Clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in patients with systemic sclerosis: an observational, multicentre study of GIRRCS (Gruppo Italiano di Ricerca in Reumatologia Clinica e Sperimentale)

V. Liakouli¹, I. Verde², P. Ruscitti³, C. Di Vico¹, A. Ruggiero¹, D. Mauro¹,
G. Forte¹, L. Navarini^{4,5}, S. Di Donato^{4,5}, P. Bearzi^{4,5}, M. Minerba^{4,5}, N. Bertolini⁶,
E. Favoino⁷, G.M. Destro Castaniti⁸, R. D'Alessandro⁹, V. Berlengiero⁹, N. Italiano³,
F. Bellisai¹⁰, F. Caso⁶, G. Guggino⁸, A. Corrado¹¹, P. Triggianese¹², A. Lo Gullo¹³,
G. Mandraffino¹⁴, L. Cantarini⁹, P. Cipriani³, F.P. Cantatore¹¹, M.S. Chimenti¹²,
F. Perosa⁷, A. Iagnocco¹⁵, L. Docimo², R. Giacomelli^{4,5}, F. Ciccia¹

Abstract Objective

Conflicting results about clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis (SSc) and the associated risk factors have been reported. Hence, we aimed to determine the prevalence of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in a large number of Italian SSc patients and the associated risk factors.

Methods

This study included 613 SSc patients from 11 Italian tertiary Rheumatologic Units. All patients underwent full history taking, clinical examination, and relevant laboratory and radiological investigations. Doppler ultrasonography (US) of the common carotid and upper and lower limbs was performed to measure carotid and femoral intima-media thickness (cIMT and fIMT), and carotid and peripheral atheroma plaques. Doppler US of the brachial artery was performed to measure flow-mediated dilatation (FMD).

Results

Patients were mostly women (91.4%) with a median age of 61 years (range, 20-100); a median disease duration of 14 years (range, 0–77) from the onset of the first non-Raynaud's phenomenon (RP); 9.3% had a history of clinical atherosclerosis (9 stable/unstable angina, 21 myocardial infarctions, 24 heart failure, 3 strokes, 8 transient ischaemic attack, 6 intermittent claudication, 10 atrial thrombo-embolism). In 37.1% of patients, subclinical atherosclerosis was detected, after excluding those with a history of clinical atherosclerosis. The prevalence of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis was higher than that reported by the European Society of Cardiology and observational studies that enrolled Italian healthy individuals as a control group, respectively.

Conclusion

A higher prevalence of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis was detected in SSc Italian patients and correlated with traditional and SSc-related risk factors.

Key words

systemic sclerosis, subclinical atherosclerosis, clinical atherosclerosis, flow-mediated vasodilation, traditional cardiovascular risk factors Affiliations: page 1652.

Vasiliki Liakouli, MD, PhD Ignazio Verde, MD Piero Ruscitti, MD, PhD Claudio Di Vico, MD Annarita Ruggiero, MD Daniele Mauro, MD, PhD Giulio Forte, MD Luca Navarini, MD, PhD Stefano Di Donato, MD Pietro Bearzi, MD Marco Minerba, MD Nicoletta Bertolini, MD Elvira Favoino, PhD Giulia Maria Destro Castaniti, MD Roberto D'Alessandro, MD Virginia Berlengiero, MD Noemi Italiano. MD Francesca Bellisai, MD Francesco Caso, MD, PhD Giuliana Guggino MD, PhD Ada Corrado MD. PhD Paola Triggianese, MD, PhD Alberto Lo Gullo, MD Giuseppe Mandraffino, MD, PhD Luca Cantarini, MD, PhD Paola Cipriani, MD, PhD Francesco Paolo Cantatore, MD, PhD Maria Sole Chimenti, MD, PhD Federico Perosa, MD, PhD Annamaria Iagnocco, MD, PhD Ludovico Docimo, MD Roberto Giacomelli, MD, PhD* Francesco Ciccia, MD, PhD* *These authors contributed equally Please address correspondence to:

Vasiliki Liakouli Reumatologia, Dipartimento di Medicina di Precisione, Università degli Studi della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Via S. Pansini 5 80131 Napoli, Italy. E-mail: vasiliki.liakouli@unicampania.it; vasiliki_liakouli@yahoo.it Received on March 19, 2024; accepted in revised form on June 24, 2024.

© Copyright CLINICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL RHEUMATOLOGY 2024.

Competing interests: G. Guggino has received honoraria from Abbvie, Galapagos, Janssen, Pfizer and UCB. A Corrado has received consultancy fees from Abbvie, Amgen, Boehringer and Pfizer. The other authors have declared no competing interests

Introduction

Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a rare autoimmune disease characterised by widespread microangiopathy, abnormal fibrosis of the skin and internal organs, and autoimmunity (1-3). In the last decades, a shift in mortality rates has been reported in some papers showing that the mortality rate linked to SSc-related complications is declining while a gradual increase in death due to atherosclerotic cardio- and cerebro-vascular complications is reported (4-6). Based on the data collected by the European League Against Rheumatism Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database, mortality due to clinical atherosclerosis in SSc was estimated to be 29%, therefore higher than in the general population (7). A cross-sectional analysis of a large United States hospitalisation database showed that atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (CVD), as a primary discharge diagnosis was reported in 5.4% out of 308,452 SSc hospitalisations (8). Indeed, an Australian study on 850 patients (9) and an American study on 865 patients with SSc (10) demonstrated a higher incidence of clinical atherosclerosis than in healthy individuals and particularly of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction (MI), cerebral stroke, and peripheral vascular disease. A Canadian study instead quantified the cardiovascular risk of SSc patients in the first 5 years of the disease and compared it with that of the control population resulting in a 3.49 times greater risk of MI and a 2.35 times greater risk of stroke in SSc patients (11), confirming the importance of an earlier assessment and treatment of the underlying associated risk factors.

Other studies failed to confirm these reports (12, 13). Indeed, it has been shown that the prevalence of coronary angiography in SSc patients was similar to that expected in individuals without SSc (14). Although few studies investigated the prevalence of cerebrovascular disease in SSc patients by Doppler or angiographic evidence of carotid or vertebral stenosis, no significant difference was found between patients and healthy individuals (15). Furthermore, studies investigating different surrogate markers of subclinical atherosclerosis did not show any difference between patients and healthy individuals (16, 17) and well-controlled studies in a large cohort of SSc are not yet available.

At present, the methodologic heterogeneity of the published data hinders the comparison of these studies. In this undefined and controversial context, hence we report the increased prevalence of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis, derived from an observation of the Gruppo Italiano di Ricerca in Reumatologia Clinica e Sperimentale (GIRRCS) SSc cohort and try to define the role played by the traditional proatherosclerotic risk factors in these patients, together with the possible impact of the pathogenic mechanisms of the disease itself, leading to the development of subclinical and clinical atherosclerosis.

Patients and methods

Study design, patients, and assessment of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis

The study population included 613 SSc patients from 11 tertiary Rheumatologic Units, throughout the whole Italy with a high experience in the management of this disease. All patients fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology/ European League Against Rheumatism (ACR/EULAR) 2013 classification criteria (18), and were consecutively enrolled from January 1, 2021, to February 15, 2023. The Ethics committee of the coordinator of the study approved the protocol (0029176/i) following the Good Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

All patients were subjected to full history taking, clinical examination, and relevant laboratory and radiological investigations related to their SSc, clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis, and traditional cardiovascular risk factors were reported. The prevalence of clinical atherosclerosis, defined as the history of unstable/stable angina, MI, chronic heart failure, stroke, transient ischaemic attack, episodes of atrial thromboembolism, and peripheral claudication intermittent was compared with those registered in the Italian general population by the European Society of Cardiology (19, 20).

Subclinical atherosclerosis was defined as the presence of carotid and peripheral atheroma plaques and/or cIMT and fIMT more than 0.9mm of diameter by performing Doppler US of the common carotid and upper and lower limbs. Doppler US of the brachial artery was performed to measure FMD, a validated non-invasive technique of endothelial dysfunction based on nitric oxide release (NO), as an alternative early vascular marker of subclinical atherosclerosis (21, 22). Centres strictly followed the FMD guidelines for preparation and assessment, involving appropriately trained sonographers to reduce variability. Patients sat with their forearm prone on a table for at least 10 minutes. A sphygmomanometer cuff was placed distally to the artery, and the ultrasound probe was positioned 3-5 cm above the wrist. Brachial artery diameter was measured at baseline. The cuff was inflated above systolic pressure, occluding the artery for 5 minutes. After deflation, the diameter was measured every 30 seconds for 5 consecutive times using a high-resolution ultrasound device. Normal values were defined as FMD >10%. (23, 24). The prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis was compared with data reported in Italian healthy individuals based on the available literature, comparable for age, sex, and traditional cardiovascular risk factors (25-27).

Traditional cardiovascular risk factors assessed in our study included a family history of clinical atherosclerosis, smoking, serum levels of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), triglycerides, fasting blood glucose, diagnosis of high blood pressure, type II diabetes, metabolic syndrome (MS), body mass index (BMI). Hypercholesterolaemia was defined considering the value of cholesterol and the limit less than 200mg/dl, and/or treatment with medications lowering the blood cholesterol levels (28, 29). Hypertriglyceridaemia was defined considering the value of triglycerides and the limit less than 130 mg/dl, and/or treatment with

Table I. Demographic and immunological features of the enrolled SSc patients.

Patients (total number)	613
Gender (female/male)	91.4%/8.6%
Age (years)	
Mean±SD	59.53%±13.93
Median (range)	61 (20-100)
Disease duration from RP (years)	
Mean±SD	15.5%±13.03
Median (range)	16 (0-68)
Disease duration from non-RP (years)	
Mean±SD	12.51%±11.67
Median (range)	14 (0-77)
Subset (limited/diffuse)	72% (171/611)/28% (440/611)
ANA (positive/negative) 83	3.4% (503/603)/16.6% (100/603)
SSc autoantibodies (positive/negative)	82% (482/588)/18% (106/588)
ACA (positive) 47	7.1% (277/588)
ATA (positive)	31% (190/612)
Anti-RNA Pol III (ARA) (positive) 2	2.6% (16/613)
ACA/ATA/ARA (triple negative)	18% (106/588)

ACA: anticentromere antibodies; ANA: antinuclear antibodies; ARA: anti-RNA polymerase III; ATA: anti-topoisomerase I antibodies.

medications lowering the blood triglyceride levels (30). Metabolic syndrome and type II diabetes were defined according to standard criteria and/or treatment with anti-diabetic (31, 32). Clinical, serological, and radiological disease-related features included disease duration from the onset of the first non-RP, disease subset according to LeRoy criteria (33), anti-nuclear antibodies (ANA) and SSc-specific autoantibodies, puffy hands, ischaemic digital ulcers, pitting scars, telangiectasias, subcutaneous calcinosis, tendon friction rubs, scleroderma renal crisis (SRC), pulmonary function tests (PFTs) with carbon monoxide diffusion capacity (DL_{CO}) , electrocardiogram (ECG), echocardiographic features, modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS), interstitial lung disease (ILD) assessed by high resolution computed tomography (HRCT), pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) measured by echocardiography. Patients with estimated systolic pulmonary arterial pressure (sPAP) >40mmHg are at higher risk of presenting PH with the majority of SSc studies investigating PAH screening used sPAP as a suitable parameter for this goal (34). Moreover, when right heart catheterisation (RHC), the "gold standard" technique to diagnose a PAH, was performed, the results were also reported. The European Scleroderma Study Group (EScSG) activity index was used to assess disease activity [35,36]. Disease severity was assessed by the core set of variables proposed by Medsger *et al.* (37). The current therapy was also recorded.

Statistical analysis

Data were collected and analysed using the statistical package SPSS version 20 SPSS (Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We modelled 2 statistical analyses, adjusted for gender and age, by performing logistic regression, to evaluate, the possible association of each identified covariate on clinical atherosclerosis occurrence, and in the second analysis to evaluate the possible association of the same set of covariates on subclinical atherosclerosis occurrence. Covariates were selected from 2 main areas: traditional cardiovascular risk factors and SSc-related features. A significant threshold was set at p < 0.05.

Results

Baseline characteristics

of the evaluated SSc patients

The GIRRCS cohort study population comprised 613 SSc patients. The demographic and disease features of the patients are shown in Table I and II. SSc patients were mostly women (91.4%) with a median of 61 years (range: 20– 100). The disease duration ranged from 0 to 77 years with a median of 10 years; 442 (72%) out of patients were affected by the limited cutaneous SSc (lcSSc) and 171 (28%) by the diffuse cutaneous SSc (dcSSc); 86 (19.5%) out of patients had active disease; 503 (83.4%)

Table II. Clinical features of the enrolled SSc patients.

Puffy fingers	47.9%	(292/610)
Ischaemic digital ulcers	38.7%	(236/610)
Pitting scars Telangiectasias	30.3% 49.3%	(1/9/590) (288/584)
Calcinosis	12.9%	(767/588)
Tendon friction rubs	11.2%	(68/609)
EScSG (active)	19.5%	(86/440)
NVC	12 507	(72/520)
Farly pattern	24.3%	(131/539)
Active pattern	38.2%	(2067539)
Late pattern	23.9%	(129/539)
SRC	1.5%	(9/611)
FVC%	817%	(430/500)
200 <i>%</i> 70-79%	8.1%	(430/309) (41/509)
50-69	7.1%	(36/509)
<50%	0.2%	(1/509)
O2 therapy	0%	(112)
PEV/FVC<80%	25.6%	(113)
>80%	44.6%	(209)
70-79%	17.3%	(81)
50-69%	27.3%	(128)
<50%	10.9%	(51)
O_2 therapy	0%	
>80%	76.1%	(287/377)
70-79%	11.9%	(45/377)
50-69%	9.0%	(34/377)
<50%	2.9%	(11/377)
O2 therapy	0%	
Normal	70.8%	(354/500)
Conduction abnormalities	15.2%	(76/500)
Arrhythmias	7.0%	(35/500)
RV hypertrophy	1.2%	(6/500)
Other	5.8%	(29/500)
EF<33% Disstolic dysfunction	2.5%	(14/562) (164/565)
PAPs>40MMHG	7.3%	(39/535)
Pericardial effusion	13.9%	(81/581)
mRSS>14	17.5%	(87/496)
ILD	46.9%	(272/580)
VES>30mmHg	14.7% 25.8%	(134/519)
CRP>0.5mg/dl	32.8%	(172/525)
CYC	0% (0)	
MMF	15.4%	(91/590)
AZA	6.3%	(37/590)
HCO	14.2%	(34/590) (84/590)
GC	30.3%	(179/590)
COLCHICINE	1.5%	(9/590)
IVIG	0%	(15(500)
RIX TC7	2.5%	(15/590)
Antifibrotics	0.5%	(3/590)
CCB	58.5%	(345/590)
Other peripheral vasodilators	7.8%	(46/590)
Sildenafil	3.4%	(20/590)
Tadalafil Dispisyot	0.7%	(4/590)
Bosentan	23.6%	(3/390) (139/590)
Macitentan	2.0%	(12/590)
Ambrisentan	0.3%	(2/590)
Iloprost	38%	(2247590)
Alprostadil	0.2%	(1/590)
Anticoagulant	34.9%	(324/390) (197590)
ACEI	13.1%	(77/590)
ARBS	7.8%	(46/590)
B-blockers	8.6%	(51/590)
Diuretics	11.4%	(67/590)
Statins	17.5%	(103/390)

CRP: C reactive protein; DLCO: diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; ECG: electrocardiogram; EF: ejection fraction; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; EScSG: European Scleroderma Study Group activity index; FEV1/FVC: forced expiratory volume in the first second to forced vital capacity; FVC: forced vital capacity; ILD: interstitial lung disease; mRSS: modified skin score; NVC: nailfold videocapillaroscopy; PAH: pulmonary arterial pypertension; PAPs: estimated pulmonary arterial pressure by echocardiography; RP: Raynaud's phenomenon; SRC: scleroderma renal crisis; TLC: total lung capacity. Table III. Clinical atherosclerotic events of the enrolled patients.

Clinical atherosclerosis, % (number/total number)	9.3% (55/593)
Stable/unstable angina	1.5% (9/582)
Acute myocardial infarction	3.6% (21/582)
Chronic heart failure	4.0% (24/594)
Cerebral stroke	0.5% (3/580)
Transient ischaemic attack	1.4% (8/580)
Peripheral claudication intermittent	1.0% (6/580)
Atrial thrombosis/embolus	1.7% (10/585)

Table IV. Specific prevalence rate ratios of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in the SSc GIRRCS cohort.

Age (years)	SSc pts, total number (valid)	Clinical atherosclerosis, number	Specific prevalence rate (*100.000 people)
20-30	20	0	0
31-40	33	0	0
41-50	87	4	4.597
51-60	148	8	5405
61-70	155	11	7.096
71-80	122	21	17.213
81-90	26	10	38.461
91-100	2	1	50.000
20-100	593	55	9.274
Age (years)	SSc pts, total number (valid)	Subclinical atherosclerosis, number	Specific prevalence rate (*100.000 people)
20-30	10	0	0
31-40	19	4	21.052
41-50	53	20	37.735
51-60	77	29	37.662
61-70	81	43	53.086
71-80	65	18	27.692
81-90	14	5	37.514
91-100	2	1	50.000
		100	05.454

Pts: patients.

of patients were positive for ANA antibodies; 482 (82%) of patients were positive for SSc-related autoantibodies: 277 (47.1%) were positive for anticentromere (ACA), 190 (31%) for antitopoisomerase I (ATA), and 16 (2.6%) for anti-RNA polymerase III (Pol III) antibodies. 292 (47.9%) of patients had puffy fingers, 236 (38.7%) had ischaemic digital ulcers, 179 (30.3%) had pitting scars, 288 (49.3%) had telangiectasias, 68 (12.9%) had tendon friction rubs and 76 (11.2%) had subcutaneous calcinosis. 73 (13.5%) of patients had aspecific capillaroscopic abnormalities, 131 (24.3%) had an early scleroderma pattern, 206 (38.2%) had an active, and 129 (23.9%) had a late pattern (37); 9 (1.5%) had SRC, 272 (46.9%) had ILD on HRCT and 75 (14.7%) had PAH on RHC; 146 (29,2%) had ECG abnormalities: 76 (15,2%) aspecific conduction abnormalities, 35 (7%) arrhythmias, 6

(1,2%) ECG sign of right ventricular hypertrophy and 29 (5,8%) other ECG abnormalities. The most prescribed immunosuppressive drug was mycophenolate (15.4%) while rituximab was the most prescribed bDMARD (2.5%); antifibrotic therapy (nintedanib) was prescribed in 3 patients (0.5%); 345 (58.5%) of patients were under treatment with calcium channels blockers and 46 (7.8%) with other peripheral vasodilators; Phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors were prescribed in 24 (4,6%) of patients; anti-endothelin-1 inhibitors in 153 (25,9%) of patients; prostacyclin analog iloprost in 224 (38%) of patients; antiplatelet therapy in 324 (54.9%) and proton pump inhibitors in 280 (47.5%) of patients.

Prevalence of clinical atherosclerosis and related risk factors Fifty-five patients (9.3%) had a history

Table V. Clinical atherosclerosis univariate and multivariate analyses.

			-	
Univariate analyses	OR	SE	р	CI 95%
Gender	0.641	0.433	0.305	0.274-1.498
Age	1.083	0.014	0.000	1.053-1.112
RP onset	1.010	0.020	0.631	0.971-1.050
Non-RP onset	1.025	0.020	0.218	0.9861.065
Subset (diffuse)	1.279	0.030	0.417	0.706-2.316
ANA (positive)	3.808	0.605	0.027	1.164-12.456
SSc Abs (positive)	0.916	0.370	0.814	0.443-1.894
ACA (positive)	0.090	0.294	0.562	0.667-2.108
ATA (positive)	0.750	0.323	0.373	0.398-1.413
RNA Pol III (positive)	0.646	1.043	0.675	0.084-4.983
ACA/ATA/Pol III (triple negative)	1.091	0.370	0.814	0.528-2.256
Puffy fingers	1.466	0.285	0.180	0.838-2.564
Ischaemic digital ulcers	2.848	0.292	0.000	1.068-5.044
Pitting scars	1.492	0.295	0.175	0.837-2.659
Telangiectasias	1.196	0.287	0.532	0.682-2.099
Calcinosis	1.184	0.405	0.677	0.535-2.617
Tendon friction rubs	1.031	0.455	0.946	0.423-2.514
SRC	2.863	0.815	0.197	0.580-14.031
FVC%	1.792	0.214	0.006	1.179-2.724
FVC/FEV1%	2.286	0.358	0.021	1.131-4.610
DLCO%	1.758	0.161	0.000	1.282-2.410
TLC%	1.277	0.218	0.263	0.832-1.958
EF%<55	6.712	0.591	0.001	2.109-21.357
Diastolic dysfunction	3.964	0.304	0.000	2.185-7.192
PAPs>40mmHg	3.476	0.416	0.003	1.539-7.851
Pericardial effusion (PE)	3.469	0.332	0.000	1.810-6.649
mRSS>14	1.424	0.381	0.354	0.675-3.006
ILD	1.785	0.296	0.050	0.999-3.190
PAH (RHC)	3.077	0.351	0.001	1.547-6.121
Family history of CVEs	1.354	0.336	0.368	0.700-2.618
Smoking habits (current or past)	1.382	0.318	0.309	0.741-2.580
Cholesterol>200mg/dl	0.910	0.366	0.796	0.444-1.865
Triglycerides>130mg/dl	1.127	0.400	0.765	0.515-2.467
Hyperglycaemia	4.311	0.522	0.005	1.548-12.002
ESR>30mmHg	2.412	2.330	0.008	1.263-4.608
CRP>0.5mg/dl	1.652	0.327	0.125	0.870-3.137
High blood pressure	5.412	0.303	0.000	2.987-9.805
Type II diabetes	3.181	0.391	0.003	1.479-6.843
Metabolic syndrome	1.623	0.465	0.298	0.652-4.040
EScSG (active)	3.418	0.375	0.001	1.638-7.134
General	1.708	0.316	0.090	0.919-3.172
Peripheral vascular	1.450	0.177	0.036	1.025-2.050
Skin	1.540	0.191	0.023	1.060-2.238
Joint/tendon	0.930	0.327	0.825	0.490-1.766
Muscles	1.805	0.330	0.073	0.946-3.443
GI	0.965	0.291	0.902	0.546-1.706
Lung	1.426	0.160	0.027	1.042-1.952
Heart	1.163	0.278	0.588	0.674-2.006
Kidney	1.795	0.412	0.156	0.800-4.029
Multivariate analyses	OR	SE	р	CI 95%
Gender	0.774	0.618	0.678	0.230-2.600
Age	1.055	0.017	0.002	1.020-1.091
Ischaemic digital ulcers	4.990	0.430	0.000	2.149-11.583
EScSG	2.600	0.411	0.020	1.161-5.821
High blood pressure	2.819	0.418	0.013	1.241-6.399
Type II diabetes mellitus	1.173	0.586	0.328	0.562-5.591

ACA: anticentromere antibodies; ANA: antinuclear antibodies; CRP: C reactive protein; DLCO: Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; ECG: electrocardiogram; EF: ejection fraction; EScSG: European Scleroderma Study Group activity index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FVC: forced vital capacity; ILD: interstitial lung disease; mRSS: modified skin score; PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; RP: Raynaud's phenomenon; SSc Abs: systemic sclerosis specific autoantibodies; TLC: total lung capacity; PAPs: pulmonary arterial pressure.

of clinical atherosclerosis (9 patients with stable/unstable angina, 21 patients with MI, 24 with heart failure, 3 with stroke, 8 patients with TIA, 6 claudication intermittent, 10 patients with atrial thrombo-embolism). Some of the patients experienced more than one clinical atherosclerotic event (12 patients experienced 2 events and 3 patients experienced 3 events). In our study, the prevalence of clinical atherosclerotic events in SSc patients is slightly higher than the prevalence reported in the European Society of Cardiology (19, 20) used as a control population (Table III and IV). Univariate analysis showed an association of clinical atherosclerotic events with both traditional cardiovascular risk factors and SSc-specific features. As far as traditional cardiovascular risk factors are included, a significant association was observed with hyperglycaemia (OR: 4.3110; 95% CI: 1.548-12.002; *p*<0.005), high blood pressure (OR: 5.412; 95% CI: 2.987-9.805; *p*<0.000); type II diabetes (OR: 3.181; 95% CI: 1.479-6.843; p<0.003); when SSc-specific features were considered we observed that: ANA positivity (OR: 3.808; 95% CI: 1.164-12.456; p < 0.027); ischaemic digital ulcers (OR: 2.848; 95% CI: 1.068-5.044; p<0.000); FVC<80% of % predicted (OR: 1.792; 95% CI: 1.179-2.724; p<0.006); DLCO<80% of % predicted (OR: 1.758; 95% CI: 1.282-2.410; p < 0.000; ejection fraction (EF) < 55% (OR: 6.712; 95% CI: 2.109-21.357; p<0.001); left ventricular diastolic dysfunction of (OR: 3.964; 95% CI: 2.185-7.192; *p*<0.000); PAPs>40mmHg (OR: 3.476; 95% CI: 1.539-7.851; p<0.003); pericardial effusion (OR: 3.469; 95% CI: 1.810-6.649; p<0.000); PAH on RHC (OR: 3.077; 95% CI: 1.547-6.121; p < 0.001); EScSG ≥ 3 (active disease) (OR: 3.418; 95% CI: 1.638-7.134; p < 0.001); erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) >30mmHg (OR: 2.412; 95% CI: 1.263-4.608; p<0.008), peripheral vascular severity score (OR: 1.450; 95% CI: 1.025-2.050; p<0.036); skin severity score (OR: 1.540; 95% CI: 1.060-2.238; *p*<0.023); lung severity score (OR: 1.426; 95% CI: 1.042-1.952; p < 0.027), were significantly associated with the cardiovascular events. After multivariate analysis, high blood pressure (OR: 2.819; 95% CI: 1.241-6.399; p<0.013), age (OR: 1.055; 95% CI: 1.020-1.091; p<0.002), ischaemic digital ulcers (OR: 4.990; 95% CI: 2.149-11.583; p<0.000), high activity of the disease assessed by EScSG (OR: 2.600; 95% CI: 1.161-5.821; p < 0.020) were confirmed to be independent variables significantly associated with clinical atherosclerosis. There was a significant main effect of capillaroscopic specific abnormalities on clinical atherosclerosis (p < 0.005)with a significant difference between the early and late pattern and active and late pattern with clinical atherosclerosis (p < 0.003 and p < 0.045, respectively). Fibrosis-related features including mRSS, tendon friction rubs, and the presence of ILD were not statistically associated with clinical atherosclerosis. Disease duration and subset, SScspecific autoantibodies, puffy fingers, pitting scars, telangiectasias, calcinosis, ECG abnormalities, family history of CVD, smoking, high cholesterol, triglycerides, MS, and BMI were not statistically associated with the history of clinical atherosclerosis (Table V).

Prevalence of subclinical

atherosclerosis and related risk factors 119 patients (37.1%) without a history of atherosclerotic events showed an increased prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis when compared with the prevalence reported in other observational studies that enrolled Italian healthy individuals as a control group (25-27) (Table IV).

Univariate analysis confirmed a significant association of subclinical atherosclerosis with both traditional cardiovascular risk factors and SSc-specific features. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors included a family history of CVD (OR: 2.796; 95% CI: 1.609-4.856; p<0.000); smoking (OR: 2.797; 95% CI: 1.725-4.535; p<0.000); MS (OR: 8.443; 95% CI: 3.091-23.064; p < 0.000) while SSc-specific features included disease duration from first non-RP (OR: 1.019: 95% CI: 1.002-1.038; *p*<0.033); the presence of ischaemic digital ulcers (OR: 1.676; 95% CI: 1.018-2.761; p<0.042); DLCO<80% of CI: 1.176-1.945; p<0.001); C-reactive protein (CRP)>0.05 mg/dl (OR: 2.267; 95% CI: 1.352-3.800; p<0.002); EScSG (active disease) (OR: 1.892; 95% CI: 1.041-3.441; p<0.037); heart domain of the Medsger severity score (OR: 1.781; 95% CI: 1.172-2.708; p<0.007). There was a significant main effect of ECG abnormalities (arrhythmias) and BMI (overweight) on subclinical atherosclerosis (p < 0.015 and p < 0.001, respectively). After multivariate analysis, smoking (OR: 2.491; 95% CI: 1.407-4.412; *p*<0.002); MS (OR: 6.805; 95% CI: 2.208-20.974; p<0.001), family history of CVD (OR: 1.021; 95 % CI: 1.498-5.149; p<0.001), ischaemic digital ulcers (OR: 2.107; 95% CI: 1.141-3.890; p < 0.017) and disease duration from the non-RP (OR: 1.029; 95 % CI: 1.007-1.051; p < 0.009) were confirmed to be independent variables significantly associated with subclinical atherosclerosis (Table VI). Fibrosis-related features included mRSS, tendon friction rubs, and the presence of ILD were not statistically associated with subclinical atherosclerosis. Age, disease subset, SSc-specific autoantibodies, puffy fingers, pitting scars, telangiectasias, calcinosis, SRC, FVC, TLC, EF%, diastolic dysfunction, PAPs>40mmHg, pericardial effusion, PAH, capillaroscopic abnormalities, cholesterol, triglycerides, ESR were not statistically significant associated with subclinical atherosclerosis (Table VI). Lastly, univariate analysis confirmed an association of subclinical atherosclerosis with different plaque locations and/ or cIMT and/or FMD. In particular, univariate analysis showed an association of subclinical atherosclerosis with cIMT (OR:26.437; 95% CI: 7.542-96.672; p<0.000), carotid plaque (OR: 23.818; 95% CI: 12.443-45.591; p<0.000), femoral plaque (OR: 11.356; 95% CI: 3.719-34.677; p<0.000), and FMD (OR: 6.733; 95% CI: 2.894-15.667; p<0.000). fIMT was not associated with subclinical atherosclerosis (OR: 3.143; 95% CI: 0.788-12.529; P<0.105). After multivariate analysis, cIMT (OR: 7,710; 95% CI: 1.474-40.323; P<0.016), was confirmed to be an independent variable significantly associated with subclinical atherosclerosis.

the predicted value (OR: 1.513; 95%

Discussion

Our observational, multicentric study, enrolling several hundred of SSc patients, included in GIRRCS Italian cohort, showed a slightly significant increase in the prevalence of clinical (9.3%) and subclinical atherosclerosis (37.1%) in these patients when compared with available controls (19, 20, 25-27). In addition, we showed that both traditional cardiovascular risk factors and SSc-specific features were independently associated with these features, suggesting a synergistic role in the development of cardiovascular complications. Of note, the presence of ischaemic digital ulcers was independently associated with both clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis, confirming the importance of endothelial and vascular damage in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. Concerning traditional cardiovascular risk factors systemic hypertension was independently associated with clinical atherosclerosis while a family history of CVD, smoking, and MS were independently associated with subclinical atherosclerosis.

Our study aligns with large retrospective cohort studies and nationwide registries worldwide, confirming an increased prevalence of clinical atherosclerosis in SSc patients (9-11, 39, 40). In SSc, structural macrovascular damage progresses with the worsening of microvascular damage (41). Ulnar artery occlusion has been reported to be strongly predictive of future digital ulcers (42, 43), as well as nailfold capillary abnormalities (pattern late) (44-49). We found that ischaemic digital ulcers were independently associated with both clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis. Furthermore, we found that active and late capillaroscopic patterns were significantly associated with both clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis. Vascular repair is mediated through angiogenesis and vasculogenesis. In SSc, low endothelial progenitor cells count predict digital ulcers, cardiac, and vascular issues in SSc (50-53).

In SSc, inflammation is expected in early stages or active disease. One of the domains of EScSG index is ESR, an inflammatory marker, that was found, in the general population, to be an inde-

Table VI. Subclinical atherosclerosis univariate and multivar	ate anal	yses.
---	----------	-------

Univariate analyses	OR	SE	р	CI 95%
Gender	0.767	0.401	0.508	0.350-1.682
Age	1.014	0.009	0.099	0.997-1.031
RP onset	1.015	0.009	0.079	0.998-1.033
Non-RP onset	1.019	0.009	0.033	1.002-1.038
Subset (diffuse)	1.180	0.265	0.532	0.702-1.983
ANA (positive)	2.675	0.297	0.001	1.494-4.790
SSc Abs (positive)	1.009	0.322	0.978	0.536-1.897
ACA (positive)	0.792	0.234	0.321	0.501-1.255
ATA (positive)	1.179	0.239	0.492	0.737-1.885
RNA Pol III (ARA) (positive)	5.198	1.161	0.156	0.535-50.552
ACA/ATA/ARA (triple negative)	0.991	0.322	0.978	0.527-1.864
Puffy fingers	0.881	0.239	0.596	0.551-1.408
Ischaemic digital ulcers	1.676	0.255	0.042	1.018-2.761
Pitting scars	1.067	0.246	0.791	0.659-1.727
Telangiectasias	1.472	0.233	0.097	0.933-2.323
Calcinosis	0.973	0.314	0.931	0.525-1.802
Tendon friction rubs	1.339	0.354	0.410	0.669-2.680
SRC	0.277	1.086	0.237	0.033-2.328
FVC%	1.103	0.219	0.656	0.717-1.695
FVC/FEV1%	1.361	0.340	0.365	0.698-2.653
DLCO%	1.513	0.128	0.001	1.176-1.945
TLC%	1.382	0.208	0.120	0.920-2.076
EF%<55	1.030	0.740	0.968	0.241-4.397
Diastolic dysfunction	1.444	0.258	0.154	0.871-2.395
PAPS>40mmHg	1.266	0.440	0.592	0.534-2.999
Pericardial effusion	0.892	0.342	0.739	0.456-1.745
mRSS>14	1.027	0.365	0.941	0502-2.102
ILD	1.568	0.234	0.055	0.990-2.481
PAH (RHC)	1.017	0.402	0.967	0.462-2.237
Family history of CVD	2.796	0.282	0.000	1.609-4.856
Smoking habits (current or past)	2.797	0.247	0.000	1.725-4.535
Total cholesterol>200mg/dl	0.906	0.282	0.906	0.521-1.576
Iriglycerides>130mg/dl	1.280	0.318	0.437	0.687-2.385
Glycaemia	1.493	0.501	0.423	0.560-3.987
ESR>30mmHg	1.402	0.289	0.242	0.796-2.470
Useh hlassi ana sama	2.207	0.264	0.002	1.332-3.800
High blood pressure	2.142	0.250	0.003	1.290-3.340
Notabolio sundromo	1.002 8.442	0.591	0.194	2 001 22 064
EsoSC (active)	1 802	0.315	0.000	1 041 2 441
Conorol	1.692	0.303	0.037	1.041-5.441
Deripheral vascular	0.957	0.124	0.550	0.725-1.887
Skin	0.937	0.124	0.723	0.695 1.223
Joint/tendon	0.922	0.144	0.574	0.617 1.378
Muscles	0.522	0.205	0.257	0.373-1.301
GI	1 204	0.322	0.322	0.83/ 1.738
Ling	1.204	0.119	0.212	0.919-1.466
Heart	1 781	0.214	0.007	1 172-2 708
Kidney	0.706	0.465	0.706	0.260-1.919
Multivariate analyses	OR	SE	р	CI 95%
Candar	1 252	0.502	0.654	0 467 2 259
	1.235	0.505	0.004	0.407-3.338
Ischaemic digital ulcers	2 107	0.011	0.505	1 1/1 3 800
Disease duration from non DD	1.020	0.010	0.017	1.141-3.090
Family history of CVD	1.029	0.315	0.009	1 498-5 149
Smoking habits	2 491	0 292	0.002	1 407-4 412
Metabolic syndrome	6.805	0.574	0.001	2.208-20.974

ACA: anticentromere antibodies; ANA: antinuclear antibodies; ARA: anti-polymrase III antibodies; CRP: C reactive protein; CVD: cardiovascular diseases; DLCO: Diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; ECG: elettrocardiogram; EF: ejection fraction; EScSG: European Scleroderma Study Group activity index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FVC: Forced vital capacity; GI: gastrointestinal tract; ILD: interstitial lung disease; mRSS: modified skin score; PAH: pulmonary arterial hypertension; PAPs: pulmonary arterial pressure; RP: Raynaud's phenomenon; TLC: total lung capacity pendent risk factor of coronary atherosclerosis and also a predictor of heart disease mortality (54-55). In our study, an ESR >30 mm/hr was significantly associated with clinical atherosclerosis. CRP, another inflammatory marker, predicts cardiovascular risk and is linked to increased coronary calcification (56). High CRP levels, present in 25% of SSc patients, especially early in the disease, correlate with disease activity, severity, poor pulmonary function, shorter survival, and cutaneous and musculoskeletal manifestations (57, 58) In our study, CRP was significantly associated only with subclinical atherosclerosis (59).

As expected, our analysis showed that age is an independent risk factor for clinical atherosclerosis. Nevertheless, in our SSc patients, we found increased evidence of clinical atherosclerosis, suggesting that specific factors related to the disease may act in a synergistic role to induce increased atherosclerosis. This is also observed in many other autoimmune/autoinflammatory conditions (60-61). Regarding the impact of disease duration on clinical atherosclerosis, we did not find any statistical association while disease duration was associated with subclinical atherosclerosis in our patients, further confirming our hypothesis on the early role of the inflammation or low-grade inflammation on endothelial damage.

Notably, we found that SSc-specific features related to tissue fibrosis such as mRSS, tendon friction rubs, and the presence of ILD were not associated with the development of clinical atherosclerosis.

Although atherosclerosis shares some pathways with fibrotic disease, including histopathological features (62, 63), distinct processes such as LDL and cholesterol accumulation in the arterial walls, and foam cell formation differentiate them. On the contrary, in fibrotic disease, activated macrophages focus on tissue repair and fibrosis (2, 64).

Atherosclerosis may be induced by autoimmune processes including humoral factors and cells (65). Conflicting results are reported in the available literature about the role of specific SSc antibodies and subclinical atherosclerosis. The presence of ACA is associated

with decreased levels of HDL (66) and ischaemic arterial events and plaques are more common in these patients (67, 68) while ischaemic events were rare in the ATA-positive group. On the contrary, a previous study showed that the severity of large vessel macrovascular disease was independent of the clinical subset and that ACA positivity was weakly associated with atherosclerosis (12). In our cohort, the majority of patients were positive for ACA but we did not find any statistical association between autoantibody status and clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis. A study conducted on 46 SSc women showed that carotid plaques were significantly associated with the serum levels of IL-2, IL-6, CRP, ICAM-1, and others (69-72).

As far as the prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors is concerned, data are contradictory. We found that systemic hypertension is only associated with clinical atherosclerosis while smoking and MS with subclinical atherosclerosis (Table VII). In other studies, the prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors was found to be either higher (73), similar [10], or reduced in SSc patients (9, 10, 68, 74-76) when compared with the general population, thereby making difficult any comparability.

Concerning subclinical atherosclerosis, we showed an increased cIMT and fIMT, a higher presence of carotid and peripheral atheroma plaques, and an increased reduction of FMD. The higher incidence of subclinical atherosclerosis in SSc patients worldwide was highlighted by two meta-analyses (77-78), although its potential clinical implications remain unclear, and well-controlled studies in large cohorts are not yet available.

In our cohort, ischaemic digital ulcers and active disease as well as traditional cardiovascular risk factors, including smoking and MS, were associated with subclinical atherosclerosis. A previous study showed that older age, elevated ESR, and PAH were independently associated with subclinical atherosclerosis (79). Furthermore, an Italian study on 39 SSc patients showed that patients with left ventricular diastolic dysfuncTable VII. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors evaluation.

Family history of CVD % (number/total number)	28.8% (144/500)
Smoking habitus	35.0% (207/591)
Total cholesterol, mg/dl	
Total cholesterol>200mg/dl	39.6% (156/394)
Triglycerides, mg/dl	
Triglycerides >130mg/dl	29.6% (112/379)
Blood glucose, mg/dl	
Blood glucose>110mg/dl	8.1% (26/320)
High blood pressure	31.7% (190/600)
Type II diabetes	7.65% (45/596)
Metabolic syndrome	8.4% (48/570)
BMI	
Normal	74.4% (435/585)
Overweight	18.1% (106/585)
Obese	7.5% (44/585)

BMI: body mass index; CVD: cardiovascular disease.

tion and/or with the limited cutaneous form seem to represent a subset at higher risk of developing atherosclerosis (27).

Smoking causes atherosclerosis via oxidative stress and inflammation, leading to endothelial damage (80). Smoking history was significantly associated with worsening of RP and ischaemic digital ulcers (81, 82). Our study too, confirmed the relationship between smoke and subclinical atherosclerosis. Few studies addressed the role of MS in SSc. It has been shown that disease severity was higher in SSc patients with MS (83) and associated with clinical atherosclerosis despite its low prevalence (84). Some non-traditional cardiovascular risk factors contributing to the development of MS in SSc include immune activation, systemic inflammation, vascular dysfunction, oxidative stress, fibrosis, and steroid therapy (85). In cross-sectional observational data, evaluation of the outcome to exposure association is often complicated by nonrandom medication use, thus observational studies assessing treatments are at risk of incorrectly concluding and drug treatment represents a confounding factor. Based on this, we did not analyse the effects of various treatments on clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis, thus avoiding "confounding by indication for treatment" bias (72, 86, 87).

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, this is the largest first observational, multicentre, study showing an increased prevalence of clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in SSc patients, from different geographic areas throughout Italy. Although the precise aetiology of atherosclerosis in SSc is still unknown, we may hypothesise that both traditional cardiovascular risk factors and SSc-related features could be responsible for this. This implies that global assessment and management of both the cardiovascular risk profile and the disease itself is necessary to slow down the onset and progression of atherosclerosis in these patients. We are aware that prospective, larger studies are needed to confirm these associations in scleroderma.

Affiliations

¹Rheumatology Unit, Department of Precision Medicine, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples; ²Unit of General, Mininvasive, Oncological and Bariatric Surgery Teaching Hospital, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Naples; 3Department of Biotechnological and Applied Clinical Sciences, University of L'Aquila; 4Clinical and Research Section of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Fondazione Policlinico Campus Biomedico, Rome; 5Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicine, University of Rome Campus Biomedico, School of Medicine, Rome; 6Rheumatology Unit, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University Federico II, Naples; ⁷Rheumatic and Systemic Autoimmune Diseases Unit, Department of Interdisciplinary Medicine (DIM), University of Bari Medical School, Bari; 8Department of Health Promotion, Mother and Child Care, Internal Medicine and Medical Specialties, Rheumatology section, University of Palermo; 9Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena; ¹⁰Rheumatology Unit, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Senese, Siena; ¹¹Rheumatology Clinic, Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, University of Foggia; ¹²Rheumatology, Allergology and Clinical Immunology, Department of Medicina dei Sistemi, University of Rome Tor Vergata, Rome; ¹³Rheumatology Unit, Department of Medicine, ARNAS Garibaldi Hospital, Catania; 14Internal Medicine Unit, University Hospital G. Martino, University of Messina; ¹⁵Academic Rheumatology Centre, Hospital Mauriziano, Department of Clinical and Biological Science, University of Turin, Italy.

References

- 1. VOLKMANN ER, ANDRÉASSON K, SMITH V: Systemic sclerosis. *Lancet* 2023; 401(10373): 304-18. https://
- doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01692-0
- LIAKOULI V, CIANCIO A, DEL GALDO F, GIACOMELLI R, CICCIA F: Systemic sclerosis interstitial lung disease: unmet needs and potential solutions. *Nat Rev Rheumatol* 2024; 20(1): 21-32.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-023-01044-x 3. DI BATTISTA M, LEPRI G, CODULLO V *et al.*: Systemic sclerosis: one year in review 2023. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2023; 41(8): 1567-74. https://

doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/ki76s5

- 4. ELHAI M, MEUNE C, BOUBAYA M et al.: EUSTAR group. Mapping and predicting mortality from systemic sclerosis. Ann Rheum Dis 2017; 76: 1897-1905. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-211448
- BELCH JJ, MCSWIGGAN S, LAU C: Macrovascular disease in systemic sclerosis: the tip of an iceberg? *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2008; 47 Suppl. 5: v16-17.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ken280 6. NUSSINOVITCH U, SHOENFELD Y: Atherosclerosis and macrovascular involvement in
- sclerosis and macrovascular involvement in systemic sclerosis: myth or reality. *Autoimmun Rev* 2011; 10: 259-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2010.09.014
- TYNDALL AJ, BANNERT B, VONK M et al.: Causes and risk factors for death in systemic sclerosis: a study from the EULAR Scleroderma Trials and Research (EUSTAR) database. Ann Rheum Dis 2010; 69: 1809-15. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2009.114264
- DAVE AJ, FIORENTINO D, LINGALA B, KRISHNAN E, CHUNG L: Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in hospitalized patients with systemic sclerosis: higher mortality than patients with lupus and rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 2014; 66(2): 323-27. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22152

- NGIAN GS, SAHHAR J, PROUDMAN SM, STE-VENS W, WICKS IP, VAN DOORNUM S: Prevalence of coronary heart disease and cardiovascular risk factors in a national cross-sectional cohort study of systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012; 71(12): 1980-83. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-201176
- MAN A, ZHU Y, ZHANG Y et al.: The risk of cardiovascular disease in systemic sclerosis: a population-based cohort study. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72(7): 1188-93. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2012-202007
- AVIÑA-ZUBIETA JA, MAN A, YURKOVICH M, HUANG K, SAYRE EC, CHOI HK: Early cardiovascular disease after the diagnosis of systemic sclerosis. *Am J Med* 2016; 129(3): 324-31. https://
 - doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2015.10.037
- 12. WAN MC, MOORE T, HOLLIS S *et al.*: Ankle brachial pressure index in systemic sclerosis: influence of disease subtype and anticentromere antibody. *Rheumatology* 2001; 40: 1102-5. https://
- doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/40.10.1102 13. HETTEMA ME, BOOTSMA H, KALLENBERG
- CG: Macrovascular disease and atherosclerosis in SSc. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2008; 47(5): 578-83. https:// doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ken078
- AKRAM MR, HANDLER CE, WILLIAMS M et al.: Angiographically proven coronary artery disease in scleroderma. *Rheumatology* (Oxford). 2006; 45(11): 1395-98. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kel120
- 15. NGIAN GS, SAHHAR J, WICKS IP, VAN DOOR-NUM S: Cardiovascular disease in systemic sclerosis - an emerging association? Arthritis Res Ther 2011; 13(4): 237. https://doi.org/10.1186/ar3445
- 16. ROUSTIT M, SIMMONS GH, BAGUET JP, CAR-PENTIER P, CRACOWSKI JL: Discrepancy between simultaneous digital skin microvascular and brachial artery macrovascular postocclusive hyperemia in systemic sclerosis. *J Rheumatol* 2008; 35(8): 1576-83.
- 17. PELED N, SHITRIT D, FOX BD et al.: Peripheral arterial stiffness and endothelial dysfunction in idiopathic and scleroderma associated pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Rheumatol* 2009; 36(5): 970-75. https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.08108818
- 18. VAN DEN HOOGEN F, KHANNA D, FRANSEN J et al.: 2013 classification criteria for systemic sclerosis: an American college of rheumatology/European league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. Ann Rheum Dis 2013; 72(11): 1747-55. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-204424
- TIMMIS A, TOWNSEND N, GALE C et al.: European Society of Cardiology. European Society of Cardiology: Cardiovascular Disease Statistics 2019. Eur Heart J 2020; 41(1): 12-85.
 - https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz859
- 20. TIMMIS A, VARDAS P, TOWNSEND N et al.: Atlas Writing Group, European Society of Cardiology. European Society of Cardiology: cardiovascular disease statistics 2021. Eur Heart J 2022; 43(8): 716-99. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehab892
- 21. CHATTERJEE ADHIKARI M, GUIN A, CHAKRABORTY S, SINHAMAHAPATRA P,

GHOSH A: Subclinical atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis as evidenced by measurement of carotid intima-media thickness and flow-mediated vasodilatation: an observational study. *Semin Arthritis Rheum* 2012; 41(5): 669-75. https://

- doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2011.08.003
- 22. MORONI L, SELMI C, ANGELINI C, MERONI PL: Evaluation of endothelial function by flow-mediated dilation: a comprehensive review in rheumatic disease. *Arch Immunol Ther Exp* (Warsz) 2017; 65(6): 463-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00005-017-0465-7
- 23. THJSSEN DHJ, BRUNO RM, VAN MIL ACCM et al.: Expert consensus and evidence-based recommendations for the assessment of flowmediated dilation in humans. Eur Heart J 2019; 40(30): 2534-47. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehz350
- 24. CORRETTI MC, ANDERSON TJ, BENJAMIN EJ et al.: Guidelines for the ultrasound assessmentof endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilatation of the brachial artery. JACC 2002; 39(2): 257-65. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0735-1097(01)01746-6
- 25. BARTOLI F, BLAGOJEVIC J, BACCI M et al.: Flow-mediated vasodilation and carotid intima-media thickness in systemic sclerosis. Ann NY Acad Sci 2007; 1108: 283-90. https:// doi.org/10.1196/annals.1422.030
- 26. VETTORI S, MARESCA L, CUOMO G, ABBA-DESSA S, LEONARDO G, VALENTINI G: Clinical and subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis: consequences of previous corticosteroid treatment. *Scand J Rheumatol* 2010; 39(6): 485-89.
- https://doi.org/10.3109/03009741003781985
- 27. SCIARRA I, VASILE M, CARBONI A et al.: Subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis: Different risk profiles among patients according to clinical manifestations. Int J Rheum Dis 2021; 24(4): 502-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185x.14002
- 28. GRUNDY SM, STONE NJ, BAILEY AL et al.: 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the management of blood cholesterol: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol 2019; 73(24): 3168-209.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.11.002
- 29. RUSCITTI P, MARGIOTTA DPE, MACALUSO F et al.: Subclinical atherosclerosis and history of cardiovascular events in Italian patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Results from a cross-sectional, multicenter GIRRCS (Gruppo Italiano di Ricerca in Reumatologia Clinica e Sperimentale) study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017; 96(42): e8180. https:// doi.org/10.1097/md.00000000008180
- 30. RUSCITTI P, CIPRIANI P, LIAKOULI V et al.: Subclinical and clinical atherosclerosis in rheumatoid arthritis: results from the 3-year, multicentre, prospective, observational GIR-RCS (Gruppo Italiano di Ricerca in Reumatologia Clinica e Sperimentale) study. Arthritis Res Ther 2019; 21(1): 20.
- https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-019-1975-y
- 31. ALBERTI KG, ECKEL RH, GRUNDY SM et al .:

International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; International Association for the Study of Obesity. Harmonizing the metabolic syndrome: a joint interim statement of the International Diabetes Federation Task Force on Epidemiology and Prevention; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute: American Heart Association; World Heart Federation; International Atherosclerosis Society; and International Association for the Study of Obesity. Circulation 2009; 120(16): 1640-45. https:// doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.109.192644

- 32. AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION: 2. Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes-2019. *Diabetes Care* 2019; 42: \$13-28. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-S002
- 33. LEROY EC, BLACK C, FLEISCHMAJER R et al.: Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. J Rheumatol 1988; 15(2): 202-5.
- 34. BEARZI P, NAVARINI L, CURRADO D et al.: Bosentan effect on echocardiographic systolic pulmonary arterial pressure in systemic sclerosis-related pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and metanalysis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2024; 42(8): 1615-22. https:// doi.org/10.55563/clinexprheumatol/xbdtb5
- 35. VALENTINI G, DELLA ROSSA A, BOMBAR-DIERI S et al.: European multicentre study to define disease activity criteria for systemic sclerosis. II. Identification of disease activity variables and development of preliminary activity indexes. Ann Rheum Dis 2001; 60(6): 592-98. https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.60.6.592
- 36. MELSENS K, DE KEYSER F, DECUMAN S, PIETTE Y, VANDECASTEELE E, SMITH V: Disease activity indices in systemic sclerosis: a systematic literature review. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2016; 34 (Suppl. 100): S186-92.
- MEDSGER TA, BOMBARDIERI S, CZIRJAK L, SCORZA R, DELLA ROSSA A, BENCIVELLI: Assessment of disease severity. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2003; 21 (Suppl. 29): S42-46.
- 38. SMITH V, HERRICK AL, INGEGNOLI F et al.: EULAR Study Group on Microcirculation in Rheumatic Diseases and the Scleroderma Clinical Trials Consortium Group on Capillaroscopy. Standardisation of nailfold capillaroscopy for the assessment of patients with Raynaud's phenomenon and systemic sclerosis. Autoimmun Rev 2020; 19(3): 102458. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2020.102458
- BUTT SA, JEPPESEN JL, TORP-PEDERSEN C et al.: Cardiovascular manifestations of systemic sclerosis: a Danish nationwide cohort study. J Am Heart Assoc 2019; 8(17): e013405. https://doi.org/10.1161/jaha.119.013405
- 40. HSIEH MC, CHEN HH, CHOU TY, SU TW, LIN CL, KAO CH: Association between systemic sclerosis and peripheral arterial disease: a nationwide observation retrospective claim records cohort study in Taiwan. *BMJ Open* 2021; 11(9): e048149. https:// doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-048149
- 41. ROSATO E, GIGANTE A, BARBANO B et al.: In systemic sclerosis macrovascular damage of hands digital arteries correlates with

microvascular damage. *Microvasc Res* 2011; 82: 410-15.

- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mvr.2011.07.009 42. TAYLOR MH, MCFADDEN JA, BOLSTER MB,
- HAYLOR MH, MCFADDEN JA, BOLSTER MB, SILVER RM: Ulnar artery involvement in systemic sclerosis (scleroderma). *J Rheumatol* 2002; 29(1): 102-6.
- 43. PARK JH, SUNG YK, BAE SC, SONG SY, SEO HS, JUN JB: Ulnar artery vasculopathy in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatol Int* 2009; 29(9): 1081-86. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-009-0906-7
- 44. FRERIX M, STEGBAUER J, DRAGUN D, KREUTER A, WEINER SM: Ulnar artery occlusion is predictive of digital ulcers in SSc: a duplex sonography study. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2012; 51(4): 735-42. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ker414
- 45. D'ALESSANDRO R, GARCIA GONZALEZ E, FALSETTI P et al.: Peripheral macrovascular involvement in systemic sclerosis: a cohort study by color and spectral Doppler ultrasonography. *Life* (Basel) 2023; 13(2): 487. https://doi.org/10.3390/life13020487
- 46. SEBASTIANI M, MANFREDI A, COLACI M et al.: Capillaroscopic skin ulcer risk index: a new prognostic tool for digital skin ulcer development in systemic sclerosis patients. Arthritis Rheum 2009; 61(5): 688-94. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.24394
- 47. SEBASTIANI M, MANFREDI A, VUKATANA G et al.: Predictive role of capillaroscopic skin ulcer risk index in systemic sclerosis: a multicentre validation study. Ann Rheum Dis 2012; 71(1): 67-70. https://
- doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-20002248. SMITH V, DECUMAN S, SULLI A *et al.*: Do worsening scleroderma capillaroscopic
- patterns predict future severe organ involvement? a pilot study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012; 71(10): 1636-39. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200780
- CUTOLO M, HERRICK AL, DISTLER O et al.: Nailfold videocapillaroscopic features and other clinical risk factors for digital ulcers in systemic sclerosis: a multicenter, prospective cohort study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2016; 68(10): 2527-39.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39718 50. AVOUAC J, MEUNE C, RUIZ B *et al.*: Angiogenic biomarkers predict the occurrence of digital ulcers in systemic sclerosis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012; 71(3): 394-99. https:// doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200143
- 51. SCHMIDT-LUCKE C, RÖSSIG L, FICHTL-SCHERER S et al.: Reduced number of circulating endothelial progenitor cells predicts future cardiovascular events: proof of concept for the clinical importance of endogenous vascular repair. Circulation 2005; 111(22): 2981-87. https://
- doi.org/10.1161/circulationaha.104.504340
 52. BARTOLONI E, ALUNNO A, BISTONI O et al.: Characterization of circulating endothelial microparticles and endothelial progenitor cells in primary Sjögren's syndrome: new markers of chronic endothelial damage? Rheumatology (Oxford) 2015; 54(3): 536-44. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keu320
- DU F, ZHOU J, GONG R et al.: Endothelial progenitor cells in atherosclerosis. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed) 2012; 17(6): 2327-49.

https://doi.org/10.2741/4055

- 54. WANG TJ, LARSON MG, LEVY D et al.: C-reactive protein is associated with subclinical epicardial coronary calcification in men and women: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2002; 106(10): 1189-91. https:// doi.org/10.1161/01.cir.0000032135.98011.C4
- 55. NATALI A, L'ABBATE A, FERRANNINI E: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate, coronary atherosclerosis, and cardiac mortality. *Eur Heart J* 2003; 24(7): 639-48. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0195-668X(02)00741-8
- 56. ERIKSSEN G, LIESTØL K, BJØRNHOLT JV, STORMORKEN H, THAULOW E, ERIKSSEN J: Erythrocyte sedimentation rate: a possible marker of atherosclerosis and a strong predictor of coronary heart disease mortality. *Eur Heart J* 2000; 21(19): 1614-20. https://doi.org/10.1053/euhj.2000.2148
- 57. MUANGCHAN C, HARDING S, KHIMDAS S et al.: Association of C-reactive protein with high disease activity in systemic sclerosis: results from the Canadian Scleroderma Research Group. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken) 2012; 64(9): 1405-14. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.21716
- 58. ROSS L, STEVENS W, RABUSA C et al.: The role of inflammatory markers in assessment of disease activity in systemic sclerosis. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2018; 36 (Suppl. 113): S126-134.
- 59. BERKLEY A, FERRO A: Changes in C-reactive protein in response to anti-inflammatory therapy as a predictor of cardiovascular outcomes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. JRSM Cardiovasc Dis 2020; 3(9): 2048004020929235.
- https://doi.org/10.1177/2048004020929235
- 60. PÁRRAGA PRIETO C, IBRAHIM F, CAMPBELL R, CHINOY H, GALLOWAY J, GORDON P: Similar risk of cardiovascular events in idiopathic inflammatory myopathy and rheumatoid arthritis in the first 5 years after diagnosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2021; 40(1): 231-38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-020-05237-7
- 61. CONRAD N, VERBEKE G, MOLENBERGHS G et al.: Autoimmune diseases and cardiovascular risk: a population-based study on 19 autoimmune diseases and 12 cardiovascular diseases in 22 million individuals in the UK. Lancet 2022; 400(10354): 733-43. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01349-6
- 62. KIZER JR, ZISMAN DA, BLUMENTHAL NP *et al.*: Association between pulmonary fibrosis and coronary artery disease. *Arch Intern Med* 2004; 164(5): 551-56.
- https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.164.5.551 63. CLARSON LE, BAJPAI R, WHITTLE R *et al.*: Interstitial lung disease is a risk factor for ischaemic heart disease and myocardial infarction. *Heart* 2020; 106(12): 916-22. https://doi.org/10.1136/heartjnl-2019-315511
- 64. AL-ADWI Y, WESTRA J, VAN GOOR H, BUR-GESS JK, DENTON CP, MULDER DJ: Macrophages as determinants and regulators of fibrosis in systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2023; 62(2): 535-45. https:// doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keac410
- 65. GERASIMOVA EV, SHAYAKHMETOVA RU, GERASIMOVA DA, POPKOVA TV, ANANYEVA LP: Systemic sclerosis and atherosclerosis: potential cellular biomarkers and mechanisms. *Front Biosci* (Schol Ed) 2023; 15(4):

16. https://doi.org/10.31083/j.fbs1504016

- 66. BORBA EF, BORGES CT, BONFÁ E: Lipoprotein profile in limited systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatol Int* 2005; 25(5): 379-83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-004-0580-8
- 67. SEDKY ABDOU MM, EL DESOUKY SM, HELMY EL KAFFAS KM, AHMED HASSAN AM: Premature atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis patients: Its relation to disease parameters and to traditional risk factors. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2017; 20(3): 383-89. https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185X.12987
- 68. NORDIN A, JENSEN-URSTAD K, BJORNADAL L, PETTERSSON S, LARSSON A, SVENUNGS-SON E: Ischemic arterial events and atherosclerosis in patients with systemic sclerosis: A population-based case-control study. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2013; 15(4): R87. https://doi.org/10.1186/ar4267
- 69. SCHIOPU E, AU KM, MCMAHON M et al.: Prevalence of subclinical atherosclerosis is increased in systemic sclerosis and is associated with serum proteins: a cross-sectional, controlled study of carotid ultrasound. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2014; 53(4): 704-13. https:// doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/ket411
- 70. KÖLTŐ G, VUOLTEENAHO O, SZOKODI I et al.: Prognostic value of N-terminal natriuretic peptides in systemic sclerosis: a single centre study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2014; 32 (Suppl. 86): S-75-81.
- 71. FALUDI R, NAGY G, TŐKÉS-FÜZESI M et al.: Galectin-3 is an independent predictor of survival in systemic sclerosis. Int J Cardiol 2017; 233: 118-24.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.12.140
- 72. GAMAL RM, GAMAL WM, GHANDOUR AM et al.: Study of the osteoprotegerin/receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand system association with inflammation and atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis. *Immunol In*vest 2018; 47(3): 241-50. https:// doi.org/10.1080/08820139.2017.1423499
- 73. HETTEMA ME, ZHANG D, DE LEEUW K et al.:

Early atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis and its relation to disease or traditional risk factors. *Arthritis Res Ther* 2008; 10(2): R49. https://doi.org/10.1186/ar2408

- 74. MOK MY, LAU CS, CHIU SS *et al.*: Systemic sclerosis is an independent risk factor for increased coronary artery calcium deposition. *Arthritis Rheum* 2011; 63(5): 1387-95. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.30283
- 75. LIPPI G, CARAMASCHI P, MONTAGNANA M, SALVAGNO GL, VOLPE A, GUIDI G: Lipoprotein[a] and the lipid profile in patients with systemic sclerosis. *Clin Chim Acta* 2006; 364(1-2): 345-48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2005.07.015
- 76. KODERA M, HAYAKAWA I, KOMURA K et al.: Anti-lipoprotein lipase antibody in systemic sclerosis: Association with elevated serum triglyceride concentrations. J Rheumatol 2005; 32(4): 629-36.
- 77. AUK, SINGHMK, BODUKAM V et al.: Atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63: 2078-90. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.30380
- MEISZTERICS Z, TÍMÁR O, GASZNER B et al.: Early morphologic and functional changes of atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rheumatology* (Oxford) 2016; 55(12): 2119-30. https://
- doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kew236
 79. OZEN G, INANC N, UNAL AU *et al.*: Subclinical atherosclerosis in systemic sclerosis: not less frequent than rheumatoid arthritis and not detected with cardiovascular risk indices. *Arthritis Care Res* (Hoboken) 2016; 68(10): 1538-46. https://doi.org/10.1002/acr.22852
- MESSNER B, BERNHARD D: Smoking and cardiovascular disease: mechanisms of endothelial dysfunction and early atherogenesis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2014; 34(3): 509-15.

https://doi.org/10.1161/atvbaha.113.300156

- 81. CARAMASCHI P, MARTINELLI N, VOLPE A et al.: A score of risk factors associated with ischemic digital ulcers in patients affected by systemic sclerosis treated with iloprost. Clin Rheumatol 2009; 28: 807-13.
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-009-1155-6 82. SILVA C, SOLANKI KK, WHITE DHN: The relationship between smoking, Raynaud's phenomenon, digital ulcers, and skin thickness in the Waikato Systemic Sclerosis cohort. *Rheumatol Immunol Res* 2022; 3(2): 84-89. https://doi.org/10.2478/rir-2022-0014
- 83. GIGANTE A, IANNAZZO F, NAVARINI L et al.: Metabolic syndrome and adipokine levels in systemic lupus erythematosus and systemic sclerosis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2021; 40(10): 4253-58.
 - https://doi.org/10.1007/s10067-021-05731-6
- 84. PERALTA-AMARO AL, CRUZ-DOMÍNGUEZ MDEL P, OLVERA-ACEVEDO A, VERA-LAS-TRA OL: Prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in system sclerosis. *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc* 2015; 53: 476-83.
- 85. CRUZ-DOMÍNGUEZ MP, MONTES-CORTES DH, OLIVARES-CORICHI IM, VERA-LASTRA O, MEDINA G, JARA LJ: Oxidative stress in Mexicans with diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis. *Rheumatol Int* 2013; 33(9): 2261-67. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00296-013-2701-8
- 86. SIGNORELLO LB, MCLAUGHLIN JK, LIP-WORTH L, FRIIS S, SØRENSEN HT, BLOT WJ: Confounding by indication in epidemiologic studies of commonly used analgesics. *Am J Ther* 2002; 9(3): 199-205. https:// doi.org/10.1097/00045391-200205000-00005
- 87. WOLFE F, FLOWERS N, BURKE TA, AR-GUELLES LM, PETTITT D: Increase in lifetime adverse drug reactions, service utilization, and disease severity among patients who will start COX-2 specific inhibitors: quantitative assessment of channeling bias and confounding by indication in 6689 patients with rheumatoid arthritis and osteoarthritis. J Rheumatol 2002; 29(5): 1015-22.