

1 **Dietary supplementation of suckling lambs with anthocyanins: effects on growth, carcass,**  
2 **oxidative and meat quality traits**

3  
4 Maggiolino A.<sup>a</sup>, Bragaglio A.<sup>a</sup>, Salzano A.<sup>b1</sup>, Rufrano D.<sup>c</sup>, Claps S.<sup>c</sup>, Sepe L.<sup>c</sup>, Damiano S.<sup>b</sup>, Ciarcia  
5 R.<sup>b</sup>, Dinardo F.R.<sup>a</sup>, Hopkins D.L.<sup>d</sup>, Neglia G.<sup>b</sup>, De Palo P.<sup>a</sup>

6  
7 *<sup>a</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, University “Aldo Moro” of Bari, S.P. per Casamassima, km 3,*  
8 *70010, Valenzano (BA), Italy.*

9 *<sup>b</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Production (DMVPA), University of Naples*  
10 *Federico II, Naples, Via Federico Delpino 1, 80137 Napoli, Italy*

11 *<sup>c</sup>Council for Agricultural Research and Economics - Research Centre for Animal Production and*  
12 *Aquaculture, S.S.7 Via Appia, 85051 Bella Muro (PZ), Italy*

13 *<sup>d</sup>Centre for Red Meat and Sheep Development, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Cowra, NSW*  
14 *2794, Australia*

15  
16  
17 <sup>1</sup>Corresponding Author: Angela Salzano. E-mail: [angela.salzano@unina.it](mailto:angela.salzano@unina.it)

19 **Abstract**

20 The aim of this research was to assess the effect of the dietary inclusion in suckling lambs of a red  
21 orange and lemon extract rich in anthocyanins on growth performance, antioxidant status, carcass  
22 characteristics and of both dietary antioxidant addition and aging on meat fatty acids, colorimetric  
23 and antioxidant profile, and meat texture. The experiment was carried out using 44 Merino male  
24 lambs randomly assigned to two groups: one group received the red orange and lemon extract (RLE)  
25 (RLE; n = 22) and the control group (CON; n=22) did not receive the anthocyanins. The RLE extract  
26 was orally administered (90 mg/kg of live weight) to each lamb every day from birth until slaughter  
27 ( $40 \pm 1$  days). *Longissimus lumborum* muscle was sampled and aged for 7 days. Rheological,  
28 colorimetric and oxidative parameters were affected by aging time and anthocyanins administration.  
29 The meat from RLE supplemented lambs had lower cooking loss and **Warner-Blatzer Shear Force**  
30 **(WBSF)** values. Moreover, **thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS)** and hydroperoxides  
31 were lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) in RLE meat along the entire aging period, although in both groups they  
32 increased during aging ( $P < 0.01$ ). **The** RLE addition affect yellowness values during aging, showing  
33 lower values in CON group at 7 d ( $P < 0.01$ ). The RLE feed addition in able to positively affect  
34 oxidative animal status, and consequently animal welfare, enhancing meat oxidative stability and  
35 reducing colour deterioration during aging.

36  
37 **Key words:** lamb meat, antioxidant additives, oxidative status, fatty acids

38  
39 Abbreviations: ADF, acid detergent fibre; ADL, acid detergent lignin; AI, atherogenic index;  
40 ANOVA, analysis of variance; CAT, catalase; CF, crude fibre; CON, Control group; CP, crude  
41 protein; CW, cold carcass weight; DDW, deionized distilled water; DM, dry matter; DNPH, 2,4-  
42 dinitrophenyl hydrazine; DW, dry weight; EE, ether extract; FAME, Fatty acid Methyl esters; GHG,  
43 greenhouse gas; GLM, general linear model; GPx, glutathione peroxidase; GSH, reduced glutathione;

44 IMF, intramuscular fat; MDA, malondialdehyde; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acids; NADPH,  
45 nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate reductase; NDF, neutral detergent fibre; ORAC, oxygen  
46 radical absorbance capacity; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acids; RCD, reactive carbonyl derivative;  
47 RLE, Red orange and lemon extract group; SEM, standard error of the mean; SFA, saturated fatty  
48 acids; SW, slaughter weight; TBARS, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; TCA, trichloroacetic  
49 acid; TE, trolox equivalents; TEAC, trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity; TI, thrombogenic index;  
50 TMR, total mix ration; UFA: unsaturated fatty acids; WBSF, Warner-Blatzer Shear Force; Wf, final  
51 weight; WHC, water holding capacity; Wi, initial weight; WW, warm carcass weight.

52

## 53 **1. Introduction**

54 In the last two decades, increasing interest has been focused on the development of feeding strategies  
55 useful to induce variation in the meat quality of both ruminants and monogastric animals (Bennato et  
56 al., 2020). Small ruminant farming can potentially adopt low-input strategies by using agro-industrial  
57 by products as alternative feeding sources (Jabalbarezi Hukerdi et al., 2019). Many studies have been  
58 conducted focussing on the dietary effects in small ruminants on their meat of using different natural  
59 feeding sources such as olive leaves (Jabalbarezi Hukerdi et al., 2019), cardoon meal, ramie (Wei et  
60 al., 2019), spineless cactus (de Abreu et al., 2019), and sea buckthorn pomace (Qin et al., 2020). All  
61 these sources are positively perceived by consumers because they are recognised as natural and not  
62 synthetic chemical-additive compounds and their antioxidant activity can improve different aspects  
63 linked to meat quality, animal welfare and human health (Huang, 2018; Maggiolino et al., 2020).  
64 Dietary supplementation with natural antioxidant-rich feeds is also considered a good strategy to  
65 modulate the meat fatty acid composition in response to consumer demands (Cimmino et al., 2018),  
66 in a market context that requests more and more meat products with healthy and functional claims  
67 and characteristics. Several plants or extracts rich in bioactive compounds have demonstrated ability  
68 to affect the fatty acid composition of ruminant fat, and some of these compounds may also have

69 antioxidant activity and other activities (eg. Antimicrobial) (Görgüç et al., 2020). The main reason  
70 behind this lies in the fact that animal fats, except for fish, are generally characterized by high  
71 saturated fatty acids (SFA) concentration, which are notoriously associated with several human  
72 cardiovascular diseases (Wood et al., 2008). Moreover, in addition to reducing fat saturation, these  
73 substances may enhance oxidative stability (Vasta and Luciano, 2011). For example dietary inclusion  
74 of bioactive substances characterized by antioxidant activity can improve some antioxidant enzyme  
75 activity, such as glutathione peroxidase, superoxide dismutase and catalase (Han et al., 2007), can  
76 protect cell tissues from lipoperoxidative damage induced by free radicals and prevent lipid oxidation  
77 and restore animal oxidative balance improving animal health (Dong et al., 2015). Anthocyanins were  
78 just known for their colouring properties, but, in the last few years, they raised importance and  
79 scientific interest for their possible use as dietary antioxidants and health benefits (Damiano et al.,  
80 2019). It was observed their capacity to protect protein and lipids from direct DNA damages at  
81 cellular level (Acquaviva et al., 2003), and it was also hypothesized their capacity to activate some  
82 specific enzymes (glutathione reductase, glutathione peroxidase, and glutathione S-transferase)  
83 reducing oxidative stress (Shih et al., 2007).

84 The present study aimed to assess the effect of dietary inclusion of a red orange and lemon extract  
85 rich in anthocyanins, obtained from red orange and lemon processing waste, on suckling lamb growth  
86 performance, antioxidant status, carcass characteristics, and also of 7 days of aging on meat fatty  
87 acids, colorimetric and antioxidant profile, and meat texture analysis.

88

## 89 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 90 *2.1. Animal management and feeding*

91 The experiment was authorized by the Animal Welfare organization of the University of Naples  
92 Federico II (PG / 2019/0028161 of 03/19/2019).

93 The experimental procedures were carried out at the experimental farm of the Council for Agricultural  
94 Research and Economics, Research Centre of Animal Production and Aquaculture (CREA, Bella  
95 Muro, Potenza, Italy). Forty-four Merino male lambs, all born as singles, were involved in the trial.  
96 All lambs were natural suckled and, from 25 days of life until slaughtering ( $40 \pm 1$  days), *ad libitum*  
97 alfalfa hay (188 g/kg crude protein DM, 322 g/kg crude fibre DM) and starter (205g/kg crude protein  
98 DM, 18 g/kg fat DM, 250 g/kg crude fibre DM) was offered. Fatty acid composition of the  
99 administered feeds is reported in Table 1. After colostrum administration from the mothers within 2  
100 h from birth, the animals were randomly assigned to two groups: one group received the red orange  
101 and lemon extract (RLE) rich in anthocyanin (n = 22), and the control group (CON; n = 22) did not  
102 receive the anthocyanins. After slaughtering, meat samples of each lamb were randomly assigned to  
103 three aging times (1, 4 and 7 days), for a completely randomized design.

104 Separation of anthocyanins content in the RLE extract was quantified using an ultra-Fast HPLC  
105 system coupled with a photodiode array (PDA) detector and Finnigan LXQ equipped with an  
106 electrospray ionization interface (ESI) in series configuration (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA).  
107 The anthocyanins were quantified by UHPLC according to a method described by Fabroni et al.  
108 (2016). Five millilitres sample of centrifuged juice were loaded onto C18 Sep-Pak cartridges (Waters,  
109 Milford, MA, USA) that were previously conditioned with 5 mL of methanol and 5 mL of pure water.  
110 The anthocyanins and other polyphenols were adsorbed by these columns; sugars, acids and other  
111 soluble compounds were removed by washing the cartridges with water. Anthocyanins were eluted  
112 with methanol containing 10  $\mu$ L/mL formic acid. The acidified methanol solutions were evaporated  
113 to dryness, and the dried fractions were dissolved in 70  $\mu$ L/mL aqueous formic acid. Then, the  
114 samples were filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane filter (Albet, Barcelona, Spain) and injected into  
115 the UHPLC-MSn chromatographic system to identify the individual anthocyanins. Anthocyanin  
116 separation was conducted on a Chromolith Performance RP-18 end-capped column (100  $\times$  3.0 mm  
117 inner diameter, monolithic particle size; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) using an Ultra-Fast

118 HPLC system coupled to a PDA detector and a Finnigan LXQ ion trap equipped with an ESI interface  
119 in series con-figuration (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA). A binary gradient composed of water  
120 containing 70  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$  formic acid and methanol was used. The flow rate was 300  $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$ , the  
121 column temperature was 30°C and the injection volume was 20  $\mu\text{L}$ . The range of wavelengths was  
122 set between 210 and 700 nm, and the chromatograms were recorded at 520 nm. The relative  
123 composition (g/100g) of individual anthocyanins were measured at 520 nm with a UV-Vis  
124 spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 100 Scan, Palo Alto, California, USA) and calculated from peak  
125 areas at 520 nm, using Xcalibur v.2.0.7 software. Moreover, flavanonic glycosides, expressed as  
126 hesperidin equivalents (g/100 g of powder extract), were determined by HPLC using the HPLC-  
127 PDAESI/ MSn apparatus described by an adapted HPLC method (Rouseff et al., 1987) and shown in  
128 Table 2.

129 During the entire suckling period, until slaughter, the two treatment groups were housed in two  
130 different pens with their dams and had access to the same feed. The dietary intake was calculated as  
131 of 65 and 68 g/day of starter and 18 and 20 g/day of hay respectively for RLE and CON groups, on  
132 average during the experiment. Feed intake was determined daily from unconsumed feed before the  
133 next feeding. The amount of RLE extract administrated was 90 mg/kg of live weight. The supplement  
134 was orally administered to each lamb in the RLE group every day. It was mixed with water to obtain  
135 a cream (Maggiolino et al., 2019a), which was then administered directly in the mouth using a large  
136 syringe. The lambs in the RLE group were weighed every 2 days at 7:00 am to adjust the daily amount  
137 of RLE.

138 Blood samples were aseptically collected at birth (d 1), and d 20 and 40 via jugular vein puncture  
139 using disposable needles (23G) as described by De Palo et al. (2018a), with a negative pressure system  
140 for plasma (4 mL tubes with 15 USP U/mL of heparin) (Becton, Dickinson Canada Inc, Vacutainer  
141 1, Oakville, Canada). Heparinized tubes were stored on ice and centrifuged ( $1500 \times g$  for 10 min)  
142 within 1 h. All plasma samples were stored at -20°C until processing.

143

## 144 2.2. Slaughter procedures and carcass measurements

145 All lambs were weighed (SW, slaughter weight) after fasting for 12 h with free access to water. The  
146 lambs were transported approximately 15 km to the abattoir and the journey time was less than 30  
147 min, and they were slaughtered on the same day at a European Community-approved abattoir in  
148 compliance with European Community laws on Animal Welfare in transport (1/2005EC) and the  
149 European Community regulation on Animal Welfare for slaughter of commercial animals  
150 (1099/2009EC).

151 Warm carcass weight of each lamb was recorded after the removal of non-carcass components (head,  
152 skin, feet, lungs, trachea, heart, liver, spleen, gastro-intestinal tracts and testicles). Warm dressing  
153 percentage was calculated as the formula  $WW/SW$  ( $WW$  = warm carcass weight;  $SW$  = slaughter  
154 weight). After, all carcasses were chilled at 4°C for 24 h the cold dressing percentage was calculated  
155 using the formula  $CW/SW$  ( $CW$  = cold carcass weight). Carcass measurements were recorded and  
156 some carcass indexes calculated as described by Yakan et al. (2016): carcass length (from the caudal  
157 edge of the last sacral vertebra to the dorso-cranial edge of the atlas), internal carcass length (length  
158 from the cranial edge of symphysis pubis to the cranial edge of the first rib), leg length (length from  
159 the symphysis pubis to the tarsal-metatarsal joint), chest circumference (circumference measurement  
160 of chest at the widest rib area), chest width (widest chest measurement between left and right side at  
161 the rib area), leg compactness (leg weight/leg length; kg/m) and carcass compactness (cold carcass  
162 weight/carcass length; kg/m).

163 The intramuscular pH was recorded at slaughter, 1 and 24 h *post-mortem* with a portable pH meter  
164 with glass electrode shaped to easily penetrate meat (Carlo Erba pH 710, Carlo Erba Reagents, Milan,  
165 Italy). Before each measurement, the pH meter was automatically calibrated for muscle temperature  
166 and using standard solutions with 4 and 7 pH values (Crison, Lainate, Italy).

167

168 *2.3. Meat, kidney, liver and intestine sampling and analysis*

169 The *Longissimus thoracis et lumborum* muscle was sampled (from the 1<sup>st</sup> thoracic to the 5<sup>th</sup> lumbar  
170 vertebra) on the slaughter day, after chilling 4 h. It was cut in three parts and each part was randomly  
171 assigned to one of the three experimental storage days: 1, 3 or 7. All sections were placed extruded  
172 polystyrene trays (AERpack PCM0330 produced by Coopbox Italia) and wrapped in film (Cryovac  
173 LID2050, Passirana di Rho, Milano, Italy) and stored until the preassigned storage day at a  
174 temperature of 4°C. Chemical composition of the muscle was analysed only on day 1 and these  
175 samples were ; stored at -20°C until analysis. The pH, texture profile, colorimetric and oxidative  
176 profile analysis were performed on samples held for 1, 3 and 7 days of storage. The kidney cortex,  
177 the right posterior section of the liver and part of the small intestine were collected for lipid oxidation  
178 determination and the measurement of nitric oxide (NO) production and superoxide dismutase (SOD),  
179 catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activities.

180

181 *2.3.1. Chemical composition*

182 The muscle epimysium was removed and then the sample was triturated in a domestic blender until  
183 a homogeneous mass was obtained and chemical composition (moisture, protein content,  
184 intramuscular fat and ash) was determined as described by Maggiolino et al. (2019b).

185 *2.3.2. Water holding capacity, cooking loss and thawing loss*

186 Water-holding capacity (WHC) was calculated using the centrifugation method as described by De  
187 Palo et al. (2018b). The cooking loss was determined as described by De Palo et al. (2015) and  
188 post-thawing losses were calculated as described by De Palo et al. (2014).

189

190 *2.3.3. Meat Texture profile analysis*



191 The Warner–Bratzler shear force (WBSF) was analysed as described by De Palo et al. (2014). Three  
192 pieces of meat of  $1 \times 1 \times 2$  cm (height  $\times$  width  $\times$  length) were removed parallel to the muscle-fibre  
193 direction after a single sample was cooked in a plastic bag up to an internal temperature of  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  for  
194 3 min in a water bath at  $85^{\circ}\text{C}$  (measured with a copper constant in fine wire thermocouple, Model  
195 5SC-TT-T-30–36, Omega Engineering Inc., fixed in the geometrical center of the sample). All  
196 samples were cut perpendicular to the muscle fiber direction using an Instron 1140 apparatus (Instron,  
197 High Wycombe, UK) provided with a computer, using a crosshead speed of 50 mm/min and a load  
198 cell of 50 N. Each sample was sheared 3 times and these 3 values measured were used to obtain the  
199 mean value for each sample. Maximum shear force, shown by the highest peak of the force–time  
200 curve, represents the maximum resistance of the sample to the cut, and was expressed as N.

201 The texture profile analysis was performed on raw meat at room temperature using a TA-XT2 texture  
202 analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, UK). One cylinder with a 1.5 cm height and 2 cm  
203 diameter was prepared from every sample. A double compression cycle test was performed up to 50%  
204 compression of the original portion height with an aluminum cylinder probe of 2cm diameter. Five  
205 seconds elapsed between the two compression cycles. Force–time deformation curves were obtained  
206 with a 25 kg load cell applied at a cross head speed of 2 mm/s. The following parameters were  
207 quantified: hardness (maximum force of the first compression cycle required to compress the sample,  
208 N), adhesiveness (negative area under the abscissa after the first compression, N/s), springiness  
209 (ability of the sample to recover its original form after the deforming force was removed, cm),  
210 cohesiveness (extent to which the sample could be deformed prior to rupture, dimensionless),  
211 chewiness (work required to masticate a solid food before swallowing, J).

212

#### 213 *2.3.4. Colorimetric analysis*

214 At unpackaging the surface meat colour of samples 2cm thick was determined according to the CIE  
215  $L^*$ ,  $a^*$ ,  $b^*$  (CIE, 1976) colour system using a Minolta CR-300 colorimeter (light source D65; Minolta

216 Camera Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan). Reflectance measurements were collected from a 0° viewing angle  
217 with A-pulsed xenon arc lamp with a reading surface of 8 mm diameter. For each day, three  
218 measurements were performed on three different points (De Palo et al., 2012). Three measurements  
219 were obtained at each point, performed by rotating the detector system by 90° compared to the  
220 previous one, giving a total of nine measurements per sample. The colorimeter was calibrated on the  
221 Hunter-lab colour space system using a white tile ( $L^* = 99.2$ ,  $a^* = 1.0$ ,  $b^* = 1.9$ ). The  $a^*$  and  $b^*$  values  
222 were used to determine chroma ( $C^* = (a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}$ ) and hue (radians,  $H = \tan^{-1}(b/a)$ ) according to  
223 Maggiolino et al. (2020).

224

#### 225 *2.3.5. Meat thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), protein carbonyls and hydroperoxides* 226 *analyses*

227 Minced muscle samples (5g) were placed in a 50-mL test tube and homogenized with 15 mL  
228 deionized distilled water (DDW). An aliquot of homogenate (1 mL) was transferred to a glass tube  
229 for TBARS determination and 0.05 mL of butylated hydroxytoluene (72  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$  in ethanol) was  
230 added along with 1,950  $\mu\text{L}$  of TBA/trichloroacetic acid (TCA)/HCl (3.75  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$  TBA, 150  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$   
231 TCA and 0.25 N HCl). The sample solution was shaken and then incubated at 90°C for 15 min in a  
232 thermostatic bath. After this period, samples were cooled to room temperature (15-30°C) and then  
233 centrifuged at  $2,000 \times g$  for 15 min. Supernatant absorbance at 531 nm was measured against a blank  
234 containing 2 mL of TBA/TCA/HCl solution in 1 mL of distilled water. The TBARS were calculated  
235 comparing with a standard curve constructed with 1,1,3,3-tetramethoxypropane, and the  
236 concentration of lipid oxidation was expressed as milligrams of malondialdehyde (MDA) per kg of  
237 meat (Buege and Aust, 1978).

238 Four mL of  $\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$  and 2 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  were added to 2 mL of homogenate (previously prepared for  
239 TBARS determination). The samples were vortexed for 30s and then 2 mL of  $\text{CHCl}_3$  and 1.6 mL of  
240 9  $\mu\text{L}/\text{mL}$  NaCl was added. The samples were shaken for 1 min and then centrifuged at  $3,500 \times g$  for

241 10 min at 4°C. Two mL of lipid extract were sampled from the lower chloroform phase and processed  
242 with 1 mL of CH<sub>3</sub>COOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub> and 50 µL of KI (1.2 g/L mL distilled water). Samples were stored  
243 for 5 min in a dark room and added with 3 mL of 5 µL/mL of CH<sub>3</sub>COOCd and then vortexed and  
244 centrifuged at 4,500 × g for 10 min at 40°C. Absorbance at 353 nm was measured against a blank  
245 tube in which the meat homogenate was replaced by 2 mL of distilled water (De Palo et al., 2013).  
246 Results were expressed in millimoles per gram of meat according to Buege and Aust (1978).  
247 Meat samples (2 g) were homogenized in 20 mL of 0.15 M KCl for 2 min. Two aliquots of  
248 homogenate (50 µL each) were added with 1 mL 100 µL/mL TCA and then centrifuged at 1,200 × g  
249 for 3 min at 4°C to measure protein oxidation. The first aliquot was used as a standard and added with  
250 1 mL of 2 M HCl solution. The second aliquot was added with 1 mL of 2 M HCl containing 10 mM  
251 2,4-dinitrophenyl hydrazine (DNPH). Samples were incubated for 1 h at room temperature (15 to  
252 30°C) and shaken every 20 min, and then 1 mL of 100 µL/mL TCA was added. The samples were  
253 vortexed for 30 s and centrifuged 3 times at 1,200 × g for 3 min at 4°C and the supernatant removed.  
254 Care was taken not to disrupt the pellet. The pellet was washed with 1 mL of ethanol:ethyl acetate  
255 (1:1), shaken, and centrifuged 3 times at 1,200 × g for 3 min at 4°C and the supernatant removed. The  
256 pellet was then dissolved in 1 mL 20 mM sodium phosphate 6 M guanidine hydrochloride buffer.  
257 Samples were then shaken and centrifuged at 1,200 × g for 3 min at 4°C. Carbonyl concentration was  
258 calculated on the DNPH treated sample at 360 nm with a Beckman Coulter DU800 (Beckman  
259 Instruments Inc., Brea, CA, USA) and expressed as nanomoles carbonyl per milligram protein.  
260 Protein concentration was calculated according to the Biuret assay (Tokur and Korkmaz, 2007; De  
261 Palo et al., 2013a).

262

### 263 *2.3.6. Plasma TBARS, protein carbonyls and hydroperoxides analyses*

264 The TBARS were measured fluorometrically according to Gondim et al. (2009) by adding 100 mL  
265 of plasma to a 3.7 µL/mL thiobarbituric acid solution. Plasma reactive carbonyl derivative (RCD)

266 levels were measured according to Faure and Lafond (1995). The RCD levels were determined using  
267 the carbonyl reagent DNPH. Plasma (200  $\mu$ L) was mixed with 1 mL water and 2 mL 200  $\mu$ L/mL  
268 trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged at  $1000 \times g$  for 10 min. The pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of  
269 10 mmol/L DNPH and incubated for 60 min at 37.8°C. For control, 1 mL of 1 mol/L hydrochloric  
270 acid was used instead of DNPH. Subsequently, 1 mL of 200  $\mu$ L/mL trichloroacetic acid was added,  
271 and the sample was centrifuged at  $1000 \times g$  for 10 min. The pellet was washed with 1:1 ethanoethyl  
272 acetate solution and centrifuged at  $1000 \times g$  for 10 min. The pellet was mixed with 1 mL of 6 mol/L  
273 guanidine (diluted in 20 mmol/L dihydrogenphosphate at pH 2.3). Finally, the sample was incubated  
274 for 40 min at 37.8°C. The absorbance was measured at 380 nm.

275 Hydroperoxides were analysed according Södergren et al. (1998). Aliquots (90  $\mu$ L) of plasma were  
276 transferred into eight microcentrifuge vials (1.5 mL). Ten microliters of 10 mM TPP in methanol  
277 were added to four of the vials to reduce ROOHs, thereby generating a quadruplicate of blanks.  
278 Methanol (10 mL) was added to the remaining four vials to produce a quadruplicate of test samples.  
279 All vials were then vortexed and incubated at room temperature for 30 min prior to the addition of  
280 900  $\mu$ L of FOX2 reagent. After mixing, the samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 min.  
281 The vials were centrifuged at  $2400 \times g$  for 10 min with a swing-out rotor (Hettich Rotenta / RP  
282 centrifuge, Hettich-Zentrifugen, Tuttlingen, Germany). Absorbance of the supernatant was measured  
283 at 560 nm using an Ultraspec 2000 spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala, Sweden). The  
284 ROOH concentration in the plasma samples was calculated using the mean absorbance difference  
285 between quadruplicates of test samples and blank samples.

286

### 287 *2.3.7. Meat and plasma antioxidant activity evaluation*

288 About 400 mg of meat were homogenized in a tissue homogenizer in 4 mL of saline at 4°C. The  
289 homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at  $7000 \times g$  and the supernatant was collected to  
290 determine the antioxidant enzyme activities. Plasma was analyzed as it was. The SOD (SOD, EC

291 1.15.1.1), CAT (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) and GPx (GPx, EC1.11.1.9.) activity were measured as described  
292 by Tateo et al. (2020).

293

#### 294 2.3.8. Fatty acid Methyl esters (FAME) analysis

295 The FAME were prepared by transesterification of the lipid extract, as described by De Palo et al.  
296 (2013b) both for meat and feed, using methanol in the presence of 3  $\mu$ L/mL hydrochloric acid in  
297 methanol (vol/vol). Then, FA were determined with a Trace GC Thermo Quest Gas Chromatograph  
298 (Thermo Electron, Rodano, Milan, Italy) equipped with a flame ionisation detector. The derivatives  
299 were separated on a capillary column (Supelco SP-2380 fused-silica column, 60m length, 0.25mm  
300 internal diameter and 0.20-mm film thickness; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA). Injector and  
301 detector temperatures were held at 260°C. Column oven program temperatures were as follows: T1  
302 = 80°C, hold 1 min; T2 = 150°C ramp at 15°C/min, hold 2 min; T3 = 220°C ramp at 5°C/min, hold  
303 2 min; and T4 = 250°C ramp at 15°C/min, hold 5 min. The flow rate of the carrier gas (helium) was  
304 set at 0.8 mL/min. Identification of FAME was based on the retention times of reference compounds  
305 (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) and mass spectrometry. The fatty acid composition was  
306 expressed as the percentage of total FAME (Supelco TM 37 Component FAME Mix, Catalog Number  
307 47885-U, Sigma-Aldrich). Nutritional implications were assessed by calculating the amount of  
308 saturated fatty acids (SFA), unsaturated fatty acids (UFA), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA),  
309 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), n-3 and n-6 FA, as well as the SFA:PUFA, SFA:UFA and the n-  
310 6:n-3 ratios. Moreover, the atherogenic index (AI) and thrombogenic index (TI) were calculated  
311 according to Ulbricht and Southgate (1991).

312

313 *2.3.9. Malondialdehyde (MDA), nitrite and nitrate assay and markers of oxidative stress in liver,*  
314 *kidney and intestine*

315 Lipid peroxidation was determined by assaying the MDA levels according to Ohkawa et al. (1979).  
316 It was determined by the reaction of MDA with thiobarbituric acid (TBA) to form a colorimetric (532  
317 nm) product, proportional to the MDA present. Kidney, liver and intestine were homogenized on ice  
318 with MDA Lysis Buffer. To form the MDA-TBA adduct, TBA solution was added to each sample  
319 and incubated at 95°C for 60 min. Then, each reaction mixture was placed into a 96 well plate to  
320 measure the absorbance at 532 nm. Results were expressed as nanomoles/mg of protein.

321 The production of nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>), stable metabolites of NO production, was  
322 determined in the supernatant of kidney, liver and intestine by Griess reagent in accordance to Ciarcia  
323 et al. (2010). Nitrate was reduced to nitrite by addition of nitrate reductase (0.4U/mL) in the presence  
324 of 10 mM NADPH and 2.5 mM flavin adenin dinucleotide and then assayed as nitrite. The plates  
325 were incubated with the Griess reagent at 25°C under reduced light for 20 min. Absorbance was read  
326 at 550 nm using a spectrophotometer Glomax Multi Detection System (Promega). The data were  
327 expressed as picomoles of nitrite for milligrams of proteins.

328 The activity of SOD, CAT, and GPx was determined by using a spectrophotometer at 450nm, 520nm,  
329 and 412nm, respectively, according to previous studies (Sinha, 1972; Akerboom and Sies, 1981; Sun  
330 et al., 1988). Samples from all groups were collected at the end of the treatment. One gram of each  
331 tissue was homogenized with 9 mL of saline solution using a tissue homogenizer and centrifuged at  
332 2000 × g rpm for 15 min at +4°C. The supernatant was collected and used for the measurement of  
333 SOD, CAT and GPx activity by a spectrophotometer (Glomax Multi detection system, Promega,  
334 Milan, Italy). The antioxidant enzymes activity was expressed as units for milligram of proteins.

335

336 *2.4. Statistical analysis*

337 The data set was tested for normal distribution and variance homogeneity (Shapiro-Wilk). Each lamb  
338 represented an experimental unit. The data of growth performance, carcass traits, indexes and meat  
339 chemical composition were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the GLM by SAS  
340 software (SAS, 2011), according the following model:

$$341 \quad y_i = \mu + A_i + \varepsilon_{ij},$$

342 where  $y_{ijk}$  are dependent variables;  $\mu$  is the overall mean;  $A$  was the effect of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  inclusion of the  
343 anthocyanin in the diet ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  was the error term.

344 The colorimetric, texture, oxidative parameters and fatty acid profile were analyzed using the MIXED  
345 procedure of SAS (SAS, 2011) with repeated measures, according the following model

$$346 \quad y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + A_j + T_k + (A \times T)_{jk} + \varepsilon_{ijkl},$$

347 where  $y_{ijk}$  are dependent variables;  $\mu$  is the overall mean;  $\alpha_i$  is the constant of the lamb random effect;  
348  $A$  was the effect of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  inclusion of the anthocyanin in the diet ( $j = 1, 2$ ),  $T$  was the effect of the  
349  $k^{\text{th}}$  ageing ( $k = 1, \dots, 3$ ),  $A \times T$  was the effect of the interaction of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  anthocyanin inclusion in the  
350 diet and  $k^{\text{th}}$  ageing ( $1, \dots, 6$ ), and  $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$  was the error term. When not significant, the binary interaction  
351 was dropped from the model. A Tukey test was applied to evaluate the differences according ageing.  
352 The significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

353

### 354 **3. Results**

#### 355 *3.1. Growth performance, cut incidence and meat chemical composition*

356 Anthocyanins inclusion in the lambs' diet during the first 40 days of life did not affect live weight at  
357 slaughter (12.2 kg and 12.4 kg for RLE and CON respectively), carcass measurements, dressing  
358 percentage (64.2 % and 65.1 % for RLE and CON respectively) or the proportion of cuts in the carcass  
359 (Table S1). Moreover, chemical composition of the meat was not different between the RLE and  
360 CON groups.

361

362 *3.2. Rheologic parameters and texture profile analysis*

363 In Table 3 the results of rheologic parameters and texture profile analysis are shown. The pH in both  
364 groups was lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) at 7 days than previous aging times. Similarly, WHC was lower in both  
365 groups at 7 days (RLE,  $P < 0.01$ ; CON,  $P < 0.05$ ). Thawing loss was affected by aging, showing  
366 higher values on 7<sup>th</sup> aging day compared to the 1<sup>st</sup> day in CON ( $P < 0.01$ ) and RLE ( $P < 0.05$ ) groups.  
367 Moreover, it was higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) in CON group. The RLE lamb's meat showed higher ( $P < 0.05$ )  
368 cooking loss values at the 7<sup>th</sup> day compared to the day 1. The same parameter in CON meat showed  
369 higher values at 3 days ( $P < 0.05$ ) and 7 days ( $P < 0.01$ ) compared to day 1. The CON group showed  
370 higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) thawing loss values than RLE. The shear force values were characterized by a drop  
371 at 3 days aging ( $P < 0.01$ ) and then remained constant until 7 days aging in both groups ( $P < 0.01$ ),  
372 although higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) in CON group. Juiciness decreased during aging in both experimental  
373 groups, with higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) values in RLE animals compared to CON at the 7<sup>th</sup> day. Chewiness,  
374 that was always lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) in RLE meat, decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) in both groups during aging.

375 *3.3. Meat color, oxidative profile and enzymes*

376 Color and oxidative parameters of the meat are reported in Table 3. Lightness increased ( $P < 0.01$ )  
377 during aging in meat of both experimental groups, with higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) values in RLE meat.  
378 Differently, redness and yellowness decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) during aging time in meat from both the  
379 experimental groups. No differences at each ageing time between groups were observed for redness.  
380 Moreover,  $b^*$  values (yellowness) in the CON group at 3 d ( $P < 0.05$ ) and 7 d ( $P < 0.01$ ) were lower  
381 compared those from the RLE group. Hue and chroma showed decreasing ( $P < 0.01$ ) values during  
382 aging time.

383 The increase of TBARS and hydroperoxides concentration with increasing day aging was greater  
384 with control than RLE treatment; adding RLE did not change their concentration at day 1, but  
385 decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) at 3 and 7 d for TBARS and at 7 d for hydroperoxides. Protein carbonyls increased  
386 ( $P < 0.01$ ) in both groups, with higher values at 7 d compared to the first day, with no differences



387 between the experimental groups. The superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione peroxidase  
388 activities in the meat samples decreased ( $P < 0.01$ ) in both the groups during aging, but only  
389 superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase showed differences between groups: adding RLE  
390 they were higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) during the whole aging period.

391

### 392 *3.4. Fatty acid profile*

393 Fatty acid results are reported in table 4. Considering SFA, only myristic (C14:0), palmitic (C16:0)  
394 and stearic (C18:0) acids showed differences between groups, with lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) values in meat  
395 of animals supplemented with RLE. Aging time affected the concentration of the UFA C16:1,  
396 C20:5n-3 and C22:5n-3. The concentration of C16:1 after aging for 1 day was lower ( $P < 0.05$ ) than  
397 after 3 days in meat from lambs of the RLE group. Both C20:5n-3 of RLE meat and C22:5n-3 of  
398 CON group concentration were higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) after 3 and 7 days than after 1 day of aging.  
399 Moreover, C20:5n-3 concentration in RLE meat was higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) than CON meat after 1 day of  
400 aging, and C22:5n-3 concentration in RLE meat was higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) than CON meat after 3 and 7  
401 days of aging. Oleic acid (C18:1) and linoleic acid (C18:2n-6) were found at higher ( $P < 0.01$ )  
402 concentration in the meat from RLE lambs compared with meat from the CON lambs. Moreover, the  
403 RLE group was characterized by higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) concentration of C20:5n-3 at day 1 and of C22:5n-  
404 3 at days 3 and 7 compared to CON lambs.

405 Results about fatty acids groups and AI and TI are reported in Table 5. Aging affected total n-3 of  
406 both groups (Table 5), showing higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) values at day 1 than others in both the CON and  
407 REL groups. Moreover, total n-3 were even higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the RLE group. By contrast, the n-  
408 6/n-3 ratio in the CON group at the beginning of aging was lower than after 3 ( $P < 0.05$ ) and 7 ( $P <$   
409  $0.01$ ) days of aging. The total n-6 percentage in RLE meat was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than CON meat at  
410 all stages of aging. Meat obtained by RLE lambs was characterized by higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) MUFA,

411 PUFA and UFA concentrations and the lowest ( $P < 0.01$ ) SFA concentrations, SFA/PUFA and  
412 SFA/UFA ratio, AI and TI values.

413

### 414 *3.5. Plasma oxidative profile and antioxidant enzyme quantification*

415 Plasma TBARS (Table 6) did not show differences during the lambs' life in RLE group, instead they  
416 showed higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) values at 40 days compared to previous sampling times in the CON group.  
417 At this time the CON group was characterized by higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) plasma TBARS values than those  
418 in the RLE group. Plasma hydroperoxides increased during the growth of the lambs. The RLE lambs  
419 at 40 days of age showed higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) values than at the beginning of the experiment, whereas  
420 CON animals exhibited increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) production of plasma hydroperoxides at 20 days of age  
421 and this remained constant thereafter. Moreover, at 20 days of age, CON lambs had higher ( $P < 0.01$ )  
422 values than RLE lambs. By contrast, protein carbonyls in plasma didn't show any difference between  
423 groups and during the growth of the lambs. Plasma superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase  
424 increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) during growth, and at 20 and 40 days of age CON group showed lowest ( $P <$   
425  $0.01$ ) values of both enzymes. The plasma catalase activity showed higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) values at 40  
426 days of age compared to previous days in both groups, and at the same age lower ( $P < 0.01$ ) values  
427 in CON than RLE group.

428

### 429 *3.5. Kidney, hepatic and intestinal MDA, NO SOD, CAT and GSPx activity*

430 In Table 7 the lipid peroxidation results in the kidneys, liver and intestine are shown. MDA levels in  
431 all tissues did not increase in RLE animals with respect to the CON group. Moreover, there was no  
432 difference in NO production, or SOD activity in the kidneys at the end of treatment period between  
433 groups. The CAT and GSPx activity of all tissues did not change with RLE administration at the end  
434 of the treatment.

435

## 436 **4. Discussion**

### 437 *4.1. Growth performance, carcass traits, rheologic parameters and texture profile analysis,* 438 *colorimetric parameters and oxidative profile*

439 Fed addition with plant extract, particularly from agricultural waste, on both animal in vivo  
440 performance and meat characteristics is a debated topic, with **inconsistent** results. The absent dietary  
441 treatment effect of RLE on growth performance and carcass characteristics, carcass conformation,  
442 carcass indexes and ultimate pH we observed are consistent with other findings in small ruminants  
443 (Kotsampasi et al., 2017; Jabalbarez Hukerdi et al., 2019; Salami et al., 2019), although some others  
444 report positive effect (Yusuf et al., 2014). Differences are probably due to great variability of multiple  
445 factors as the composition of the extracts involved in the trial, the age at slaughter, and also the  
446 bioavailability of phenolic compounds in ruminants and monogastric. Although changes in rumen  
447 microbial flora can occur after antioxidant substances addition, it is not well known what can occur  
448 in lambs that are still functional monogastric. We can suppose that intestinal microbiome can  
449 influence absorption of different additive compounds, although we can't exclude in our trial that,  
450 during last days, rumen activity didn't partially start. Also meat chemical composition did not vary  
451 when lambs were supplemented with RLE. Similar results were observed after the addition of  
452 antioxidant substances in diet of lambs (Qin et al., 2020) (Quiñones et al., 2019) and beef (Maggiolino  
453 et al., 2020). Meat composition was similar to that reported previously in lambs slaughtered at a  
454 similar age (De Palo et al., 2018b).

455 Multiple conditions, both pre and post-mortem, can affect glycogen synthesis and glycogenolytic  
456 pathways in the muscle and consequently the meat acidity (Pastsart et al., 2013; De Palo et al., 2016).  
457 During aging, there is an expected decline of pH and it can influence meat quality. The pH variation  
458 is particularly linked to meat tenderness because it influences glycolysis (Hopkins et al., 2015) and  
459 consequently myofibril length (Ferguson and Gerrard, 2014). In the current study there was no

460 indication that pH change was impacted by the supplementation with RLE, although values are  
461 slightly higher than what usually reported in lamb meat, so the reason for meat from RLE  
462 supplemented lambs to be more tender than that from the CON lambs is not obvious. Changes are  
463 relatively low and unlikely to be detected by consumers, however future studies should examine the  
464 collagen characteristics of muscle from RLE supplemented lambs. Wood et al. (2008) suggested that  
465 oleic acid plays an important role in meat tenderness by affecting the melting point. An increase of  
466 oleic acid reduces the melting point, improving tenderness and juiciness in meat. Since our results  
467 reported that RLE meat is richer in oleic acid, this may explain why the shear force was lower, but  
468 this is speculative and requires clarification as does any impact of the lower cooking loss in the meat  
469 from RLE supplemented lambs.

470 Color plays a major role as a sensory property as meat freshness and wholesomeness affects  
471 consumer's perception, and any deviation can lead to discounting of meat (Maggiolino et al., 2020;  
472 Yang et al., 2020). In both dietary treatments lightness values increased, and redness and yellowness  
473 decreased. Luciano et al. (2009) observed similar trends in lightness and redness, but different trends  
474 for yellowness. Meat chemical composition represents the most important factor influencing lightness  
475 of fresh meat, in particular its water content and its intramuscular fat concentration and composition  
476 (Mancini and Hunt, 2005). During aging there is a breakdown of muscle fibers' with the passage of  
477 water from the intracellular to the extracellular region, consequently increasing lightness values (De  
478 Palo et al., 2013b). This can explain differences during aging time. Redness and yellowness variation  
479 during the aging period describes the meat color deterioration from red to brown and is linked to  
480 myoglobin concentration and redox status (Mancini and Hunt, 2005), but also to the fatty acid  
481 composition. Redness values are negatively correlated with the sensory degradation of color, while  
482 yellowness values are positively correlated to visual appreciation by consumers (Insausti et al., 2008;  
483 Luciano et al., 2009). Although there were the same decreasing trends for both parameters in both  
484 groups, yellowness decreased more in the RLE group after 7 days of aging. Similar results were

485 observed by Maggiolino et al. (2020) in beef meat after administration of natural polyphenols with  
486 antioxidant activity. Differently, Luciano et al. (2009) reported an increasing trend in yellowness  
487 values during aging, with lower values in meat of animals that assumed natural substances with  
488 antioxidant activity. Yellowness is strictly linked to meat oxidative stability, particularly to  
489 myoglobin stability and to lipid oxidation processes (Qin et al., 2020).

490 Meat is a product susceptible to oxidative rancidity, and lamb is more susceptible than other meats  
491 such as pork and chicken because of its high content of iron (Qin et al., 2020). Several studies aimed  
492 to use natural dietary antioxidants to improve meat quality by decreasing lipid peroxidation and  
493 improve antioxidative status in different species and observed inhibition of lipid oxidation through  
494 the lower production of its catabolites (Holman et al., 2019; Maggiolino et al., 2020). In this study,  
495 although production of lipid and protein oxidation catabolites increased in both groups due to aging  
496 time, we observed lower meat TBARS values and hydroperoxide production and lower TBARS  
497 plasma levels when RLE was added to diet. Considering a potential protective effect of dietary  
498 anthocyanins on lipid oxidation, and their consequent effect on colour stability, TBARS and  
499 hydroperoxides variations during aging detected the differing degree of lipid oxidation. Lipid  
500 oxidative stability is generally influenced by the degree of unsaturation of fatty acids (Luciano et al.,  
501 2009) and the increasing of degree of unsaturation of the muscle reduced its oxidative stability  
502 (Morrissey et al., 1998). It was not the case in this study, considering that although an increased total  
503 UFA degree, a major colour stability occurred, particularly of yellowness, probably due to the lipid  
504 oxidative stability. However, some fatty acids such as the oleic acid (C18:1), which is more present  
505 in meat obtained by lambs fed with RLE addition, and the conjugated linoleic acids (CLA -C18:2)  
506 may exert a protective effect on muscle oxidation (Du et al., 2000; Joo et al., 2002) not participating  
507 in oxidation processes and reduce the formation of fatty acid free radicals, which results in reduced  
508 lipid oxidation and major colour stability (Hur et al., 2004). Vasta and Luciano (2011) observed

509 similar enhanced oxidative stability in meat after consumption of plants secondary compounds with  
510 antioxidant activity in small ruminants.

511 Antioxidant defence in animals can be either raised *in vivo* (enzymatic) or derived from the diet (non-  
512 enzymatic) (Jabalbarezi Hukerdi et al., 2019). Generally, dietary consumption of high amounts of  
513 natural antioxidants results in the transfer of these molecules to animal tissues followed by a  
514 significant increase in total antioxidant capacity (Descalzo and Sancho, 2008), but also the antioxidant  
515 status of ruminants has been speculated to be influenced by dietary consumption of natural  
516 antioxidants, with increasing effect (Jiang et al., 2015; Ognik et al., 2015). Enzymes with antioxidant  
517 activities were more active in plasma and muscle tissue due to RLE supplementation, although no  
518 differences were observed in liver and kidney tissues. Glutathione peroxidase and superoxide  
519 dismutase showed the highest concentration in the meat and plasma of lambs fed with RLE. These  
520 results agree with previous reports, which showed increases in antioxidant bioactive substances in the  
521 feed of lambs and kids resulted in increased enzyme antioxidant activity in meat ( Zhao et al., 2018;  
522 Jabalbarezi Hukerdi et al., 2019) and plasma ( Emami et al., 2015; Ghavipanje et al., 2016). It is well  
523 known that meat oxidative stability depends on the balance between antioxidant and pro-oxidative  
524 components (Descalzo and Sancho, 2008) and mechanisms able to counteract oxidation, both  
525 endogenous and exogenous, can extend shelf life (Rant et al., 2019). Differences in enzymes, and  
526 thus in the oxidative status of both animals and meat, can explain differences in oxidative changes  
527 during aging between the experimental groups.

528

#### 529 *4.2. Fatty acid profile*

530 Several studies in recent years examined different agro-industrial by-products as additives in animal  
531 feeds because of the presence of secondary metabolites, characterized by antioxidant bioactive  
532 activity (poliphenols,  $\alpha$ -tocopherol, essential oils), so as to improve the fatty acid composition of  
533 ruminant meat (Lanza et al., 2015; Yagoubi et al., 2018; Quiñones et al., 2019; Maggiolino et al.,

534 2020). Lanza et al. (2015), studied lambs slaughtered at an older age than in the present study, and  
535 observed similar results, with a lower UFA concentration, a higher MUFA concentration and a lower  
536 SFA/PUFA ratio in the intramuscular fat of lambs fed a diet with the addition of natural substances  
537 with antioxidant activity. Wei et al. (2019) obtained similar results in kids, with higher oleic acid  
538 content and higher PUFA content. Differently, Salami et al. (2019) did not observe any difference in  
539 total MUFA, PUFA and SFA of meat from lambs treated with different levels of dietary antioxidant  
540 addition; rather they observed a lower content of stearic and *trans* forms of C18:1. The reduction of  
541 SFA concentration and the increasing concentration of UFA, particularly for n-3 and n-6, with the  
542 addition of RLE in the diet can be linked to a decreased risk of cardio-metabolic disease in humans  
543 (McAfee et al., 2010), resulting in lower TI and AI. This observation, in line with reports by other  
544 authors for meat from lamb's fed with natural antioxidant substances (Quiñones et al., 2019), is very  
545 important to produce healthier meat. TI and AI values in the current study were below the limits  
546 recognized for human health (respectively < 1.3 and < 1.0) (Ulbricht and Southgate, 1991; Costa et  
547 al., 2009). However, RLE addition was able to increase some UFA such as oleic one and reduce the  
548 SFA acids resulting in lower values of AI and TI, indices that are usually correlated with the  
549 possibility of onset of cardiovascular diseases in humans. As reported, the n-6/n-3 ratio in the current  
550 study was similar to that reported by others in lamb meat (De Palo et al., 2018b; Quiñones et al.,  
551 2019), and just above the threshold of 4 recommended for human health (Bas et al., 2007), although  
552 no effect of anthocyanin administration was observed.

553

## 554 **5. Conclusions**

555 The results indicate that RLE could be used as a feed supplement for improving and promoting health  
556 benefits and qualitative traits of lamb meat, also for improving the oxidative status of animals at the  
557 plasma level, but not in specific organs, not compromising animal growth. Aging is characterized by  
558 oxidative processes that can result in a short meat shelf life. The RLE supplementation enhanced

559 antioxidant activity and oxidative stability during the 7 aging days. reducing colour deterioration,  
560 delaying lipid oxidation and consequent rancidity, and improving the fatty acid profile resulting in a  
561 more attractive meat for consumers, in a possibly longer shelf life and in a healthier meat for human  
562 consumption.

563

## 564 **Acknowledgements**

565 The Authors are grateful to Dr's Giovanna Calzaretto and Francesco Giannico for their technical  
566 support. Dr. Bragaglio research is supported by a grant from the European Union and Italian Ministry  
567 of Education, University and Research in the program PON 2014–2020 Research and Innovation,  
568 framework Attraction and International Mobility-1839894, Activity 1.

569

570 **Funding:** This research was supported by grants of PSR Basilicata Region (Project ACCASATA),  
571 grant number E66C18000440002.

572

## 573 **6. References**

- 574 Acquaviva, R., Russo, A., Galvano, F., Galvano, G., Barcellona, M.L., Li Volti, G., Vanella, A., 2003.  
575 Cyanidin and cyanidin 3-O- $\beta$ -D-glucoside as DNA cleavage protectors and antioxidants. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.*  
576 19, 243-252.
- 577 Akerboom, T.P.M., Sies, H., 1981. [48] Assay of glutathione, glutathione disulfide, and glutathione  
578 mixed disulfides in biological samples, *Methods Enzymol.*, Academic Press, pp. 373-382.
- 579 Bas, P., Berthelot, V., Pottier, E., Normand, J., 2007. Effect of level of linseed on fatty acid composition  
580 of muscles and adipose tissues of lambs with emphasis on trans fatty acids. *Meat Sci.* 77, 678-688.
- 581 Bennato, F., Di Luca, A., Martino, C., Ianni, A., Marone, E., Grotta, L., Ramazzotti, S., Cichelli, A.,  
582 Martino, G., 2020. Influence of Grape Pomace Intake on Nutritional Value, Lipid Oxidation and Volatile  
583 Profile of Poultry Meat. 9, 508.
- 584 Buege, J.A., Aust, S.D., 1978. [30] Microsomal lipid peroxidation, In: Fleischer, S., Packer, L. (Eds.),  
585 *Methods Enzymol.*, Academic Press, pp. 302-310.
- 586 Ciarcia, R., d'Angelo, D., Pacilio, C., Pagnini, D., Galdiero, M., Fiorito, F., Damiano, S., Mattioli, E.,  
587 Lucchetti, C., Florio, S., Giordano, A., 2010. Dysregulated calcium homeostasis and oxidative stress in  
588 chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) cells. 224, 443-453.
- 589 Cimmino, R., Barone, C.M.A., Claps, S., Varricchio, E., Rufrano, D., Caroprese, M., Albenzio, M., De Palo,  
590 P., Campanile, G., Neglia, G., 2018. Effects of dietary supplementation with polyphenols on meat quality  
591 in Saanen goat kids. *BMC Veterinary Research* 14, 181.



592 Costa, R.G., Batista, A.S.M., Azevedo, P.S.d., Queiroga, R.d.C.R.d.E., Madruga, M.S., Araújo Filho, J.T.d.,  
593 2009. Lipid profile of lamb meat from different genotypes submitted to diets with different energy  
594 levels %J *Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia*. 38, 532-538.

595 Damiano, S., Lombardi, P., Salvi, E., Papale, M., Giordano, A., Amenta, M., Ballistreri, G., Fabroni, S.,  
596 Rapisarda, P., Capasso, G., Forte, I.M., Barone, D., Ciarcia, R., 2019. A red orange and lemon by-  
597 products extract rich in anthocyanins inhibits the progression of diabetic nephropathy. 234, 23268-  
598 23278.

599 de Abreu, K.S.F., Vêras, A.S.C., de Andrade Ferreira, M., Madruga, M.S., Maciel, M.I.S., Félix, S.C.R., de  
600 Melo Vasco, A.C.C., Urbano, S.A., 2019. Quality of meat from sheep fed diets containing spineless  
601 cactus (*Nopalea cochenillifera* Salm Dyck). *Meat Sci*. 148, 229-235.

602 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Albenzio, M., Casalino, E., Neglia, G., Centoducati, G., Tateo, A., 2018a.  
603 Survey of biochemical and oxidative profile in donkey foals suckled with one natural and one semi-  
604 artificial technique. *PLoS One* 13, e0198774.

605 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, N., Tateo, A., 2015. Effects of different milk replacers on  
606 carcass traits, meat quality, meat color and fatty acids profile of dairy goat kids. *Small Ruminant Res*.  
607 131, 6-11.

608 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, P., Calzaretto, G., Ceci, E., Tateo, A., 2018b. An assessment of  
609 sire-breed effects on carcass and meat quality traits of lambs at the ages of 40 and 100 days from  
610 Comisana ewes crossed with Suffolk or Bergamasca rams %J *Animal Production Science*. 58, 1794-  
611 1801.

612 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, P., Milella, P., Calzaretto, G., Tateo, A., 2016. Is meat quality  
613 from *Longissimus lumborum* samples correlated with other cuts in horse meat? *Anim. Sci. J.* 87, 428-  
614 438.

615 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, P., Tateo, A., 2012. Colour Changes in Meat of Foals as  
616 Affected by Slaughtering Age and Post-thawing Time. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 25, 1775-1779.

617 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, P., Tateo, A., 2013a. Effects of two different packaging  
618 materials on veal calf meat quality and shelf life1. *J. Anim. Sci.* 91, 2920-2930.

619 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Centoducati, P., Tateo, A., 2013b. Slaughtering age effect on carcass traits  
620 and meat quality of italian heavy draught horse foals. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 26, 1637-1643.

621 De Palo, P., Maggiolino, A., Tateo, A., Centoducati, P., 2014. Influence of Gas Mixture on Quality and  
622 Shelf Life of Veal Calf Meat. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 13, 3129.

623 Descalzo, A.M., Sancho, A.M., 2008. A review of natural antioxidants and their effects on oxidative  
624 status, odor and quality of fresh beef produced in Argentina. *Meat Sci*. 79, 423-436.

625 Dong, S., Li, H., Gasco, L., Xiong, Y., Guo, K.J., Zoccarato, I., 2015. Antioxidative activity of the  
626 polyphenols from the involucre of *Castanea mollissima* Blume and their mitigating effects on heat  
627 stress. *Poult. Sci.* 94, 1096-1104.

628 Du, M., Ahn, D.U., Nam, K.C., Sell, J.L., 2000. Influence of dietary conjugated linoleic acid on volatile  
629 profiles, color and lipid oxidation of irradiated raw chicken meat. *Meat Sci*. 56, 387-395.

630 Emami, A., Ganjkanlou, M., Fathi Nasri, M.H., Zali, A., Rashidi, L., 2015. Pomegranate seed pulp as a  
631 novel replacement of dietary cereal grains for kids. *Small Ruminant Res*. 123, 238-245.

632 Fabroni, S., Ballistreri, G., Amenta, M., Romeo, F.V., Rapisarda, P., 2016. Screening of the anthocyanin  
633 profile and in vitro pancreatic lipase inhibition by anthocyanin-containing extracts of fruits, vegetables,  
634 legumes and cereals. 96, 4713-4723.

635 Faure, P., Lafond, J.L., 1995. Measurement of plasma sulfhydryl and carbonyl groups as a possible  
636 indicator of protein oxidation, In: Favier, A.E., Cadet, J., Kalyanaraman, B., Fontecave, M., Pierre, J.L.  
637 (Eds.), *Analysis of Free Radicals in Biological Systems*, Birkhäuser Basel, Basel, pp. 237-248.

638 Ferguson, D.M., Gerrard, D.E., 2014. Regulation of post-mortem glycolysis in ruminant muscle %J  
639 *Animal Production Science*. 54, 464-481.

640 Ghavipanje, N., Nasri, M.H.F., Farhangfar, H., Modaresi, J., 2016. In situ, in vitro and in vivo nutritive  
641 value assessment of Barberry leaf as a roughage for goat feeding. *Small Ruminant Res*. 141, 94-98.

642 Gondim, F.J., Zoppi, C.C., dos Reis Silveira, L., Pereira-da-Silva, L., Vaz de Macedo, D., 2009. Possible  
643 Relationship Between Performance and Oxidative Stress in Endurance Horses. *Journal of Equine*  
644 *Veterinary Science* 29, 206-212.

645 Görgüç, A., Gençdağ, E., Yılmaz, F.M., 2020. Bioactive peptides derived from plant origin by-products:  
646 Biological activities and techno-functional utilizations in food developments – A review. *Food Res. Int.*  
647 136, 109504.

648 Han, X., Shen, T., Lou, H., 2007. Dietary Polyphenols and Their Biological Significance. 8, 950-988.

649 Holman, B.W.B., Baldi, G., Chauhan, S.S., Hopkins, D.L., Seymour, G.R., Dunshea, F.R., Collins, D.,  
650 Ponnampalam, E.N., 2019. Comparison of grain-based diet supplemented with synthetic vitamin E and  
651 lucerne hay-based diet on blood oxidative stress biomarkers and lamb meat quality. *Small Ruminant*  
652 *Res.* 177, 146-152.

653 Hopkins, D.L., Holman, B.W.B., van de Ven, R.J., 2015. Modelling lamb carcass pH and temperature  
654 decline parameters: Relationship to shear force and abattoir variation. *Meat Sci.* 100, 85-90.

655 Huang, D., 2018. Dietary Antioxidants and Health Promotion. 7, 9.

656 Hur, S.J., Ye, B.W., Lee, J.L., Ha, Y.L., Park, G.B., Joo, S.T., 2004. Effects of conjugated linoleic acid on  
657 color and lipid oxidation of beef patties during cold storage. *Meat Sci.* 66, 771-775.

658 Insausti, K., Beriain, M.J., Lizaso, G., Carr, T.R., Purroy, A., 2008. Multivariate study of different beef  
659 quality traits from local Spanish cattle breeds. *Animal* 2008 v.2 no.3, pp. 447-458.

660 Jabalbarezi Hukerdi, Y., Fathi Nasri, M.H., Rashidi, L., Ganjkanlou, M., Emami, A., 2019. Effects of  
661 dietary olive leaves on performance, carcass traits, meat stability and antioxidant status of fattening  
662 Mahabadi male kids. *Meat Sci.* 153, 2-8.

663 Jiang, H., Wang, Z., Ma, Y., Qu, Y., Lu, X., Luo, H., 2015. Effects of Dietary Lycopene Supplementation on  
664 Plasma Lipid Profile, Lipid Peroxidation and Antioxidant Defense System in Feedlot Bamei Lamb. *Asian-*  
665 *Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 28, 958-965.

666 Joo, S.T., Lee, J.L., Ha, Y.L., Park, G.B., 2002. Effects of dietary conjugated linoleic acid on fatty acid  
667 composition, lipid oxidation, color, and water-holding capacity of pork loin1. *J. Anim. Sci.* 80, 108-112.

668 Kotsampasi, B., Bampidis, V.A., Tsiaousi, A., Christodoulou, C., Petrotos, K., Amvrosiadis, I.,  
669 Fragioudakis, N., Christodoulou, V., 2017. Effects of dietary partly destoned exhausted olive cake  
670 supplementation on performance, carcass characteristics and meat quality of growing lambs. *Small*  
671 *Ruminant Res.* 156, 33-41.

672 Lanza, M., Scerra, M., Bognanno, M., Buccioni, A., Cilione, C., Biondi, L., Priolo, A., Luciano, G., 2015.  
673 Fatty acid metabolism in lambs fed citrus pulp1. *J. Anim. Sci.* 93, 3179-3188.

674 Luciano, G., Monahan, F.J., Vasta, V., Biondi, L., Lanza, M., Priolo, A., 2009. Dietary tannins improve  
675 lamb meat colour stability. *Meat Sci.* 81, 120-125.

676 Maggolino, A., Lorenzo, J.M., Quiñones, J., Latorre, M.A., Blando, F., Centoducati, G., Dahl, G.E., De  
677 Palo, P., 2019a. Effects of dietary supplementation with *Pinus taeda* hydrolyzed lignin on in vivo  
678 performances, in vitro nutrient apparent digestibility, and gas emission in beef steers. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
679 *Technol.* 255, 114217.

680 Maggolino, A., Lorenzo, J.M., Salzano, A., Faccia, M., Blando, F., Serrano, M.P., Latorre, M.A., Quiñones,  
681 J., De Palo, P., 2020. Effects of aging and dietary supplementation with polyphenols from *Pinus*  
682 *taeda* hydrolysed lignin on quality parameters, fatty acid profile and oxidative stability of beef %J  
683 *Animal Production Science.* 60, 713-724.

684 Maggolino, A., Pateiro, M., Serrano, M.P., Landete-Castillejos, T., Domínguez, R., García, A., Gallego, L.,  
685 De Palo, P., Lorenzo, J.M., 2019b. Carcass and meat quality characteristics from Iberian wild red deer  
686 (*Cervus elaphus*) hunted at different ages. 99, 1938-1945.

687 Mancini, R.A., Hunt, M.C., 2005. Current research in meat color. *Meat Sci.* 71, 100-121.

688 McAfee, A.J., McSorley, E.M., Cuskelly, G.J., Moss, B.W., Wallace, J.M.W., Bonham, M.P., Fearon, A.M.,  
689 2010. Red meat consumption: An overview of the risks and benefits. *Meat Sci.* 84, 1-13.

690 Morrissey, P.A., Sheehy, P.J.A., Galvin, K., Kerry, J.P., Buckley, D.J., 1998. Lipid stability in meat and  
691 meat products. *Meat Sci.* 49, S73-S86.

692 Ognik, K., Patkowski, K., Gruszecki, T., Kostro, K., 2015. Redox status in the blood of ewes in the  
693 perinatal period and during lactation %J *Bulletin of the Veterinary Institute in Pulawy.* 59, 557.

694 Ohkawa, H., Ohishi, N., Yagi, K., 1979. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid  
695 reaction. *Anal. Biochem.* 95, 351-358.

696 Pastsart, U., De Boever, M., Claeys, E., De Smet, S., 2013. Effect of muscle and post-mortem rate of pH  
697 and temperature fall on antioxidant enzyme activities in beef. *Meat Sci.* 93, 681-686.

698 Qin, X., Zhang, T., Cao, Y., Deng, B., Zhang, J., Zhao, J., 2020. Effects of dietary sea buckthorn pomace  
699 supplementation on skeletal muscle mass and meat quality in lambs. *Meat Sci.* 166, 108141.

700 Quiñones, J., Maggiolino, A., Bravo, S., Muñoz, E., Lorenzo, J.M., Cancino, D., Díaz, R., Saenz, C.,  
701 Sepúlveda, N., De Palo, P., 2019. Effect of canola oil on meat quality and fatty acid profile of Araucano  
702 creole lambs during fattening period. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 248, 20-26.

703 Rant, W., Radzik-Rant, A., Świątek, M., Niżnikowski, R., Szymańska, Ż., Bednarczyk, M., Orłowski, E.,  
704 Morales-Villavicencio, A., Ślęzak, M., 2019. The effect of aging and muscle type on the quality  
705 characteristics and lipid oxidation of lamb meat. *Arch Anim Breed* 62, 383-391.

706 Rouseff, R.L., Martin, S.F., Youtsey, C.O., 1987. Quantitative survey of narirutin, naringin, hesperidin,  
707 and neohesperidin in citrus. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 35, 1027-1030.

708 Salami, S.A., Valenti, B., O'Grady, M.N., Kerry, J.P., Mattioli, S., Licitra, G., Luciano, G., Priolo, A., 2019.  
709 Influence of dietary cardoon meal on growth performance and selected meat quality parameters of  
710 lambs, and the antioxidant potential of cardoon extract in ovine muscle homogenates. *Meat Sci.* 153,  
711 126-134.

712 SAS, I.I., 2011. SAS, In: RA, G. (Ed.), *The SAS system for Windows*, Cary, NC.

713 Shih, P.-H., Yeh, C.-T., Yen, G.-C., 2007. Anthocyanins Induce the Activation of Phase II Enzymes through  
714 the Antioxidant Response Element Pathway against Oxidative Stress-Induced Apoptosis. *J. Agric. Food*  
715 *Chem.* 55, 9427-9435.

716 Sinha, A.K., 1972. Colorimetric assay of catalase. *Anal. Biochem.* 47, 389-394.

717 Södergren, E., Nourooz-Zadeh, J., Berglund, L., Vessby, B., 1998. Re-evaluation of the ferrous oxidation  
718 in xylenol orange assay for the measurement of plasma lipid hydroperoxides. *J. Biochem. Biophys.*  
719 *Methods* 37, 137-146.

720 Sun, Y., Oberley, L.W., Li, Y., 1988. A simple method for clinical assay of superoxide dismutase. *Clin.*  
721 *Chem.* 34, 497-500.

722 Tateo, A., Maggiolino, A., Domínguez, R., Lorenzo, J.M., Dinardo, F.R., Ceci, E., Marino, R., Della Malva,  
723 A., Bragaglio, A., De Palo, P., 2020. Volatile Organic Compounds, Oxidative and Sensory Patterns of  
724 Vacuum Aged Foal Meat. 10, 1495.

725 Tokur, B., Korkmaz, K., 2007. The effects of an iron-catalyzed oxidation system on lipids and proteins of  
726 dark muscle fish. *Food Chem.* 104, 754-760.

727 Ulbricht, T.L.V., Southgate, D.A.T., 1991. Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors. *The Lancet* 338,  
728 985-992.

729 Vasta, V., Luciano, G., 2011. The effects of dietary consumption of plants secondary compounds on  
730 small ruminants' products quality. *Small Ruminant Res.* 101, 150-159.

731 Wei, J., Guo, W., Yang, X., Chen, F., Fan, Q., Wang, H., Zhang, N., Diao, Q., 2019. Effects of dietary ramie  
732 level on growth performance, serum biochemical indices, and meat quality of Boer goats. *Trop. Anim.*  
733 *Health Prod.* 51, 1935-1941.

734 Wood, J.D., Enser, M., Fisher, A.V., Nute, G.R., Sheard, P.R., Richardson, R.I., Hughes, S.I., Whittington,  
735 F.M., 2008. Fat deposition, fatty acid composition and meat quality: A review. *Meat Sci.* 78, 343-358.

736 Yagoubi, Y., Joy, M., Ripoll, G., Mahouachi, M., Bertolín, J.R., Atti, N., 2018. Rosemary distillation  
737 residues reduce lipid oxidation, increase alpha-tocopherol content and improve fatty acid profile of  
738 lamb meat. *Meat Sci.* 136, 23-29.

739 Yakan, A., Ates, C.T., Alasahan, S., Odabasioglu, F., Unal, N., Ozturk, O.H., Gungor, O.F., Ozbeyaz, C.,  
740 2016. Damascus kids' slaughter, carcass and meat quality traits in different production systems using  
741 antioxidant supplementation. *Small Ruminant Res.* 136, 43-53.

742 Yang, X., Luo, X., Zhang, Y., Hopkins, D.L., Liang, R., Dong, P., Zhu, L., 2020. Effects of microbiota  
743 dynamics on the color stability of chilled beef steaks stored in high oxygen and carbon monoxide  
744 packaging. *Food Res. Int.* 134, 109215.

745 Yusuf, A.L., Goh, Y.M., Samsudin, A.A., Alimon, A.R., Sazili, A.Q., 2014. Growth Performance, Carcass  
746 Characteristics and Meat Yield of Boer Goats Fed Diets Containing Leaves or Whole Parts of  
747 *Andrographis paniculata*. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* 27, 503-510.  
748 Zhao, J.X., Li, Q., Zhang, R.X., Liu, W.Z., Ren, Y.S., Zhang, C.X., Zhang, J.X., 2018. Effect of dietary grape  
749 pomace on growth performance, meat quality and antioxidant activity in ram lambs. *Anim. Feed Sci.*  
750 *Technol.* 236, 76-85.  
751

752

**TABLES**

753 **Table 1.** Fatty acid composition of alfalfa hay and commercial starter (expressed as % of total fatty  
 754 acid methyl ester)

755

|            | <b>Alfalfa hay</b> | <b>Commercial starter</b> |
|------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| C 8:0      | 8.1                | -                         |
| C 12:0     | 2.01               | 0.11                      |
| C 14:0     | 2.45               | 1.44                      |
| C 15:0     | -                  | 0.05                      |
| C 16:0     | 22.54              | 13.52                     |
| C 17:0     | -                  | 0.05                      |
| C 18:0     | 3.98               | 3.78                      |
| C 20:0     | 2.1                | 0.22                      |
| C 22:0     | 0.95               | 0.07                      |
| C 14:1     | -                  | 0.06                      |
| C 16:1     | -                  | 0.64                      |
| C 17:1     | -                  | 0.09                      |
| C 18:1     | 3.64               | 22.24                     |
| C 18:2 n-6 | 18.02              | 54.16                     |
| C 18:3 n-6 | 36.21              | -                         |
| C 18:3 n-3 | -                  | 4.25                      |
| SFA        | 42.13              | 19.24                     |
| MUFA       | 3.64               | 23.03                     |
| PUFA       | 54.23              | 58.41                     |

756 SFA: saturated fatty acids; MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acids; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acids

757

758 Table 2. Composition of orange and lemon extract (RLE) administered to lambs.

759

| Compound                               | [M] <sup>+</sup> (m/z) | MS <sup>n</sup> (m/z) | Anthocyanin                         | Relative composition (%) <sup>(a)</sup> |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1                                      | 611                    | 449/287               | cyanidin 3,5-diglucoside            | 1.29                                    |
| 2                                      | 465                    | 303                   | delphinidin 3-glucoside             | 2.67                                    |
| 3                                      | 611                    | 287                   | cyanidin 3-sophoroside              | 0.41                                    |
| 4                                      | 449                    | 287                   | cyanidin 3-glucoside                | 39.97                                   |
| 5                                      | 595                    | 287                   | cyanidin 3-rutinoside               | 1.30                                    |
| 6                                      | 479                    | 317                   | petunidin 3-glucoside               | 1.59                                    |
| 7                                      | 551                    | 465/303               | delphinidin 3-(6"-malonyl)glucoside | 1.43                                    |
| 8                                      | 463                    | 301                   | peonidin 3-glucoside                | 2.98                                    |
| 9                                      | 565                    | 479/317               | petunidin 3-(6"-malonyl)glucoside   | 1.45                                    |
| 10                                     | 535                    | 449/287               | cyanidin 3-(6"-malonyl)glucoside    | 21.76                                   |
| 11                                     | 593                    | 449/287               | cyanidin 3-(6"-malonyl)glucoside    | 5.70                                    |
| 12                                     | -                      | 271                   | pelargonidin derivative             | 1.44                                    |
| 13                                     | 549                    | 463/301               | peonidin 3-(6"-malonyl)glucoside    | 13.80                                   |
| 14                                     | -                      | 287                   | cyanidin derivative                 | 2.39                                    |
| 15                                     | -                      | 301                   | peonitin derivative                 | 1.82                                    |
| <b>Total anthocyanins (g CGE/100g)</b> |                        |                       |                                     | <b>2.66 ± 0.01</b>                      |

760 [M]<sup>+</sup> (m/z): mass peak; MS<sup>n</sup> (m/z): MS fragmentation model;

761 <sup>(a)</sup>Relative composition of anthocyanins calculated from peak areas recorded at 520 nm. The total  
762 anthocyanin content was expressed as mg of cyanidin 3-glucoside equivalents (CGE) 100 mL<sup>-1</sup> and  
763 mg CGE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> for the samples.

764

765 Table 3. Effect of including anthocyanins in the diet of lambs and of aging time on meat rheological parameters, texture profile analysis, meat  
766 colour, oxidative parameters and enzyme activity

|   | RLE                   |                       |                       | CON                   |                       |                       | SEM   | <i>P values</i> |        |            |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|------------|
|   | Day 1                 | Day 3                 | Day 7                 | Day 1                 | Day 3                 | Day 7                 |       | Diet            | Day    | Diet × Day |
| <b>Rheological parameters</b>           |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |       |                 |        |            |
| pH                                      | 6.91 <sup>A</sup>     | 6.87 <sup>A</sup>     | 6.59 <sup>B</sup>     | 6.92 <sup>A</sup>     | 6.84 <sup>A</sup>     | 6.58 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.021 | 0.40            | < 0.01 | 0.26       |
| Water holding capacity (%)              | 85.12 <sup>A</sup>    | 82.33                 | 80.06 <sup>B</sup>    | 85.02 <sup>a</sup>    | 82.96                 | 80.48 <sup>b</sup>    | 0.982 | 0.69            | < 0.01 | 0.92       |
| Cooking loss (%)                        | 37.80 <sup>a</sup>    | 39.31                 | 40.21 <sup>b</sup>    | 39.40 <sup>Aa</sup>   | 42.61 <sup>b</sup>    | 45.00 <sup>B</sup>    | 0.761 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.27       |
| Thawing loss (%)                        | 5.61 <sup>a</sup>     | 6.17                  | 7.25 <sup>b</sup>     | 6.42 <sup>A</sup>     | 7.19                  | 8.30 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.342 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.93       |
| Shear Force (N)                         | 22.40 <sup>A</sup>    | 18.89 <sup>B</sup>    | 17.42 <sup>B</sup>    | 25.26 <sup>A</sup>    | 21.98 <sup>B</sup>    | 19.99 <sup>B</sup>    | 0.501 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.87       |
| <b>Texture profile analysis</b>         |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |       |                 |        |            |
| Adhesiveness (-N × s)                   | 0.32                  | 0.33                  | 0.32                  | 0.34                  | 0.33                  | 0.32                  | 0.020 | 0.95            | 0.915  | 0.85       |
| Springiness (cm)                        | 0.85                  | 0.83                  | 0.83                  | 0.81                  | 0.81                  | 0.83                  | 0.032 | 0.34            | 0.510  | 0.55       |
| Cohesiveness (-)                        | 0.44                  | 0.43                  | 0.43                  | 0.43                  | 0.41                  | 0.41                  | 0.022 | 0.04            | 0.255  | 0.72       |
| Juiciness (-)                           | 0.57 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.49 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.46 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.56 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.47 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.39 <sup>C</sup>     | 0.021 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.07       |
| Chewiness (J × 10-2)                    | 4.26 <sup>A</sup>     | 3.77 <sup>B</sup>     | 3.38 <sup>B</sup>     | 4.76 <sup>A</sup>     | 4.42                  | 3.99 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.102 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.69       |
| <b>Meat colour</b>                      |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |       |                 |        |            |
| Lightness                               | 44.93 <sup>A</sup>    | 46.97 <sup>A</sup>    | 52.03 <sup>B</sup>    | 42.15 <sup>A</sup>    | 45.60 <sup>B</sup>    | 50.41 <sup>C</sup>    | 0.503 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.33       |
| Redness                                 | 11.36 <sup>A</sup>    | 10.36 <sup>A</sup>    | 8.91 <sup>B</sup>     | 12.06 <sup>A</sup>    | 11.04 <sup>AB</sup>   | 9.98 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.281 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.73       |
| Yellowness                              | 2.19 <sup>A</sup>     | 1.65 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.87 <sup>C</sup>     | 2.09 <sup>A</sup>     | 1.37 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.52 <sup>C</sup>     | 0.061 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.11       |
| Hue                                     | 0.19 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.16 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.10 <sup>C</sup>     | 0.17 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.12 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.05 <sup>C</sup>     | 0.012 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.06       |
| Chroma                                  | 67.29 <sup>A</sup>    | 55.49 <sup>A</sup>    | 40.75 <sup>B</sup>    | 75.48 <sup>Aa</sup>   | 63.02 <sup>b</sup>    | 51.92 <sup>B</sup>    | 2.992 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.81       |
| <b>Oxidative profile</b>                |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |       |                 |        |            |
| TBARS (mg MDA/kg of meat)               | 0.17 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.23 <sup>A, X</sup>  | 0.38 <sup>B, X</sup>  | 0.21 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.35 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 0.59 <sup>C, Y</sup>  | 0.031 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |
| Hydroperoxides (mmol/g of meat)         | 0.34 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.57 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.71 <sup>C, X</sup>  | 0.35 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.59 <sup>B</sup>     | 1.05 <sup>C, Y</sup>  | 0.031 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |
| Protein carbonyl (mmol DNPH/mg protein) | 3.18 <sup>A</sup>     | 3.57                  | 3.96 <sup>B</sup>     | 3.14 <sup>A</sup>     | 3.60                  | 4.03 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.102 | 0.81            | < 0.01 | 0.87       |
| <b>Enzyme activity</b>                  |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |       |                 |        |            |
| SOD (U/mg of proteins)                  | 23.20 <sup>A, X</sup> | 20.07 <sup>B, X</sup> | 15.67 <sup>C, X</sup> | 13.59 <sup>A, Y</sup> | 9.18 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 6.57 <sup>C, Y</sup>  | 0.302 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.009      |
| CAT (U/mg of proteins)                  | 3.57 <sup>A</sup>     | 3.08 <sup>B</sup>     | 2.35 <sup>C</sup>     | 3.51 <sup>A</sup>     | 2.99 <sup>B</sup>     | 2.31 <sup>C</sup>     | 0.041 | 0.03            | < 0.01 | 0.78       |
| GSPx (nmol NADPH ox/mg) protein         | 73.75 <sup>A, X</sup> | 62.12 <sup>B, X</sup> | 48.58 <sup>C, X</sup> | 46.14 <sup>A, Y</sup> | 36.89 <sup>B, Y</sup> | 30.39 <sup>C, Y</sup> | 0.393 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |

767 RLE: red orange and lemon extract group; CON: control group; SEM: standard error of the means; TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances;  
768 SOD: superoxide dismutase; CAT: catalase; GSPx: glutathione peroxidase

769 A, B, C =  $P < 0.01$ ; a, b =  $P < 0.05$  showed statistical differences among aging days within a group.  
770



771 Table 4. Effect of including anthocyanins in the diet of lambs and of aging time on the meat saturated and unsaturated fatty acid profile (expressed  
772 as % of total fatty acid methyl ester)

|            | RLE                  |                   |                   | CON               |                      |                      | SEM   | Diet   | <i>P values</i> |            |
|------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|--------|-----------------|------------|
|            | Day 1                | Day 3             | Day 7             | Day 1             | Day 3                | Day 7                |       |        | Day             | Diet × Day |
| C 8:0      | 0.44                 | 0.45              | 0.45              | 0.48              | 0.50                 | 0.50                 | 0.032 | 0.08   | 0.91            | 0.99       |
| C 10:0     | 0.57                 | 0.52              | 0.56              | 0.64              | 0.60                 | 0.63                 | 0.031 | < 0.01 | 0.09            | 0.98       |
| C 12:0     | 0.36                 | 0.40              | 0.36              | 0.49              | 0.46                 | 0.43                 | 0.031 | < 0.01 | 0.28            | 0.36       |
| C 14:0     | 3.79                 | 3.80              | 3.93              | 4.67              | 4.46                 | 4.41                 | 0.141 | < 0.01 | 0.74            | 0.33       |
| C 15:0     | 0.34                 | 0.39              | 0.40              | 0.41              | 0.48                 | 0.46                 | 0.021 | < 0.01 | 0.11            | 0.76       |
| C 16:0     | 20.12                | 19.86             | 19.77             | 21.51             | 22.08                | 21.69                | 0.282 | < 0.01 | 0.67            | 0.31       |
| C 17:0     | 1.96                 | 2.08              | 1.96              | 2.23              | 2.05                 | 2.07                 | 0.080 | 0.10   | 0.65            | 0.19       |
| C 18:0     | 12.07                | 12.32             | 12.13             | 13.38             | 12.75                | 13.16                | 0.201 | < 0.01 | 0.61            | 0.07       |
| C 20:0     | 1.38                 | 1.15              | 1.23              | 1.46              | 1.34                 | 1.25                 | 0.051 | 0.02   | < 0.01          | 0.19       |
| C 22:0     | 0.06                 | 0.07              | 0.06              | 0.07              | 0.08                 | 0.08                 | 0.012 | 0.006  | 0.42            | 0.84       |
| C 12:1     | 0.06                 | 0.04              | 0.04              | 0.04              | 0.05                 | 0.06                 | 0.010 | 0.47   | 0.41            | 0.20       |
| C 14:1     | 0.60                 | 0.53              | 0.52              | 0.54              | 0.51                 | 0.53                 | 0.022 | 0.19   | 0.04            | 0.19       |
| C 16:1     | 4.61 <sup>a</sup>    | 5.19 <sup>b</sup> | 4.99              | 5.05              | 5.26                 | 5.24                 | 0.141 | 0.03   | 0.01            | 0.39       |
| C 17:1     | 1.69                 | 1.54              | 1.64              | 1.52              | 1.44                 | 1.54                 | 0.051 | 0.006  | 0.07            | 0.73       |
| C 18:1     | 40.10                | 40.06             | 40.23             | 36.87             | 37.20                | 37.15                | 0.312 | < 0.01 | 0.79            | 0.84       |
| C 18:2 n-6 | 8.91                 | 8.94              | 9.01              | 7.97              | 8.28                 | 8.41                 | 0.153 | < 0.01 | 0.22            | 0.52       |
| C 18:3 n-6 | 0.09                 | 0.08              | 0.10              | 0.08              | 0.09                 | 0.09                 | 0.012 | 0.52   | 0.24            | 0.009      |
| C 18:3 n-3 | 0.77                 | 0.73              | 0.75              | 0.73              | 0.70                 | 0.71                 | 0.022 | 0.04   | 0.32            | 0.95       |
| C 20:1     | 0.05                 | 0.03              | 0.04              | 0.03              | 0.04                 | 0.03                 | 0.011 | 0.14   | 0.12            | 0.23       |
| C 20:2 n-6 | 0.03                 | 0.01              | 0.01              | 0.04              | 0.04                 | 0.04                 | 0.011 | 0.65   | 0.61            | 0.06       |
| C 20:4 n-6 | 0.54                 | 0.49              | 0.49              | 0.50              | 0.47                 | 0.48                 | 0.021 | 0.12   | 0.08            | 0.85       |
| C 20:5 n-3 | 0.48 <sup>A, X</sup> | 0.41 <sup>B</sup> | 0.40 <sup>B</sup> | 0.37 <sup>Y</sup> | 0.38                 | 0.39                 | 0.021 | < 0.01 | 0.02            | 0.001      |
| C 22:1     | 0.04                 | 0.03              | 0.04              | 0.04              | 0.04                 | 0.03                 | 0.012 | 0.22   | 0.06            | 0.10       |
| C 22:5 n-3 | 0.65                 | 0.62 <sup>X</sup> | 0.61 <sup>X</sup> | 0.63 <sup>A</sup> | 0.49 <sup>B, Y</sup> | 0.42 <sup>B, Y</sup> | 0.022 | < 0.01 | < 0.01          | < 0.01     |
| C 22:6 n-3 | 0.26                 | 0.23              | 0.23              | 0.21              | 0.23                 | 0.23                 | 0.021 | 0.29   | 0.88            | 0.10       |

773 RLE: red orange and lemon extract group; CON: control group; SEM: standard error of the means.

774 A, B =  $P < 0.01$ ; a, b =  $P < 0.05$  showed statistical differences among aging days within a group.

775 X, Y =  $P < 0.01$ ; x, y =  $P < 0.05$  showed statistical differences between groups at the same aging day.

776 Table 5. Effect of including anthocyanins in the diet and of aging time on n-6, n-3, n-6/n-3, total saturated fatty acids, total monounsaturated fatty  
 777 acids, total polyunsaturated fatty acids, saturated/polyunsaturated ratio, atherogenic index and thrombogenic index of lamb meat.

|          | RLE                |                   |                   | CON                |                   |                   | SEM   | <i>P values</i> |        |            |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|------------|
|          | Day 1              | Day 3             | Day 7             | Day 1              | Day 3             | Day 7             |       | Diet            | Day    | Diet × Day |
| n-6      | 9.56               | 9.54              | 9.64              | 8.60               | 8.87              | 9.00              | 0.151 | < 0.001         | 0.30   | 0.69       |
| n-3      | 2.16 <sup>Aa</sup> | 1.99 <sup>b</sup> | 1.98 <sup>B</sup> | 1.95 <sup>A</sup>  | 1.79 <sup>B</sup> | 1.75 <sup>B</sup> | 0.041 | < 0.01          | 0.34   | 0.96       |
| n-6/n-3  | 4.47               | 4.81              | 4.88              | 4.44 <sup>Aa</sup> | 4.97 <sup>b</sup> | 5.18 <sup>B</sup> | 0.112 | < 0.01          | 0.86   | 0.51       |
| SFA      | 41.13              | 41.03             | 40.87             | 45.36              | 44.80             | 44.67             | 0.312 | < 0.01          | 0.33   | 0.69       |
| MUFA     | 47.14              | 47.43             | 47.50             | 44.09              | 44.53             | 44.58             | 0.331 | < 0.01          | 0.29   | 0.48       |
| PUFA     | 11.73              | 11.54             | 11.62             | 10.54              | 10.67             | 10.75             | 0.162 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.84       |
| UFA      | 58.87              | 58.96             | 59.12             | 54.63              | 55.20             | 55.33             | 0.311 | 0.12            | < 0.01 | 0.34       |
| SFA/PUFA | 3.54               | 3.57              | 3.53              | 4.33               | 4.21              | 4.17              | 0.072 | < 0.01          | 0.43   | 0.50       |
| SFA/UFA  | 0.70               | 0.70              | 0.69              | 0.83               | 0.81              | 0.81              | 0.011 | < 0.01          | 0.28   | 0.67       |
| AI       | 0.61               | 0.60              | 0.61              | 0.75               | 0.73              | 0.72              | 0.021 | < 0.01          | 0.52   | 0.54       |
| TI       | 0.92               | 0.92              | 0.91              | 1.08               | 1.06              | 1.06              | 0.022 | < 0.01          | 0.42   | 0.69       |

778 RLE: red orange and lemon extract group; CON: control group; SEM: standard error of the means; SFA: saturated fatty acids; MUFA:  
 779 monounsaturated fatty acids; UFA: unsaturated fatty acids; AI: atherogenic index; TI: thrombogenic index.  
 780 A, B =  $P < 0.01$ ; a, b =  $P < 0.05$  showed statistical differences among aging days within a group.  
 781

782 Table 6. Effect of including anthocyanins in the diet on plasma oxidative profile and antioxidant enzymes quantification during 40 days of life

|                         | RLE                |                       |                       | CON                |                       |                       | SEM   | <i>P values</i> |        |            |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|--------|------------|
|                         | Day 1              | Day 20                | Day 40                | Day 1              | Day 20                | Day 40                |       | Diet            | Day    | Diet × Day |
| TBARS (mg MDA/dl)       | 1.38               | 1.41                  | 1.42 <sup>X</sup>     | 1.34 <sup>A</sup>  | 1.30 <sup>A</sup>     | 1.66 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 0.052 | 0.40            | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |
| Hydroperoxides          | 5.55 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.12 <sup>X</sup>     | 6.26 <sup>b</sup>     | 5.64 <sup>A</sup>  | 7.00 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 6.55 <sup>B</sup>     | 0.701 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | 0.04       |
| Protein carbonyl        | 97.20              | 95.91                 | 97.89                 | 102.10             | 101.21                | 102.35                | 2.891 | < 0.01          | 0.29   | 0.64       |
| SOD (U/mg of proteins)  | 15.09 <sup>A</sup> | 49.93 <sup>B, X</sup> | 71.22 <sup>C, X</sup> | 15.06 <sup>A</sup> | 15.40 <sup>A, Y</sup> | 24.11 <sup>B, Y</sup> | 0.222 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |
| CAT (U/mg of proteins)  | 0.52 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.54 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.78 <sup>B, X</sup>  | 0.54 <sup>A</sup>  | 0.56 <sup>A</sup>     | 0.60 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 0.010 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |
| GSPx (nmol NADPH ox/mg) | 6.57 <sup>A</sup>  | 8.35 <sup>B, X</sup>  | 11.74 <sup>C, X</sup> | 6.29 <sup>A</sup>  | 7.76 <sup>B, Y</sup>  | 6.69 <sup>C, Y</sup>  | 0.081 | < 0.01          | < 0.01 | < 0.01     |

783 RLE: red orange and lemon extract group; CON: control group; SEM: standard error of the means; TBARS: Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances;

784 SOD: superoxide dismutase; CAT: catalase; GSPx: glutathione peroxidase.

785 A, B =  $P < 0.01$ ; a, b =  $P < 0.05$  showed statistical differences among aging days within a group.

786 X, Y =  $P < 0.01$  showed statistical differences between groups at the same aging day.

787 Table 7. Effects of RLE on lipid peroxidation measured by malondialdehyde (MDA) test, nitric oxide  
 788 (NO), SOD, CAT and GSPx activities in renal, liver and intestine at the end of treatment.  
 789

|                               | <b>RLE</b> | <b>CON</b> | <b>SEM<sup>1</sup></b> | <b>P values</b> |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                               | Kidney     |            |                        |                 |
| MDA (nanomoles/mg of protein) | 2.26       | 2.13       | 0.172                  | 0.41            |
| Nitric Oxide (pmole/mg)       | 20.43      | 18.20      | 0.581                  | 0.36            |
| SOD (U/mg)                    | 156.80     | 165.89     | 4.712                  | 0.25            |
| CAT (U/mg)                    | 117.30     | 116.67     | 2.393                  | 0.77            |
| GSPx (U/mg)                   | 43.27      | 43.89      | 1.931                  | 0.87            |
|                               | Liver      |            |                        |                 |
| MDA (nanomoles/mg of protein) | 2.19       | 2.12       | 0.192                  | 0.43            |
| Nitric Oxide (pmole/mg)       | 21.78      | 22.09      | 0.292                  | 0.89            |
| SOD (U/mg)                    | 155.25     | 149.78     | 5.471                  | 0.36            |
| CAT (U/mg)                    | 140.20     | 147.33     | 2.183                  | 0.36            |
| GSPx (U/mg)                   | 48.11      | 49.78      | 1.421                  | 0.89            |
|                               | Intestine  |            |                        |                 |
| MDA (nanomoles/mg of protein) | 2.41       | 2.42       | 0.222                  | 0.28            |
| Nitric Oxide (pmole/mg)       | 28.54      | 29.75      | 0.291                  | 0.73            |
| SOD (U/mg)                    | 140.96     | 142.89     | 3.712                  | 0.66            |
| CAT (U/mg)                    | 153.22     | 157.33     | 3.313                  | 0.58            |
| GSPx (U/mg)                   | 61.31      | 61.00      | 1.712                  | 0.91            |

790

791 RLE: red orange and lemon extract group; CON: control group; SEM: standard error of the means;  
 792 SOD: superoxide dismutase; CAT: catalase; GSPx: glutathione peroxidase.

793

794