


We have presented the graphical abstract image and text for your article below. This briefly summarises your work, and will be presented with your article online.

1

Acetate ion addition to and exchange in (1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(I) acetate: relevance for the coagulation of carboxylic acid-functionalized shells of core-crosslinked micelle latexes

Ambra Maria Fiore, Valentina Petrelli, Christophe Fliedel, Eric Manoury, Piero Mastorilli* and Rinaldo Poli*

Complex $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ reacts with acetate ions in dichloromethane to produce equilibrium amounts of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]^-$ and exchanges the acetate ligands with polymer-linked methacrylic acid, rationalizing the coagulation of core-crosslinked micelle latexes.



Q3

Please check this proof carefully. Our staff will not read it in detail after you have returned it.

Please send your corrections either as a copy of the proof PDF with electronic notes attached or as a list of corrections. **Do not edit the text within the PDF or send a revised manuscript** as we will not be able to apply your corrections. Corrections at this stage should be minor and not involve extensive changes.

Proof corrections must be returned as a single set of corrections, approved by all co-authors. No further corrections can be made after you have submitted your proof corrections as we will publish your article online as soon as possible after they are received.

Please ensure that:

- The spelling and format of all author names and affiliations are checked carefully. You can check how we have identified the authors' first and last names in the researcher information table on the next page. **Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct.**
- Any funding bodies have been acknowledged appropriately and included both in the paper and in the funder information table on the next page.
- All of the editor's queries are answered.
- Any necessary attachments, such as updated images or ESI files, are provided.

Translation errors can occur during conversion to typesetting systems so you need to read the whole proof. In particular please check tables, equations, numerical data, figures and graphics, and references carefully.

Please return your **final** corrections, where possible within **48 hours** of receipt following the instructions in the proof notification email. If you require more time, please notify us by email to dalton@rsc.org.

Funding information

Providing accurate funding information will enable us to help you comply with your funders' reporting mandates. Clear acknowledgement of funder support is an important consideration in funding evaluation and can increase your chances of securing funding in the future.

We work closely with Crossref to make your research discoverable through the Funding Data search tool (<http://search.crossref.org/funding>). Funding Data provides a reliable way to track the impact of the work that funders support. Accurate funder information will also help us (i) identify articles that are mandated to be deposited in **PubMed Central (PMC)** and deposit these on your behalf, and (ii) identify articles funded as part of the **CHORUS** initiative and display the Accepted Manuscript on our web site after an embargo period of 12 months.

Further information can be found on our webpage (<http://rsc.li/funding-info>).

What we do with funding information

We have combined the information you gave us on submission with the information in your acknowledgements. This will help ensure the funding information is as complete as possible and matches funders listed in the Crossref Funder Registry.

If a funding organisation you included in your acknowledgements or on submission of your article is not currently listed in the registry it will not appear in the table on this page. We can only deposit data if funders are already listed in the Crossref Funder Registry, but we will pass all funding information on to Crossref so that additional funders can be included in future.

Please check your funding information

The table below contains the information we will share with Crossref so that your article can be found *via* the Funding Data search tool. **Please check that the funder names and grant numbers in the table are correct and indicate if any changes are necessary to the Acknowledgements text.**

Funder name	Funder's main country of origin	Funder ID (for RSC use only)	Award/grant number
Agence Nationale de la Recherche	France	501100001665	BIPHASNANOCAT grant 11-BS07-025-01

Researcher information

Please check that the researcher information in the table below is correct, including the spelling and formatting of all author names, and that the authors' first, middle and last names have been correctly identified. **Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct.**

If any authors have ORCID or ResearcherID details that are not listed below, please provide these with your proof corrections. Please ensure that the ORCID and ResearcherID details listed below have been assigned to the correct author. Authors should have their own unique ORCID iD and should not use another researcher's, as errors will delay publication.

Please also update your account on our online [manuscript submission system](#) to add your ORCID details, which will then be automatically included in all future submissions. See [here](#) for step-by-step instructions and more information on author identifiers.

First (given) and middle name(s)	Last (family) name(s)	ResearcherID	ORCID iD
Ambra Maria	Fiore		
Valentina	Petrelli		
Christophe	Fliedel	G-4400-2011	0000-0003-1442-0682
Eric	Manoury		
Piero	Mastrorilli		
Rinaldo	Poli	C-7483-2017	0000-0002-5220-2515

Queries for the attention of the authors

Journal: **Dalton Transactions** Paper: **d3dt02260a**

Title: **Acetate ion addition to and exchange in (1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(i) acetate: relevance for the coagulation of carboxylic acid-functionalized shells of core-crosslinked micelle latexes**

For your information: You can cite this article before you receive notification of the page numbers by using the following format: (authors), Dalton Trans., (year), DOI: 10.1039/d3dt02260a.

Editor's queries are marked like this **Q1**, **Q2**, and for your convenience line numbers are indicated like this 5, 10, 15, ...

Please ensure that all queries are answered when returning your proof corrections so that publication of your article is not delayed.

Query Reference	Query	Remarks
Q1	Have all of the author names been spelled and formatted correctly? Names will be indexed and cited as shown on the proof, so these must be correct. No late corrections can be made.	
Q2	Do you wish to add an e-mail address for the corresponding author? If so, please provide the relevant information.	
Q3	The Graphical Abstract text currently exceeds the space available for the published version. Please trim the text so that it is shorter than 250 characters (including spaces).	
Q4	Please check that "0.99 mmol" has been displayed correctly.	
Q5	Fig. 4 contains a part labelled (e), but this does not appear to be mentioned in the caption. Would you like to modify the caption or resupply the artwork (preferably as a TIF file at 600 dots per inch)?	
Q6	Fig. 6 contains a part labelled (e), but this does not appear to be mentioned in the caption. Would you like to modify the caption or resupply the artwork (preferably as a TIF file at 600 dots per inch)?	
Q7	Please check that "C–H...O" has been displayed correctly.	
Q8	Have all of the funders of your work been fully and accurately acknowledged? If not, please ensure you make appropriate changes to the Acknowledgements text.	
Q9	Ref. 12: Please provide the page (or article) number(s).	
Q10	Ref. 17: Please check that the page number has been displayed correctly.	
Q11	Ref. 19: Please check that the page number has been displayed correctly.	

PAPER

Acetate ion addition to and exchange in (1,5-cyclooctadiene)rhodium(i) acetate: relevance for the coagulation of carboxylic acid-functionalized shells of core-crosslinked micelle latexes†

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d3dt02260a

Ambra Maria Fiore,^{a,b} Valentina Petrelli,^b Christophe Fliedel,^c Eric Manoury,^c Piero Mastrorilli^{*b} and Rinaldo Poli^{†c,d}

The solution behavior of complex $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ in the absence and presence of PPN^+OAc^- in dichloromethane has been investigated in detail by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy. Without additional acetate ions, the compound shows dynamic behavior at room temperature, consistent with an inversion of its C_{2v} structure. Addition of PPN^+OAc^- reveals an equilibrated generation of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]^-$. Rapid exchange is observed at room temperature between the neutral dimer and the anionic mononuclear complex, as well as between the anionic complex and free acetate. Lowering the temperature to 213 K freezes the exchange between the two Rh complexes, but fast exchange between the anionic Rh complex and free acetate maintains coalesced Me (^1H and ^{13}C) and COO (^{13}C) NMR resonances. DFT calculations support the experimental data and lean in favour of a dissociative mechanism for the acetate exchange in $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]^-$. The acetate ligands in complex $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ are also exchanged in a biphasic (water/organic) system with the methacrylic acid (MAA) functions of hydrosoluble $[\text{MMA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}$ copolymer chains (PEOMA = poly(ethylene oxide) methyl ether methacrylate), resulting in transfer of the Rh complex to the aqueous phase. Exchange with the MAA functions in the same polymer equally takes place for the chloride ligands of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})_2]$. The latter phenomenon rationalizes the coagulation of a core-crosslinked micelle (CCM) latex, where MMA functions are present on the hydrophilic CCM shell, when a dichloromethane solution of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})_2]$ is added.

Received 17th July 2023,
Accepted 16th August 2023
DOI: 10.1039/d3dt02260a

rsc.li/dalton

Introduction

A new tool for aqueous biphasic catalysis, which consists of stable aqueous dispersions of core-crosslinked micelles (CCMs) containing a core-anchored molecular catalyst, has been developed in one of our labs.^{1–7} These micelles are unimolecular core-shell star polymers with a hydrophobic core and a hydrophilic shell (Fig. 1a) and can be assembled in a straightforward two- or three-step one-pot convergent synthesis by RAFT polymerization^{8–10} in water, which uses the polymerization-induced self-assembly (PISA) strategy,^{11–13} to yield

stable aqueous dispersions of the polymer particles (latex). The hydrophobic core, where catalysis takes place, ensures a suitable environment for the catalytic act under “homogeneous” conditions after swelling with an organic solvent (which may be the substrate itself), whereas the hydrophilic shell ensures confinement of the micelles in the aqueous phase, allowing facile catalyst recovery by decantation at the end of the reaction. Thus, each polymer particle acts in catalysis as an individual nanoreactor. The reactants, initially present in the organic bulk phase, migrate to the nanoreactor core through the hydrophilic shell and the products migrate in the opposite direction, to accumulate in the bulk organic phase for recovery. These migrations may introduce mass transfer limitations, but we have proven them to be minor for batch reactions with reasonably high stirring rates (e.g. 1200 rpm) and relatively low catalyst loadings.^{2,6}

The 1st-generation CCMs have a neutral shell composed of statistical copolymer chains of methacrylic acid (MAA) and poly(ethylene oxide) methyl ether methacrylate (PEOMA), namely $\text{R}_0\text{-}[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}$ -(hydrophobic core), where $\text{R}_0\text{-}(\text{C}(\text{Me})(\text{CN})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH})$ is the initiating radical

^aDipartimento di Chimica, Università degli studi di Bari “Aldo Moro”, via Orabona, 4, 70125 Bari, Italy

^bDICATECh, Politecnico di Bari, via Orabona, 4, 70125 Bari, Italy

^cCNRS, LCC (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination), UPS, INPT, Université de Toulouse, 205 route de Narbonne, F-31077 Toulouse, Cedex 4, France

^dInstitut Universitaire de France, 1, rue Descartes, 75231 Paris, France

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional spectra and DFT results; table of energies and Cartesian coordinates for all optimized geometries (10 pages). See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3dt02260a>




Fig. 1 CCM topology (a) and chemical nature of neutral and polycationic chains in the hydrophilic shell (b).

(Fig. 1b). These chains contain carboxylic acid functionalities that render the shell pH-sensitive. Given the pK_a range of the carboxylic functions in PMMA (5.2–8.8),^{14–16} the latex generates only a limited amount of anionic carboxylate functions by self-dissociation in neutral water (the natural pH of the as-synthesized latex is *ca.* 3.5¹), while the shell can be fully deprotonated only at high pH. The Rh^I pre-catalyst was anchored onto the core-linked triphenylphosphine (TPP) ligands by diffusion of either $[Rh(acac)(CO)_2]$ (*acac* = acetylacetonate) or $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ (*COD* = 1,5-cyclooctadiene), which required prior core swelling with a good solvent (*e.g.* toluene or dichloromethane). Subsequent equilibration of the latex with the corresponding organic solution of the pre-catalyst precursor led to migration of the metal complex through the hydrophilic shell and to its anchoring by ligand exchange. Loading with $[Rh(acac)(CO)_2]$, an olefin hydroformylation pre-catalyst, leads to core-anchored $[Rh(acac)(CO)(TPP)]$,^{1–4,6,7,17} whereas loading with $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$, a hydrogenation pre-catalyst, leads to core-anchored $[RhCl(COD)(TPP)]$.¹⁸

During this pre-catalyst loading process, it was noted that an efficient transfer of the $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ complex only takes place when using toluene solutions,^{18,19} whereas attempts to load the pre-catalyst from a dichloromethane solution led to coagulation of the dispersed polymer micelles. On the other hand, the $[Rh(acac)(CO)_2]$ complex could be transferred to the CCM cores equally efficiently from solutions in either solvent.^{1–3,5,6,17} A tentative interpretation of this phenomenon is based on the higher dichloromethane solvent permittivity, which may favour exchange reactions between the Cl ligand in the $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ pre-catalyst and the shell carboxylic acid functions, anchoring neutral $[Rh_2(COD)_2(O_2C-polymer)_2]$ or anionic $[Rh(COD)(O_2C-polymer)_2]^-$ complexes on the shell. Therefore, coagulation might result from coupling of different micelles *via* these Rh complexes as crosslinks. For the $[Rh(acac)(CO)_2]$ precursor, on the other hand, the stronger donor power and chelating nature of the acetylacetonate


ligand renders it resistant to exchange. This proposition is indirectly supported by the absence of any coagulation when loading 2nd-generation CCMs that have polycationic shells of poly(*N*-methyl-4-vinylpyridinium iodide) chains ($P4NVPMe^+I^-$, Fig. 1b) with $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ from dichloromethane solutions.²⁰ On the other hand, coagulation again takes place when loading 3rd-generation equivalent polymers with polyanionic shells of poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) chains (PSS^-Na^+ , Fig. 1b), even when using the lower-permittivity toluene medium.²¹ In the latter case, molecular control experiments gave evidence in favour of $[Rh(COD)]^+$ -sulfonate interactions, which are enhanced by the proximity of sulfonate groups (chelate effect), thus presumably leading to shell-anchored, crosslinking $[Rh_2(COD)_2(O_3S-polymer)_2]$ or $[Rh(COD)(O_3S-polymer)_2]^-$ moieties.²¹

A number of complexes with the $[Rh^I(alkene)_2(O_2CR)]$ stoichiometry, all of them adopting a dinuclear structure with two bridging carboxylates (Scheme 1A), have been described in the literature (alkene = C_2H_4 ²² or dialkene = *COD*^{23–32} or norbornadiene (NBD);^{28,33,34} R = various alkyl and aryl substituents). Mononuclear derivatives with a monodentate carboxylate and additional neutral ligands have also been described,^{35–37} but no anionic bis(carboxylate) derivative (B) appears to be documented. On the other hand, the addition of an additional anionic ligand to other bridged Rh^I dimers is known to produce anionic bis-ligand adducts, *e.g.* $[Rh(COD)Cl_2]^-$.^{38–43} In the present contribution, we report investigations revealing the equilibrium formation of a molecular $[Rh(COD)(OAc)_2]^-$ complex from the interaction between $[Rh(COD)(\mu-OAc)]_2$ and the acetate ion, as well as spectroscopic evidence for an interaction between either $[Rh(COD)(\mu-Cl)]_2$ or $[Rh(COD)(\mu-OAc)]_2$ with a linear polymer that reproduces the chemical environment of the 1st-generation CCM particles, thus allowing to rationalize the above-mentioned coagulation phenomenon.

Results and discussion

(a) Spectroscopic characterization of $[Rh(COD)(\mu-OAc)]_2$

Compound $[Rh(COD)(\mu-OAc)]_2$ has been known since 1965⁴⁴ and its di- μ -acetato-bridged dinuclear structure has been established by X-ray diffraction,³² but its spectroscopic characterization has so far been limited to a low-resolution ¹H NMR spectrum in $CDCl_3$ at room temperature,⁴⁵ while the ¹³C NMR spectrum does not appear to be reported. Inspection of the ¹H NMR spectrum in CD_2Cl_2 at room temperature clearly indicates dynamic behaviour (Fig. S1[†]). The complex features three




Scheme 1 Structures of known $[Rh^I(alkene)_2(O_2CR)]_2$ complexes (A) and of the putative anionic carboxylate adducts, $[Rh^I(alkene)_2(O_2CR)_2]^-$ (B).

rather broad resonances (relative intensities 4:4:4) for the COD ligand at δ 4.08, 2.70 and 1.81, the latter being split into a doublet ($J_{\text{H,Rh}} = 7.5$ Hz) by Rh coupling, and a sharper resonance for the acetate Me protons (intensity 3) at δ 1.70. This suggests a dynamic D_{2h} symmetry for the dinuclear molecule (local C_{2v} symmetry for the COD ligand), whereas the published structure³² has only an overall C_{2v} symmetry with local C_s symmetry for the COD ligands. In principle, the inversion of the C_{2v} geometry can be achieved either *via* a dinuclear intermediate or *via* formation of two monomer molecules (Scheme 2). The same dynamic phenomenon with inversion of a dimeric C_{2v} structure has already been reported for dinuclear Rh^{I} complexes with amido⁴⁶ or phosphinato bridging ligands.⁴⁷

The results of a variable-temperature ^1H NMR investigation are shown in Fig. 2. The acetate Me singlet resonance is nearly temperature-invariant, whereas the two sp^3 CH protons resonances of the COD ligand, attributed to H_b , H'_b , H_c and H'_c , split into two equal intensity components at $T \leq 273$ K (δ 2.75 and 2.49; δ 1.78 and 1.69). Further splitting becomes visible at 243 K for these resonances, more clearly for the two lower-frequency ones, but also hinted for the other two. The higher-frequency COD resonance, assigned to the sp^2 CH protons H_a and H'_a , remains a single (albeit broad) signal down to 213 K, indicating a lower chemical shift difference, or accidental degeneracy, for the two inequivalent protons H_a and H'_a .

A ^1H COSY spectrum recorded at 253 K (Fig. S2[†]) shows no correlation between the decoalesced resonances, consistent with the structural assignments of the resonances (the H_b/H'_b and H_c/H'_c pairs do not mutually couple), but reveals correlation within the $\text{H}_a/\text{H}_b/\text{H}_c$ and $\text{H}'_a/\text{H}'_b/\text{H}'_c$ sets. A ^1H -EXSY



Scheme 2 Interpretation of the dynamic behavior of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ compound.




Fig. 2 VT ^1H NMR spectra of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ in CD_2Cl_2 .

spectrum recorded at 233 K (Fig. S3[†]) confirms the exchange between the two protons at δ 2.75 and 2.49.

The previously unreported $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 3) of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ also presents broadened resonances at room temperature. Cooling to 223 K yields the expected number of resonances for the C_{2v} dimeric structure. The low-temperature spectrum exhibits two singlet resonances at δ 32.0 and 31.3 (collapsed into a broad resonance at δ 31.6 at 298 K), assigned to the sp^3 -COD atoms, two doublet resonances at δ 81.5 (d, $J_{\text{C,Rh}} = 14.0$ Hz) and 74.5 (d, $J_{\text{C,Rh}} = 13.6$ Hz), still decoalesced as two very broad resonances at 298 K, assigned to the COD sp^2 -C atoms, a singlet at δ 24.9 for the acetate Me group and a singlet at δ 183.0 for the carboxylate C nucleus. The latter two signals remain sharp at room temperature. The ^1H - ^{13}C HMQC spectrum (Fig. S4[†]) at 223 K confirms the correlations of the COD sp^3 -C resonances at δ 32.0 and 31.3 with those of the H_b , H'_b , H_c and H'_c protons, and of the COD sp^2 C resonances at δ 81.5 and 74.5 with that of the overlapping H_a and H'_a protons.

(b) Acetate ion addition to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$

The interaction between $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ and excess acetate anion was investigated by NMR spectroscopy in CD_2Cl_2 at -60 °C in the presence of increasing amounts of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$. The salient results of the ^1H NMR investigation are shown in Fig. 4. The resonances of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ complex (red circles) decrease in intensity, while new resonances (green squares) appear and grow as the amount of added $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ increases, attesting to the transformation of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ into a new species. However, the addition is equilibrated (Scheme 3), because the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ resonances do not completely disappear after the addition of >1 equivalent of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ per Rh atom, while the resonance of the free OAc^- reagent is visible even for solutions containing a substoichiometric amount (e.g. 0.44 equiv. in Fig. 4c).

The new species generated by the equilibrated reaction is characterized by four broad resonances, three of which are clearly visible at δ 3.87, 2.37 (shoulder of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ resonance at δ 2.46) and 1.52, while a fourth resonance can be guessed to overlap with the neutral dimer upper-field




Fig. 3 $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ in CD_2Cl_2 at room temperature and at -50 °C. The starred resonance is due to the solvent.





Fig. 4 ^1H NMR spectra, recorded in CD_2Cl_2 at 213 K, for solutions of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (a) and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ (30 mg, 0.11 mmol of Rh) in the presence of various amounts of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (equivalents per Rh atom in parentheses): (b) no added salt; (c) 29 mg (0.049 mmol, 0.44 equiv.); (c) 59 mg (0.99 mmol, 0.90 equiv.); (d) 81 mg (0.136 mmol, 1.23 equiv.). The resonances marked with a red circle belong to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ and those marked with a green square to the new species formed in the reaction.



Scheme 3 Equilibrated acetate addition to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$.

$\text{sp}^3 \text{CH}_2$ resonances in the δ 1.8–1.6 region. This pattern is consistent with high symmetry for the COD ligand and with formation of a mononuclear $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ ion, for which a C_{2v} -symmetric environment is expected. The observation of separate resonances for the new adduct, for the residual dimer, and for the excess free acetate indicates slow ligand exchange on the NMR timescale at 223 K.

Further information was obtained from a variable temperature study of the solution with the largest $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ excess (Fig. 5). As the temperature was increased, the resonances of the new species and those of the residual $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ precursor coalesced, indicating that these two species rapidly exchange on the NMR timescale at the higher temperatures. The three coalesced resonances observed in the room temperature spectrum at δ 3.96, 2.50 and 1.62 have the same intensity, leading to their assignment to the COD ligand resonances. Therefore, the more intense resonance at δ 1.80 belongs to the acetate Me group, showing rapid exchange not only for the acetate ligands in the two Rh complexes with each other, but also with the excess of free acetate ion.

The corresponding $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR investigation confirms the above conclusion, but also reveals additional interesting features. The spectra of the $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ addition experiments at 213 K are shown in Fig. 6. As in the above-described ^1H investi-




Fig. 5 ^1H NMR spectra of the solution containing $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ (30 mg) and $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (81 mg, 1.23 equiv. per Rh atom) in CD_2Cl_2 at different temperatures.




Fig. 6 $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra, recorded in CD_2Cl_2 at 213 K, for solutions of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (a) and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ (30 mg, 0.11 mmol of Rh) in the presence of various amounts of $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (equivalents per Rh atom in parentheses): (b) no added salt; (c) 29 mg (0.049 mmol, 0.44 equiv.); (c) 59 mg (0.99 mmol, 0.90 equiv.); (d) 81 mg (0.136 mmol, 1.23 equiv.). The resonances marked with a red circle belong to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ and those marked with a green square to the new species formed in the reaction.

gation, the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ resonances do not completely disappear in the presence of a $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ excess (Fig. 6e). However, the acetate resonances of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ and free acetate ion are coalesced in both Me (δ 24.8) and COO (δ 177.7) regions. The acetate resonance of the neutral dimer complex, on the other hand, remains distinct (as observed most notably after the second addition, Fig. 6d). The COD resonances of the two Rh species also remain distinct. This suggests that acetate exchange on the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ complex does not involve the neutral complex and is faster than the dimer/anionic monomer exchange. In addition, the two COD resonances of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$, observed at δ 77.8 ($\text{sp}^2 \text{CH}$) and δ 31.2 ($\text{sp}^3 \text{CH}_2$) are broad, particularly the lower-field one (δ 76.6). This cannot be due to exchange with the neutral dimer, since the resonances of the neutral dimer remain sharp, nor to exchange with free acetate. It is possible

that this phenomenon is related to a rotational barrier for the two acetate ligands in $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$, which should also desymmetrize the COD resonances in the unattained slow rotational regime.

A variable temperature $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR study, carried out after the second $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ addition where both neutral dimer and mononuclear anion are clearly visible (Fig. 7), confirms that the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]/[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ exchange is activated only at the higher temperatures, while the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-/\text{OAc}^-$ exchange is rapid at 213 K.

(c) DFT calculations

Further insights into the observed equilibria and exchange reactions were sought by DFT calculations. The energy values are reported as standard Gibbs energies in a dichloromethane solution (1 M, 298 K). Using the experimentally observed X-ray structure of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ as guess geometry, the calculations yielded a very similar optimized geometry (comparison of observed and calculated bonding parameters in Table S1†). A putative mononuclear complex with a bidentate chelating acetate ligand is computed as less stable: the rearrangement of one dimer molecules to yield two mononuclear complexes requires $10.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ per Rh atom. The addition of two acetate ions to the dimer to yield two $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ complexes, on the other hand, is exergonic, releasing $8.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ per Rh atom (Fig. 8). This result qualitatively agrees with the spontaneous formation of the anionic complex upon treatment of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ with acetate ions. However, the energy change is a bit too high for an equilibrated reaction. This discrepancy may be attributed, in addition to the computational error, to a possible role of the dichloromethane solvent in a preferential stabilization of the free acetate ion, *via* specific C–H...O interactions. It is indeed known that the C–H bond of dichloromethane is sufficiently polarized to engage in H-bonding with strong proton acceptors.^{48,49} Thus, the stronger electron density on the O atoms of free acetate, relative to




Fig. 8 Gibbs energy profile for the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ dimer/monomer conversion, for the reaction with acetate ions, and for the further acetate addition to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$.

those of the coordinated acetates in $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$, may render the acetate coordination less favourable. Indeed, repeating the calculations in the presence of just one explicitly included CH_2Cl_2 slightly reduces the energy gain from -8.8 to $-7.8 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$. The optimized geometries exhibit the expected C–H...O interactions for both free acetate and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$, with a shorter H...O with the free acetate ion (ESI, Fig. S5†).

Addition of yet another acetate ion to $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$, yielding the 5-coordinate 18-electron triacetate dianion, $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_3]^{2-}$, raises the energy of the system by 21 kcal mol^{-1} , which is more than the energy cost of acetate dissociation to yield the mononuclear complex $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})]$ with a chelated acetate ($19.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$). The calculations thus suggest that the preferred acetate exchange pathway in $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ is dissociative. If this is true, however, the mononuclear $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})]$ intermediate must add a new acetate ligand faster than dimerizing, since the dimer/anion exchange is slower.

(d) Acetate ligand exchange

The polymer coagulation phenomenon described in the Introduction was further addressed by experiments aimed at determining the aptitude of complexes $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})_2]$ and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$, dissolved in either dichloromethane or toluene, to be transferred to an aqueous phase under three different conditions: (i) pure water; (ii) aqueous solution of sodium acetate; (iii) aqueous solution of the macroRAFT agent $\text{R}_0\text{-}[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-}co\text{-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}\text{-SC(S)SnPr}$, which is an intermediate of the neutral-shell nanoreactor synthesis (see Introduction). This short-chain polymer contains a 50:50 mixture of methacrylic acid and poly(ethylene oxide) methyl ether methacrylate (PEOMA) as co-monomers, the latter one ensuring its water solubility.

The two complexes do not have any affinity for water: their solution in both organic solvents (yellow) do not visually show any transfer of coloration to a water layer after extensive shaking and decantation (Fig. S6a†). The same behaviour was witnessed when mixing the organic solution of the Rh com-




Fig. 7 $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectra of the solution containing $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})_2]$ (30 mg) and $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (59 mg, 0.90 equiv. per Rh atom) in CD_2Cl_2 at different temperatures.

plexes with an aqueous solution of sodium acetate (Fig. S6b[†]), indicating that the formation of the anionic complex is not sufficiently favoured under these biphasic conditions. On the other hand, using an aqueous solution of the macroRAFT agent resulted in evident transfer of the Rh complex to the aqueous phase, even though the transfer was not complete (some yellow coloration also remained in the organic phase, see Fig. 9).

The occurrence of an interaction between $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ and the macroRAFT agent was independently verified by an ^1H NMR investigation in the compatibilizing THF- d_8 solvent, Fig. 10. The room temperature spectrum of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ (Fig. 10a) is quite similar to that recorded at the




Fig. 9 Exposure of toluene solutions of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ (above) and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ (below) to an aqueous solutions of $\text{R}_0\text{-[MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}\text{-SC(S)SnPr}$. (a) Aqueous solution of the macroRAFT agent (90 mmol of COOH functions); (b) $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ (18 mmol of Rh); (c) $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ (18 mmol of Rh); (d) vigorous stirring followed by standing for 5 min; (e) additional vigorous stirring (20 min) followed by standing for 30 min.




Fig. 10 ^1H NMR spectra, recorded at room temperature in THF- d_8 , of: (a) $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$; (b) the macroRAFT agent $\text{R}_0\text{-[MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}\text{-SC(S)SnPr}$ ($\text{R}_0 = -\text{C}(\text{Me})(\text{CN})\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{COOH}$); (c) a mixture of macroRAFT agent and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ ($\text{MAA}/\text{Rh} = 4$); (d) same as (c), after spiking with CH_3COOH . The starred resonances are due to the residual solvent protons.

same temperature in CD_2Cl_2 , with broad resonances at δ 4.03 for the exchanging sp^2 CH protons and at δ 2.54 and 1.78 for the exchanging sp^3 CH protons. Fig. 10b shows the spectrum of the polymer, the most prominent resonances of which are those of the PEO CH_2 protons at δ 3.60, overlapping with one of the two THF- d_8 residual resonances, and a much weaker resonance for the PEO chain-end OCH_3 protons at δ 3.30. The resonances of the polymer backbone protons (methacrylate in-chain CH and CH_2 and side-chain CH_3) are much broader and visible only at great magnification, because the chain is much less solvated, *i.e.* each polymer chain is self-organized as single-chain nanoparticles with a less solvated polymer backbone core and well-solvated, hence more mobile PEO-based shells. This result is consistent with a previously published ^1H NMR investigation of the 1st-generation CCM, demonstrating that the hydrocarbon skeleton of the outer-shell $[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}$ chains is not solvated by water and is located at the core-shell hydrophobic-hydrophilic interface.⁵ The resonances of the R_0 and trithiocarbonate chain-ends are also unobserved, because of their small amount, but probably also because they are embedded within the unsolvated core of the self-organized single-chain particles. Upon combination of the two solutions (molar COOH/Rh ratio = 4 : 1), the spectrum (Fig. 10c) no longer shows the resonances of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ compound, but reveals new sharp resonance at δ 1.90, which belongs to free acetic acid. This assignment was confirmed by spiking the solution with additional CH_3COOH (Fig. 10d). The COD resonances of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ have disappeared because the Rh complex is incorporated within the less mobile, unsolvated nanoparticle core by the carboxylate exchange.

All the above evidence indicates that, although an aqueous solution of the acetate ion is unable to replace chloride in $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ when this is dissolved in an immiscible organic solvent, the exchange occurs with undissociated carboxylic acids in a hydrophobic environment (toluene, dichloromethane) or in a compatibilizing medium (THF). Thus, when the organic solution of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ physically crosses the CCM neutral shell of the water-dispersed 1st-generation CCMs, the methacrylic acid functions of the $[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}$ chains come into contact with the Rh complex and fix the Rh complex on the CCM hydrophilic shell. Consequently, $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ and the methacrylic acid functions meet in a homogeneous phase, rather than at the water/organic interphase, and can react with each other. The $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ complex is then anchored onto the CCM shell as $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-methacrylate})]_2$. Under these conditions, the formation of anionic $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-methacrylate})_2]^-$ complexes is unlikely because the natural pH of the latex is acidic and only a tiny fraction of the MMA monomers is deprotonated. Thus, the anchored complex retains its dinuclear structure, which is however sufficient to provide particle coupling and coagulation, because the two methacrylates ligands may be provided by either the same CCM particle (either the same or two different $[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-co-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}$ chains) or by the chains of two different particles.

As mentioned in the introduction, the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ complex could be loaded into the 1st-generation CCM core when using a toluene solution, whereas coagulation was observed when using dichloromethane. This difference may be related to the faster proton transfer from methacrylic acid to eventually release HCl. Thus, crossing the CCM shell and coordinating to the core TPP functions prevails in toluene, whereas the chloride/carboxylate exchange prevails in dichloromethane.

Experimental section

Compounds $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$,^{50,51} $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ (ref. 52) and the water-soluble $\text{R}_0\text{-}[\text{MAA}_{0.5}\text{-}co\text{-PEOMA}_{0.5}]_{30}\text{-SC(S)SnPr}$ polymer chains¹ were prepared according to the literature methods. $[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$ was crystallised from chloroform/ethyl acetate. NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H); chemical shifts are reported in ppm referenced to SiMe_4 (¹H, ¹³C) and 85% H_3PO_4 (³¹P). The signal assignments were made based on a multinuclear NMR analysis of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ including, besides 1D spectra, ¹H-¹³C HMQC, ¹H COSY and ¹H NOESY experiments. FT-IR spectrum was recorded on a Jasco FT/IR 4200 spectrophotometer (ATR in the range 4000–400 cm^{-1}).

$[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$

$\delta_{\text{H}}(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 213 \text{ K})$: 1.65 (6 H, s, CH_3), 1.69 (4 H, d, $J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}$, H'_{c}), 1.78 (4 H, d, $J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.4 \text{ Hz}$, H_{c}), 2.49 (4 H, broad, H'_{b}), 2.75 (4 H, broad, H_{b}), 3.99 (8 H, broad, $\text{H}_{\text{a}} + \text{H}'_{\text{a}}$). $\delta_{\text{C}}(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 298 \text{ K})$: 24.9 (s, CH_3), 31.3 (s, C-H_{c}), 32.0 (s, C-H_{b}), 74.5 (d, $J_{\text{C,Rh}} = 13.6 \text{ Hz}$, $\text{C-H}'_{\text{a}}$), 81.5 (d, $J_{\text{C,Rh}} = 14.0 \text{ Hz}$, C-H_{a}), 183.0 (COO). See spectra in Fig. 2–7 and S1–4.†

$[\text{PPN}]^+\text{OAc}^-$

$\delta_{\text{H}}(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 298 \text{ K})$: 1.87 (3 H, s, CH_3), from 7.36 to 7.81 (30 H, m, Ph); $\delta_{\text{C}}(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 298 \text{ K})$: 23.3 (CH_3), 127.4 (C_6H_5 , d, $J_{\text{H,P}} = 108 \text{ Hz}$, C_{ipso}), 129.9 (C_6H_5 , m, C_{ortho}), 132.5 (C_6H_5 , m, C_{meta}), 134.1 (C_6H_5 , s, C_{para}), 176.9 (COO); $\delta_{\text{P}}(\text{CD}_2\text{Cl}_2, 298 \text{ K})$: 21.0 (s). IR: $\nu_{\text{max}}(\text{ATR})/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3056w, 1567 m (OAc), 1386s, 1113s, 722s, 690s, 667 m, 524vs, 500s, 451 m. See spectra in Fig. S7–9.† The IR spectrum is shown in Fig. S10.†

Computational details

The calculations were carried out using the Gaussian16 suite of programs.⁵³ The geometry optimizations were performed without any symmetry constraint using the B3LYP functional.^{54–56} The basis set comprised the SDD functions, with an SDD ECP and an f polarization function ($\alpha = 1.350$)⁵⁷ and the 6-311G(d,p) basis functions for all other atoms. The effects of dispersion forces (Grimme's D3 empirical method⁵⁸) and solvation effects (in dichloromethane, using the SMD approach⁵⁹) were included during the optimization. The ZPVE, PV, and TS corrections at 298 K were obtained with Gaussian16 from the solution of the nuclear equation using the standard ideal gas and harmonic approximations at $T = 298.15 \text{ K}$, which also verified the nature of all optimized geometries as local

minima or first-order saddle points. A correction of 1.95 kcal mol^{-1} was applied to all G values to change the standard state from the gas phase (1 atm) to solution (1 M).⁶⁰

Conclusions

The present investigation was inspired by the observation of polymer coagulation upon addition of $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ /dichloromethane to a stable latex of core-crosslinked micelles (CCM) with a methacrylate acid-containing hydrophilic outer shell. The investigations have established that while both $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ and $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ do not interact with aqueous acetate ions, an exchange process with release of acetic acid from $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ and presumably of HCl from $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-Cl})]_2$ occurs when the compounds are exposed to a water-soluble polymer chain containing methacrylic acid monomers. The study has also revealed the formation of equilibrium amounts of the previously unreported $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\text{OAc})_2]^-$ complex, which has been fully characterized in solution, in the presence of free acetate. Notably, this anionic complex undergoes rapid exchange with the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-OAc})]_2$ precursor and an even more rapid exchange with free acetate. The presence of this equilibrium, however, is unlikely to be the main reason of the observed CCM coagulation. The formation of the $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})(\mu\text{-methacrylate})]_2$ complex linked to the CCM shell chains is sufficient to account for this phenomenon.

Author contributions

AMF and VP: investigation, writing – review and editing. CF: supervision, formal analysis, writing – review and editing. EM, funding acquisition, writing – review and editing. PM: supervision, formal analysis, writing – review and editing. RP: conceptualisation; writing – original draft.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledged the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (Project BIPHASNANOCAT, Grant No. 11-BS07-025-01) for funding. We thank Politecnico di Bari for support of an internship of A. M. F. at the LCC Toulouse. R. P. is grateful to the CALMIP mesocenter of the University of Toulouse for the allocation of computational resources.

References

- 1 X. Zhang, A. F. Cardozo, S. Chen, W. Zhang, C. Julcour, M. Lansalot, J.-F. Blanco, F. Gayet, H. Delmas, B. Charleux,

- 1 E. Manoury, F. D'Agosto and R. Poli, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 15505–15517.
- 2 A. F. Cardozo, C. Julcour, L. Barthe, J.-F. Blanco, S. Chen, F. Gayet, E. Manoury, X. Zhang, M. Lansalot, B. Charleux, F. D'Agosto, R. Poli and H. Delmas, *J. Catal.*, 2015, **324**, 1–8.
- 3 S. Chen, A. F. Cardozo, C. Julcour, J.-F. Blanco, L. Barthe, F. Gayet, B. Charleux, M. Lansalot, F. D'Agosto, H. Delmas, E. Manoury and R. Poli, *Polymer*, 2015, **72**, 327–335.
- 10 4 R. Poli, S. Chen, X. Zhang, A. Cardozo, M. Lansalot, F. D'Agosto, B. Charleux, E. Manoury, F. Gayet, C. Julcour, J.-F. Blanco, L. Barthe and H. Delmas, *ACS Symp. Ser.*, 2015, **1188**, 203–220.
- 15 5 S. Chen, F. Gayet, E. Manoury, A. Joumaa, M. Lansalot, F. D'Agosto and R. Poli, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 6302–6313.
- 20 6 E. Lobry, A. F. Cardozo, L. Barthe, J.-F. Blanco, H. Delmas, S. Chen, F. Gayet, X. Zhang, M. Lansalot, F. D'Agosto, R. Poli, E. Manoury and C. Julcour, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **342**, 164–172.
- 25 7 E. Manoury, F. Gayet, F. D'Agosto, M. Lansalot, H. Delmas, C. Julcour, J.-F. Blanco, L. Barthe and R. Poli, in *Effects of Nanoconfinement on Catalysis*, ed. R. Poli, Springer, New York, 2017, pp. 147–172.
- 30 8 J. Chiefari, Y. K. Chong, F. Ercole, J. Krstina, J. Jeffery, T. P. T. Le, R. T. A. Mayadunne, G. F. Meijs, C. L. Moad, G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Macromolecules*, 1998, **31**, 5559–5562.
- 35 9 G. Moad, E. Rizzardo and S. H. Thang, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 2005, **58**, 379–410.
- 10 *Handbook of RAFT Polymerization*, ed. C. Barner-Kowollik, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.
- 11 N. J. W. Penfold, J. Yeow, C. Boyer and S. P. Armes, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2019, **8**, 1029–1054.
- 12 M. Lansalot and J. Rieger, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2019, **40**.
- 13 F. D'Agosto, J. Rieger and M. Lansalot, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 8368–8392.
- 40 14 J. Zhang and N. A. Peppas, *Macromolecules*, 2000, **33**, 102–107.
- 15 T. Ikawa, K. Abe, K. Honda and E. Tsuchida, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem.*, 1975, **13**, 1505–1514.
- 45 16 H. Dong, H. Du and X. Qian, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 12857–12859.
- 17 A. Joumaa, F. Gayet, E. J. Garcia-Suarez, J. Himmelstrup, A. Riisager, R. Poli and E. Manoury, *Polymers*, 2020, **12**, 1107.
- 50 18 A. Joumaa, S. Chen, S. Vincendeau, F. Gayet, R. Poli and E. Manoury, *Mol. Catal.*, 2017, **438**, 267–271.
- 19 S. Chen, E. Manoury, F. Gayet and R. Poli, *Polymers*, 2016, **8**, 26.
- 55 20 H. Wang, L. Vendrame, C. Fliedel, S. Chen, F. Gayet, F. D'Agosto, M. Lansalot, E. Manoury and R. Poli, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 5205–5214.
- 21 H. Wang, C. J. Abou-Fayssal, C. Fliedel, E. Manoury and R. Poli, *Polymers*, 2022, **14**, 4937.
- 22 H. Werner, S. Poelsma, M. E. Schneider, B. Windmuller and D. Barth, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 647–652.
- 23 A. M. Trzeciak, J. J. Ziolkowski, T. Lis and A. Borowski, *Polyhedron*, 1985, **4**, 1677–1681.
- 5 24 E. Mieczynska, A. M. Trzeciak, J. J. Ziolkowski and T. Lis, *Polyhedron*, 1994, **13**, 655–658.
- 25 R. Fornika, E. Dinjus, H. Gorus and W. Leitner, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **511**, 145–155.
- 26 S. M. Tetrick, F. S. Tham and A. R. Cutler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 6193–6194.
- 10 27 F. A. Cotton, E. V. Dikarev and M. A. Petrukhina, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 4241–4243, DOI: [10.1039/b006894m](https://doi.org/10.1039/b006894m).
- 15 28 J. Zednik, J. Sedlacek, J. Svoboda, J. Vohlidal, D. Bondarev and I. Cisarova, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 2008, **73**, 1205–1221.
- 20 29 C. Pariya, Y. S. Marcos, Y. X. Zhang, F. R. Fronczek and A. W. Maverick, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 4318–4324.
- 25 30 W. M. Alley, C. W. Girard, S. Ozkar and R. G. Finke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 1114–1121.
- 30 31 S. P. Wei, J. Pedroni, A. Meissner, A. Lumbroso, H. J. Drexler, D. Heller and B. Breit, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 12067–12076.
- 35 32 C. M. Filloux and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 508–517.
- 40 33 W. R. Cullen, S. J. Rettig and E. B. Wickenheiser, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1994, **72**, 1294–1301.
- 45 34 B. I. Azbel, N. F. Goldshleger, M. L. Khidekel, V. I. Sokol and M. A. Poraikoshits, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1987, **40**, 57–63.
- 50 35 F. J. Lahoz, A. Martin, M. A. Esteruelas, E. Sola, J. L. Serrano and L. A. Oro, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 1794–1799.
- 36 N. Imlinger, K. Wurst and M. R. Buchmeiser, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2005, **136**, 47–57.
- 37 G. Choi, H. Tsurugi and K. Mashima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13149–13161.
- 40 38 G. Vasapollo, A. Sacco, C. F. Nobile, M. A. Pellinghelli and M. Lanfranchi, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, **312**, 249–262.
- 45 39 N. Stylianides, A. A. Danopoulos and N. Tsoureas, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **690**, 5948–5958.
- 50 40 L. Dahlenburg, H. Treffert, C. Farr, F. W. Heinemann and A. Zahl, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 1738–1751, DOI: [10.1002/ejic.200601215](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200601215).
- 55 41 M. Delferro, D. Cauzzi, R. Pattacini, M. Tegoni, C. Graiff and A. Tiripicchio, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 2302–2312, DOI: [10.1002/ejic.200800075](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.200800075).
- 42 M. V. Jimenez, J. J. Perez-Torrente, M. I. Bartolome, V. Gierz, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 224–234.
- 43 H. Ben-Daat, G. B. Hall, T. L. Groy and R. J. Trovitch, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **2013**, 4430–4442.
- 44 S. D. Robinson and B. L. Shaw, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, 4997–5001, DOI: [10.1039/jr9650004997](https://doi.org/10.1039/jr9650004997).
- 55 45 A. A. H. Van der Zeijden, G. Van Koten, R. A. Nordemann, B. Kojic-Prodic and A. L. Spek, *Organometallics*, 1988, **7**, 1957.

- 1 46 C. Tejel, M. A. Ciriano, M. Bordonaba, J. A. Lopez, F. J. Lahoz and L. A. Oro, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 3128–3138.
- 5 47 A. Iturmendi, P. J. S. Miguel, S. A. Popoola, A. A. Al-Saadi, M. Iglesias and L. A. Oro, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 16955–16965.
- 10 48 E. S. Kryachko and T. Zeegers-Huyskens, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 7118–7125.
- 49 P. A. Dub, N. V. Belkova, O. A. Filippov, J.-C. Daran, L. M. Epstein, A. Lledós, E. S. Shubina and R. Poli, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 189–201.
- 50 J. Chatt and L. M. Venanzi, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, 4735–4741, DOI: [10.1039/jr9570004735](https://doi.org/10.1039/jr9570004735).
- 15 51 W. S. Sheldrick and B. Guenther, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1989, **375**, 233–243.
- 52 N. Y. Kozitsyna, A. A. Bukharkina, M. V. Martens, M. N. Vargaftik and I. I. Moiseev, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **636**, 69–75.
- 20 53 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision B.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2016.
- 54 C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1988, **37**, 785–789.
- 55 B. Miehlich, A. Savin, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1989, **157**, 200–206.
- 15 56 A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648–5652.
- 57 A. W. Ehlers, M. Böhme, S. Dapprich, A. Gobbi, A. Hoellwarth, V. Jonas, K. F. Koehler, R. Stegmann, A. Veldkamp and G. Frenking, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1993, **208**, 111–114.
- 20 58 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 59 A. V. Marenich, C. J. Cramer and D. G. Truhlar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6378–6396.
- 25 60 V. S. Bryantsev, M. S. Diallo and W. A. Goddard III, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 9709–9719.
- 30
- 35
- 40
- 45
- 50
- 55

Dear Author

Please use this PDF proof to check the layout of your article. If you would like any changes to be made to the layout, you can leave instructions in the online proofing interface. First, return to the online proofing interface by clicking "Edit" at the top of the page, then insert a Comment in the relevant location. Making your changes directly in the online proofing interface is the quickest, easiest way to correct and submit your proof.

Please note that changes made to the article in the online proofing interface will be added to the article before publication, but are not reflected in this PDF proof.