1	Title: A novel bovine enteric calicivirus, Kırklareli virus, in calves with enteritis in Turkey
2	Running title: Identification of a novel bovine enteric calicivirus
3	
4	
5	Feray Alkan ^a , İlke Karayel ^a , Cristiana Catella ^b , Livia Bodnar ^b , Gianvito Lanave ^b , Krisztián
6	Bányai ^c , Barbara Di Martino ^d , Nicola Decaro ^b , Canio Buonavoglia ^{b,e*} , Vito Martella ^{b#} .
7	
8	Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey ^a ; University Aldo Moro of Bari, Bari, Italy ^b ; Institute for
9	Veterinary Medical Research, Centre for Agricultural Research, Budapest, Hungary ^c ; University of
10	Teramo, Teramo, Italy ^d , Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Puglia and Basilicata, Foggia,
11	Italy ^e
12	
13	
14	#Address correspondence to Vito Martella, vito.martella@uniba.it
15	*Present address: Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Puglia and Basilicata, Foggia, Italy
16	

17 Abstract (49)

A calicivirus was detected in neonatal calves with enteritis in Kırklareli, Thrace, Turkey. In the full-length genome, Kırklareli virus was more related (48% nucleotide identity) to bovine enteric caliciviruses (*Nebovirus* genus). The virus was also detected in a herd in Ankara, Central Anatolia, but not in other Turkish prefectures.

- 22
- 23 Keywords: calicivirus; bovine; enteritis; Turkey

24 Text (1080)

Caliciviruses (Family *Caliciviridae*) are important pathogens of humans and animals. Caliciviruses are non-enveloped small round viruses, with a single strand positive sense RNA genome of 7-8.5 kilobase (kb) in size, polyadenylated at the 3' end (1). The family includes the genera *Vesivirus, Norovirus, Sapovirus, Lagovirus* and *Nebovirus*. In recent years, novel, yet unclassified caliciviruses have been identified in mammalians, birds and fishes (2).

Caliciviruses of at least three distinct genera (*Nebovirus*, *Norovirus* and *Vesivirus*) have been detected in cattle. However, on the basis of either experimental infections or observational studies, only neboviruses and noroviruses have been associated with enteric replication and with enteric signs (*3*, *4*).

34 In early 2012, an outbreak of enteritis occurred in Kırklareli, Thrace, Turkey. The herd 35 consisted of 250 cows and 200 calves, with enteric disease affecting about 60% of the calves, 36 resulting in 30% mortality. A total of 17 faecal samples, collected from the affected calves, were 37 tested and found to be positive for either species A rotavirus or coronavirus. All animals also tested 38 positive for Cryptosporidium spp. Interestingly, upon electron microscopy observation, small round 39 viruses (SRVs) could be observed in 3 stool samples. Using RT-PCR with consensus primers (5) 40 and sequence analysis of a short (330 nt) fragment of the RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase, the 41 SRVs were characterized as caliciviruses, with limited homology (<65% nucleotide [nt] identity), to 42 the prototype strain Nebraska (Nebovirus genus) (6). By combining RT-PCRs with a primer 43 walking strategy, 5' and 3' RACE protocols and next generation sequencing, the complete genome 44 of the calicivirus, named Kırklareli virus, was determined (GenBank accession no. KT119483). The 45 genome was 7484 nt long, excluding the poly(A) tail, with a ribonucleoside composition of 20.4% A, 30.9% C, 27.5% G and 21.2% U. The 5' untranslated region (UTR) was 67 nt long, whilst the 3' 46 47 UTR was 80 nt long. Two ORFs, of 6581 nt (ORF1) and 657 nt (ORF2) in length, were mapped. 48 ORF1 encoded a large polyprotein of 2226 amino acids (aa) in length, containing the replicative 49 proteins and, at the 3' end, the 1629 nt-long (542 aa) capsid region, with a potential cleavage site,

50 EGD, between the non-structural proteins and the capsid protein. ORF2 encoded a 218 aa long 51 protein (Figure 1). Conserved amino acid motifs, characteristic of caliciviruses, including the 2C-52 like helicase-nucleoside triphosphatase DNA binding (GXXGXGKS/T), the ATP hydrolysis 53 (KXXXFXSXXXXS/TTN) motifs, the 3D pol (GLPSG and YGDD) motifs and the VP1 capsid (PPG) motifs were present in the ORF1 polyprotein. In the 3C-like protease of Kirklareli virus, 54 55 cytosine (C) replaced aspartic acid (D) in the GDDG motif, which is conserved in caliciviruses. By 56 sequence comparison of the full-length genome, the highest nt identity was to neboviruses (48%), 57 followed by lagoviruses (38%), whilst the lowest nt identity (25%) was to recoviruses and to 58 atlantic salmon caliciviruses. In the ORF1, the highest identity was (51% nt and 42% aa) to 59 neboviruses, while identity to other caliciviruses was lower than 39% nt and 23% aa. In the capsid precursor coding region of ORF1, Kırklareli virus displayed 44% nt identity (41% aa) to 60 61 neboviruses and less than 36% nt (21% aa) to other caliciviruses. In the ORF2, the highest identity 62 (39% nt and 27% aa) was to neboviruses (Table 1). Upon phylogenetic analysis, the virus clustered 63 closest to the *Nebovirus* taxon (Figure 2).

64 The genetic diversity between Kırklareli virus and other bovine caliciviruses (neboviruses) 65 appeared within the ranges observed amongst members of the same genus (7, 8) and therefore, 66 Kırklareli virus could represent an ancestor of the Nebovirus genus. Although neboviruses are 67 relatively highly conserved and segregate into two major clades, Nebraska- or Newbury-1-like, genetic heterogeneity due to recombination (9) or genetic drift (10) has also been reported. 68 69 However, it is interesting to highlight that the Kırklareli virus differed in its genome organization 70 from neboviruses. There was a 1-nt overlap between ORF1 and ORF2, whilst members of the 71 Nebovirus genus have a 1-nt interval between the two ORFs. ORF1 was 48 nt (16 aa) longer, whilst 72 ORF2 was 21 nt (7 aa) shorter. Also, the 5' UTR was shorter (67 vs 74/75 nt) and the 3' UTR was 73 longer (80 vs 67 nt) than in neboviruses (Figure 2).

Using specific primers designed for the capsid-coding region (primer CapFor
 CCACCATTATCACCAAATTGC and primer CapRev, CATAATCAGAATAGAAGGCGC),

faecal samples obtained from calves of the Kırklareli enteritis outbreak were re-screened, 76 77 identifying Kırklareli virus RNA in 5/17 (29.4%) calves. In addition, an archival collection of samples available in our laboratory was screened. This collection included an additional 33 calves 78 79 with enteritis, from 28 herds, located in 3 Turkish prefectures. Kırklareli virus RNA was only 80 detected in 1 additional herd in the Ankara region (Table 2). If this can be accounted for by 81 nucleotide polymorphisms in the primer binding sites or it reflects a limited/diverse geographical 82 distribution remains to be assessed. It is important, from this perspective, to underline that, although 83 being genetically related to neboviruses, oligonucleotides specific for neboviruses available in the 84 literature (11, 12) failed to recognize Kırklareli virus RNA in RT-PCR. Upon alignment with 85 Kırklareli virus genome, we observed several nucleotide mismatches in the primer binding regions 86 that likely prevented correct annealing...

Attempts were made to cultivate the virus in bovine cells lines, e.g. MDBK and PEB, but the virus failed to replicate *in vitro*, as observed by monitoring the onset of cytophatic effect in serial passages and virus replication by RT-PCR. Failure to propagate the virus *in vitro* was not unexpected, as neboviruses and other enteric caliciviruses are not cultivatable, with the exception of the porcine sapovirus strain Cowden (*13*) and of murine noroviruses (*14*).

92 Livestock constitutes a large part of agricultural production in Turkey and contributes to the 93 economic development of rural households, with several farmers relying on livestock for their 94 income. In this study, we identified a bovine calicivirus, distantly related to other bovine enteric caliciviruses, e.g. neboviruses, and with a distinctive genome organization. Experimental infection 95 96 with the nebovirus prototypes, strain Newbury-1 and Nebraska, causes anorexia, diarrhea, and 97 xylose malabsorption in gnotobiotic calves, with damage restricted to the anterior half of the small 98 intestine (4, 6, 15), suggesting that neboviruses are able to cause enteric disease in calves. If 99 Kırklareli virus also retains these pathogenic properties should be demonstrated by experimental 100 infections. In addition, information on the epidemiological features of Kırklareli-like caliciviruses

- 101 should be gathered in larger, structured epidemiological studies in order to assess the relevance of
- 102 this enteric virus in bovines.

107	1. Green KY. 2013. Caliciviridae: the noroviruses, p 583-609. In Knipe DM, Howley PM (ed),
108	Fields virology, 6th ed, Philadephia PA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

110	2. Clarke IN, Estes MK, Green KY, Hansman GS, Knowles NJ, Koopmans MK, Matson DO,
111	Meyers G, Neill JD, Radford A, Smith AW, Studdert MJ, Thiel H-J, Vinjé J. 2012.
112	Caliciviridae, p 977-986. In King AW, Adams MJ, Carstens EB, Lefkowitz EJ (ed), Virus
113	Taxonomy: Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, Oxford, UK,
114	Elsevier.
115	
116	3. Otto PH, Clarke IN, Lambden PR, Salim O, Reetz J, Liebler-Tenorio EM. 2011. Infection of
117	calves with bovine norovirus GIII.1 strain Jena virus: an experimental model to study the
118	pathogenesis of norovirus infection. J Virol 85(22):12013–12021.
119	
120	4. Hall GA, Bridger JC, Brooker BE, Parsons KR, Ormerod E. 1984. Lesions of gnotobiotic
121	calves experimentally infected with a calicivirus-like (Newbury) agent. Vet Pathol 21(2):208–215.
122	
123	5. Jiang X, Huang PW, Zhong WM, Farkas T, Cubitt DW, Matson DO. 1999. Design and
124	evaluation of a primer pair that detects both Norwalk- and Sapporo-like caliciviruses by RT-PCR. J
125	Virol Methods 83 (1-2):145–154.
126	
127	6. Smiley JR, Chang KO, Hayes J, Vinjé J, Saif LJ. 2002. Characterization of an
128	enteropathogenic bovine calicivirus representing a potentially new calicivirus genus. J Virol
129	76 (20):10089–10098.
130	

131 7. Pinto P, Wang Q, Chen N, Dubovi EJ, Daniels JB, Millward LM, Buonavoglia C, Martella

132	V, Saif LJ. 2012. Discovery and genomic characterization of noroviruses from a gastroenteritis
133	outbreak in domestic cats in the US. PloS One 7(2):e32739.

8. Oka T, Wang Q, Katayama K, Saif LJ. 2015. Comprehensive review of human sapoviruses.
Clin Microbiol Rev 28(1):32–53.

137

9. Di Martino B, Di Profio F, Martella V, Ceci C, Marsilio F. 2011. Evidence for recombination
in neboviruses. Vet Microbiol 153(3-4):367–372.

140

141 10. Kaplon J, Guenau E, Asdrubal P, Pothier P, Ambert-Balay K. 2011. Possible novel
142 nebovirus genotype in cattle, France. Emerg Infect Dis 17(6):1120–1123.

143

144 11. Smiley JR, Hoet AE, Tråvén M, Tsunemitsu H, Saif LJ. 2003. Reverse transcription-PCR
145 assays for detection of bovine enteric caliciviruses (BEC) and analysis of the genetic relationships
146 among BEC and human caliciviruses. J Clin Microbiol 41(7):3089–3099.

147

148 12. Park S-I, Jeong C, Park S-J, Kim H-H, Jeong Y-J, Hyun B-H, Chun YH, Kang MI, Cho

149 KO. 2008. Molecular detection and characterization of unclassified bovine enteric caliciviruses in
150 South Korea. Vet Microbiol 130(3-4):371–379.

151

13. Flynn WT, Saif LJ. 1988. Serial propagation of porcine enteric calicivirus-like virus in primary
porcine kidney cell cultures. J Clin Microbiol 26(2):206–212.

154

14. Karst SM, Wobus CE, Lay M, Davidson J, Virgin HW. 2003. STAT1-dependent innate
immunity to a Norwalk-like virus. Science 299(5612):1575–1578.

- 158 15. Bridger JC, Hall GA, Brown JF. 1984. Characterization of a calici-like virus (Newbury agent)
- 159 found in association with astrovirus in bovine diarrhea. Infect Immun **43**(1):133–138.

- **Table 1:** Sequence identities (% values) between Kirklareli virus and other caliciviruses in the
- 163 genome and in the RdRp and capsid region. Asterisks indicate candidate genera. Distance values
- 164 were calculated after alignment with ClustalW, without distance correction.

Genus	Genome	RdRp		Capsid	
	nt	nt	aa	nt	aa
Nebovirus	48	59	59	44	41
Lagovirus	38	46	38	36	21
Nacovirus*	32	43	36	33	20
-	30	42	36	32	21
Sapovirus	32	44	39	36	21
Vesivirus	32	44	37	34	21
Norovirus	28	39	34	29	15
Recovirus*	25	38	28	28	13
Valovirus*	27	37	28	31	15
Salmonid CV*	25	36	25	30	15
	Nebovirus Lagovirus Nacovirus* - Sapovirus Vesivirus Norovirus Recovirus* Valovirus*	ntNebovirus48Lagovirus38Lagovirus32-30Sapovirus32Vesivirus32Norovirus28Recovirus*25Valovirus*27	nt nt Nebovirus 48 59 Lagovirus 38 46 Nacovirus* 32 43 - 30 42 Sapovirus 32 44 Vesivirus 32 44 Norovirus 28 39 Recovirus* 25 38 Valovirus* 27 37	nt nt aa Nebovirus 48 59 59 Lagovirus 38 46 38 Nacovirus* 32 43 36 - 30 42 36 Sapovirus 32 44 39 Vesivirus 32 44 37 Norovirus* 28 39 34 Recovirus* 25 38 28 Valovirus* 27 37 28	nt nt aa nt Nebovirus 48 59 59 44 Lagovirus 38 46 38 36 Nacovirus* 32 43 36 33 - 30 42 36 32 Sapovirus 32 44 39 36 Vesivirus 32 44 39 36 Norovirus 32 44 39 36 Vesivirus 32 44 39 36 Norovirus 28 39 34 29 Recovirus* 25 38 28 28 Valovirus* 27 37 28 31

Table 2: screening for Kırklareli calicivirus in diarrheal calves in Turkey prefectures.

Prefecture	City	Positive herds	Positive samples
Thrace	Kırklareli	1/1 (100%)	5/17 (29.4%)
Anatolia	Ankara	1/5 (20.0%)	1/6 (16.6%)
Marmara	Bursa	0/17	0/21
Aegen	İzmir	0/6	0/6
	Total	2/29 (6.8%)	6/50 (12.0%)

168 Figure legends

169

170 Figure 1: genome comparison of Kırklareli virus with prototypes of the *Nebovirus* genus, strain

171 Nebraska (NB) (AY082891) and Newbury-1 (DQ013304). Arrows indicate the putative start codon

- 172 of the capsid region and the position of the ORF1/ORF2 junction. Arrows are also used to indicate
- the putative cleavage sites on the ORF1-encoded polyprotein.

175	Figure 2: phylogenetic tree based on the whole genome of representatives of the various
176	established and proposed calicivirus genera. The tree was generated using the Neighbor Joining
177	method, with the Jukes Cantor algorithm of distance correction, with bootstrapping over 1000
178	replicates. Abbreviations: Fe, feline; Ca, canine; Po, porcine; Le, lapine; Hu, human; Ch, chicken;
179	Go, goose; Tu, turkey; Si, simian; Mu, murine; ASC, Atlantic Salmon calicivirus. The scale bar
180	indicates the number of substitution per site.