DOI: 10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106333

Review

# Opportunities for expanding the use of wastewaters for irrigation of olives

Francisco Pedrero[a],*, S.R. Grattan[b], Alon Ben-Gal[c], Gaetano Alessandro Vivaldi[d]

[a] Department of Irrigation, CEBAS-CSIC, Campus Universitario de Espinardo, 30100, Murcia, Spain

[b] Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, University of California, Davis, 95616, USA

[c] Institute of Soil, Water and Environmental Sciences, Agricultural Research Organization, Gilat Research Center, M.P. Negev, 85280, Israel

[d] Dipartimento di Scienze Agro-Ambientali e Territoriali, Università Degli Studi Di BariAldo Moro, Via Amendola 165/A, 70126 Bari, Italy

**Abstract**

Olive trees are iconic to the Mediterranean landscape and in recent times, have expanded to other regions across the globe that share similar climatic conditions. Olive oil production benefits from irrigation, but with a changing climate and uncertainty in precipitation patterns, wastewaters will likely play a larger role supplementing irrigation water requirement. However, due to their relatively poor quality, wastewaters present challenges for sustained long-term use in olive production. Wastewaters include all e`luents from municipalities, agricultural drainage, animal production facilities, agricultural processing and industrial processes. This review focuses on potential opportunities and limitations of sustaining olive oil production in the Mediterranean region using wastewater of various sources. The primary challenges for using such wastewaters include concerns related to salinity, sodicity, metals and trace elements, nutrients, organics, and pathogens. Organics and plant nutrients in the e`luents are typically beneficial but depend on dosages. Many studies have shown that saline wastewaters have been successfully used to irrigate olives in Greece, Israel, Italy, Jordan and Tunisia. Still, olive varieties and rootstocks have di`erent

tolerances to salinity and could respond di`erently and oil quality may improve or be compromised. Salts and trace elements need to be monitored in plants and soil to make sure accumulation does not continue from year to year and that soil physical conditions are not a`ected. Some food industries generate e`luents with suitable characteristics for irrigation but one must balance the benefits (e.g. addition of nutrients), detriments (e.g. addition of salts or other limiting chemicals) and costs when determining the feasibility and practicality of reuse. Long-term accumulation of trace elements and metals will likely limit the feasibility of using industrial-originating e`luents without treatment processes that would remove the toxic constituents prior to reuse. Therefore, untreated wastewaters from the many industries have limited long-term potential for reuse at this time. Application of olive mill wastewater may be agronomically and economically beneficial, particularly as a local disposal solution, but there are concerns associated with high concentrations of polyphenols that may be phytotoxic and toxic to soil microbial populations. With regards to human safety, risk of contamination of table olives and olive oil is very low because irrigation methods deliver water below the canopy, fruits are not picked from the ground, processing itself eliminates pathogens and the irrigation season typically ends days or weeks before the harvest (depending on the climate condition). Finally, considering physiological, nutritional and intrinsic characteristics of this species, it is clear that olive trees are appropriate candidates for the reuse of recycled water as an irrigation source

## 1. Introduction

Olive trees are a cultural and historical icon in the Mediterranean landscape. The cultivation of olive trees dates back thousands of years to the early Bronze Age and olives are frequently mentioned in Greek mythology (Connor, 2005; Liphschitz et al., 1991; Loumou and Giourga, 2013). Today, orchards in Spain, Italy, Greece, and Tunisia produce the vast majority of the world's olive oil supply. With the in- creased popularity in the use of olive oil for cooking and consumption, the global demand for this healthy oil continues to grow. And with this increase in popularity, olive oil production has, in recent times, expanded far beyond the

Mediterranean region to California (UC Olive Center, 2018) and many countries in the southern hemisphere including Australia, Argentina, Peru, and Chile (Torres et al., 2017). While olive orchards have thrived for centuries on rain alone (Connor and Fereres, 2005), olive oil production has become more dependent on irrigation, which has shown to improve oil production while sustaining quality (Dag et al., 2014; Orgaz and Fereres, 2004). However, in the Mediterranean region, like other semi-arid climates, water is scarce and climate change is expected to exacerbate water scarcity by adding uncertainty to precipitation patterns, producing more frequent and severe droughts and reducing the overall rainfall in the region (IPPC, 2013). With the uncertainty and likely future reduction of precipitation in the Mediterranean area, supplemental water supplies become not only attractive but a necessary option for the region to sustain its historical importance in olive oil production. The likely source of the needed supplemental water for olive irrigation is recycled wastewater, much of which currently goes unused. Groundwater in the Mediterranean region is already over depleted, so a sustained increase in groundwater extraction from wells is unlikely, particularly in coastal areas experiencing salinization from seawater intrusion (Pedrero et al., 2018). There are many opportunities to utilize wastewaters from various sources such as treated wastewater from municipalities and wastewaters from agricultural production (e.g. olive mills, canneries, vineyards, food processing plants, drainage waters, etc.). Wastewaters, however, are typically poorer in quality than the water used to produce the waste. This poor-quality water can present challenges for sustained long-term use in olive production. Particularly problematic are industrial wastewaters from textile processing and oil production that have elevated heavy metal concentrations and/or organic fractions that pose health risks. This review will address the potential opportunities and limitations of sustaining olive oil production in the Mediterranean region using wastewater of various sources. The review is divided into sections with specific categories identifying sources and their qualities that can either limit or enhance utilization of the water.

## 2. Studies using recycled wastewater for irrigation

By definition, wastewaters are any waters that have been adversely a`ected in quality as a result of anthropogenic influence. Such waste- waters can come from agricultural,

84 municipal, and industrial activities. Many studies have been conducted over the years on the
85 reuse of various wastewaters for irrigation (Asano et al., 2007; Jiménez and Asano, 2008;
86 Pescod, 1992; Rhoades et al., 1992; Levy et al., 2011).

87

88 **2.1. Recycled (reclaimed) municipal wastewater**

89 The use of reclaimed municipal water (RMW) in agriculture is a growing practice in areas with
90 limited freshwater resources (Pedrero et al., 2010). Within the past decade, scientific articles
91 have been written that focus on the use of treated wastewater to irrigate various tree and
92 vine crops including grapefruits (Citrus paradisi) (Pereira et al., 2011), lemons (Citrus limon)
93 (Pedrero and Alarcon, 2009), mandarins (Citrus clementina) (Pedrero et al., 2013),
94 nectarines (Prunus persica) (Vivaldi et al., 2013, 2017; Pedrero et al., 2018), almond (Vivaldi
95 et al., 2019), avocados (Yalin et al., 2017) and grapes (Vitis vinifera) (Mendoza-Espinosa et
96 al., 2008; Weber et al., 2014). While some components of the wastewater raise concern
97 such as salts and specific, potentially toxic ions (i.e. Cl, Na and B), these studies among
98 others (Asano et al., 2007) have shown that recycled municipal wastewater, with proper
99 management, could be used successfully in agricultural production systems (Pedrero et al.,
100 2014, 2015; 2016; 2018, Romero- Trigueros et al., 2014, 2017a,b; Vivaldi et al., 2017). Unlike
101 most fruit and nut crops, olives are relatively tolerant to salinity (Grieve et al., 2006) so it is
102 not surprising that many studies have shown that saline wastewaters can be successfully
103 used to irrigate olives (Bedbabis et al., 2015; Erel et al., 2019; Romero-Trigueros et al., 2019).
104 In Greece, treated wastewater was applied for 2 or 3 years as investigators measured oil
105 production and quality, finding that polyphenol content increased and the percentage of
106 fatty acids consistently decreased with increasing soil salinity (ECe) (Bourazanis et al., 2016;
107 Petousi et al., 2015). These researchers also monitored the trees and soil for salt
108 accumulation, trace elements, heavy metals, and potential pathogens. Two di`erent
109 varieties ('Leccino' and 'Barnea') of olive trees were tested using reclaimed municipal
110 wastewater vs freshwater as irrigation sources in Israel. After four years, no e`ects on tree
111 growth, fruit yield, or oil productivity were found, nor were there any significant di`erences

in nutrient and mineral accumulation in leaves (Segal et al., 2011). After eight years of treatments, despite the benefits of added nutrients to olive trees, soil degradation became a concern in the plots irrigated with municipal wastewater due to a re- lative increase in sodium (Erel et al., 2019). In Italy, Palese et al. (2009) examined the e`ect of irrigation with treated wastewater on the microbiological composition and quality of both soil and olive fruit. In Jordan, Batarseh et al. (2011) and Ayoub et al. (2016) investigated the impact of reclaimed water use on soil properties and heavy metals and on the translocation of essential nutrients to olive leaves and fruit quality parameters. They found that irrigation with mildly saline wastewater (ECw 0.8–2.7 dS/m), did not a`ect fruit quality parameters. In Tunisia, field experiments were conducted to investigate the e`ects of treated wastewater on olive growth yield, oil quality and concentration of nutrients, trace elements and minerals on olive leaves and soils ('Chemlali' variety) (Bedbabis et al., 2009, 2010; Benincasa et al., 2012; Ben Rouina et al., 2011; Tekaya et al., 2016). These studies not only demonstrated that such wastewater could be used to irrigate olives, but that the use brought about plant nutritional benefits as well. The investigators, however, cautioned that: olive varieties have di`erent tolerances to salinity and could respond di`erently; oil quality may improve or be compromised; salts and trace elements need to be monitored in plants and soil to make sure accumulation does not continue from year to year and soil physical conditions are not a`ected; and that potential pathogens may need to be monitored to avoid health risks.

## 2.2. Agro-industry wastewater

Wastewater from agricultural-industries such as cotton, canning and food processing, dairies, distilleries, and meat processing, typically have medium to high potential for use for irrigation (Lens, 2002). The food industry comprises many di`erent types of sub-industries and is recognized by its high-water consumption per ton of product (Casani et al., 2005). Another relevant characteristic is the importance of maintaining clean and hygienic conditions during all processes (Liu and Haynes, 2011). Therefore, cleaning and sanitizing compounds are often found in their wastewater e`luents, some of which may a`ect the

reuse potential (Levy et al., 2011). Agricultural-industry wastewater is often seasonally available and characterized by high volumes and high organic contents (Cervantes et al., 2006). The food processing industry is the second-largest consumer of water worldwide, after agriculture (Hoekstra and Chapagain, 2007) and consequently generates large quantities of wastewater, presenting a large, often untapped, potential for wastewater reuse (Mohsen and Jaber, 2003). Against the common belief that wastewater from the agricultural industry is detrimental to the environment and therefore unsuitable for reuse, it has been shown that some food industries generate e`luents with suitable characteristics for irrigation (Hien et al., 1999; Oliveira et al., 2009; Libutti et al., 2018). Some examples of these industries are wastewaters from canneries, packing houses, cheese factories, olive mills and wineries. The composition and concentration of constituents such as nutrients, salts and organic matter can vary widely among food processing operations. For example, winery and olive mill e`luents typically contain considerably higher concentrations of K+ compared to municipal wastewater sources. Additionally, e`luents from all food processing operations contain considerable amounts of organics, particularly those from cheese factories that can cause nuisance due to high BOD if not pre-treated in holding basins. Fruit and vegetable canning industries generate wastewater with high potential for reuse, but sanitation practices during processing can a`ect e`luent quality. While cooling, heating and sterilization activities together with the processing of raw products generate e`luents with up to 60 mg/l N and 22 mg/l P, a substantial amount of salt can be added to the e`luent from cleaning and chemical peeling processes (CTC, 2008). For example, an ECw over 7 dS/m has been observed in some e`luents, requiring reverse osmosis to decrease salinity prior to reuse (CTC, 2008). Therefore, one must balance the benefits (e.g. addition of nutrients), detriments (e.g. addition of salts or other limiting chemicals), and costs when determining the feasibility and practicality of reuse.

### 2.3. Agricultural drainage waters

In many arid parts of the world such as Australia, the Middle East, the Soviet Union and the United States, large quantities of saline drainage waters exist that are often considered to have little value for irrigation. However, depending upon their availability and quality, such water may be suitable for irrigation, particularly of crops with higher salt tolerance (Grattan et al., 2014). Examples of successful use of agricultural drainage water include Australia, Egypt, India, Israel, Jordan, Mediterranean countries, Tunisia and the United States (Rhoades et al., 1992). Salinity, sodicity, specific ions, and trace elements all a`ect the water's suitability. But proper management is necessary to avoid salinization, accumulation of specific constituents in the soil, and maintenance of good soil structure (Oster and Grattan., 2002). The method of application can vary, such as blending sources of water to achieve a suitable quality, or by applying the wastewater over space (e.g. sequential reuse) or time (e.g. cyclic reuse) to allow for crops of various tolerances to salinity (Grattan et al., 2014). For more in- formation on drainage water reuse management practices and strategies see books and reviews by Grattan et al., 2014; Oster and Grattan, 2002; Rhoades et al., 1992; Tanji and Kielen, 2002; Wallender and Tanji, 2012.

## 2.4. Textile wastewaters

E`luents from the textile industry have also been explored as a potential supplemental sources of irrigation water. However, the presence of commonly used synthetic dyes can have dire consequences on the environment. Untreated e`luents can contain, among other constituents, naphthol, acetic acid, soaps, auxiliary chemicals and heavy metals such as Cu, As, Pb, Cd, Hg, Ni and Co which are toxic (Kant, 2012). Moreover, some e`luents, when applied to soil, can reduce in- filtration due to the presence of colloidal and oily material. Despite these concerns, investigators from Jordan found that textile wastewater was suitable for irrigation of olive despite small yet significant increases in leaf N, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn and Pb concentrations (Al-Absi, 2008). Nevertheless, long-term accumulation of trace elements and metals will likely limit the feasibility of using this e`luent without treatment

194 processes that would remove the toxic constituents prior to reuse. There- fore, wastewaters
195 from the textile industry seem to have limited long- term potential for reuse at this time.

196

197 2.5. Produced water from oil and gas fields

198 Petroleum and gas extraction processes produce a considerable amount of wastewater. This
199 'produced' water (PW) is typically very saline due to interaction with geologic hydrocarbons
200 during extraction. Treatment and disposal of this brine is costly for the oil industry and the
201 wastewater can cause environmental problems or damage neighboring agricultural fields.
202 While PW from shale gas, conventional natural gas, and conventional oil fields typically are
203 very poor in quality (i.e. with chloride concentration > 30,000 mg/L), about 25 % of PW,
204 particularly from coal bed methane operations, are less saline (i.e. < 5000 mg/L) (B. Alley et
205 al., 2011; Bethany Alley et al., 2011). Nevertheless, most of the PW contains metals and
206 metalloids that are unsuitable for irrigation, and the presence of certain organic
207 petrochemicals may also be a concern. Treatment, dilution, and reuse for irrigation have
208 been pro- posed as an option, despite the fact that PW contains salts, sodicity and
209 metalloids above levels considered suitable for irrigation (Echchelh et al., 2019). Such a
210 practice has operated in Bakersfield, California, an arid region where agriculture and oil
211 production co-exist, for the past 30 years (Waterboards of California, 2019). Although
212 verification of health concerns has yet to be verified, an expert panel is currently examining
213 the long-term suitability and feasibility of such reuse practice. Moreover, because the
214 salinity and sodicity of this water is often at or above seawater levels, one must consider
215 whether this water actually could increase the overall 'useable' water supply if it were
216 blended with fresh water (Grattan et al., 2014), or if extensive treatment could make this
217 water economically feasible (Echchelh et al., 2019).

218

219 **2.6. Olive mill wastewater**

Wastewaters from the olive industry have also been considered for irrigation. Murillo et al. (2000) investigated the feasibility of using wastewater from the table-olive industry to drip irrigate an olive orchard in Spain. The investigators tested two wastewaters (ECw 3.5–4.2 dS/m and SAR 12-56) and (ECw 4.3-6.0 dS/m and SAR 73-90) and found that they adversely a`ected the water relations and photosynthesis rates in trees compared to those irrigated with conventional water (ECw 1 dS/m), just two weeks after imposing the treatments. While the quality of the e`luent was variable, SAR values reached levels that a`ected soil structural stability. The investigators concluded that this type of wastewater was unsuitable for irrigation, even though there was no attempt by investigators to reduce the SAR of the e`luent via gypsum or other amendments. Others have looked at the use of olive mill wastewater (OMWW) for irrigation and have concluded that such waters present both opportunities and challenges (Al-Absi, 2009; Mechri et al., 2011; Bedbabis et al., 2010, 2014). The discharge of OMWW is a major environmental challenge that a`ects olive-oil producing countries (Paredes et al., 1999; Obied et al., 2005). For example, in the Mediterranean countries, more than 30 million m3 of OMWs are produced during the harvest and olive-press season (approximately 2–3 months in each olive-tree production area). Like urban wastewaters (Barbera et al., 2009), the use of OMWW (Roig et al., 2006) is potentially useful not only as a supple- mental source of water, but also as a source of organic matter, typically deficient in Mediterranian soils (Moraetis et al., 2011), and as bio-based pesticides against weeds, fungi and nematodes (Cayuela et al., 2008). Even though spreading OMW is potentially environmentally and eco- nomically beneficial, there are concerns associated with high concentrations of polyphenols that may be phytotoxic to crops and toxic to soil microbial populations (Barbera et al., 2013; Buchmann et al., 2015). Moreover, these waters can cause soil hydrophobicity (Peikert et al., 2015) and deteriorate soil structure due to high K (Levy et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the polyphenols, depending upon the environ- mental conditions, can be readily degraded, particularly if applied during the spring rather than late fall or winter (Barbera et al., 2013; Buchmann et al., 2015). In a study comparing di`erent rates of OMWW, investigators found that 100 m3/ha/year was optimal in terms of olive oil quality and composition, and that higher and lower rates were suboptimal (Brahim et al.,

2016). Nevertheless, some studies reported that spreading OMWWs at modest rates did not impact yield (Galoppini et al., 1992; Zipori et al., 2018), and did not cause phytotoxicity or deteriorate soil physical and hydraulic properties (Levy et al., 2018). However, at higher doses (> 800 m3 ha−1), phytotoxic e`ects occurred resulting in tree mortality the second year of application (Gio`ré et al., 2004) and the spreading of OMWW induced a shift in the soil fauna community (Kurtz et al., 2015). Due to the small volumes, seasonality and tendency to spread the OMWW on the surface between tree rows, the nutritional benefits (N, P, K) from its application, while recognized, are expected to be small in terms of overall orchard requirements (Steinmetz et al., 2015).

## 3. Feasibility of using wastewaters for irrigation

The feasibility and limitations of using any wastewater for irrigation depend not only on its abundance and availability but also on its overall quality. Some wastewaters are produced only at certain times of the year, such as wastewaters from agricultural production (i.e. olive oil wastewater, cannery wastewater, vineyard wastewater, and drainage waters). In contrast, others have a steady supply (i.e. treated municipal wastewater). In most cases, wastewater will only supplement existing water supplies and the disconnect between wastewater production and orchard demand will likely require water storage facilities. While the question of wastewater supply and availability are certainly important, the focus of this review is on the quality of the wastewater and how various water quality parameters could potentially a`ect the soil, the tree, and the oil produced. The quality of wastewater can limit the feasibility of its reuse for olive oil production. Some constituents in the water can be beneficial, such as nutrients and organics, while others can be detrimental, such as salts, sodicity, trace elements, and pathogens. The composition of the water, particularly the cation ratios, can a`ect soil aggregate stability, which in turn a`ects soil physical conditions and water infiltration. The major types of water quality constituents in relation to the type of wastewater are provided in Table 1 where their potential benefits or detriments for irrigation are rated as high, moderate, or low.

### 3.1. Salinity

The quality of the wastewater can have a profound impact on olive oil production. All irrigation water, particularly wastewaters, contains dissolved mineral salts, but the concentration and composition of the dissolved salts will vary depending upon the water source, and on treatment and storage methods. Too much salt can reduce tree growth and production, and particular constituents in the water (i.e. chloride, boron and sodium) can damage the tree via injury from specific ion toxicity, reduce flowering and a`ect fruit development (Grieve et al., 2006). Salt stress via osmotic e`ects and specific ion toxicities can collectively work together damaging the tree (Läuchli and Grattan, 2012). Investigators have found olive to be una`ected at soil salinities, measured as saturated paste extract electrical conductivity (ECe), of 3–6 dS/m (Aragüés et al., 2005), which is consistent with its moderately tolerant ranking. As time under exposure to salts increases, however, tolerance may decline due to progressive toxic levels of salts accumulated in leaves or woody tissues. For example, two years after planting and imposition of salt stress, the olive cultivar 'Arbequina' was rated as salt tolerant with a threshold (ECe) of 6.7 dS/m. A year later, the threshold decreased to 4.7 dS/m. By the fourth year of the study, Arbequina was rated as moderately salt-sensitive as the threshold declined to 3.0 dS/m (Aragüés et al., 2005). In a long-term field experiment (i.e. 8 years) on mature olives (cv 'Picual'), supplemental irrigation with salinity up to 10 dS/m (combined sodium and calcium chloride) did not adversely a`ect tree growth, fruit yield or fruit size but irrigation management practices (low amount of applied water) and winter leaching from rainfall maintained the upper 30 cm of soil low in salinity (Melgar et al., 2009). In a lysimeter study on young fruit-producing "Barnea" olive trees, Ben-Gal et al. (2017) controlled both irrigation water salinity and the leaching fraction. The resulting nine levels of root zone salinity pro- vided response functions with decreased yield beginning from the lowest salinity levels and no sign of threshold value, and no distinction in response due to cause of salinity. The response was well represented by a sigmoidal declining curve with 50 % yield reduction corresponding to ECe of 4.2 dS/m. The mechanisms for salinity tolerance/response were mainly credited to osmotic responses and restricted transport of toxic ions to aerial tissues, coming at a high cost actualized as

restricted root growth and increased root mortality (Ben-Gal et al., 2017; Soda et al., 2016). This comprehensive study suggests that olive is more sensitive to salinity that what has been reported in the literature. Similar observations have been found with pistachio grown in lysimeters (Ferguson et al., 2002) as opposed to those grown in field conditions suggesting that the extensive root systems of these trees (olives and pistachios) may be extracting water from pockets of low saline environments in the field giving them a false tolerance to salinity. Erel et al. (2019) recently demonstrated from a long-term study that, even though soil salinity was higher in treatments irrigated with RMW compared to freshwater, this did not lead to increased leaf Na or Cl concentrations or to reduced tree productivity. These results are likely influenced by the environmental conditions at the study site that included winter rainfall sufficient to leach the salts accumulated before each irrigation season. Even though classified as moderately tolerant (Grieve et al., 2006), high salinity has been demonstrated to be detrimental to olive tree health and production (Chartzoulakis, 2005; Ben-Gal et al., 2017). Much of olive's tolerance to salinity is dependent upon the rootstock and its ability to exclude Na and Cl from being transported to the scion where they can accumulate in leaves to injurious levels (Chartzoulakis, 2005; Soda et al., 2016). Since most olive trees are grown on their own roots, the level of tolerance is cultivar dependent. Cultivars that are more sensitive to salinity absorb substantial amounts of Na+ and Cl− from the soil solution and transport it to the shoot (Fig. 1). For example, cultivars 'Amphissis' and 'Agouromanaki' accumulate more Na+ in their leaves than do 'Kalamata' and 'Kerkiras' and are more sensitive to Na+ toxicity. Note also that while roots typically contain higher con- centrations than their corresponding leaves, the ratio of leaf Na/root Na can vary dramatically. In the study by Chartzoulakis (2005), the ratio varies from 30 to 98 %. In Israel, Barnea, a variety considered parti- cularly tolerant to salt, was found to have ten times more Na+ and 5 times more Cl− in roots compared to leaves after three years of salinity treatments (Ben-Gal et al., 2017; Soda et al., 2016). In other studies, investigators also found that salt tolerance di`erences in olive cultivars are related to salt transport restrictions and found that most to least tolerant cultivars were'Chemlali' > 'Chetoui' > 'Arbosana I43' > 'Koroneiki' > "Arbequina I18' (Kchaou et al., 2010) based on retentionof Na and Cl in the roots.

Table 1: Risk assessment table of di`erent types of waste waters for irrigation of olives.

| | Valuable nutrients | | | Salinity | | Phytotoxic elements | | | Other compounds |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TYPES OF WASTE WATERS** | **N** | **P** | **K** | **ECw** | **SAR** | **Cl** | **Na** | **B** | **Heavy metals and/ or organic components** |
| [1]Recycled municipal wastewater | | | | | | | | | |
| [2]Agro-industry wastewaster | | | | | | | | | |
| [3]Agricultural drainage waters | | | | | | | | | |
| [4]Textile wastewaters | | | | | | | | | |
| [5]Produced water from oil and gas fields | | | | | | | | | |
| [6]Olive mill wastewater | | | | | | | | | |

Low Moderate High

*Risk levels is site specific and may also change according with types of water treatments.

1- Palese et al., 2006; Bedbabis et al., 2009, 2010; Ben Rouina et al., 2011; Batarseh et al., 2011; Benincasa et al., 2012; Bedbabis et al., 2015; Petousi et al., 2015; Bourazanis et al., 2016; Ayoub et al., 2016; Tekaya et al., 2016; Erel et al., 2019; Romero-Trigueros et al., 2019.

2- Hien et al., 1999; Cervantes et al., 2006; CTC, 2008; Oliveira et al., 2009; Levy et al., 2011; Libutti et al., 2018.

3- Rhoades et al., 1992; Oster and Grattan., 2002; Tanji and Kielen, 2002; Wallender and Tanji, 2012; Grattan et al., 2014.

4- Al-Absi, 2008; Kant, 2012.

5- B. Alley et al., 2011; Bethany Alley et al., 2011; Grattan et al., 2014; Echchelh et al., 2019.

6- Murillo et al., 2000; Gio`ré et al., 2004; Roig et al., 2006; Al-Absi et al., 2009; Bedbabis et al., 2010, 2014; Mechri et al., 2011; Moraetis et al., 2011; Barbera et al., 2013; Buchmann et al., 2015; Brahim et al., 2016; Kurtz et al., 2015.

### 3.2. Boron

Boron can be found in wastewaters in places where B laden detergents are used or where the original water source contains B. Some groundwater is high in B and reverse osmosis desalination of seawater retains B at relatively high levels (Yermiyahu et al., 2007). Since B





Fig. 1. Concentration (mg/kg dry wt.) of Na in roots and leaves of 12 olive cultivars grown for 5 months in 200 mM NaCl (from Chartzoulakis, 2005) (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

becomes toxic to plants at relatively low levels, in Israel, where all wastewater is treated and used for irrigation, the use of B in detergents has been prohibited through legislation, and desalination plants pro- viding municipal water are required to use post-treatment methodologies to remove the B. Boron supplied with irrigation water absorbs strongly onto soil clay and organic component surfaces and is difficult to leach. Soils previously irrigated with high B water can provide toxic conditions for crops for many years, even after the

addition of B-laden irrigation water has ceased (Yermiyahu and Ben-Gal, 2017). While for olives B deficiency is more commonly handled in the literature than B toxicity, olives are understood to be "somewhat tolerant" to B (Benlloch et al., 1991). This tolerance level is in spite of, similar to salinity in general, large di`erences reported between cultivars. Olives irrigated with water containing B at concentrations as low as 0.5 mg/L have been found to have decreased photosynthesis, growth, and yield (Rostami et al., 2017; Chatzissavvidis and Therios, 2010).

### 3.3. Influence on oil quality

Olive trees are commonly irrigated with marginal or low-quality water in di`erent countries (Petousi et al., 2015). The irrigation water quality, especially salinity, a`ects both fruit and oil quality parameters. In order to understand which are the e`ects of brackish recycled water on oil quality, we have to understand first how saline water and the level of salinity in the soil (ECe) a`ect olive oil quality and then evaluate if and how more nutrients in the water could mitigate the e`ect of saline water. As suggested by Tietel et al., 2019, several quality parameters are consistently and significantly a`ected by soil salinity. In particular, polyphenol content increases and the percentage of 16:1 and 18:3 fatty acids and ratio of 18:2/18:3 consistently decreases with in- creasing exposure to salinity. Moreover, the amount of 16:1 and 18:3 fatty acids show high variability in response to brackish water irrigation (Romero-Trigueros et al., 2019; Tekaya et al., 2016; Bourazanis et al., 2016; Ben Ahmed et al., 2009; Ben Brahim et al., 2016; Stefanoudaki et al., 2009) probably because other compounds in the water mitigate or negate their e`ects (Tietel et al., 2019). The variability could be exacerbated if we consider that irrigation with saline wastewater (EC 4.6–6.5 dS m−1) has shown faster ripening and higher contents of chlorophyll, carotenes, oxidative stability and fatty acid composition than those irrigated with low salinity water (Bedbabis et al., 2010, 2014; Benincasa et al., 2011; Gharsallaoui et al., 2011). However, one study found the opposite where the carotene and polyphenol content decreased due to irrigation with saline wastewater (Benincasa et al., 2011). Investigators also found that the water content of olives

significantly increased while the fruit oil content was not influenced (Bedbabis et al., 2015). Still, others found that irrigation with mildly saline wastewater (EC 0.8–2.7 dS/m), did not a`ect fruit quality parameters (Ayoub et al., 2016; Palese et al., 2006). This was the case as well even after long-term (8 years) irrigation with relatively salty (1.7 ± 0.2 dS/m) water on the cultivars `Barnea´ and `Leccino´ (Basheer et al., 2019). Ahmed et al. (2009) compared field-grown olives trees irrigated with an EC of 1.2 and 7.5 dS/m. They found that major phenolic compounds (tyrosol, hydroxytyrosol, vanillic acid) and total phenol concentrations in virgin olive oil increased under saline irrigation as compared to those irrigated with non-saline water. Also, virgin olive oil from saline treated plots showed higher contents of oleic, linoleic, linolenic and heptadecanoic acids than those irrigated with fresh water. Nevertheless, oils from both treatments were classified as 'extra virgin' olive oil. Finally, the application of water stress as regulated deficit irrigation (RDI) and the combination of both stresses (saline reclaimed water and RDI) have been recently investigated (Romero-Trigueros et al., 2019). A 2-year experiment was conducted, and results indicate that that the application of saline reclaimed water significantly reduced oil yield (a 25 % reduction). The combined application with RDI increased acidity levels in olive paste as compared to that from full- irrigation treatments; however, it reduced oil extractability and yield. The finding about oil quality indicated that olive exposure to saline reclaimed water, regardless of the water amount, decreased oil quality mainly due to the reduction of oleic acid and the increase of C18:2/ C18:3 ratio and peroxides. On the contrary, the combination between saline reclaimed water and RDI improved the total polyphenols. Therefore, with appropriate management, saline reclaimed water and RDI strategies have considerable potential but long-term studies using these management techniques should be investigated to ensure that such a practice can sustain oil yields and quality. For all the reasons reported above, it is still unclear which is the driving force of brackish recycled water that influence oil quality. Is it osmotic stress from the salts or the e`ect of added minerals themselves or components accumulated by the trees as osmoregulators that a`ect the quality parameters?

425 **3.4. Impacts on soil physical conditions**

426 Sodicity has been described in di`erent ways (Jurinak and Suarez, 1990). The sodicity of soil
427 is characterized by the exchangeable sodium percentage (ESP), the relative amount of the
428 cation exchange capacity occupied by sodium. The sodicity of the water, on the other hand,
429 is a measure of the sodium adsorption ratio (SAR). SAR has been the standard for predicting
430 the potential permeability hazard an irrigation water of a given quality would have on soil
431 structure (Ayers and Westcot, 1985; US Salinity Laboratory Sta`, 1954). SAR is defined as

$$SAR = \frac{Na^{+}}{\sqrt{(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})}}$$

432

433 where the concentrations are molarities. The Ca2+ + Mg2+ con- centration would need to be
434 divided by 2 if units are expressed in meq/ L or mmolc/L SAR = Na+/$\sqrt{(Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+})/2}$
435 (Jiménez and Asano, 2008). The ESP and SAR are related to one another and for most
436 practical purposes are numerically equivalent in the range of 3–30 (US Salinity Laboratory
437 Sta`, 1954). At the soil surface, infiltration rates and soil structure can be adversely a`ected
438 by salinity and sodicity, particularly when irrigation with non-saline water or rain follows
439 irrigation with saline-sodic water. Water infiltration rates (Oster and Schroer, 1979) and the
440 soil hydraulic conductivity (McNeal and Coleman, 1966) decrease with decreasing soil
441 salinity and with increasing exchangeable Na, or sodicity. This occurs due to the
442 combination of clay swelling and instability of soil aggregates (Quirk, 1978). The EC vs SAR
443 relationship (Fig. 2) illustrates zones where combinations would likely cause a 'severe

reduction in infiltration', a 'slight to moderate reduction in infiltration', or 'no





Fig. 2. Relationship between the salinity (EC) and sodicity (SAR) of the wastewater and its potential e`ects on soil water infiltration (Adapted from Hanson et al., 2006).

reduction in infiltration" (Hanson et al., 2006). In reference to Fig. 2, if a wastewater with an ECw of 1.0 dS/m and SAR of 2 was used for irrigation, this water would likely pose little hazard to water infiltration. If, on the other hand, a wastewater with and ECw of 1.0 dS/m had an SAR of 20, it would likely pose an infiltration hazard. Physical and chemical properties, particularly of high clay soils, have been found to be degraded following long-term irrigation with RMW (Levy and Assouline, 2011; Assouline and Narkis., 2011; Assouline et al., 2016). This occurs when the ESP in RMW-irrigated soils is greater than the SAR of the soil solution (Assouline et al., 2016; Levy et al., 2014), due to lack of equilibrium between the SAR of the irrigation water, the SAR of the soil solution, and the soil ESP. An important component of RMW is its organic matter. Organic matter can be either a bonding or a dispersing agent,

depending on the level of the ESP, the specific chemical properties of the organic matter constituents, and the degree of mechanical disturbance of the soil (Churchman et al., 1993; Nelson and Oades., 1998; Tarchitzky et al., 1999). Potassium can be relatively and considerably high in many food industry wastewaters. For example, winery wastewater is rich in potassium (K+) and sodium (Na+) salts because of naturally occurring K+ in grapes and Na+ introduced in cleaning agents (Buelow et al., 2015). When applied via irrigation, dissolved K+ and Na+ can negatively a`ect soil chemistry and physical structure and can reduce hydraulic conductivity (Oster et al., 2016). Although K+ is less detrimental to soil structure than Na+ because of its smaller hydrated radius, researchers have recently found that wastewaters high in K+ can reduce the hydraulic conductivity in vermiculite and kaolinite rich soils (Buelow et al., 2015). Recent research has shown that while cations influence soil structural stability, monovalent (i.e. Na+ and K+) and divalent (i.e. Ca2+ and Mg2+) cations are not equal to one another (Rengasamy and Marchuk, 2011; Sposito et al., 2016). For example, K+ does not have the same dispersive power as Na+ and Mg2+ and does not have the same flocculation power as Ca2+. This is an important re-character- ization of the impact of water quality composition on soil physical conditions as wastewater compositions can vary quite drastically from one source to the next. It has been suggested that SAR be replaced with CROSSf (Cation Ratio of Soil-structural Stability) as a preferred para- meter to characterize aggregate stability (Rengasamy and Marchuk, 2011; Sposito et al., 2016). Using the di`erent flocculating powers for the various cations (Na+ = 1.0, K+ = 1.8, Mg2+ = 27 and Ca2+ = 45), coefficients could be applied to the SAR expression. For example, the flocculating power of K+ relative to Na+ was 1.0/1.8 = 0.56 and flocculating power of Mg2+ relative to Ca2+ was 27/45 = 0.60. These investigators concluded that this CROSSf expression was much better than SAR as a predictive measure of clay dispersion and flocculation. Rengasamy and Marchuk (2011) proposed the following relationship;

$$CROSSf = \frac{Na + 0.56K}{\sqrt{(Ca + 0.60Mg)}}$$

This CROSSf expression has since been refined by others to optimize the coefficients for practical application. Investigators have modified the coefficients for K+ and Mg2+ by equating CROSS as the weighted sum of SAR and PAR (potassium adsorption ratio). Their goal was to replace the SAR parameter with this new CROSSopt parameter, which was found to better predict soil stability and permeability over a wide range of wastewater compositions (Oster et. al., 2016; Smith et al., 2015; Sposito et al., 2016). While this expression is valuable regardless of water quality, it was introduced to provide more confidence in potential soil structural problems when using wastewaters that contained considerable quantities of K+ and Mg2+. The CROSSopt expression below is a modification of the SAR expression to include coefficients that were optimal using the soils tested by Smith et al. (2015).

$$CROSSopt = \frac{Na + 0.335K}{\sqrt{(Ca + 0.0758Mg)}}$$

Note that K+ is added to the numerator where it has about an additional 1/3 of the dispersive e`ects as Na+. Similarly, the flocculating power of Mg2+ is diminished by over an order of magnitude relative to Ca2+. The result is that CROSS > SAR and is therefore a more conservative predictor of the e`ect of wastewater irrigation water on soil structure and the subsequent impact on infiltration. Use of this CROSSopt to replace the SAR term in Fig. 2, will likely improve the prediction of infiltration hazard of wastewaters regardless of the water composition. Typical RMW used for irrigation in Israel has SAR > 4 (Levy et al., 2011). Eight years of deficit irrigation of olives with RMW with EC of~1.7 dS/m, Na of ~200 mg/L and SAR of ~5 (mmol/L)0.5 showed seasonal increase in soil EC during summer irrigation periods which was eliminated each year by winter rain leaching but steady increase in SAR resulting in high (14 %) ESP (Erel et al., 2019). Exchangeable sodium over 4% is understood to represent soils with sodic-type behavior and ESP of ~6 was suggested as the cause for diminished oxygen availability to roots in avocado grown in clay soil (Yalin et al., 2017)

following irrigation with RMW. In olives, ESP of 20 % has been suggested as a threshold for impaired tree function and development (Freeman and Carlson, 1994).

### 3.5. Nutrients in recycled wastewater

Technological developments in the late twentieth century focused on nutrient removal (N and P) to minimize eutrophication of receiving bodies of water and to reduce the overall environmental impacts of wastewaters. But by reusing wastewater for irrigation, dual benefits of waste disposal and fertilizer application are realized. Recycled wastewaters have varying degrees of nutrients that can be beneficial to olive production. Municipal wastewaters are particularly nutrient-rich. Indeed, concentrations of N, P, and K increased in olive leaves, fruits and roots irrigated with TMW (Bedbabis et al., 2010, 2014). And, according to Melgar et al. (2009), irrigation with TMW produced higher yields in olive trees than in those irrigated with conventional well water due to the nutrients (N, P and K) in the waste- water. In a study by Ashrafi et al. (2017), the investigators found that young olives in Iran benefited from irrigation with recycled water compared to those irrigated with non-wastewater due to the contribution of nutrients (primarily N) in the wastewater. In a study comparing irrigation of two olive varieties with wastewater to fertigated (nutritional elements provided via the drip irrigation system with the water) irrigation with fresh water, no di`erences were found in leaf N or K (provided by fertigation in freshwater treatments) while leaf P (not supplied with fertigation) was higher in wastewater irrigated trees. The di`erences in leaf P developed only after four years of treatments (Erel et al., 2019; Zipori et al., 2020). The combined higher N along with higher salinity, typical to irrigation water originating as recycled wastewater, presents a paradox between nutrient, especially nitrogen, management and salinity control. Increased salt build-up and consequential leaching led to significant losses of N from the root zone and contamination of deep soils with nitrates when recycled municipal wastewater was used for irrigation in Israel (Segal et al., 2011; Erel et al., 2019). In a study under Mediterranean conditions, Libutti and Monteleone (2017) pointed out that since soil salinity control is bound to increase nitrogen leaching, operational criteria

should optimize the volumes needed to reduce salinity and those necessary to protect groundwater from nitrate contamination. Typically, rootzone salinity increases throughout the season, whereas soil nitrogen decreases. Therefore, reclamation leaching (i.e. leaching at the end of the season), as opposed to maintenance leaching (i.e. applying a leaching fraction each irrigation), may be more e`ective at simultaneously controlling salinity and minimizing nitrate losses to the groundwater. Winery wastewater is typically characterized by low pH of 3–4, high turbidity, and high COD values up to 25 g/L (Petruccioli et al., 2002) but at the same time has high N (up to 142 mg/L), high P (concentrations are generally in the range of 3–188 mg/L) (Bustamante et al., 2005) and high K. Therefore, nutrient-rich water of this sort could supply trees with supplemental nutrients, thereby reducing the amount of additional fertilizers. Typically, researchers that observe beneficial responses of crops to wastewater irrigation as compared to conventional irrigation water note that the soil nutritional status is sub-optimal. However, this also implies that these nutrient-rich wastewaters can be beneficial and reduce the overall fertilizer requirements. One of the main characteristics of modern, intensively farmed olive orchards is that pressurized irrigation systems (e.g. drip) allow for a continuous and controlled application of nutrients (fertigation). However, the available knowledge regarding the physiological behavior of such orchards is rather scarce (Connor and Fereres, 2005), especially when taking into account the fundamental e`ects of nutrient avail- ability under fertigation compared to traditional dryland fertilization (Bar-Yosef, 1999). In a long-term study in a super-intensive olive orchard irrigated with recycled municipal wastewater without additional fertilization, no nutrient deficit or reduction in yield was found in comparison to trees irrigated with freshwater and standard fertilization (N and K). Furthermore, irrigation with recycled water without adjusting the fertilization regime led to a substantial increase in environmental contamination with N (Segal et al., 2011; Erel et al., 2018, 2019; Zipori et al., 2020). The relationships between olive mineral nutrition and two important physiological factors that influence olive tree productivity (i.e. flowering and fruit set), are complex and dependent on environmental factors such as water availability and winter chilling (Erel et al., 2013). Unfavorable environmental conditions (e.g. aridity and salinity) could additionally disrupt nutrient uptake

568 and adversely a`ect tree growth and reproduction (Bustan et al., 2013). When
569 circumstances are favorable for flower induction, mineral nutrition, especially of
570 macronutrients, of the tree is particularly important. Nitrogen tends to increase flowering
571 intensity but not flower quality. Fruit set, and thus fruit number per tree, is often impaired by
572 excess N (Erel et al., 2013; Haberman et al., 2019a). Unlike N, P nutrition works positively on
573 fruit bearing. Fruit set consistently increased linearly as leaf P increased (Erel et al., 2013,
574 2016). Finally, potassium nutrition has a relatively low impact on olive productivity (Erel et
575 al., 2013; Haberman et al., 2019b). Therefore, depending on the waste- water nutrient
576 content and composition, olive fruit set could be significantly impacted. Another important
577 factor to consider in regards to olive mineral nutrition is alternate bearing. Developing fruit is
578 a major sink for nutrients, and therefore extreme fruit loads are likely to disturb tree-scale
579 mineral balance (Bustan et al., 2013). It appears that olive has developed mechanisms to
580 regulate fruit load in accordance to P and N availability at the flowering stage. This way, the
581 tree will avoid severe depletion during the fruit growth stage, which is characterized by very
582 high nutrient demands (Bustan et al., 2011). It has been demonstrated that the risk of acute
583 mineral deficiency during the biennial (alternate) cycle is low. Nevertheless, alternate
584 bearing cycles are an important consideration to optimize N, P, and K application in olive in
585 order to achieve more efficient production, improve product quality, and minimize adverse
586 environmental consequences (Bustan et al., 2013). While calcium nutrition is not typically
587 cited as a beneficial nutrient in wastewaters, it can play a role in sodic or high SAR waters.
588 The role of Ca2+ in cell membrane integrity and maintaining nutrient ion selectivity is well
589 documented (Läuchli and Grattan, 2012). Moreover, calcium has been found to be important
590 by reducing Na + mobility in olive trees (Ben-Gal, 2011; Zipori et al., 2015). This implies that
591 gypsum applications could be beneficial when irrigating olives with sodic or saline-sodic
592 wastewaters and would help reduce Na+ transport to leaves or developing tissue. Gypsum
593 applications might have been e`ective at improving the suitability of table olive industry
594 e`luent, which was reported to have very high and variable SAR values, as re- ported by
595 Murillo et al. (2000) earlier in this review.

596

### 3.6. Trace elements and heavy metals

Trace elements and heavy metals can be problematic for the reuse of some wastewaters. Constituents such as As, Cd, Cu, Cr, Hg, Mo, Ni, Se, Pb and Zn can be soluble and biologically available for bio-concentration up the food chain. Typically, these constituents are introduced into e`luents from chemically intensive industrial processes such as textile production, print board manufacturing, metal plating, semiconductor factories, etc. Metalloids are also high in many untreated produce waters. If concentrations of these constituents are high, they should be avoided from reuse or treated prior to application to reduce their concentration. Ideally, pre-treatment of e`luents leaving the factories prior to arrival at the municipal treatment facilities may be a more e`ective means at reducing metal concentrations. One study investigated the impact of irrigation with heavy metals on olive production. Mufeed et al. (2011) investigated the impact of irrigation with treated municipal wastewater on soil and olive trees. They found that heavy metal uptake by the olive trees (leaves and fruits) was not always related to the corresponding concentration in the wastewater, suggesting selective absorption processes and probably di`erent adsorption strengths on soil surfaces. Generally, smaller quantities of heavy metals compared to essential elements accumulated in olive fruits and leaves. Higher levels of Fe, Mn, Ca, and Mg accumulated in olive fruits than in leaves. The general trend of heavy metal transfer from soil to tree was similar for both olive fruits and leaves (fruits: Cu > Zn > Mn > Fe > Ni > Pb > Cd, and leaves: Fe > Zn > Mn > Cu > Ni > Pb > Cd), suggesting a consistent preference of essential over non-essential metal transfer from soil to plant. However, there were some di`erences in the essential metals, with Fe preferentially accumulated in leaves while Cu preferentially accumulated in the fruit. While these were general observations, it is important to emphasize that absolute concentrations, soil type and redox conditions will a`ect metal availability and uptake and transport processes. Among heavy metals, Cd plays a major role; its presence is due to the growing use of sewage sludges and other wastes in agricultural land (Al-Absi and Mohawesh, 2009). Absorbed by plants and thereby entering the food chain (Crosby, 1977), Cd presents a severe risk to human health.

### 3.7. Organics

Municipal wastewater influent, before it becomes a suitable water source for agriculture, must undergo a series of treatment processes to reduce the amounts of components such as chemicals, organic matter (OM) and microorganisms below specific thresholds. In particular, OM is an important component represented by two indicators; total organic carbon (TOC) and degradable organics (i.e. chemical oxygen demand COD and biological oxygen demand BOD). Investigators consider organic matter an important component of treated wastewater because it enhances soil physical and chemical properties promoting favorable conditions and improving the growth of certain crops (Hargreaves et al., 2008; Mohammad and Mazahreh, 2003; Xu et al., 2010; Nagel et al., 2003; Speir et al., 2003; Bahri, 1987). There are some studies that found medium and long-term benefits due to OM in TMW on olive orchards. For example, Bedbabis et al., 2014 demonstrated an increase in OM after 5 and 10 years in soils irrigated with treated wastewater (COD 73.0 mg/L and BOD 22.0 mg/L) compared with well water (COD 0.0 mg/L and BOD 0.0 mg/L), making this e`luent not only an excellent source of carbon but an organic soil fertilizer as well. While organic matter is able to retain nutrients necessary for plant growth and release them over time as the material is oxidized, it can immobilize metals from the wastewater due to its high adsorptive capacity (García et al., 1995). This reduces their availability for plant uptake. However, high OM in the e`luent could increase the potential of emitter clogging (Keser and Buyuk, 2012; Xu et al., 2010) and stimulate re-growth of bacteria (Shatanawi, 1994). This suggests that low to moderate concentration of OM could be more useful for crops than high concentration. Petroleum organics may also be problematic in produce water. Some may be pre-existing in the petro oil-water fraction that is extracted, and others are introduced.

### 3.8. Pathogens/Treatment/Irrigation methods

Typically, wastewaters from municipalities and animal production facilities that are inadequately treated pose a great threat to human health. The wastewaters, depending upon their source and level of treatment, may contain various pathogens such as bacteria,

654 helminth eggs, fecal coliforms and viruses that could pose a health risk to hu- mans. Over
655 the last decade, a number of scientific studies focusing on the use of treated wastewater for
656 irrigation of tree crops were published. These studies included consideration of fruit safety
657 from a pathological point of view on crops such as mandarin (Citrus clementina) (Pedrero et
658 al., 2013, 2016), grapefruit (Citrus paradisi) (Pereira et al., 2011), lemon (Citrus limon)
659 (Pedrero and Alarcón, 2009), nectarine (Prunus persica) (Vivaldi et al., 2013, 2017), and
660 grapevines (Vitis vinifera) (Mendoza-Espinosa et al., 2008). Recently, it has been
661 demonstrated that agriculture requires careful monitoring of a range of hygiene parameters,
662 including the presence of potential human pathogenic bacteria (HPB) (Palese et al., 2009;
663 Becerra-Castro et al., 2015). For olive trees, an exhaustive evaluation of the possible
664 persistence of HPB, demonstrated that, excepting for two cases (Clostridium and
665 Enterococcus) that should be ascertained with more sampling throughout the year and in
666 di`erent years, fertigation with urban treated wastewater did not cause a substantial or
667 significant increase in the bacteria (Sofo et al., 2019). With regards to wastewater irrigation
668 of olive trees, three main factors are related to fruit safety. First, is whether the fruit is in
669 contact with the irrigation water. Second, is whether the pathogens can survive the oil
670 extraction processing method. And third, because the irrigation season typically ends days
671 or weeks before the harvest (depending on the climate condition), whether pathogens also
672 survive this period. Because olive trees are typically irrigated below the canopy, fruits are
673 seldom in contact with the wastewater. And, even if the olive fruit was contaminated with a
674 pathogen, it would have to endure the oil extraction process after the olive washing process
675 and be subjected to olive's natural antimicrobial properties. To extract oil, the olives are first
676 ground or milled to a paste that is slowly stirred (malaxation), making it easier to separate
677 the water and oil phases. The paste may be pressed by spreading onto fiber plates, stacking
678 the plates, and applying pressure to separate the liquid from the solid material. The resulting
679 cloudy olive oil may then be allowed to separate by gravity to give a clear product.
680 Alternatively, the paste may be centrifuged in a horizontal centrifugal separator, or decanter,
681 to separate the oil from the solid and aqueous phases. In many producer countries (e.g.
682 California), two-phase separation systems yielding an oil phase and wet solid phase are

most common (Flynn, 2011). Sometimes the oil is filtered to eliminate solid particles remaining in the oil. These variations in production methods produce oils with di`erent chemical and microbial characteristics. Moreover, olive oil has antimicrobial properties that have been recognized for many years. Medina et al. (2006) studied the antimicrobial activity of di`erent edible oils and found that oils from olive fruit had strong bactericidal action against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, also against foodborne pathogens on virgin olive oil and olive oil purchased from a retail market in Spain (Medina et al., 2007). Therefore, even if wastewaters used for irrigation have elevated pathogen contents, it is very unlikely that these pathogens would pose a health risk. This because 1) irrigation management would avoid fruit contamination, 2) the pathogens would have to endure the oil extraction process, and 3) the pathogens would have to survive the olive's natural antimicrobial defense mechanism. In regards to table olives, processing this fermented fruit involves brining/salting, fermentation, and/or acidification. The harsh environmental conditions found in the fermentation process (low pH, high salt content, presence of inhibitory compounds, sugar consumption, etc.), and the presence of other additional hurdles (production of bacteriocins, killer factors, addition of preservatives, etc.), make table olives an adverse habitat for the development of foodborne pathogens (Medina-Pradas and Arroyo- López, 2015). If such growth ultimately occurs, the presence of un- desirable microorganisms or their metabolites is often linked to the storage or selling conditions, not to the fermentation/production process (RASFF Portal, 2012). For all the above-mentioned reasons, this widespread Mediterranean fermented fruit can be considered a safe product if good hygiene and manufacturing practices are followed and appropriated levels of salt (> 5%) and pH (< 4.3) are obtained in the final products (Di Cagno et al., 2013; Heperkan, 2013).

### 3.G. Contaminants of emerging concern

The discussion regarding recycled wastewaters, water quality, and appropriateness for irrigation seems to be far from over. Components not previously detected, termed "contaminants of emerging concern" continue to appear in wastewater and e`luent, often

711 due to improved detection methodology (Vidal-Dorsch et al., 2012). Among the major
712 examples of emerging contaminants in municipal wastewaters are pharmaceuticals,
713 endocrine disrupting compounds and personal care products. Trace amounts of these are
714 being discovered in water, particularly wastewater. Their survival rates in the irrigation-soil-
715 crop system and possible harms of which are not yet apparent, but currently being widely
716 studied. Textile and oil produce water often contain metalloids while other, yet to be
717 investigated, processing or extracting chemicals may be present as well. The ultimate fate of
718 such chemicals in the soil-plant-groundwater system needs to be investigated. In summary,
719 emerging constituents will likely continue as wastewaters of various types and sources are
720 considered for irrigation.

721

722 **4. Conclusions**

723 Olive is the most important tree crop species cultivated in the Mediterranean region. Olives
724 have clear agronomic value but also play an essential role in cultural-landscape-historical
725 composition, environment protection, and economic development. Feasibility studies of re-
726 used wastewater as a source for irrigation of olive are site-specific, dependent on pedo-
727 climatic conditions and irrigation practices used in each specific geographical area. In the
728 long-term, irrigation of olive with RMW increases total production per hectare, most likely
729 due to the general positive e`ect of irrigation and on benefits from nutrients supplied with
730 the water. This even though irrigation with recycled wastewater introduces salts into the soil
731 which must eventually be leached and also in spite of the fact that nitrogen, credited for at
732 least some of the positive e`ects of the RMW, can have adverse e`ects on both olive
733 productivity and oil quality if given at excessive rates. The impact of recycled wastewater on
734 oil quality is not clear due to the impossibility to generalize the e`ects of specific
735 components, such as salts and nutrients, on the complex water-soil-plant system. From a
736 microbiological point of view, the contamination risks, not only for fruits but also for farmers,
737 are very low due to traditional harvesting practices, irrigation methods that do not wet the
738 fruit, and an elaborate oil processing system to extract the oil. Finally, wastewater represents

a strategic resource not only from a quantitative point of view for water supply but also due to its being a diluted fertilizer solution that could positively influence the production stability from the perspective of a circular economy. Other recycled water sources, including OMWW and industrial wastes, must be evaluated individually in order to consider contributions of heavy metals, phytotoxic compounds, or other contaminants restrictive to plant growth or human health. Moreover, to find an e`ective strategy that could spread and expand wastewater as an alternative water source, it is essential to use monitoring systems for nutrients microorganisms and toxic compounds, which would considerably reduce the risk of nutritional imbalances and therefore a production deterioration.

## Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank EU and Water JPI for funding, in the frame of the collaborative international Consortium DESERT, financed under the ERA-NET WaterWorks 2014 Cofunded Call. This ERA- NET is an integral part of the 2015 Joint Activities developed by the Water Challenges for a Changing World Joint Programme Initiative (Water JPI). The research project 'Use of Advanced information technologies for Site-Specific management of Irrigation and SaliniTy with degraded water' (ASSIST) funded by SENECA Foundation on the Regional Program "SAAVEDRA FAJARDO". The Project SHui which is co-funded by the European Union Project GA 773903 and the Chinese MOST.

765 **References**

766 Ahmed, C.B., Rouina, B.B., Sensoy, S., Boukhriss, M., 2009. Saline water irrigation e`ects on
767 fruit development, quality, and phenolic composition of virgin olive oils, cv.

768

769 Chemlali. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 2803–2811. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8034379.

770

771 Al-Absi, K.M., 2008. E`ect of irrigation with treated industrial e`luent on growth and
772 biochemical constituents of olives. Bulg. J. Agric. Sci. 14, 564–575.

773

774 Al-Absi, K., 2009. Growth and biochemical constituents of olives as influenced by irrigation
775 with treated industrial wastewater. J. Plant Nutr. 33 (1), 1–14. https://doi.
776 org/10.1080/01904160903391032.

777

778 Al-Absi, K.M., Mohawesh, O.E., 2009. Olive oil mineral content of two local genotypes as
779 influenced by recycled e`luent irrigation under arid environment. J. Sci. Food Agric. 89,
780 2082–2087. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3693.

781

782 Aragüés, R., Puy, J., Royo, A., Espada, J.L., 2005. Three-year field response of young olive
783 trees (Olea europaea L., cv. Arbequina) to soil salinity: trunk growth and leaf ion
784 accumulation. Plant Soil 271, 265–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-004- 2695-9.

785

786 Asano, T., Burton, F.L., Leverenz, H.L., Tsuchihashi, R., Tchobanoglous, G., 2007. Water
787 Reuse: Issues, Technologies and Applications. Metcalf C Eddy/AECOM. McGraw Hill, New
788 York, pp. 1570.

789 Ashrafi, N., Nikbakht, A., Gheysari, M., 2017. E`ect of recycled water applied by surface and
790 subsurface irrigation on the growth, photosynthetic indices and nutrient content of young
791 olive trees in central Iran. J. Water Reuse Desal. 7, 246–252. https://doi.
792 org/10.2166/wrd.2016.019.

793

794 Assouline, S., Narkis, K., 2011. E`ects of long-term irrigation with treated wastewater on the
795 hydraulic properties of a clayey soil. Water Resour. Res. 47, W08530. https://doi.
796 org/10.1029/2011WR010498.

797

798 Assouline, S., Narkis, K., Gherabli, R., Sposito, G., 2016. Combined e`ect of sodicity and
799 organic matter on soil properties under long-term irrigation with treated wastewater. Vadose
800 Zone J. 15 (4), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2136/vzj2015.12.0158.

801

802 Ayers and Westcot, 1985. Water Quality for Agriculture. FAO Irrigation and Drainage, Rome,
803 pp. 174.

804

805 Ayoub, S., Al-Ahdiefat, S., Rawashdeh, H., Bahabsheh, I., 2016. Utilization of reclaimed
806 wastewater for olive irrigation: e`ect on soil properties, tree growth, yield and oil content.
807 Agr. Water Manag. 176, 163–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016. 05.035.

808

809 Alley, B., Beebe, A., Rodgers Jr, J., Castle, J.W., 2011. Chemical and physical characterization
810 of produced waters from conventional and unconventional fossil fuel resources.
811 Chemosphere 85, 74–82.

812

Bahri, A., 1987. Utilization of treated wastewaters and sewage sludge in agriculture in Tunisia. Desalination 67, 233–244. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-9164(87)90247-5.

Barbera, A.C., Cirelli, G.L., Cavallaro, V., Di Silvestro, I., Pacifici, P., Castiglione, V., Toscano, A., Milani, M., 2009. Growth and biomass production of di`erent plant species in two di`erent constructed wetland systems in Sicily. Desalination 246, 129–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.03.046.

Barbera, A.C., Maucieri, C., Cavallaro, V., Ioppolo, A., Spagna, G., 2013. E`ects of spreading olive mill wastewater on soil properties and crops, a review. Agric. Water Manage. 119, 43–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2012.12.009.

Bar-Yosef, B., 1999. Advances in fertigation. Adv. Agron. 65, 1–77.

Batarseh, M.I., Rawajfeh, B.A., Kalavrouziotis, I., Prodromos, H., 2011. Treated municipal wastewater irrigation impact on olive trees (Olea Europaea L.) at Al-Tafilah, Jordan. Water Air Soil Poll. 217, 185–196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0578-7.

Becerra-Castro, C., Lopes, A.R., Vaz-Moreira, I., Silva, E.F., Manaia, C.M., Nunes, O.C., 2015. Wastewater reuse in irrigation: a microbiological perspective on implications in soil fertility and human and environmental health. Environ. Int. 75, 117–135. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.11.001.

836 Bedbabis, S., Palese, A.M., Ben Rouina, B., Boukhris, M., Rhouma, A., Gargouri, K., 2009. The
837 e`ect of irrigation by treated wastewater on 'Chemlali' olive oil quality. J. Food Qual. 32, 141–
838 157. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4557.2009.00242.x.

839

840 Bedbabis, S., Clodoveo, M.L., Ben Rouina, B., Boukhris, M., 2010. Influence of irrigation with
841 moderate saline water on Chemlali extra virgin olive oil composition and quality. J. Food
842 Qual. 33, 228–247. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4557.2010. 00310.x.

843

844 Bedbabis, S., Ben Rouina, B., Boukhris, M., Ferrara, G., 2014. E`ects of irrigation with treated
845 wastewater on root and fruit mineral elements of Chemlali Olive cultivar. Transfus. Apher.
846 Sci. 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/973638.

847

848 Bedbabis, S., Trigui, D., Ben Ahmed, C., Clodoveo, M.L., Camposeo, S., Vivaldi, G.A., Ben
849 Rouina, B., 2015. Long-terms e`ects of irrigation with treated municipal wastewater on soil,
850 yield and olive oil quality. Agric. Water Manag. 160, 14–21. https://doi.org/
851 10.1016/j.agwat.2015.06.023.

852

853 Ben Ahmed, C., Ben Rouina, B., Sensoy, S., Boukhriss, M., 2009. Saline water irrigation
854 e`ects on fruit development, quality, and phenolic composition of virgin olive oils, cv.
855 Chemlali. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 2803–2811. https://doi.org/10.1021/ jf8034379.

856

857 Ben Brahim, S., Gargouri, B., Marrakchi, F., Bouaziz, M., 2016. The e`ects of di`erent
858 irrigation treatments on olive oil quality and composition: a comparative study between
859 treated and olive mill wastewater. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 1223–1230.
860 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b05030.

Ben Rouina, B., Ben Ahmed, Ch., Bedbabis, S., Baccari, M., Boukhris, M., 2011. E`ects of long-term irrigation with treated wastewater on soil chemical properties, plant nutrient status, growth and oil quality of olive tree. Chapter in Book Environment and Ecology in the Mediterranean Region. Edited by Cambridge Scholars Publishing, Chapter Thirteen, pp. 147–156.

Ben-Gal, A., 2011. Salinity and olive: from physiological responses to orchard management. Isr. J. Plant Sci. 59, 15–28. https://doi.org/10.1560/ijps.59.1.15.

Ben-Gal, A., Beiersdorf, I., Yermiyahu, U., Soda, N., Presnov, E., Zipori, I., Ramirez Crisostomo, R., Dag, A., 2017. Response of young bearing olive trees to irrigation-induced Salinity. Irrigation Sci. 35, 99–109. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-016- 0525-5.

Benincasa, C., Gharsallaoui, M., Perri, M., Briccoli, B.C., Mohamed, A., Moncen, K., Slimane, G., 2011. Quality and trace element profile of tunisian olive oils obtained from plants irrigated with treated wastewater. Sci. World J. 1–11. https://doi.org/10. 1100/2012/535781.

Benlloch, M., Arboleda, F., Barranco, D., Fernandez-Escobar, R., 1991. Response of young olive trees to sodium and boron excess in irrigation water. HortScience 26, 867–870.

Alley, Bethany, Beebe, Alex, Rodgers Jr., John, Castle, James W., 2011. Chemical and physical characterization of produced waters from conventional and unconventional fossil fuel resources. Chemosphere 85, 74–82.

886 Bourazanis, G., Roussos, P.A., Argyrokastritis, I., Kosmas, C., Kerkides, P., 2016. Evaluation
887 of the use of treated municipal wastewater on the yield, oil quality, free fatty acids' profile
888 and nutrient levels in olive trees cv Koroneiki, in Greece. Agric. Water Manage. 163, 1–8.
889 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.08.023.

890

891 Brahim, S.B., Gargouri, B., Marrakchi, F., Bouaziz, M., 2016. The e`ects of di`erent irrigation
892 treatments on olive oil quality and composition: a comparative student be- tween treated
893 and olive mill wastewater. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 1223–1230.
894 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.5b05030.

895

896 Buchmann, C., Felten, A., Peikert, B., Munoz, K., Bandow, N., Dag, A., Schaumann, G.E.,
897 2015. Development of phytotoxicity and composition of a soil treated with olive mill
898 wastewater (OMW): an incubation study. Plant Soil 386, 99–112.

899

900 Buelow, M.C., Steenwerth, K., Silva, L.C.R., Parikh, S.J., 2015. Characterization of winery
901 wastewater for reuse in California. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 66, 302–310. https://doi.org/
902 10.5344/ajev.2015.14110.

903

904 Bustamante, M.A., Paredes, C., Moral, R., Moreno-Caselles, J., Perez-Espinosa, A., Perez-
905 Murcia, M.D., 2005. Uses of winery and distillery e`luentsin agriculture: characterization of
906 nutrient and hazardous components. Water Sci. Technol. 51 (1), 145–151.
907 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2005.0018.

908

909 Bustan, A., Avni, A., Lavee, S., Zipori, I., Yeselson, Y., Scha`er, A.A., Riov, J., Dag, A., 2011.
910 Role of carbohydrate reserves in yield production of intensively cultivated oil olive (Olea
911 europaea L.) trees. Tree Physiol. 31, 519–530. https://doi.org/10.1093/ treephys/tpr036.

912

913 Bustan, A., Avni, A., Yermiyahu, U., Ben-Gal, A., Riov, J., Erel, R., Zipori, I., Dag, A., 2013.
914 Interactions between fruit load and macroelement concentrations in fertigated olive (Olea
915 europaea L.) trees under arid saline conditions. Sci. Hort. 152, 44–55.
916 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.01.013.

917

918 Casani, S., Rouhany, M., Knøchel, S., 2005. A discussion paper on challenges and lim-
919 itations to water reuse and hygiene in the food industry. Water Res. 39, 1134–1146.
920 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.12.015.

921

922 Cayuela, M.L., Millner, P.D., Meyer, S.L.F., Roig, A., 2008. Potential of olive mill waste and
923 compost as biobased pesticides against weeds, fungi, and nematodes. Sci. Total Environ.
924 399, 11–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.03.031.

925

926 Cervantes, F., Pavlostathis, S., Van Haandel, A., 2006. Advanced biological
927 treatmentprocesses for industrial wastewaters. Principles and Applications. IWA
928 Publishing.

929

930 Chartzoulakis, K.S., 2005. Salinity and olive: growth, salt tolerance, photosynthesis and
931 yield. Agric. Water Manage. 78, 108–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2005.04. 025.

932

933 Chatzissavvidis, C., Therios, I., 2010. Response of four olive (Olea europaea L.) cultivars to
934 six B concentrations: growth performance, nutrient status and gas exchange parameters.
935 Sci. Hortic. 127, 29–38.

936

937 Churchman, G.J., Skjemstad, J.O., Oades, J.M., 1993. Influence of clay minerals and organic
938 matter on e`ects of sodicity on soils. Aust. J. Soil Res. 31, 779–800.

939

940 Connor, D.J., 2005. Adaptation of olive (Olea europaea L) to water-limited environments.
941 Aust. J. Agric. Res. 56, 1181–1189. https://doi.org/10.1071/AR05169.

942

943 Connor, D.J., Fereres, E., 2005. The physiology of adaptation and yield expression in olive. In:
944 In: Janick, J. (Ed.), Horticultural Reviews Vol 31. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, pp.
945 155–229. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470650882.ch4.

946

947 Crosby, N.T., 1977. Determination of metals in food: a review. Analyst 102, 225–276.
948 https://doi.org/10.1021/jf60187a013.

949

950 CTC, 2008. Estudio de la calidad de las aguas residuales depuradas procedentes de la
951 industria de la conserva vegetal para su reutilización como agua de riego agrícola. Centro
952 Tecnológico Nacional de la Conserva y Alimentación.

953

954 Dag, A., Naor, A., Ben-Gal, A., Harlev, G., Zipori, I., Schneider, D., Birger, R., Peres, M., Gal,
955 Y., Kerem, Z., 2014. The E`ect of Water Stress on Super-high Density 'Koroneiki' Olive Oil
956 Quality. J. Sci. Food Agric. 95, 2016–2020. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa. 6913.

957

958 Di Cagno, R., Coda, R., De Angelis, M., Gobbetti, M., 2013. Exploitation of vegetables and
959 fruits through lactic acid fermentation. Food Microbiol. 33, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.
960 1016/j.fm.2012.09.003.

961

962 Echchelh, A., Hess, T., Sakrabani, R., Miguel de Paz, J., Visconti, F., 2019. Assessing the
963 environmental sustainability of irrigation with oil and gas produced water in dry- lands.
964 Agricultural Water Manag. 223 (105694).

965

966 Erel, R., Yermiyahu, U., Opstal, J.V., Ben-Gal, A., Schwartz, A., Dag, A., 2013. The im-
967 portance of olive (Olea europaea L.) tree nutritional status on its productivity. Sci. Hort. 159,
968 8–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.04.036.

969

970 Erel, R., Yermiyahu, U., Yasour, H., Cohen Chamus, D., Schwartz, A., Ben-Gal, A., Dag, A.,
971 2016. Phosphorous nutritional level, carbohydrate reserves and flower quality in olives. PLoS
972 One 11 (12), e0167591. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167591.

973

974 Erel, R., Yermiyahu, Y., Ben-Gal, A., Dag, A., 2018. Olive fertilization under intensive
975 cultivation management. Acta Hortic. 1217. Proc. VIII International Symposium on Mineral
976 Nutrition of Fruit Crops. https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1217.27.

977

978 Erel, R., Eppel, A., Yermiyahu, U., Ben-Gal, A., Levy, G., Zipori, I., Schaumann, G.E., Mayer,
979 O., Dag, A., 2019. Long-term irrigation with reclaimed wastewater: implications on nutrient
980 management, soil chemistry and olive (Olea europaea L.) performance. Agr. Water Manage.
981 213, 324–335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.10.033.

982

983 Ferguson, L., Poss, J.A., Grattan, S.R., Grieve, C.M., Wang, D., Wilson, C., Donovan, T.J.,
984 Chao, C.T., 2002. Pistachio rootstocks influence scion growth and ion relations under salinity
985 and boron stress. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 127 (2), 194–199.

986

Flynn, D., 2011. University of California, davis, Personal Communication.

Freeman, M., Carlson, R., 1994. Mineral nutrient availability. In: Sibbett, G., Ferguson, L. (Eds.), Olive Production Manual. University of California, California.

Galoppini, C., Andrich, G., Bonari, E., Ceccarini, L., 1992. Lo spandimento nel terreno e su piante di olivo allevate in mastello. Valorizzazione di acque reflue e dei reflui agricoli e dei fanghi, Conte, Lecce. pp. 175–186.

García, C., Moreno, J.L., Hernández, T., Costa, F., Polo, A., 1995. E`ect of composting on sewage sludges contaminated with heavy metals. Bioresour. Technol. 53, 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-8524(95)00040-L.

Gharsallaoui, M., Benincasa, C., Ayadi, M., Perri, E., Khlif, M., Gabsi, S., 2011. Study on the impact of wastewater irrigation on the quality of oils obtained from olives harvested by hand and from the ground and extracted at di`erent times after the harvesting. Sci. Hortic. 128, 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2010.12.015.

Gio`ré, D., Cannavò, S., Smorto, D., 2004. Risultati sugli e`etti delle acque reflue olearie somministrate con diverse modalità su terreno ulivetato in pieno campo agrario, una biodepurazione dei reflui di frantoio. Trattamento e riutilizzazione sottoprodotti dell'industria agrumaria e olearia, Laru`a. pp. 81–98.

Grattan, S.R., Oster, J.D., Letey, J., Ka`ka, S.R., 2014. Drainage Water reuse: concepts, practices and potential crops. In: Chang, A.C., Brawer Silva, D. (Eds.), Salinity and Drainage

in the San Joaquin Valley, California: Science, Technology and Policy. Global Issues in Water Policy. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 277–302.

Grieve, C.M., Grattan, S.R., Maas, E.V., 2006. 2012. Plant salt tolerance. In: Hanson, W.W., B.R, Grattan, S.R., Fulton, A. (Eds.), Agricultural Salinity and Drainage, https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784411698.ch13.

Haberman, A., Dag, A., Shtern, N., Zipori, I., Erel, R., Ben-Gal, A., Yermiyahu, U., 2019a. Significance of proper nitrogen fertilization for olive productivity in intensive culti- vation. Sci. Hortic. 246, 710–717.

Haberman, A., Dag, A., Shtern, N., Zipori, I., Erel, R., Ben-Gal, A., Yermiyahu, U., 2019b. Long-term impact of potassium fertilization on soil and productivity in intensive olive cultivation. Agronomy 9, 525. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy9090525.

Hargreaves, J.C., Adl, M.S., Warman, P.R., 2008. A review of the use of composted mu- nicipal solid waste in agriculture. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 123, 1–14. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.agee.2007.07.004.

Heperkan, D., 2013. Microbiota of table olive fermentation and criteria of selection for their use as starters. Front. Microbiol. 4, 143. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013. 00143.

Hien, P.G., Oanh, L.T.K., Viet, N.T., Lettinga, G., 1999. Closed wastewater system in the tapioca industry in Vietnam. Water Sci. Technol. 39 (5), 89–96. https://doi.org/101016/S0273-1223(99)00112-2.

1037 Hoekstra, A.Y., Chapagain, A.K., 2007. Water footprints of nations: water use by people as a
1038 function of their consumption pattern. Water Resour. Manag. 21, 35–48. https://
1039 doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9039-x.

1040

1041 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change IPCC (2013) https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/
1042 assessment-report/ar5/wg1/WGIAR5_SPM_brochure_en.pdf.

1043

1044 Jiménez, B., Asano, T., 2008. Water Reuse: an International Survey of Current Practice,
1045 Issues and Needs. IWA Publishing, London, England.

1046

1047 Jurinak, J.J., Suarez, D.L., 1990. "The chemistry of salt-a`ected soils and waters". In: Tanji,
1048 K.K. (Ed.), Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management. ASCE Manuals and Reports
1049 on Engineering Practice No, 71. ASCE New York, pp. 42–63.

1050

1051 Kant, R., 2012. Textile dyeing industry an environmental hazard. Nat. Sci. 4 (1), 22–26.
1052 https://doi.org/10.4236/ns.2012.41004.

1053

1054 Kchaou, H., Larbi, A., Gargouri, K., Chaieb, M., Morales, F., Msallem, M., 2010.Assessment
1055 of tolerance to NaCl salinity of five olive cultivars, based on growth characteristics and Na+
1056 and Cl- exclusion mechanisms. Sci. Hort. 124, 306–315.

1057

1058 Keser, G., Buyuk, G., 2012. E`ects of wastewater irrigation on chemical and physical
1059 properties of Petroselinum crispum. Biol. Trace Elem. Res. 146, 369–375. https://doi.
1060 org/10.1007/s12011-011-9259-7.

1061

1062 Kurtz, M., Peikert, B., Brühl, C., Dag, A., Zipori, I., Shoqeir, J., Schaumann, G., 2015. E`ects
1063 of olive mill wastewater on soil microarthropods and soil chemistry in two di`erent
1064 cultivation scenarios in Israel and Palestinian Territories. Agriculture 5, 857–878.

1065

1066 Läuchli, A., Grattan, S.R., 2012. Plant responses to saline sodic conditions. In: Wallender,
1067 W.W., Tanji, K.K. (Eds.), Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management, 2nd edition).
1068 ASCE, pp. 169–205. https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784411698.

1069

1070 Lens, P., 2002. Water Recycling and Resource Recovery in Industry: Analysis, Technologies
1071 and Implementation. IWA, London.

1072

1073 Levy, G.J., Assouline, S., et al., 2011. Physical aspects. In: Levy, G.J. (Ed.), Use of Treated
1074 Wastewater in Agriculture: Impacts on the Soil Environment and Crops. Wiley- Blackwell
1075 Publ., Oxford, UK, pp. 306 Chapter 9.

1076

1077 Levy, G.J., Fine, P., Bar-Tal, A., 2011. Treated Wastewater in Agriculture: Use and Impacts on
1078 the Soil Environment and Crops. Blackwell Publishing Ltdhttps://doi.org/
1079 10.1002/9781444328561. ISBN: 978-1-405-14862-7.

1080

1081 Levy, G.J., Fine, P., Goldstein, D., Azenkot, A., Zilberman, A., Chazan, A., Grinhut, T., 2014.
1082 Long term irrigation with treated wastewater (TWW) and soil sodification. Biosyst. Eng.
1083 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2014.05.004.

1084

1085 Levy, G.J., Dag, A., Raviv, M., Zipori, I., Medina, S., Saadi, I., Krasnovski, A., Eizenberg, H.,
1086 Laor, Y., 2018. Annual spreading of olive mill wastewater over consecutive years: e`ects on

cultivated soils' physical properties. Land Degrad. Dev. 29, 176–187. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2861.

Libutti, A., Monteleone, M., 2017. Soil vs. groundwater: the quality dilemma. Managing nitrogen leaching and salinity control under irrigated agriculture in Mediterranean conditions. Agr. Water Manage. 186, 40–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017. 02.019.

Libutti, A., Gatta, G., Gagliardi, A., Vergine, P., Pollice, A., Beneduce, L., Disciglio, G., Tarantino, E., 2018. Agro-industrial wastewater reuse for irrigation of a vegetable cropsuccession under Mediterranean conditions. Agric. Water Manag. 196, 1–14.

Liphschitz, N.R., Gophna, R., Hartman, M., Biger, G., 1991. The beginning of olive (Oleaeuropaea) cultivation in the old world: a reassessment. J. Archaeol. Sci. 18, 441–453. https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403(91)90037-P.

Liu, Y.Y., Haynes, R.J., 2011. Origin, nature, and treatment of e`luentsfrom dairy and meat processing factories and the e`ects of their irrigation on the quality of agricultural soils. Crit. Rev. Env. Sci. Tec. 41, 1531–1599. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 10643381003608359.

Loumou, A., Giourga, C., 2013. Olive groves: the life and identity of the Medierranean. Agr. Hum. Values 20, 87–95. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022444005336.

McNeal, B.L., Coleman, N.T., 1966. E`ect of solution composition on soil hydraulic conductivity. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 30, 313–317. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1966. 03615995003000030007x.

1112

1113 Mechri, B., Cheheb, H., Boussadia, O., Attia, F., Ben Mariem, F., Brahamb, M., Hammamia,
1114 M., 2011. E`ects of agronomic application of olive mill wastewater in a field of olive trees on
1115 carbohydrate profiles, chlorophyll a fluorescence and mineral nutrient content. Environ.
1116 Exp. Bot. 71, 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envexpbot.2010.12.004.

1117

1118 Medina, E., De Castro, A., Romero, C., Brenes, M., 2006. Comparison of the concentra tions
1119 of phenolic compounds in olive oils and other plant oils: correlation with antimicrobial
1120 activity. J. Agric. Food Chem. 54, 4954–4961. https://doi.org/10.1021/ jf0602267.

1121

1122 Medina, E., Romero, C., Brenes, M., De Castro, A., 2007. Antimicrobial activity of olive oil,
1123 vinegar, and various beverages against foodborne pathogens. J. Food Prot. 70 (5), 1194–1199.
1124 https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-70.5.1194.

1125

1126 Medina-Pradas, E., Arroyo-López, F.N., 2015. Presence of toxic microbial metabolites in
1127 table olives. Front. Microbiol. 6, 873. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00873.

1128

1129 Melgar, J.C., Mohamed, Y., Serrano, N., García-Galavís, P.A., Navarro, C., Parra, M.A.,
1130 Benlloch, M., Fernández-Escobar, L.G., 2009. Long term responses of olive trees to salinity.
1131 Agr. Water Manag. 96, 1105–1113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2009.02.009.

1132

1133 Mendoza-Espinosa, L.G., Cabello-Pasini, A., Macias-Carranza, V., Daessle-Heuser, W.,
1134 Orozco-Borbón, M.V., Quintanilla-Montoya, A.L., 2008. The e`ect of reclaimed wastewater
1135 on the quality and growth of grapevines. Water Sci. Techn. 57 (9), 1445–1450.
1136 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2008.242.

Mohammad, M.J., Mazahreh, N., 2003. Changes in soil fertility parameters in response to irrigation of forage crops with secondary treated wastewater. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 34, 1281–1294. https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120020444.

Mohsen, M.S., Jaber, J.O., 2003. Potential of industrial wastewater reuse. Desalination 152, 281–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(02)01075-5.

Moraetis, D., Stamati, F.E., Nikolaidis, N.P., Kalogerakis, N., 2011. Olive mill wastewater irrigation: impacts on soil and groundwater. Agr. Water Manage. 98, 1125–1132.

Murillo, J.M., Lopez, R., Fernandez, J.E., Cabrera, F., 2000. Olive tree response to irrigation with wastewater from the table olive industry. Irrig. Sci. 19, 175–180. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2011.02.006.

Nagel, I., Lang, F., Kaupenjohann, M., Pfe`er, K.H., Cabrera, F., Clemente, L., 2003. Guadiamar toxic flood: factors that govern heavy metal distribution in soils. Water Air Soil Pollut. Focus. 143 (1–4), 211–224. https://doi.org/10.1023/ A:1022806209272.

Nelson, P.N., Oades, J.M., 1998. Organic matter, sodicity, and soil structure. In: Sumner, M.E., Naidu, R. (Eds.), Sodic Soils. Chapter 14. Oxford Univ. Press, New York, NY.

Obied, H.K., Allen, M.S., Bedgood, D.R., Prenzeler, P.D., Robards, K., Stockmann, R., 2005. Bioactivity and analysis of biophenols recovered from olive mill waste. J. Agr. Food Chem. 53, 823–837. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf048569x.

1162

1163 Oliveira, M., Queda, C., Duarte, E., 2009. Aerobic treatment of winery wastewater with the
1164 aim of water reuse. Water Sci. Technol. 60, 1217–1223. https://doi.org/10.2166/
1165 wst.2009.558.

1166

1167 Orgaz, F., Fereres, E., 2004. Riego. In: El Cultivo del Olivo. In: Barranco, D., Fernández-
1168 Escobar, R., Rallo, L. (Eds.), Coedición Junta de Andalucía y Ediciones MundiPrensa, 4th
1169 edition. pp. 285–306.

1170

1171 Oster, J.D., Grattan, S.R., 2002. Drainage water reuse. Irrig. Drain. Syst. Eng. 16, 297–310.

1172

1173 Oster, J.D., Schroer, F.W., 1979. Infiltration as influenced by irrigation water quality. Soil Sci.
1174 Soc. Am. J. 43, 444–447. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1979.03615995004300030004x.

1175

1176 Oster, J.D., Sposito, G., Smith, C.J., 2016. Accounting for potassium and magnesium in
1177 irrigation water quality assessment. Calif. Agr. 70 (2), 71–76. https://doi.org/10.
1178 3733/ca.v070n02p71.

1179

1180 Palese, A.M.G., Celano, S., Masi Xiloyannis, C., 2006. Treated municipal wastewater for
1181 irrigation of olive trees: e`ects on yield and oil quality. In: Caruso, T., Motisi, A., Sebastinani,
1182 A. (Eds.), Biotechnology and Quality of Olive Tree Prodcuts Around the Mediterranean Basin.
1183 Olivebioteq. Second International Seminar, pp. 123–129. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-
1184 4557.2009.00242.x.

1185

Palese, A.M., Pasquale, V., Celano, G., Figliuolo, G., Masi, S., Xiloyannis, C., 2009.Irrigation of olive groves in Southern Italy with treated municipal wastewater: e`ects on microbiological quality of soil and fruits. Agric., Ecosyst. Environ., Appl. Soil Ecol. 129, 43–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2008.07.003.

Paredes, C., Ceggara, J., Roing, A., Sanchez-Monedero, M.A., Bernal, M.P., 1999. Characterization of olive mill wastewater (alpechin) and its sludge for agricultural purposes. Bioresource. Technol. 67, 111–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(98)00106-0.

Pedrero, F., Alarcón, J.J., 2009. E`ects of treated wastewater irrigation on lemon trees. Desalination 246, 631–639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.017.

Pedrero, F., Kalavrouziotis, I., Alarcón, J.J., Koukoulakis, P., Asano, T., 2010. Use of treated municipal wastewater in irrigated agriculture - Review of some practices in Spain and Greece. Agr. Water Manage. 97, 1233–1241. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2010.03.003.

Pedrero, F., Mounzer, O., Alarcón, J.J., Bayona, J.M., Nicolás, E., 2013. The viability of irrigating mandarin trees with saline reclaimed water in a semi-arid Mediterranean region: a preliminary assessment. Irrigation Sci. 31, 759–768. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00271-012-0359-8.

Pedrero, F., Maestre-Valero, J.F., Mounzer, O., Alarcón, J.J., Nicolás, E., 2014. Physiological and agronomic mandarin trees performance under saline reclaimed water combined with regulated deficit irrigation. Agr. Water Manage. 146, 228–237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2014.08.013.

Pedrero, F., Maestre-Valero, J.F., Romero-Trigueros, C., Nortes, P., Pedrero, F., Mounzer, O., Bayona, J.M., Alarcón, J.J., Nicolás, E., 2015. Response of young ´Star Ruby` grapefruit trees to regulated deficit irrigation with saline reclaimed water. Agr. Water Manage. 158, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.04.012.

Pedrero, F., Maestre-Valero, J.F., Romero-Trigueros, C., Nortes, P., Pedrero, F., Mounzer, O., Bayona, J.M., Alarcón, J.J., Nicolás, E., 2016. Long-term physiological and agronomic responses of mandarin trees to irrigation with saline reclaimed water. Agr. Water Manage. 166, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.11.017.

Pedrero, F., Camposeo, S., Bernardo, P., Maria Cefola, M., Vivaldi, G.A., 2018. Use of reclaimed wastewater on fruit quality of nectarine in Southern Italy. Agr. Water Manage. 203, 186–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2018.01.029.

Peikert, B., Schaumann, G.E., Keren, Y., Bukhanovsky, N., Borisover, M., Garfha, M.A., Shoqeric, J.H., Dag, A., 2015. Characterization of topsoils subjected to poorly controlled olive oil mill wastewater pollution in West Bank and Israel. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 199, 176–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.08.025.

Pereira, B.F.F., He, Z.L., Sto`ella, P.J., Melfi, A.J., 2011. Reclaimed wastewater: e`ects on citrus nutrition. Agr. Water Manage. 98, 1828–1833. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2011.06.009.

1236 Pescod, M.B., 1992. Wastewater treatment and use in agriculture. FAO Irrigation and
1237 Drainage Paper 47. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations.

1238

1239 Petousi, I., Fountoulakis, M.S., Saru, M.L., Nikolaidis, N., Fletcher, L., Stentiford, E.I., Manios,
1240 T., 2015. E`ects of reclaimed wastewater irrigation on olive (Olea europaea L. Cv. 'Koroneiki')
1241 trees. Agr. Water Manage. 160, 33–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agwat.2015.06.003.

1242

1243 Petruccioli, M., Duarte, J.C., Eusebio, A., Federici, F., 2002. Aerobic treatment of winery
1244 wastewater using a jet-loop activated sludge reactor. Process Biochem. 37, 821–829.
1245 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(01)00280-1.

1246

1247 Quirk, J.P., 1978. Some physico-chemical aspects of soil structural stability-a review. In:
1248 Emerson, W.W., Bond, R.D., Dexter, A.R. (Eds.), Modification of Soil Structure. John Wiley,
1249 New York. https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v14n02_08.

1250

1251 RASFF Portal. 2012. Reference Number 2012.0703. Available at: https://webgate.ec.
1252 europa.eu/ras`-window/porta.

1253

1254 Rengasamy, P., Marchuk, A., 2011. Cation ratio of soil structural stability (CROSS). Soil Res.
1255 49, 280–285. https://doi.org/10.1071/SR10105.

1256

1257 Rhoades, J.D., Kandiah, A., Mashali, A.M., 1992. The use of saline water for crop pro-
1258 duction. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 48. The Food and Agricultural Organization of the
1259 United Nations. FAO, Rome.

1260

Roig, A., Cayuela, M.L., Sánchez-Monedero, M.A., 2006. An overviewon olive mil wastes and their valorization methods. Waste Manage. 26, 960–969. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2005.07.024.

Romero-Trigueros, C., Nortes, P.A., Pedrero, F., Mounzer, O., Alarcón, J.J., Bayona, J.M., Nicolás, E., 2014. Assessment of the viability of using saline reclaimed water in grapefruit in medium to long term. Span. J. Agric. Res. 12 (4), 1137–1148. https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2014124-5495.

Romero-Trigueros, C., Nortes, P.A., Alarcón, J.J., Hunink, J.E., Parra, M., Contreras, S., Nicolás, E., 2017a. E`ects of saline reclaimed waters and deficit irrigation on Citrus physiology assessed by UAV remote sensing. Agric. Water Manag. 183, 60–69.

Romero-Trigueros, C., Parra, M., Bayona, J.M., Nortes, P.A., Alarcón, J.J., Nicolás, E., 2017b. E`ect of deficit irrigation and reclaimed water on yield and quality of grapefruits at harvest and postharvest. LWT- Food Sci Technol. 85, 405–411.

Romero-Trigueros, C., Vivaldi, G.A., Nicolás Nicolás, E., Paduano, A., Pedrero Salcedo, F., Camposeo, S., 2019. Ripening indices, olive yield and oil quality in response to ir- rigation with saline reclaimed water and deficit strategies. Front. Plant Sci. 10 (October). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01243.

Rostami, H., Tabatabaei, S.J., Zare Nahandi, F., 2017. E`ects of di`erent boron con- centration on the growth and physiological characteristics of two olive cultivars. J. Plant Nutr. 40, 2421–2431.

Segal, E., Dag, A., Ben-Gal, A., Zipori, I., Erel, R., Suryano, S., Yermiyahu, U., 2011. Olive orchard irrigation with reclaimed wastewater: agronomic and environmental considerations. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ. 140, 454–461. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.01.009.

Shatanawi, M., 1994. Minimizing Environmental Problems Associated With the Use of Treated Wastewater for Irrigation in Jordan Valley: Phase I. Technical Report No. 18. Water and Environmental Research and Study Center, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.

Smith, C.J., Oster, J.D., Sposito, G., 2015. Potassium and magnesium in irrigation water quality assessment. Agric. Water Manage. 157, 59–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2014.09.003.

Sofo, A., Mininni, A., Fausto, C., Scagliola, M., Crecchio, C., Xiloyannis, C., Dichio, B., 2019. Evaluation of the possible persistence of potential human pathogenic bacteria in olive orchards irrigated with treated urban wastewater. Sci. Total Environ. 658, 763–767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.264.

Speir, T.W., Van Schaik, A.P., Percival, H.J., Close, M.E., Pang, L., 2003. Heavy metals in soil, plants and groundwater following high-rate sewage sludge application to land. Water Air Soil Poll. 150 (1–4), 319–358. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026101419961.

Sposito, G., Oster, J.D., Smith, C.J., Assouline, S., 2016. Assessing soil permeability im- pacts from irrigation with marginal-quality water. CAB Rev. 11 (15).

Stefanoudaki, E., Williams, M., Chartzoulakis, K., Harwood, J., 2009. Olive oil qualitative parameters after orchard irrigation with saline water. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 1421–1425. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8030327.

Steinmetz, Z., Kurtz, M.P., Dag, A., Zipori, I., Schaumann, G.E., 2015. The seasonal influence of olive mill wastewater applications on an orchard soil under semi-arid conditions. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 178, 641–648.

Tanji, K.K., Kielen, N.C., 2002. Agricultural drainage water management in arid and semi- arid areas. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 61. Food and Agricultural Organization, Rome.
Tarchitzky, J., Golobati, Y., Keren, R., Chen, Y., 1999. Wastewater e`ects on montmorillonite suspensions and hydraulic properties of sandy soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 63, 554–560.

Tekaya, M., Beligh, M., Olfa, D., Zoubeir, M., Salwa, L., Badreddine, C., Dalenda, B., Mohamed, H., Hechmi, C., 2016. Changes in key photosynthetic parameters of olive trees following soiltillage and wastewater irrigation, modified olive oil quality. Agr. Water Manage. 178, 180–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.09.023.

Tietel, Z., Dag, A., Yermiyahu, U., Zipori, I., Beiersdorf, I., Krispin, S., Ben-Gal, A., 2019. Irrigation-induced salinity a`ects olive oil quality and health-promoting properties. J. Sci. Food Agric. 99, 1180–1189. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9287.

Torres, M., Pierantozzi, P., Searles, P., Rousseaux, M.C., Garcia-Inza, G., Miserere, A., Bodoira, R., Contreras, C., Maestri, D., 2017. Olive Cultivation in the Southern Hemisphere:

flowering, water requirements and oil quality responses to new crop environments. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1830. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01830.

University of California Olive Center. 2018. http://olivecenter.ucdavis.edu/learn/ resources.

USDA Sta`, 1954. Handbook 60. United States Department of Agriculture. Vidal-Dorsch, D.E., Bay, S.M., Maruya, K., Snyder, S.A., Trenholm, R.A., Vanderford, B.J., 2012. Contaminants of emerging concern in municipal wastewater e`luentsand marine receiving water. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31 (12), 2674–2682. https://doi. org/10.1002/etc.2004.

Vivaldi, G.A., Camposeo, S., Rubino, P., Lonigro, A., 2013. Microbial impact of di`erent types of municipal wastewaters used to irrigate nectarines in Southern Italy. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 181, 50–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.09.006.

Vivaldi, G.A., Stellacci, A.M., Vitti, C., Rubino, P., Pedrero, F., Camposeo, S., 2017. Nutrient uptake and fruit quality in a nectarine orchard irrigated with treated municipal wastewaters. Desalin. Water Treat. 71, 312–320. https://doi.org/10.5004/ dwt.2017.20564.

Vivaldi, G.A., Camposeo, S., Lorpiore, G., Romero-Trigueros, C., Pedrero Salcedo, F., 2019. Using saline reclaimed water on almond grown in Mediterranean conditions: deficit irrigation strategies and salinity e`ects. Water Supply ws2019008.

Wallender, W.W., Tanji, K.K. (Eds.), 2012. Agricultural Salinity Assessment and Management, 2nd edition. American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).

Waterboards of California. 2019. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/rwqcb5/water_issues/oil_fields/food_safety/data/fact_sheet/of_foodsafety_fact_sheet.pdf.

Weber, E., Grattan, S.R., Hanson, B.R., Vivaldi, G.A., Meyer, R.D., Prichard, T.L., Schwankl, L.J., 2014. Recycled water is suitable for irrigation of vineyards in Napa County. Calif. Agric. 68 (3), 59–67. https://doi.org/10.3733/ca.v068n03p59.

Xu, J., Wu, L., Chang, A.C., Zhang, Y., 2010. Impact of long-term reclaimed wastewater irrigation on agricultural soils: a preliminary assessment. J. Hazard. Mater. 183, 780–786. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.07.094.

Yalin, D., Schwartz, A., Assouline, S., Narkis, K., Eshel, A., Levin, A.G., Lowengart- Aycicegi, A., Tarchitzky, J., Shenker, M., 2017. Insights from "the Hidden Half": the impact of root-zone oxygen and redox dynamics on the response of avocado to longterm irrigation with treated wastewater in clayey soil. Isr. J. Plant Sci. 64, 3. https://doi.org/10.1080/07929978.2017.1288478.

Yermiyahu, U., Ben-Gal, A., 2017. Boron in arid zone agriculture: israeli case studies. Bor Dergisi 2 (3), 128–141.

Yermiyahu, U., Tal, A., Ben-Gal, A., Bar-Tal, A., Tarchitzky, J., Lahav, O., 2007. Rethinking desalinated water quality and agriculture. Science 318, 920–921.

Zipori, I., Yermiyahu, U., Erel, R., Presnov, E., Feingold, I., Dag, A., Ben-Gal, A., 2015. The influence of irrigation level on olive tree nutritional status. Irrig. Sci. 66, 80–84.

Zipori, I., Dag, A., Laor, Y., Levy, G.J., Eizenberg, H., Yermiyahu, U., Medina, S., Saadi, I., Krasnovski, A., Raviv, M., 2018. Potential nutritional value of olive-mill wastewater applied to irrigated olive (Olea europaeaL.) orchard in a semi-arid environment over 5 years. Sci. Hortic. 214, 218–224.

Zipori, I., Erel, R., Yermiyahu, U., Ben-Gal, A., Dag, A., 2020. Sustainable management of olive orchard nutrition: a review. Agriculture 10, 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture10010011.