

## **Abstract**

 Semi-natural habitats are considered fundamental for biodiversity conservation and the provision of biological control services in agroecosystems. However, crop pests that exploit different types of habitats during their life cycle might thrive in complex landscapes. Understanding how crop pests use a range of resources across the agroecosystem is fundamental to plan sustainable crop protection strategies. Here we explored the effects of local habitat type (i.e., annual crop, perennial crop, dry grassland and forest) and landscape composition (increasing cover of forest and dry grassland) on stink bug pests in Mediterranean agroecosystems. Stink bugs (Hemiptera: Pentatomoidea) are polyphagous and highly mobile organisms considered a serious threat for numerous crops worldwide. To better understand how stink bugs used different habitats, we sampled active adults and juveniles in spring and summer, and overwintering individuals in autumn and winter. Our results showed that semi-natural habitats supported more abundant stink bug populations, potentially providing alternative feeding, reproduction, and overwintering sites. Specifically, we found more active adults and juveniles in dry grasslands, while forests hosted greater numbers of overwintering individuals. Moreover, forest cover in the landscape was positively related to active stink bug abundance in all sampled habitats. Finally, we found complex landscapes rich in overall semi-natural habitats to support higher abundance of overwintering individuals in both forests and dry grasslands, while perennial crop might provide suitable overwintering sites in highly simplified landscape. These results have important implications for pest management as crop fields situated in complex landscapes might be more susceptible to pest infestation. Effective control strategies may require a landscape-based approach.

- 
- 

**Key words:** Crop, Dry grassland, Forest, Landscape, Mediterranean agroecosystems,

Pentatomoidea, Pest dynamics

## **1. Introduction**

 Since the beginning of the Green Revolution, broad-spectrum pesticides have been widely used to try to control crop pests, leading to widespread environmental pollution and severe impacts on non-target organisms (Geiger et al. 2010). Moreover, agricultural expansion has resulted in landscape simplification, contributing to the decline of farmland biodiversity (Emmerson et al. 2016), further threatening the provision of important ecosystem services such as biological control of pests (Dainese et al. 2019). The implementation of integrated crop protection strategies that take advantage of natural regulation mechanisms have been largely advocated to reduce the negative impacts of agricultural production on the environment by reducing pesticide use (Bianchi et al. 2006; Gagic et al. 2021). Identifying the main factors driving the dynamics of pest populations is crucial to support effective and more eco-friendly pest control (Mazzi and Dorn 2012).

 Pest management strategies often focus on the local field scale. However, many pest species are mobile organisms that exploit and move across different habitat types during their life cycle (Kennedy and Storer 2000). Several studies have hence highlighted the need for considering larger scales and multiple habitats to better understand pest dynamics in agroecosystems (e.g., O'Rourke et al. 2011; Rusch et al*.* 2013). Semi-natural habitats embedded in agricultural matrices are known to influence pest populations both positively, providing alternative food resources, shelters, and overwintering sites (Cullum et al*.* 2020; Santoiemma et al. 2019; Tscharntke et al. 2016), and negatively, supporting populations of natural enemies such as predators and parasitoids (Holland et al. 2017; Mele et al. 2021). Although biocontrol is generally expected to be stronger in landscapes rich in semi-natural habitats (Bianchi et al. 2006), pest response to landscape composition in crop fields has been shown to be largely inconsistent (Delaune et al. 2021; Karp et al*.* 2018) and potentially driven by species- specific characteristics (Tamburini et al. 2020). Understanding how agricultural pests use a range of resources across multiple habitats and respond to landscape characteristics is fundamental to plan sustainable crop protection strategies beyond the field scale.

 Phytophagous stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomoidea) are responsible for important economic losses to agriculture on a global scale (Schaefer and Panizzi 2000). Stink bugs can cause major damage to important crops such as cotton, soybean, corn, rice, wheat, apple, kiwi, olive and cherry (Panizzi et al*.* 1997; Sosa‐ Gómez et al. 2020). Reductions in both yield quantity and quality are due to their feeding activity on fruits, seeds, leaves or stems (McPherson 2018; Panizzi 1997) and several species are also vectors of plant pathogens (Mitchell et al*.* 2018). Highly polyphagous and mobile species (e.g., the southern green stink bug*, Nezara viridula* L.) are considered particularly dangerous as they can move across the agricultural matrix feeding on both wild and crop hosts (Reeves et al. 2010; Schaefer and Panizzi 2000; Taki et al. 2014; Venugopal et al. 2014), often reinvading sites from which they were previously eliminated (e.g., Fauvel 1999). Previous studies explored how stink bugs colonize crop fields from adjacent habitats (Bundy and McPherson 2000; Tillman et al. 2009; Reeves et al. 2010; Venugopal et al. 2014, 2015), especially wooded ones, that support stink bug in population build up (González et al. 2020; Lee et al. 2014a, 2015; Taki et al. 2014; Venugopal et al. 2014). Nevertheless, semi-natural habitats such as forests and riparian habitats have also been shown to support the biological control of some species of stink bug pests (Conti et al. 2020; Mele et al. 2021; Ogburn et al. 2016). These habitats in fact support richer and more abundant communities of parasitoids (mainly hymenopteran wasps, such as Scelionidae and Encyrtidae) and generalist predators known to significantly impact stink bug egg survival (Schaefer and Panizzi 2000; González et al. 2017, 2020). It is hence important to better understand how semi-natural habitats influence the spatiotemporal dynamics of stink bug pest populations in heterogeneous agroecosystems.

 The aim of this study was to explore the effects of habitat type and landscape composition on stink bug pests in Mediterranean agroecosystems. We measured stink bug abundance in four habitats characterizing the study region (i.e., annual crop, perennial crop, dry grassland and forest) along a landscape gradient of semi-natural habitats (increasing cover of forest and dry grassland). The four habitats provide different resources to stink bugs. Annual and perennial crops should both support pest populations by providing host plants during spring and summer. Forests are expected to mainly

 provide overwintering sites (Bakken et al. 2015). Dry grasslands should provide alternative host plants(especially when crops are harvested) and suitable reproduction sites(i.e., habitats where adults breed and juveniles can find enough resources for development) (Panizzi 1997). To better understand how stink bugs use different habitats (i.e., as feeding, reproduction, or overwintering sites), we sampled adults and juveniles in spring and summer (i.e., active adults and juveniles), and overwintering individuals in autumn and winter. We hypothesized that i) semi-natural habitats would generally support stink bug pests, and that ii) forests would mainly be used as overwintering sites and dry grasslands for feeding and reproduction. We hence expected that iii) crop fields located in landscapes rich in semi-natural habitats would present more abundant communities of stink bug pests. We also tested whether species identity would influence stink bugs' response to habitat type and landscape composition.

#### **2. Material and methods**

## 2.1. *Study area, experimental set-up and landscape analyses*

 The study was carried out in 2020 in the agricultural landscape of Bari and Barletta-Andria-Trani provinces in southeast Italy, within the boundaries of the Alta Murgia National Park. The park covers a total area of nearly 68,000 ha, it is characterized by a Mediterranean semi-arid climate (mean annual 114 precipitation = 700 mm, mean annual temperature = 15  $^{\circ}$ C) and it consists of extensively farmed areas interspersed with semi-natural habitats such as dry grasslands, mainly used as extensive pastures, and forest patches (*Pinus halepensis* Mill. or *Quercus pubescens* Willd. forests). The arable land is cultivated with annual crops (mainly cereals and legumes), and perennial crops (mostly almond, olive 118 and cherry).

 We selected 12 non-overlapping circular landscapes with a 1 km radius along a gradient in the cover of semi-natural habitats (range = 23.6 – 75.1 %). Landscapes were separated by at least 4.7 km, except for two that were located at shorter distance (3.78 km). Within each landscape we selected four sites corresponding to the four most abundant habitats in the region: annual crops, perennial

 crops, dry grasslands, and forests (48 sites in total). The annual crops were all wheat, the perennial crops were nine olive, one cherry and two stone fruit orchards, and the dry grasslands were all characterized by grasses and few shrubs (mostly *Scorzoneretalia villosae* Horvatić dry grasslands interspersed with *Pyrus spinosa* Forssk. trees). The forests comprised four oak and eight pine forests. The sites were selected in order to minimize the distance from the landscape centroid (the maximum distance from the centroid was 370 m, except for two sites that were distant 580 m and 647 m, respectively). To quantify the cover of dry grasslands, forests and total semi-natural habitats within each landscape (1km radius), we manually digitalized dry grassland and forest patches by visual inspection of high-resolution satellite images in Google Earth Pro (Google Inc.© 2017). We also measured the Shannon diversity index of land-cover types (habitat diversity) and the total number of patches within each landscape. Landscape characteristics were also evaluated in a 2-km radius buffer around the landscape centroid, to test for potential scale dependence (landscape variables' ranges and correlations at different scales are presented in Table S1).

#### 2.2. *Insect sampling*

 The survey was carried out from May to December 2020 across the 48 sites. We conducted two sampling campaigns to measure 1) the abundance of active stink bugs in spring and summer and 2) the abundance of overwintering adults in autumn and winter. Specifically, from May to September (five sampling rounds), active specimens present on ground vegetation were collected using a sweep net on a total of six transects (100 m/transect) per site (three at the center and three at the edges). Moreover, since many stink bugs are pests of perennial crops, we selected six trees per site (three at the center and three at the edges) and we sampled the individuals present on the canopy, beating the branches and collecting insects on a white cloth placed underneath (beating technique). Trees were not present in annual crops, so the sampling on tree canopies was conducted only in perennial crops, dry grasslands and forests. However, because of the low number of specimens collected with the

 beating technique (32 specimens in total), only those collected by sweep netting were included in the analyses.

 The second sampling campaign was conducted to identify those habitats used by stink bugs as overwintering sites. It took place from October to December (three sampling rounds) after the number of active individuals strongly decreased (see Results). We sampled both trees and leaf litter, as stink bugs typically overwinter as adults in sheltered environments such as litter or beneath the bark of trees and shrubs (Schwertner et al. 2021). At the center of each site, we removed and carefully examined the leaf litter from three randomly selected 1 x 1 m squares, and sampled three trees (the same plant species were surveyed during spring and summer) both with the beating technique and installing branch traps (i.e., a strip of cardboard mounted around one branch per tree that mimics tree bark; Schwertner et al. 2021). Branch traps were installed at c. 1.5 m of height, on branches similar in diameter (c. 55 cm) and checked monthly. This second sampling campaign was conducted only in perennial crop, dry grassland and forest habitats and not in annual crops, as these mostly presented 161 bare soil and no trees or shrubs. Because of the low number of specimens found in the leaf litter (10) in total), only those collected on trees (i.e., via beating technique and branch traps) were included in the analyses.

164 After collection, insects were stored at -20°C until identification which was made following the main taxonomic keys of Heteroptera (Derjanschi and Péricart 2005; Lupoli and Dusoulier 2015; Ribes and Pagola-Carte 2013).

2.3. *Data analysis*

 We used general linear mixed-effects models to explore the effects of habitat type and landscape composition on the abundance of active and overwintering stink bug pests. We considered as landscape predictors the proportion of forest, dry grassland or total semi-natural habitats in the landscape, habitat diversity and the number of patches in the landscape at both 1 and 2 km scale. Ten models were hence run per response variable (see below), each including a different landscape

 predictor (five landscape metrics at 2 different landscape scales). The model displaying the lowest AIC was considered as the best fitting model (Akaike 2011; Table S2).

 For the analyses of active stink bug pests (first sampling campaign, from May to September), we averaged data at the site level for each sampling round, as preliminary analyses showed no effect of sampling location (center *vs*. edge; ANOVA: P = 0.928). We set up a total of three different models. With the first model (*model 1*) we explored the overall response of stink bugs to the tested factors. We hence included the total abundance of active stink bugs (both adults and juveniles) as response variable, and habitat type (categorical, four levels), landscape composition (continuous; % cover of forests, dry grasslands, total semi-natural habitats in the landscape, habitat diversity or number of patches), time (continuous; Julian days) and their interactions as predictors. With the second model (*model 2*), we explored whether adults and juveniles responded differently to habitat type and landscape composition. Stink bug abundance was hence averaged at the site level for adults and juveniles, separately. We included in the model the abundance of active stink bugs for each development stage as response variable, and habitat type, landscape composition, development stage (categorical, two levels) and their interactions as predictors. We included also the quadratic and cubic terms of time in these first two models to better fit the temporal dynamics of stink bug populations. With the third model (*model 3*), we further tested whether species identity influenced stink bugs' response to the tested variables. Stink bug abundance was hence averaged at the site level for each species (i.e., time was not considered in this analysis to improve model residuals). We included in this model the abundance of adult stink bugs for each species as response variable, and habitat type, landscape composition, species identity (categorical; six levels) and their interactions as predictors. Juveniles were not considered for this analysis as they could not be all reliably identified at the species level. Species counting less than 30 individuals were not included in this analysis (Table S3). Tukey multiple comparison test was applied to determine habitat preference for each stink bug species. Standardizing stink bug abundance within species produced qualitatively similar results (not presented). For all three models, landscape and site ID were included as random factors.

 For the analyses of overwintering stink bug pests (second sampling campaign, October to December) we averaged data at the site level (i.e., one record per site), as preliminary analyses showed no effect of sampling method (beating *vs*. branch traps; ANOVA: P = 0.417) on the abundance of stink bug pests. Time was not considered in this analysis to improve model residuals. The model (*model 4*) included the total abundance of overwintering stink bugs as response variable, and habitat type, landscape composition, and their interactions as predictors. Landscape ID was included as random factor. Stink bug abundance was log-transformed in all four models to abide by model assumptions. Normality and homoscedasticity of the model residuals were validated graphically. To reduce model complexity, we did not consider three- and four-way interactions when not significant. Moreover, we tested whether a different model selection procedure influenced model outcomes: adopting an information-theoretic approach evaluating alternative competing models produced quantitatively similar results (see Supporting information). All the analyses were performed in R using the 'lme4' and vegan packages (Bates et al. 2017, Oksanen et al., 2019).

#### **3. Results**

 During the first sampling campaign (from May to September) we collected a total of 1,645 adults belonging to 9 species of stink bug pests and 1,908 juveniles (Heteroptera: Pentatomoidea: Pentatomidae and Scutelleridae; Table S3). The most abundant species was *Eurygaster maura* L. (37.6%) followed by *Aelia acuminata* L. (15.9%), *Aelia germari* K. (12.0%) and *Dolycoris baccarum*  L. (11.5%). Juveniles belonged mainly to the genus *Eurygaster* spp. (58.0%) and *Aelia* spp. (23.7%). During the second sampling campaign (from October to December) we collected a total of 52 overwintering adults belonging to 5 stink bug species. The most abundant overwintering species was *N. viridula* (53.8%) and *Piezodorus lituratus* F. (38.4%) (Table S3).

 Abundance of active stink bugs was influenced by both habitat type and landscape composition (Table 1). We found that the total abundance of active stink bugs (both adults and juveniles) was higher in dry grassland compared to the other habitats and that, after peaking in late spring, the total  abundance declined (i.e., non-linear effect of time; Fig. 2A). However, forest habitat locally supported higher abundance of stink bugs at the end of the summer compared to annual and perennial crops (Habitat x Time interaction; *model 1*). When including development stage in the analyses (*model 2*), we found that juveniles were more abundant than adults at the beginning of the sampling period compared to late summer, but only in dry grasslands and forests (Habitat x Time x Development stage interaction; Fig. S1). Moreover, habitat preference varied among species (Habitat x Species ID interaction, *model 3*; Fig. 3). Specifically, we found more *E. maura* and *A. germari* adults in dry grasslands, *E. austriaca* was more abundant in annual crops, *Eurydema ornata* L. and *D. baccarum* in both perennial crops and dry grasslands, whereas *A. acuminata* did not present clear differences (for statistical differences see Fig. 3 and Table S6). Including the proportion of forests at 2 km scale as landscape predictor always yielded the lowest AIC for all models on active stink bugs (Table S2). We found that the total abundance of active stink bugs increased with forest cover in the landscape in all the sampled habitats (*model 1*; Fig. 2B). However, the effect of the percentage of forest cover on stink bug abundance depended on the species identity (Forest x Species ID interaction, *model 3*): the positive effect of forest cover was observed for all the most abundant species except for *A. germari* which did not respond to landscape (Fig. S2). The effects of habitat type and landscape composition remained similar across models.

 Abundance of overwintering adults was influenced by an interactive effect between habitat type and landscape composition (Table 1). We found that the abundance of overwintering stink bugs was generally higher in forest habitats, and that the effect of landscape composition depended on habitat type. The abundance of overwintering individuals increased with the proportion of semi-natural habitats (2 km scale), but only in forests and dry grasslands, whereas it showed the opposite trend in perennial crops (Habitat x Semi-natural habitats interaction, *model 4*; Fig. 4).

#### **4. Discussion**

 Our study shows that semi-natural habitats play an important role in supporting populations of stink bug pests in Mediterranean agroecosystems. We found that stink bug pests were present in both crop and non-crop habitats, with dry grasslands and forests providing potential alternative feeding, reproduction, and overwintering sites. Agricultural landscapes with forest cover presented higher local abundance of stink bug pests in both crop and non-crop habitats, whereas high cover of semi- natural habitat in the landscape increased the abundance of overwintering individuals in non-crop habitats. These results have important implications for the control of stink bug pests in agricultural landscapes, as crop colonization can be affected by the type and the abundance of semi-natural habitats in the surrounding landscape.

 We found stink bug pests in both crop and non-crop habitats, confirming their ability to move across the agricultural matrix and to exploit a variety of habitats and hosts. Most of stink bug species are in fact polyphagous and highly mobile organisms (Lee et al. 2014b) and seasonal availability of resources probably influenced the temporal occurrence of stink bugs in different habitats (Bundy and McPherson 2000; Tillman et al*.* 2009; Venugopal et al. 2014). Annual and perennial crops seemed to provide a suitable habitat for stink bug pests in spring and early summer, while abundance dropped in August and September. Similar seasonal trends were previously observed for true bugs in Mediterranean environments (e.g., Gessé et al. 2014), where severe late summer droughts can limit availability of host plants. Moreover, within our study area, cereals were harvested in June and herbaceous cover in orchards is often removed with machineries to avoid competition for water. This can also explain why we found forests to present more abundant stink bug populations at the end of the summer compared to annual and perennial crops, suggesting that this habitat might provide aestivation opportunities and food resources not available in cultivated areas.

 Contrary to our expectations, we did not find any perennial crop specialist feeding on fruits in orchards after July, but only occasional pests of woody plants such as *D. baccarum* and *P. lituratus*, feeding on herbaceous plants (Mutlu et al. 2018). Moreover, the invasive alien pest *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) was not found during the survey, despite the species has been recorded in Apulia region  in 2016 (Cianferoni et al. 2018). Dry grasslands presented the highest abundance of stink bug pests from May to September and resulted to be a favorite habitat for four out of the six most abundant species, i.e., *E. maura*, *A. germari, D. baccarum*, and *E. ornatum*. This is probably because dry grasslands provide more abundant, diverse, and temporarily stable communities of host plants (Malenovský et al. 2011). Our findings confirm the importance of this habitat for stink bugs communities (Di Giulio et al*.* 2001; Gessé et al. 2014; Kőrösi et al. 2012). However, local heterogeneity within the same habitat type (e.g., pine and oak forests were categorized both as forests) probably increased variability in our dataset, making more difficult to identify habitat preferences.

 The semi-natural habitats in the study area represented also suitable reproduction sites for the populations of stink bug pests. We found more juveniles than adults at the beginning of the sampling period, but this difference was evident only in forests and dry grasslands and not in cultivated habitats (Fig. S1). This might indicate that stink bugs preferably used non-crop habitats for reproduction and development of juveniles (Panizzi 1997). Nevertheless, more studies measuring egg mass abundance and juvenile survival in multiple habitats are needed to better understand reproduction dynamics of stink bug communities in heterogeneous agroecosystems. Our study also highlights the importance of wooded habitats as overwintering sites. We found higher abundance of overwintering adults in forests compared to dry grasslands and perennial crops. This is in accordance with previous studies that found this type of habitat to provide diverse shelter opportunities such as bark cavities, dense tree crowns and abundant soil litter (Jones et al. 1981; Lee et al. 2014a; Musolin 2012; Schaefer and Panizzi 2000). Contrary to our expectations, we only found overwintering stink bugs on trees rather than in the leaf litter. Moreover, we found few overwintering individuals of *Eurygaster* spp. (10 specimens; Table S3) and *Aelia* spp., the two most abundant genera in our study. Although reported to overwinter in large groups under dry leaves in forest habitats, *Eurygaster* and *Aelia* species have been previously found to migrate to elevated areas such as hills or mountains in late summer for both aestivation and hibernation (Brown 1965; Critchley 1998; Schaefer and Panizzi 2000; Schuh and Weirauch 2020), potentially explaining the lack of overwintering individuals in our study. Our results  also indicated that *Eurygaster* species positively responded to forest cover in the landscape (see below) indicating that this habitat plays an important role in the life cycle of this genus. Nevertheless, to better understand the influence of specific thermal needs and of other landscape features (i.e., elevation; Cullum et al. 2020) on the spatiotemporal dynamics of different pest species, future studies could explore stink bug overwintering along elevation or temperature gradients.

 The importance of semi-natural habitats in supporting populations of stink bug pests emerged also when analyzing their response to landscape composition. We found that high cover of forests in the landscape was associated with high abundance of active individuals in all the surveyed habitats. Similar results were observed for stink bug pests in soybean in Argentina (González et al. 2020) and for the brown-winged green bug, *Plautia stali* Scott, in fruit orchards in Japan, where severe orchard infestations were associated with high forest cover in the landscape (Taki et al. 2014). Also, at a smaller scale, many studies found both native and invasive stink bug species to move from woodlands to adjacent crops (Bakken et al. 2015; González et al. 2017; Bundy and McPherson 2000; Reeves et al. 2010; Tillman et al. 2016). As mentioned before, many Pentatomoidea species use forest habitats for alternative food and shelters (Schwertner et al. 2021), potentially playing an important role in stink bug life cycle and population build-up (Venugopal et al. 2014). We also found that abundant semi-natural habitats in the landscape (forests and dry grasslands) were associated with high numbers of overwintering individuals in forests and dry grasslands, but not in perennial crops (Fig. 4). This is probably because the higher anthropic disturbance typical of cultivated crops, alters the optimal microclimatic condition required for overwintering (Cullum et al. 2020), leading the individuals to leave those sites and take refuge elsewhere. Our data also suggest that perennial crops might provide suitable shelters for overwintering (e.g., under tree bark) when seminatural habitats are not available (i.e., in highly simplified landscapes). Moreover, our analyses showed that stink bugs best responded to landscape processes consistently at 2 km scale, confirming the available information in the literature regarding their dispersal ability (Olson et al. 2018; Taki et al. 2014). Response to landscape composition was similar for the most abundant species except for *A. germari*, which showed no

 relationship with forest cover in the landscape. This pest of cereals probably overwinters and finds shelter in other type of habitats. Studies considering pest species identity might be required when planning specific control actions. Finally, including variables describing landscape configuration and diversity in the models never yielded the lowest AIC. This suggests that the abundance of shelters and food resources in the landscape was more important for stink bugs than the diversity of habitats or the presence of landscape features that facilitate dispersion: stink bugs are in fact characterized by high dispersal ability and are often generalists in terms of both habitats and hosts.

 In conclusion, our study shows that semi-natural habitats play a key role in supporting the community of stink bug pests in agroecosystems. This has important implications for pest control strategies: crop fields situated in landscapes with high cover of semi-natural habitats might be more exposed to pest invasion. For this reason, monitoring efforts should be reinforced in complex landscapes to closely track pest dynamics in the field and to intervene when necessary. Forests in fact may potentially serve as source of overwintered adults colonizing fields and orchards in early spring, while dry grasslands as a season-long reservoir for crop infestations (Bakken et al. 2015; Cornara et al. 2021). Nevertheless, semi-natural habitats have also been shown to support communities of natural enemies in agricultural landscapes (Dainese et al. 2019; Roland and Taylor 1997) and might contribute to the biological control of stink bug pests. For instance, high forest cover in the landscape has been showed to promote the control of stink bugs in soybean in Argentina, without however reducing their abundance in the field (González et al. 2017, 2020). Moreover, Mele et al. (2021) recently found that both the abundance and the control by parasitoids of the invasive stink bug *H. halys* in kiwifruit orchards, increased with the proximity to riparian vegetation. In this context, semi- natural habitats might be a greater source of pests than natural enemies (Bianchi et al. 2006, Tscharntke et al. 2016). However, since the potential for biological control was not measured in this study, we can not exclude that natural enemies influenced stink bug populations. Actions to specifically support the communities of biological control agents in the landscape are probably necessary (e.g., providing alternative floral resources) (Albrecht et al. 2020). Improving knowledge  regarding the factors driving stink bug population dynamics in agroecosystems could be useful to plan effective and sustainable pest management strategies aimed at reducing the use of pesticides (Grabarczyk et al*.* 2021; Taki et al. 2014). These strategies should consider pest dispersal across crop and non-crop areas and may require a landscape-based approach.

## **Declaration**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships

that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. This research did not receive

any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### **References**

- Akaike H (2011) Akaike's information criterion. In: International encyclopedia of statistical science. Springer, Berlin, p 25.
- Albrecht M, et al. (2020). The effectiveness of flower strips and hedgerows on pest control, pollination services and crop yield: a quantitative synthesis. Ecol. Lett., 23(10), 1488-1498.
- Bakken AJ, et al. (2015) Occurrence of brown marmorated stink bug (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on
- wild hosts in non-managed woodlands and soybean fields in North Carolina and Virginia. Environ.
- Entomol., 44(4), 1011-1021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvv092>
- Bates D, et al. (2017) Linear mixed-effects models using "Eigen" and S4. R package version 1.1– 15. 1–117.
- Bianchi FJ, Booij CJH, Tscharntke T (2006) Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: a
- review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. Proc. Biol. Sci., 273(1595),
- 1715-1727. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3530>
- Brown ES (1965) Notes on the migration and direction of flight of *Eurygaster* and *Aelia* species
- (Hemiptera, Pentatomoidea) and their possible bearing on invasions of cereal crops. J. Anim Ecol, 93-107.
- Bundy CS, McPherson RM (2000) Dynamics and seasonal abundance of stink bugs (Heteroptera:
- Pentatomidae) in a cotton–soybean ecosystem. J. Econ. Entomol., 93(3), 697-706.

<https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-93.3.697>

- Cianferoni F, Graziani F, Dioli P, Ceccolini F (2018) Review of the occurrence of *Halyomorpha*
- *halys* (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in Italy, with an update of its European and World distribution. Biologia, 73(6), 599-607.<https://doi.org/10.2478/s11756-018-0067-9>
- Conti E, et al. (2021) Biological control of invasive stink bugs: review of global state and future prospects. Entomol. Exp. et Appl., 169(1), 28-51.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12967>
- Cornara D, et al. (2021). Natural areas as reservoir of candidate vectors of *Xylella fastidiosa*. Bull. Insectology, 74, 173-180.
- Critchley BR (1998) Literature review of sunn pest *Eurygaster integriceps* Put. (Hemiptera, Scutelleridae). Crop protection, 17(4), 271-287. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194\(98\)00022-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(98)00022-2)
- Crowder DW, Jabbour R (2014) Relationships between biodiversity and biological control in
- agroecosystems: current status and future challenges. Biol. control, *75*, 8-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.10.010>
- Cullum JP, et al. (2020) Influence of landscape factors and abiotic conditions on dispersal behavior
- and overwintering site selection by *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). J. Econ.
- Entomol., 113(4), 2016-2021.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toaa077>
- Dainese M, et al. (2019) A global synthesis reveals biodiversity-mediated benefits for crop production*.* Sci. Adv., 5(10).<https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.aax0121>
- Delaune T, et al. (2021) Landscape drivers of pests and pathogens abundance in arable crops. Ecography, 44(10), 1429-1442. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05433>
- Derjanschi V, Péricart J (2005) Hémiptères Pentatomoidea euro-méditerranéens (Vol. 90). Faune de France.
- Di Giulio M, Edwards PJ, Meister E (2001) Enhancing insect diversity in agricultural grasslands: the roles of management and landscape structure. J. Appl. Ecol., 310-319.
- Emmerson M, et al. (2016). How agricultural intensification affects biodiversity and ecosystem
- services. In: Advances in ecological research (Vol. 55, pp. 43-97). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2016.08.005>
- Fauvel G (1999). Diversity of Heteroptera in agroecosystems: role of sustainability and bioindication.
- Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 74(1-3), 275-303. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809\(99\)00039-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8809(99)00039-0)
- Fent M (2010) Contributions to Pentatomoidea (Heteroptera) fauna of western Black Sea Region with
- a new record for Anatolian fauna: *Neottiglossa lineolata* (Mulsant & Rey, 1852). J. Entomol. Res. Soc., 12(1), 53-65.
- Gagic V, Holding M, Venables WN, Hulthen AD, Schellhorn NA (2021) Better outcomes for pest
- pressure, insecticide use, and yield in less intensive agricultural landscapes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- U.S.A., 118(12).<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2018100118>
- Geiger F, et al. (2010). Persistent negative effects of pesticides on biodiversity and biological control
- potential on European farmland. Basic Appl. Ecol., 11(2), 97-105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2009.12.001>
- Gessé F, Monleón-Getino T, Goula M (2014) Biodiversity analysis of true bug assemblages
- (Hemiptera, Heteroptera) in four habitats in the Garraf Natural Park (Barcelona, Spain). J. Insect Sci., 14(1), 283. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/ieu145>
- González E, Salvo A, Valladares G (2017) Arthropod communities and biological control in soybean
- fields: Forest cover at landscape scale is more influential than forest proximity. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 239, 359-367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.002>
- González E, Landis DA, Knapp M, Valladares G (2020). Forest cover and proximity decrease
- herbivory and increase crop yield via enhanced natural enemies in soybean fields. J. Appl. Ecol.,
- 57(11), 2296-2306. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13732>
- Grabarczyk EE, Cottrell TE, Tillman G (2021) Characterizing the Spatiotemporal Distribution of
- Three Native Stink Bugs (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) across an Agricultural Landscape. Insects, 12(10), 854*.* <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12100854>
- Holland JM, Douma, J C, Crowley L, James L, Kor L, Stevenson DR, Smith BM (2017) Semi-natural
- habitats support biological control, pollination and soil conservation in Europe. A review. Agron.
- Sustain. Dev., 37(4), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-017-0434-x>
- Jones Jr WA, Sullivan MJ (1981) Overwintering habitats, spring emergence patterns, and winter
- mortality of some South Carolina Hemiptera. Environ. Entomol., 10(3), 409-414. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/10.3.409>
- Karp DS, et al. (2018) Crop pests and predators exhibit inconsistent responses to surrounding
- landscape composition. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 115(33), E7863-E7870. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1800042115>
- Kennedy GG, Storer NP (2000) Life systems of polyphagous arthropod pests in temporally unstable
- cropping systems. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 45(1), 467-493. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.45.1.467>
- Kőrösi Á, Batary P, Orosz A, Redei D, Baldi A (2012) Effects of grazing, vegetation structure and
- landscape complexity on grassland leafhoppers (Hemiptera: Auchenorrhyncha) and true bugs
- (Hemiptera: Heteroptera) in Hungary. Insect Conserv. Divers., 5(1), 57-66. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4598.2011.00153.x>
- Lee DH, Cullum JP, Anderson JL, Daugherty JL, Beckett LM, Leskey TC (2014a) Characterization
- of overwintering sites of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug in natural landscapes using
- human surveyors and detector canines. PloS One, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091575>
- Lee DH, Nielsen AL, Leskey TC (2014b) Dispersal capacity and behavior of nymphal stages of
- *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) evaluated under laboratory and field conditions. J. Insect. Behav., 27(5), 639-651. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10905-014-9456-2>
- Lee D H (2015) Current status of research progress on the biology and management of *Halyomorpha*
- *halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) as an invasive species. Appl. Entomol. Zool., 50(3), 277-290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-015-0350-y>
- Lupoli R, Dusoulier F (2015) Les Punaises Pentatomoidea de France. Éditions Ancyrosoma.
- Malenovský I, Baňař P, Kment PETR (2011) A contribution to the faunistics of the Hemiptera (Cicadomorpha, Fulgoromorpha, Heteroptera, and Psylloidea) associated with dry grassland sites in southern Moravia (Czech Republic). Acta Mus. Morav. Sci. Biol., 96(1), 41-187.
- Mazzi D, Dorn S (2012) Movement of insect pests in agricultural landscapes. Asp. Appl.
- Biol., 160(2), 97-113. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2012.00533.x>
- McPherson JE (Ed.) (2018) Invasive stink bugs and related species (Pentatomoidea): biology, higher
- systematics, semiochemistry, and management. CRC Press.
- Mele A, Scaccini D, Zanolli P, Pozzebon A (2021) Semi-natural habitats promote biological control
- of *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) by the egg parasitoid *Trissolcus mitsukurii* (Ashmead). Biol. Control, 104833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2021.104833>
- Mitchell PL, Zeilinger AR, Medrano EG, Esquivel JF (2018) Pentatomoids as vectors of plant
- pathogens. In: Invasive Stink Bugs and Related Species (Pentatomoidea) (pp. 611-640). CRC Press.
- Musolin DL (2012) Surviving winter: diapause syndrome in the southern green stink bug *Nezara*
- *viridula* in the laboratory, in the field, and under climate change conditions. Physiol.
- Entomol., 37(4), 309-322. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3032.2012.00846.x>
- Mutlu C, Buyuk M, Eren S, Karaca V, Duman M, Bayram Y (2018) Management of the Stink Bugs
- *Dolycoris baccarum* (L.) and *Piezodorus lituratus* (F.) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), and Chalky Spot
- Damage on Red Lentil in Southeast Anatolia Region, Turkey. J. Kans. Entomol. Soc., 91(1), 40-50.
- <https://doi.org/10.2317/0022-8567-91.1.40>
- Ogburn EC, et al. (2016) Natural enemy impact on eggs of the invasive brown marmorated stink bug,
- *Halyomorpha halys* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae), in organic agroecosystems: a regional assessment. Biol. Control, 101, 39-51.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.06.002>
- Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, Kindt R, Legendre P, McGlinn D, Wagner H (2019). vegan: Community ecology package. R package version 2.5-6. Retrieved from https://cran.r-proje ct.org/web/ packa ges/vegan/ index.html
- Olson DM, et al. (2018) Landscape effects on reproduction of *Euschistus servus* (Hemiptera:
- Pentatomidae), a mobile, polyphagous, multivoltine arthropod herbivore. Environ. Entomol., 47(3), 660-668. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvy045>
- O'Rourke ME, Rienzo-Stack K, Power AG (2011) A multi‐ scale, landscape approach to predicting
- insect populations in agroecosystems. Ecol. Appl., 21(5), 1782-1791. [https://doi.org/10.1890/10-](https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0241.1) [0241.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0241.1)
- Panizzi AR (1997) Wild hosts of pentatomids: ecological significance and role in their pest status on 491 crops. Annu. Rev. Entomol., 42(1), 99-122. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.42.1.99>
- Reeves RB, Greene JK, Reay-Jones FPF, Toews MD, Gerard PD (2010) Effects of adjacent habitat
- on populations of stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in cotton as part of a variable agricultural landscape in South Carolina. Environ. Entomol., 39(5), 1420-1427. <https://doi.org/10.1603/EN09194>
- Ribes J, Pagola-Carte S, (2013) Hémipteres Pentatomoidea Euro-Mediterranées. Volume 2:
- Systématique: deuxiéme partie sous-famille Pentatominae (Vol. 96). Faune de France, Paris. 423 p.
- Roland J, Taylor PD (1997) Insect parasitoid species respond to forest structure at different spatial
- scales. Nature, 386(6626), 710-713. <https://doi.org/10.1038/386710a0>
- Rusch A, Valantin-Morison M, Sarthou JP, Roger-Estrade J (2013) Effect of crop management and
- landscape context on insect pest populations and crop damage. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 166, 118-
- 125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.05.004>
- Santoiemma G, Trivellato F, Caloi V, Mori N, Marini L (2019) Habitat preference of *Drosophila suzukii* across heterogeneous landscapes. J. Pest Sci., 92(2), 485-494. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-018-1052-3>
- Schaefer CW, Panizzi AR (Eds.) (2000) Heteroptera of economic importance. CRC press.
- Schuh RT, Weirauch C (2020) True Bugs of the World (Hemiptera: Heteroptera). Classification and
- 508 Natural History, Monograph Series, 2<sup>nd</sup>, Vol. 8, 768. Siri Scientific Press, Manchester.
- Schwertner CF, Carrenho R, Moreira FF, Cassis G (2021) Hemiptera Sampling Methods. In: Measuring Arthropod Biodiversity (pp. 289-313). Springer, Cham.
- Sosa‐ Gómez DR, et al. (2020) Prevalence, damage, management and insecticide resistance of stink
- bug populations (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in commodity crops. Agric. For. Entomol., 22(2), 99-
- 118. <https://doi.org/10.1111/afe.12366>
- Taki H, Tabuchi K, Iijima H, Okabe K, Toyama M (2014) Spatial and temporal influences of conifer
- planted forests on the orchard pest *Plautia stali* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). Appl. Entomol. Zool., 49(2), 241-247.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13355-013-0242-y>
- Tamburini G, et al. (2020) Species traits elucidate crop pest response to landscape composition: a
- global analysis. Proc. R. Soc. B: Biol. Sci., 287(1937), 20202116. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2116>
- Tillman PG, Northfield TD, Mizell RF, Riddle TC (2009) Spatiotemporal patterns and dispersal of
- stink bugs (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae) in peanut-cotton farmscapes. Environ. Entomol., 38(4), 1038-1052. <https://doi.org/10.1603/022.038.0411>
- Tillman PG, Cottrell TE (2016) Density and egg parasitism of stink bugs (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae)
- in elderberry and dispersal into crops. J. Insect Sci., 16(1), 108. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iew091>
- Tscharntke T, et al. (2016) When natural habitat fails to enhance biological pest control–Five hypotheses. Biol. Conserv., 204, 449-458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.10.001>
- Venugopal PD, Coffey PL, Dively GP, Lamp WO (2014) Adjacent habitat influence on stink bug
- (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) densities and the associated damage at field corn and soybean edges.
- PloS One, 9(10), e109917. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109917>
- Venugopal PD, Dively GP, Lamp WO (2015) Spatiotemporal dynamics of the invasive *Halyomorpha*
- *halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) in and between adjacent corn and soybean fields. J. Econ.
- Entomol., 108(5), 2231-2241.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tov188>

# 535 **TABLES**

- 536 **Table 1**. Results of the best fitting linear mixed-effects models (lowest AIC) testing the effects of
- 537 habitat type (annual crops, perennial crops, dry grasslands, forests) and landscape composition (2 km
- 538 scale) on the abundance of stink bug pests. Other fixed factors included were time (Days; model 1
- 539 and 2), development stage (Stage; model 2) and species identity (Species ID, model 3).







545 **Figure 1**. Map of the 12 sampling landscapes (A), example of landscape buffer at 1 and 2 km scale 546 (B), and of the four investigated habitats within each landscape (C). (Color figure)



548





550 **Figure 2**. Effects of (A) time in interaction with habitat type and (B) landscape composition (% 551 of forest cover at 2 km scale) on the overall abundance of stink bugs (i.e., both adults and juveniles)

- during the first sampling campaign (May-September) (*model 1*). Plots display prediction lines and
- partial residuals. (Color figure)



#### 554

555 **Figure 3**. Habitat preference of the most abundant stink bug species collected during the first 556 sampling campaign (May-September) (*model 3)*. Different letters indicate significant differences 557 among habitat for each species (p < 0.05,Tukey multiple comparison test) Plots display prediction 558 lines and partial residuals. (Color figure)

559



560

561 **Figure 4**. Effects of the interaction between habitat type and landscape composition (% of semi-562 natural habitat cover at 2 km scale) on the abundance of overwintering stink bugs (second sampling 563 campaign, October-December) (*model 4*). Plots display prediction lines and partial residuals. (Color 564 figure)