009 ³⁹	(75) (01)	Children and adolescents	XIX	α	CHIP-CE Parent/ caregiver report	Italy, UK	91.9 male. Information about race/ethnicity not reported	Kelevant data not included in the paper; authors unable to provide raw data
Escobar 2009 ⁴⁰	100 (51)	Children and adolescents	АТХ	12	CHIP-CE Parent/ caregiver report	Spain	Intervention: 98.0 Caucasian, ^a 2.0 Hispanic, 79.0 male Control: 92.2 Caucasian, ^a 5.9 Hispanic, 2.0 African, 80.4 male	Relevant data not included in the paper; unable to contact authors
Wigal 2005 ⁴¹	101 (101)	Children and adolescents	ATX and MAS	m	PedsQL Self-report	SU	Intervention: 2.9 Asian or Pacific Islander, 17.6 Black or African American, 17.6 Hispanic, 6.9 other race/ethnicity, 54.9 White, 74.5 male Control: 1.0 Asian or Pacific Islander, 14.9 Black or African American, 21.8 Hispanic, 5.9 other race/ethnicity, 56.4 White, 69.3 male	Relevant data not included in the paper; unable to contact authors
Wigal 2014 ⁴²	183 (47)	Children and adolescents	HdM	~	NR	N	Information about race/ ethnicity and sex/ gender not reported	Relevant data not included in the paper; unable to contact authors
Spencer 2007 ⁴³	141 (43)	Adults	Dexmethyl- phenidate	Ω	Q-LES-Q-SF Self-report	SU	57.5 male. Information about race/ethnicity not reported	Relevant data not included in the paper; unable to contact authors

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume \blacksquare / Number \blacksquare / \blacksquare 2024

7

www.jaacap.org

First author,	Intervention, n (placebo,	Developmental		Length of			Socio-demographic background (% for each RCT arm and	Reason for exclusion from
year, reference	Ê	stage	Medication	treatment (wk)	QoL scale	Country	group)	meta-analysis
lwanami 2020 ⁴⁴	79 (93)	Adults	GXR	Ŋ	AAQoL Self- report	Japan	64.5 male. Information about race/ethnicity	The only study on quanfacine
					-		not reported	included, therefore
								not possible to conduct a meta-
!								analysis
Arnold 2014 ⁴⁵	142 (51)	Adults	Modafinil	6	Q-LES-Q-SF Self-report	NS	Intervention: 17.6 Asian, 4.0 Black, 8.0 other	The only study on modafinil included
							race/ethnicity, 87.0	with data,
							White, 62.0 male.	therefore not
							Control: 5.0 Asian, 7.0 Black 10 athor mail	possible to
							ethnicity, 86.0 White,	conduct a meta- analysis
							53.0 male	
Mick 2008 ⁴⁶	323 (134)	Adults	MPH	9	Q-LES-Q-SF	US	53.0 male. Information	Relevant data not
					Self-report		about race/ethnicity	included in the
							not reported	paper; unable to
								contact authors
Casas 2013 ⁴⁷	110 (68)	Adults	MPH	13	AIM-A	Spain,	Intervention: 0.5 Asian,	Relevant data not
					Self-report	Germany,	1.0 Black or African,	included in the
						Netherlands,	2.7 other race/	paper; authors
						Sweden,	ethnicity, 95.6 White,	unable to provide
						Belgium	51.6 male	raw data
							Control: 1.0 Asian, 3.1	
							other race/ethnicity,	
							95.9 White, 53.6 male	
Rösler 2013 ⁴⁸	306 (96)	Adults	MPH	Q	Q-LES-Q-SF	Germany,	Intervention: 2.6 other	Relevant data not
					Self-report	Sweden,	race/ethnicity, 97.1	included in the
						Denmark,	White, 51.9 male	paper; unable to
						UK, Finland,	Intervention: 2.1 other	contact authors
						Belgium,	race/ethnicity, 97.9	
						Netherlands	White, 61.5 male	
Note : $AIM-A = The \neq$ extended release; MA	ADHD Impact Mo. S = mixed amphe	dule–Adult; ATX = ato etamine salts; MPH = r	omoxetine; CHIF nethylphenidate	: ; NR = not reported	h and Illness Profi d; PedsQL = Pedia	le–Child Edition; C. atric Quality of Life I	HQ = Child Health Question nventory; Q-LES-Q-SF = Qua	naire; GXR = guanfacine lity-of-life Enjoyment and
Satisfaction Question ^a "Caucasian" was rep	naire Short Form. Norted in the origi	inal paper, with no fur	ther information					

www.jaacap.org

BELLATO et al.

8

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume ■ / Number ■ / ■ 2024



FIGURE 1 Forest Plot of Effect Sizes for Studies Investigating the Effects of Amphetamines (ATX) vs Placebo on Quality of Life (QoL)

Note: Each row represents an effect size. For some studies, multiple effect sizes have been extracted (for example, they did not report a single QoL total scores but multiple QoL domain/subscale scores), accounted for in the multi-level meta-analytic model.

some improvements in later stages of the study. Finally, a 5week RCT conducted by Spencer *et al.*⁴³ in adults explored the extent to which dexmethylphenidate improved Q-LES-Q scores. Based on their findings, there did not appear to be a significant effect of this medication on QoL.

Atomoxetine

Eleven studies on atomoxetine (3,344 participants with ADHD; 63% adults) reported relevant data for effect sizes to be computed. The meta-analysis on 15 effect sizes showed that atomoxetine resulted in significantly better QoL than placebo in individuals with ADHD (Hedge's g = 0.30, SE = 0.05, 95% CI = 0.19, 0.40, t = 5.81, p < .0001) (Figure 3). Heterogeneity was significant (Q = 27.20; p = .0181), and publication bias was not detected (Kendall tau = 0.31, p = .1128) (Figure S4, available online).

A meta-regression was conducted to explore whether the length of intervention with atomoxetine affected the meta-analytic findings. There was no significant moderating effect of length of intervention ($F_{1,13} = 1.12$, p = .3097), suggesting that atomoxetine was similarly effective in improving QoL at 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, or 24 weeks of treatment (based on the included studies). Moreover, we did not find any significant differences in terms of the effects of atomoxetine on QoL between children/adolescents and adults with ADHD ($F_{1,13} = 1.63$, p = .2236).

Among the studies included in the narrative synthesis only, Dell'Agnello et al.³⁹ conducted an 8-week RCT in children and found that children randomized to the atomoxetine intervention showed improvements in QoL scores (measured via the CHIP-CE), particularly in the satisfaction of self, emotional comfort, individual risk avoidance, threats to achievement, and peer relations subscales. Atomoxetine was more efficacious, compared to placebo, in improving risk avoidance and emotional comfort. Similar findings emerged from a study by Escobar et al.,40 in which parentand patient-rated reported QoL (measured via CHIP) after a 12-week intervention with atomoxetine improved, although the effect appeared to be smaller when rated by patients, but still higher than in the placebo group. There appeared to be a significant improvement only in the risk avoidance subscale (parent- and patient-rated) and achievement subscale (parent-rated). Findings from Wigal et al.⁴¹ in a 3-week study on atomoxetine efficacy in 101 children with ADHD showed a statistically significant improvement in QoL, measured using the PedsQL.



FIGURE 2 Forest Plot of Effect Sizes for Studies Investigating the Effects of Methylphenidate (MPH) vs Placebo on Quality of Life (QoL)

Note: Each row represents an effect size. For some studies, multiple effect sizes have been extracted (for example, they did not report a single QoL total scores but multiple QoL domain/subscale scores), accounted for in the multi-level meta-analytic model.

However, this treatment effect was statistically significant only in the school functioning subscale.

Other Medications (Individual Studies)

Guanfacine. A 5-week study in adults with ADHD by Iwanami *et al.*⁴⁴ found a statistically significant mean change in total AAQoL score in the intervention group (medium effect size), suggesting that guanfacine was more efficacious at improving QoL in adults with ADHD, compared to placebo.

Modafinil. Arnold *et al.*⁴⁵ explored the effect of modafinil (different doses: 255 mg/d, 340 mg/d, 425 mg/d, 520 mg/d) and placebo on QoL in adults with ADHD over a 9-week period, using the Q-LES-Q-SF to measure QoL. Their findings suggested that this medication, compared to placebo, was not more efficacious in improving QoL, at any dose, from baseline to end-point.

DISCUSSION

We conducted the first systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the effects of medication for ADHD on quality

www.jaacap.org

of life (QoL) in parallel or crossover RCTs. Overall, we found that methylphenidate, amphetamines, and atomoxetine were significantly more efficacious than placebo in improving QoL in people with ADHD. For atomoxetine, efficacy was significantly detected regardless of length of intervention or participant age. We found a medium effect for amphetamines and methylphenidate (both stimulant medications), and a small effect for atomoxetine (a nonstimulant). Nevertheless, we cannot conclude that any specific medication was significantly better than any other in improving QoL, as the 95% CI of the effect size for the 3 medications overlapped (Figure 4), likely reflecting the heterogeneity in treatment response and outcomes among individuals with ADHD. Although it was not possible to meta-analyze data on guanfacine extended release, we found preliminary evidence of positive outcomes of this medication (but not modafinil) on QoL.

Overall, our findings add to those of previous metaanalyses^{1,58} showing the beneficial effects of both stimulant and non-stimulant medications on core ADHD symptoms. Of note, stimulant medications have often been reported to lead to significantly more marked improvements in ADHD core symptoms, compared to non-stimulants, which is why

Study					Estimate [95% CI]
Adler_2008a 30			—		0.18 [-0.03, 0.38]
Adler_2009a 31		-			0.22 [0.01, 0.44]
Adler_2009b32		-			0.27 [0.10, 0.45]
Bangs_2008.1 ²²					0.39 [0.10, 0.68]
Bangs_2008.2 22	⊢	-	4		-0.04 [-0.33, 0.25]
Bangs_2008.3 22		, 	•		0.30 [0.01, 0.59]
Dittmann_2011 ²³		<u> </u>	•		0.27 [-0.05, 0.58]
Durell_2013 33		- I	—		0.20 [0.00, 0.40]
Goto_2017 ³⁴		- i			0.31 [0.10, 0.51]
Michelson_2001.1 24		- i		-	0.47 [0.09, 0.84]
Michelson_2001.2 ²⁴					0.66 [0.34, 0.97]
Michelson_2001.3 ²⁴				-	0.87 [0.55, 1.19]
Newcorn_2008.127					0.37 [0.08, 0.65]
Svanborg_2009 ²⁵	F				0.17 [-0.23, 0.56]
Brown_2006 25			• •		0.29 [-0.06, 0.64]
RE Model			•		0.30 [0.19, 0.40]
	Г — Г			1	
	-0.5	0	0.5	1	1.5
			Hedge's g		

FIGURE 3 Forest Plot of Effect Sizes for Studies Investigating the Effects of Atomoxetine (ATX) vs Placebo on Quality of Life (QoL)

Note: Each row represents an effect size. For some studies, multiple effect sizes have been extracted (for example, they did not report a single QoL total scores but multiple QoL domain/subscale scores), accounted for in the multi-level meta-analytic model.

clinical guidelines recommend stimulants as first-choice treatment, followed by non-stimulants.¹ However, in relation to QoL, we found that amphetamines, methylphenidate, and atomoxetine had similar effects. Furthermore, although the effects on ADHD-related symptoms are usually medium to high,¹ in terms of QoL they were in the medium range. This is in line with previous literature showing that medication-related reductions in ADHD symptoms are often not accompanied by parallel improvements in other domains, for example, neurocognitive measures, or vice versa.⁵⁹ Our study shows that targeting impairing core symptoms of ADHD via medication may not be sufficient to significantly reduce the impact of ADHD on QoL, highlighting the importance of planning multi-modal interventions that combine pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions. However, because of the scarcity of previous literature on the topic, more research is needed to elucidate these interactions and the combined effects of multi-modal interventions on ADHD symptoms, neurocognitive measures, and QoL.⁵⁹

It could be that, in addition to a reduction in core symptoms, other effects of ADHD medication (such as enhancement of executive functions, including planning,

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume ■ / Number ■ / ■ 2024

organization, working memory, and impulse control) lead to more efficient task management and more positive academic/professional outcomes. Likewise, the medicationrelated stabilization of mood and reduced emotion dysregulation may promote emotional well-being, enhanced selfesteem and self-confidence, and a more positive selfconcept, ultimately contributing to greater QoL. However, for some people with ADHD, QoL may not improve significantly, even with medications, or initial improvements may wane in the longer term.⁶⁰ For example, persisting ADHD symptoms or co-occurring psychological distress, emotion dysregulation, "treatment fatigue" (ie, people who have tried several medications but without success or with intolerable side effects may become discouraged to continue with any follow-up intervention) or negative side effects (eg, insomnia, decreased appetite, weight loss, irritability) may all affect health and compromise socio-emotional functioning, with a crucial impact on QoL.^{60,61} Moreover, in 9 RCTs, researchers recruited participants with ADHD and co-occurring conditions, such as social anxiety, oppositional defiant disorder, and conduct disorder. However, in the other 8 RCTs, participants were excluded if they had a history of or current mental health



FIGURE 4 Summary of Pooled Estimates of Efficacy of Different Medications on Quality of Life (QoL)

Note: Effect size (Hedge's g) for each medication is represented by a black square, with bars representing the corresponding 95% Cls. The Hedge's g was calculated as the difference between the mean change in QoL from baseline to endpoint for medication vs placebo. Values closer to 1 indicate larger effects for medication than for

placebo.

condition, as well as those who had a history of substance misuse. Physical health conditions were also a criterion for exclusion, in 12 studies. Given the heterogeneity of inclusion/exclusion criteria across studies, however, it is difficult to conclude the extent to which the presence (or absence) of psychiatric and/or medical comorbidities may have influenced the effects of medication on QoL. Therefore, further studies are needed to understand the underlying mechanisms behind the impact of pharmacological, nonpharmacological, and multi-modal interventions for ADHD on QoL. Additional research is also needed to clarify whether and to what extent individual factors (eg, clinical profile, comorbidities, engagement with the intervention) mediate-either positively or negatively-intervention-related changes in ADHD symptomatology and QoL.

Some limitations of our study should be acknowledged. First, although our search was comprehensive across a broad range of dataset, we were able to identify only 17 RCTs reporting QoL outcomes, of 161 included in the most comprehensive and updated database of existing RCTs examining FDA-approved medications for ADHD (https:// med-adhd.org, based on Cortese *et al.*, 2018¹). This is probably due to the fact that, in the early 2000s (before QoL was made mandatory to measure in RCTs for ADHD by the European Medicines Agency), QoL was not usually considered an outcome in RCTs, with symptom reduction and side effects receiving more attention and being reported more frequently. Of note, nowadays, QoL is still considered a secondary rather than a primary outcome. Second, we found differences in study methodology and samples, which

may have slightly biased the main results of our metaanalyses, leading to significant heterogeneity in the metaanalyses. For example, even though self-report measures were predominantly used, the 17 RCTs included in our meta-analysis used 8 different instruments to assess QoL. Third, the instruments used to measure QoL in children and adolescents were more likely to be generic measures of QoL and completed by parents rather than children or young people, whereas those used with adult samples were more likely to be disorder specific, and hence much more closely associated with ADHD symptoms and more likely to detect QoL changes in parallel with symptom reductions. Considering that QoL is primarily conceptualized as a selfperception and that parent-rated QoL is likely to primarily capture functional outcomes (hence, impairments) and less QoL,^{62,63} there may be differences in the outcomes collected in groups of children/adolescents and adults with ADHD. Finally, especially for the meta-analysis on atomoxetine, there were large differences between RCTs in terms of the length of the intervention (between 6 and 24 weeks). In line with a recent analysis of race/ethnicity in RCTs of medications for ADHD,⁶⁴ an additional limitation was the suboptimal reporting of race/ethnicity, and, when data on race/ethnicity were reported, there was lack of diversity within the samples. For all studies reporting ethnicity/race, aside from the study by Goto et al.,34 the predominant ethnicity was White. Similarly, with sex/gender, men made up the highest proportion of participants in most studies.

Considering these limitations, we recommend that future RCTs of pharmacological, non-pharmacological or multimodal interventions for ADHD, systematically include

QoL as a measure of treatment outcome, together with core symptom reduction. For this, it will be important to increase our understanding of the QoL instruments that can be used in clinical practice and research and seek to harmonize their use. It should be noted that different QoL measures could be differently sensitive in detecting improvements in QoL due to a specific intervention or worsening associated to specific symptoms (eg, ADHD). When deciding which instrument should be used to measure QoL and changes in this domain, it is important to assess the psychometric properties of such instruments to fully understand their ability to detect changes in QoL over time. The International Consortium for Health Outcomes Measurement (ICHOM; https://www. ichom.org/) published a consensus on the use of KIDSCREEN-1065 as a measure of QoL in children and adolescents with anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, or obsessive compulsive disorder,66 and neurodevelopmental disorders (including ADHD) (https://www. ichom.org/patient-centered-outcome-measure/

neurodevelopmental-disorders).⁶⁷ A similar process could be completed for adults with ADHD.

Besides reaching consensus about which instruments to use to assess QoL, it is also important to consider that there is no agreement, across different scales and instruments, about which QoL domains (eg, education/work, physical or mental health, social relationships) should be measured or are considered relevant for people with ADHD.⁶³ Considering that QoL is a complex construct reflecting the subjective satisfaction in different life domains, further research should be conducted to advance our understanding of the processes and mechanisms underlying intervention-related improvements in QoL. For example, it could be that scores on the same QoL scale differ in people from different cultural or ethnic backgrounds, considering the possible role that culture/ethnicity may play in self-report QoL, even though this could probably make it more difficult to benchmark across different cross-cultural contexts using the same scales. Similarly, parents of children with ADHD have been found to be more likely to rate their children's QoL worse than the children themselves (who, however, are sometimes overly optimistic when assessing their QoL and global functioning).⁶⁸ Therefore, it would be important to combine both parent- and self-report measures of QoL, when assessing QoL in children and adolescents. For both children/adolescents and adults with ADHD (but also those with other mental or neurodevelopmental conditions), it is also recommended to measure QoL across different settings (eg, social, work, and academic), and to consider potential confounding factors such as socio-economic status, ethnicity and/or culture.⁶⁹ In fact, in the studies included in our review (and, more generally, in clinical trials investigating the

effects of ADHD medication), the impact of psychosocial factors such as specific characteristics of the familial environment, was not studied. Another relevant point to address in future research is the timeframe within which medication exerts positive effects on QoL. The studies incorporated into our meta-analyses assessed QoL in the short term, typically within a range of 1 to 6 months. However, we note that there is a notable absence of data examining whether these effects endure over the long term. Future research should address these gaps, to better understand the effects of ADHD medication on QoL.

Notably, non-pharmacological interventions for ADHD were beyond the scope of our meta-analysis. However, besides Lee et al.,59 who investigated QoL changes associated with cognitive training and found 2 studies (both reporting non-significant results), we are not aware of any other study systematically investigating the effects of nonpharmacological interventions for ADHD on QoL. This is a gap that future research should address. We recommend including QoL as a primary outcome measure of intervention effectiveness, especially for non-pharmacological interventions that have not yet been tested rigorously via RCTs. Moreover, it should be investigated whether and how much combining pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions is likely to further improve QoL in people with ADHD, compared to medication alone. For example, medication-related side effects, co-occurring health, or psychological conditions, and/or perceived stigmatization associated with medication use, may-at least in some people with ADHD-indirectly affect QoL, for which nonpharmacological and psychological interventions may help.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that, besides being efficacious in reducing ADHD symptomatology, stimulant and non-stimulant medications are effective in improving QoL in children, young people, and adults with ADHD, albeit with smaller effects compared with those found for ADHD core symptoms severity. Future research should include QoL as a primary treatment/intervention outcome, and should explore whether and how much combining or alternating between pharmacological and non-pharmacological interventions is likely to further improve QoL in people with ADHD.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Alessio Bellato: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Validation, Supervision, Software, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation. Nadia J. Perrott: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. Lucia Marzulli: Writing – review &

ARTICLE IN PRESS

BELLATO et al.

editing, Data curation. **Valeria Parlatini:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Data curation. **David Coghill:** Writing – review & editing. **Samuele Cortese:** Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Conceptualization.

Accepted May 23, 2024.

Dr. Bellato is with the University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, and the University of Nottingham Malaysia, Semenyih, Malaysia. Ms. Perrott is with the University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom. Dr. Marzulli is with Università degli Studi di Bari "Aldo Moro", Bari, Italy. Dr. Parlatini is with Università of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, King's College London, London, United Kingdom, and Solent NHS Trust, Southampton, United Kingdom. Prof. Coghill is with the University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia, and Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne, Australia. Prof. Cortese is with the University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom, Università degli Studi di Bari "Aldo Moro", Bari, Italy, Solent NHS Trust, Southampton, United Kingdom, University Child Study Center, New York.

The authors have reported no funding for this work.

This work has been prospectively registered: https://osf.io/qvgps/.

Disclosure: Dr. Bellato has declared an honorarium as Joint Editor of *JCPP Advances*. Prof. Coghill has received honoraria or conference support from Novartis, Medice, Takeda, and Servier and royalties from Cambridge University Press and Oxford University Press. Prof. Cortese has declared honoraria and reimbursement for travel and accommodation expenses for lectures from the following non-profit associations: Association for Child and Adolescent Central Health (ACAMH), Canadian ADHD Alliance Resource (CADDRA), British Association of Pharmacology (BAP), Medice, and Healthcare Convention for educational activity on ADHD. He has served on the advisory board of the Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health and the British Association for Psychopharmacology. Drs. Marzulli and Parlatini and Ms. Perrott have reported no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

Correspondence to Alessio Bellato, Ph.D., Building 44, University Rd, School of Psychology, Highfield Campus, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom; e-mail: a.bellato@soton.ac.uk

0890-8567/\$36.00/©2024 American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2024.05.023

REFERENCES

- Cortese S, Adamo N, Del Giovane C, et al. Comparative efficacy and tolerability of medications for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children, adolescents, and adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Lancet Psychiatry. 2018;5(9): 727-738. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30269-4
- American Psychiatric Association. Neurodevelopmental Disorders. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. American Psychiatric Association Publishing; 2022.
- Arrondo G, Solmi M, Dragioti E, et al. Associations between mental and physical conditions in children and adolescents: an umbrella review. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2022;137. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104662
- 4. Hartman CA, Larsson H, Vos M, et al. Anxiety, mood, and substance use disorders in adult men and women with and without attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a substantive and methodological overview. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2023;151:105209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105209
- Felce D, Perry J. Quality of life: its definition and measurement. Res Dev Disabil. 1995; 16(1):51-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-4222(94)00028-8
- Quintero J, Morales I, Vera R, Zuluaga P, Fernández A. The impact of adult ADHD in the Quality of Life Profile. J Atten Disord. 2019;23(9):1007-1016. https://doi.org/10. 1177/1087054717733046
- Coghill DR, Banaschewski T, Soutullo C, Cottingham MG, Zuddas A. Systematic review of quality of life and functional outcomes in randomized placebo-controlled studies of medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2017;26:1283-1307. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-017-0986-y
- Pawaskar M, Fridman M, Grebla R, Madhoo M. Comparison of quality of life, productivity, functioning and self-esteem in adults diagnosed with ADHD and with symptomatic ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2020;24(1):136-144. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1087054719841129
- Barkley RA, Poillion MJ. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a handbook for diagnosis and treatment. Behav Disord. 1994;19(2):150-152. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 019874299401900205
- Coghill D, Spiel G, Baldursson G, et al. Which factors impact on clinician-rated impairment in children with ADHD? Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2006;15:i30-i37. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-006-1005-x
- Escobar R, Soutullo CA, Hervas A, Gastaminza X, Polavieja P, Gilaberte I. Worse quality of life for children with newly diagnosed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, compared with asthmatic and healthy children. Pediatrics. 2005;116(3):e364-e369. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-0386
- 12. Klimkeit E, Graham C, Lee P, Morling M, Russo D, Tonge B. Children should be seen and heard: self-report of feelings and behaviors in primary-school-age children with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2006;10(2):181-191. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054706289926
- 13. Strine TW, Lesesne CA, Okoro CA, et al. Emotional and behavioral difficulties and impairments in everyday functioning among children with a history of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. Prev Chron Dis. 2006;3(2):A52; https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/16539793

- Cortese S. Pharmacologic treatment of attention deficit–hyperactivity disorder. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(11):1050-1056. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmra1917069
- 15. Coghill DR, Joseph A, Sikirica V, Kosinski M, Bliss C, Huss M. Correlations between clinical trial outcomes based on symptoms, functional impairments, and quality of life in children and adolescents with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2019;23(13):1578-1591. https:// doi.org/10.1177/1087054717723984
- 16. Tsujii N, Okada T, Usami M, et al. Effect of continuing and discontinuing medications on quality of life after symptomatic remission in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020;81(3):11514. https://doi. org/10.4088/JCP.19r13015
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Int J Surg. 2021;88:105906. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijsu.2021.105906
- Higgins J, Altman D, Gøtzsche P, et al. Cochrane Bias Methods Group; Cochrane Statistical Methods Group. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343(7829):d5928. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d5928
- [computer program] {esc: Effect Size Computation for Meta Analysis (Version 0.5.1)}. Accessed March 2, 2024. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/esc/index.html
- 20. Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in {R} with the {metafor} package. J Stat Soft. 2010;36:1-48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v036.i03
- R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [computer program]. version 4.3.1 (2023-06-16). R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2023.
- 22. Bangs ME, Hazell P, Danckaerts M, et al. Atomoxetine for the treatment of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and oppositional defiant disorder. Pediatrics. 2008;121: e314-e320. https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2006-1880
- 23. Dittmann A, Helsberg K, Schneider-Fresenius C, Lehmkuhl G, Wehmeier PM. Atomoxetine vs placebo in children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder: a double-blind, randomized, multicenter trial in Germany. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2011;21(2):97-110. https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2009.0111
- 24. Michelson D, Faries D, Wernicke J, et al. Atomoxetine in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, placebocontrolled, dose-response study. Pediatrics. 2001;108(5):e83-e83. https://doi.org/10. 1542/peds.108.5.e83.
- 25. Svanborg P, Thernlund G, Gustafsson PA, Hägglöf B, Schacht A, Kadesjö B. Atomoxetine improves patient and family coping in attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study in Swedish children and adolescents. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2009;18:725-735. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00787-009-0031-x
- 26. Brown RT, Perwien A, Faries DE, Kratochvil CJ, Vaughan BS. Atomoxetine in the management of children with ADHD: effects on quality of life and school functioning. Clin Pediatr. 2006;45(9):819-827. https://doi.org/10.1177/00099228062 94219

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume ■ / Number ■ / ■ 2024

ADHD MEDICATION AND QUALITY OF LIFE

- 27. Newcorn JH, Kratochvil CJ, Allen AJ, et al. Atomoxetine and osmotically released methylphenidate for the treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: acute comparison and differential response. Am J Psychiatry. 2008;165(6):721-730. https:// doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2007.05091676
- 28. Findling RL, Childress AC, Cutler AJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2011;50(4):395-405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2011. 01.007
- 29. Banaschewski T, Soutullo C, Lecendreux M, et al. Health-related quality of life and functional outcomes from a randomized, controlled study of lisdexamfetamine dimesylate in children and adolescents with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. CNS Drugs. 2013;27:829-840. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-013-0095-5
- Adler LA, Spencer TJ, Levine LR, et al. Functional outcomes in the treatment of adults with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2008;11(6):720-727. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1087054707308490
- Adler LA, Liebowitz M, Kronenberger W, et al. Atomoxetine treatment in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid social anxiety disorder. Depress Anxiety. 2009;26(3):212-221. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20549
- 32. Adler LA, Spencer T, Brown TE, et al. Once-daily atomoxetine for adult attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 6-month, double-blind trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2009;29(1):44-50. https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e318192e4a0
- 33. Durell TM, Adler LA, Williams DW, et al. Atomoxetine treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in young adults with assessment of functional outcomes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2013; 33(1):45-54. https://doi.org/10.1097/JCP.0b013e31827d8a23
- 34. Goto T, Hirata Y, Takita Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of atomoxetine hydrochloride in Asian adults with ADHD: a multinational 10-week randomized double-blind placebocontrolled Asian study. J Atten Disord. 2017;21(2):100-109. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1087054713510352
- 35. Adler LA, Dirks B, Deas P, et al. Self-reported quality of life in adults with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and executive function impairment treated with lisdexamfetamine dimesylate: a randomized, double-blind, multicenter, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study. BMC Psychiatry. 2013;13(253). https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-13-253
- 36. Spencer T, Landgraf JM, Adler LA, Weisler R, Anderson CS, Youcha S. Attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder-specific quality of life with triple-bead mixed amphetamine salts (SPD465) in adults: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008;69(11):1766-1775. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.v69n1112
- 37. Goodman DW, Starr HL, Ma Y-W, Rostain AL, Ascher S, Armstrong RB. Randomized, 6-week, placebo-controlled study of treatment for adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: individualized dosing of osmotic-release oral system (OROS) methylphenidate with a goal of symptom remission. J Clin Psychiatry. 2017;78(1):9021. https://doi. org/10.4088/JCP.15m10348
- 38. Takahashi N, Koh T, Tominaga Y, Saito Y, Kashimoto Y, Matsumura T. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of osmotic-controlled release oral delivery system methylphenidate HCl in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in Japan. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2014;15(6): 488-498. https://doi.org/10.3109/15622975.2013.868925
- 39. Dell'Agnello G, Maschietto D, Bravaccio C, et al. Atomoxetine hydrochloride in the treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and comorbid oppositional defiant disorder: a placebo-controlled Italian study. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009;19(11):822-834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2009. 07.008
- 40. Escobar R, Montoya A, Polavieja P, et al. Evaluation of patients' and parents' quality of life in a randomized placebo-controlled atomoxetine study in attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2009;19(3):253-263. https:// doi.org/10.1089/cap.2008.0109
- 41. Wigal SB, McGough JJ, McCracken JT, et al. A laboratory school comparison of mixed amphetamine salts extended release (Adderall XR®) and atomoxetine (Strattera®) in school-aged children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Atten Disord. 2005; 9(1):275-289. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054705281121
- 42. Wigal SB, Adjei AL, Childress A, Chang W-W, Kupper RJ. A study of methylphenidate extended-release capsules in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled protocol in children and adolescents with ADHD. NEI Psychopharmacology Congress. 2014. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852914000765
- 43. Spencer TJ, Adler LA, McGough JJ, et al. Efficacy and safety of dexmethylphenidate extended-release capsules in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;61(12):1380-1387. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych. 2006.07.032
- 44. Iwanami A, Saito K, Fujiwara M, Okutsu D, Ichikawa H. Efficacy and safety of guanfacine extended-release in the treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults: results of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Psychiatry. 2020;81(3):7891. https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.19m12979

Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry Volume ■ / Number ■ / ■ 2024

- 45. Arnold VK, Feifel D, Earl CQ, Yang R, Adler LA. A 9-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, dose-finding study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of modafinil as treatment for adults with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2014;18(2):133-144. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054712441969
- 46. Mick E, Faraone SV, Spencer T, Zhang HF, Biederman J. Assessing the validity of the Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire—Short Form in adults with ADHD. J Atten Disord. 2008;11(4):504-509. https://doi.org/10.1177/108705470 7308468
- 47. Casas M, Rösler M, Sandra Kooij J, et al. Efficacy and safety of prolonged-release OROS methylphenidate in adults with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a 13-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, fixed-dose study. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2013;14(4):268-281. https://doi.org/10.3109/15622975.2011.600333
- 48. Rösler M, Ginsberg Y, Arngrim T, et al. Correlation of symptomatic improvements with functional improvements and patient-reported outcomes in adults with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder treated with OROS methylphenidate. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2013;14(4):282-290. https://doi.org/10.3109/15622975.2011.571283
- 49. Brod M, Johnston J, Able S, Swindle R. Validation of the Adult Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder Quality-of-Life Scale (AAQoL): a disease-specific quality-oflife measure. Qual Life Res. 2006;15:117-129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-005-8325-z
- 50. Landgraf JM. Monitoring quality of life in adults with ADHD: reliability and validity of a new measure. J Atten Disord. 2007;11(3):351-362. https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1087054707299400
- Endicott J, Nee J, Harrison W, Blumenthal R. Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire: a new measure. Psychopharmacol Bull. 1993;29(2):321-326.
- Landgraf JM, Abetz L, Ware JE. Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ): A User's Mannual. Landgraf & Ware; 1999.
- Riley AW, Forrest CB, Rebok GW, et al. The child report form of the CHIP-Child Edition: reliability and validity. Med Care.221-231. https://www.jstor.org/ stable/4640731
- 54. Riley AW, Forrest CB, Starfield B, Rebok GW, Robertson JA, Green BF. The parent report form of the CHIP–Child Edition: reliability and validity. Med Care.210-220; https://www.jstor.org/stable/4640730
- 55. Landgraf JM, Rich M, Rappaport L. Measuring quality of life in children with attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder and their families: development and evaluation of a new tool. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2002;156(4):384-391. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.156.4.384
- Patrick D, Edwards T. Youth Quality of Life Instrument–Short Form (YQOL-SF) Version 2.0. University of Washington, Seattle Quality of Life Group; 2013.
- 57. Bullinger M, Brütt AL, Erhart M, Ravens-Sieberer U; BELLA Study Group. Psychometric properties of the KINDL-R questionnaire: results of the BELLA Study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2008;17:125-132. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-008-1014-z
- Radonjić NV, Bellato A, Khoury NM, Cortese S, Faraone SV. Nonstimulant medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in adults: systematic review and meta-analysis. CNS Drugs. 2023;37(5):381-397. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40263-023-01005-8
- 59. Lee T, Johnson B, Testa R, Priya V, Spencer-Smith M, Coghill D. Can neurocognitive outcomes assist measurement-based care for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? A systematic review and meta-analyses of the relationships among the changes in neurocognitive functions and clinical outcomes of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in pharmacological and cognitive training interventions. J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol. 2022;32(5):250-277. https://doi.org/10.1089/cap.2022.0028
- Adamo N, Seth S, Coghill D. Pharmacological treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: assessing outcomes. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2015;8(4): 383-397. https://doi.org/10.1586/17512433.2015.1050379
- Caye A, Swanson J, Thapar A, et al. Life span studies of ADHD—conceptual challenges and predictors of persistence and outcome. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2016;18(12):111. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-016-0750-x
- 62. Jonsson U, Alaie I, Löfgren Wilteus A, et al. Annual research review: quality of life and childhood mental and behavioural disorders—a critical review of the research. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2017;58(4):439-469. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp. 12645
- 63. Coghill D, Hodgkins P. Health-related quality of life of children with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder vs children with diabetes and healthy controls. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2016;25(3):261-271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-015-0728-y
- 64. Riccioni A, Radua J, Ashaye FO, Solmi M, Cortese S. Systematic review and metaanalysis: reporting and representation of race/ethnicity in 310 randomized controlled trials of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder medications. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2023.09.544
- 65. Ravens-Sieberer U, Herdman M, Devine J, et al. The European KIDSCREEN approach to measure quality of life and well-being in children: development, current application, and future advances. Qual Life Res. 2014;23(3):791-803. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11136-013-0428-3

BELLATO et al.

- 66. Krause KR, Chung S, Adewuya AO, et al. International consensus on a standard set of outcome measures for child and youth anxiety, depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder. Lancet Psychiatry. 2021;8(1):76-86. https://doi. org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30356-4
- Mulraney M, de Silva U, Joseph A, et al. International consensus on a set of standard outcome measures for neurodevelopmental disorders. JAMA Network Open (in press). https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.16760
- 68. Danckaerts M, Sonuga-Barke EJS, Banaschewski T, et al. The quality of life of children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010;19(2):83-105. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-009-0046-3
- 69. Coghill D, Danckaerts M, Sonuga-Barke E, Sergeant J, et al. Practitioner review: quality of life in child mental health—conceptual challenges and practical choices. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2009;50(5):544-561. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.2009. 02008.x