## 1 Large genetic diversity of Arcobacter butzleri isolated from raw milk in Southern

#### 2

### Italv

- Caruso Marta<sup>1</sup>, Normanno Giovanni<sup>2</sup> (\*), Miccolupo Angela<sup>1</sup>, Capozzi Loredana<sup>1</sup>, Bonerba 3 Elisabetta<sup>3</sup>, Difato Laura<sup>1</sup>, Mottola Anna<sup>3</sup>, Di Pinto Angela<sup>3</sup>, Santagada Gianfranco<sup>1</sup>.
- 4 Parisi Antonio<sup>1</sup>
- 5
- 7
- 8 <sup>1</sup>Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Apulia and Basilicata, Foggia, via Manfredonia 20, 71122, Foggia, Italy. 9
- <sup>2</sup>Department of Science of Agriculture, Food and Environment (SAFE), University of Foggia, via 10
- Napoli 25, 71122, Foggia, Italy. 11
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, SP Casamassima, km 3, 70010 Valenzano (BA), Italy. 12

#### (\*) Corresponding Author 13

- Prof. Giovanni Normanno 14
- Department of Science of Agriculture, Food and the Environment (SAFE) 15
- University of Foggia 16
- 17 Via Napoli, 25 - 71122 Foggia
- +39 0881 589124 18
- 19

#### 20 Abstract

Arcobacter butzleri is a zoonotic foodborne pathogen able to cause enteric and extraintestinal 21 22 diseases. Its occurrence in foodstuff is well recognized worldwide but data on its presence in foods from Southern Italy are scarce. In this study the results on the occurrence and genotyping of 23 Arcobacter spp. in bulk milk samples collected in Southern Italy are reported. Out of 484 samples, 24 64 (13.2%) resulted positive for the presence of Arcobacter spp. using Real Time PCR but as few as 25 31.2% of these samples turned out as positive by using the cultural method, showing an overall 26 prevalence of 4.1%. All isolates were identified as A. crvaerophilus using the biochemical 27 identification whilst the sequencing of the *atp*A gene revealed that all the isolates were A. *butzleri*. 28

Among the confirmed isolates, 16 different Sequence Types (ST) were identified using the Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), 14 (87.5 %) of which were previously unreported. Our survey reveals the presence of *A. butzleri* in bulk tank milk from Southern Italy and highlights the discrepancy between the two approaches used both for the detection (i.e., real time PCR vs cultural method) and the identification (i.e., biochemical test vs aptA sequencing) of *Arcobacter* spp In addition, a large genetic diversity among the isolates was detected and this makes the identification of source of the infections very challenging in outbreaks investigation.

36

Key Words: Arcobacter, Genotyping, Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), Real-time PCR,
Bulk Tank Milk

39

#### 40 Introduction

Arcobacter spp. has been associated with human and animal disease and it is considered an important foodborne pathogen (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Ho *et al.*, 2006). The true incidence of human infections associated with Arcobacter is unknown, because these bacteria are not routinely investigated during human diarrheal diseases. In addition to this, a standardized protocol for their detection and characterization is not available (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Figueras *et al.*, 2014).

Among the several known Arcobacter species, A. butzleri, A. cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii have 46 been recognized as those of clinical importance for animals and humans (Collado et al., 2011; 47 Figueras at al., 2014; Hsu and Lee, 2015; Peréz-Cataluña et al., 2018; Ramees et al., 2017; 48 Whiteduck-Léveillée *et al.*, 2015). In humans, these species have been associated with enterocolitis, 49 peritonitis and bacteremia (Jiang et al., 2010; Lappi et al., 2013; Mottola et al., 2016a; Webb et al., 50 2016), while in animals they can cause gastroenteritis, mastitis, bacteremia and reproductive 51 disorders (Arguello et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2006; Logan et al., 1982; Van Driessche and Houf, 2008; 52 Whiteduck-Léveillée et al., 2016). Although the infectious dose has not yet been established, point-53 source outbreaks caused by Arcobacter spp. have been associated with well water ingestion, or with 54

the handling or consumption of contaminated raw or poorly-cooked animal food products. Also, 55 direct contact with infected animals has been reported as a potential source of human infection 56 (Fernandez et al., 2015). In fact, the presence of Arcobacter has been documented worldwide from 57 a wide range of sources and hosts with A. butzleri as the most prevalent species, followed by A. 58 cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Fallas-Padilla et al., 2014; Ramees et 59 60 al., 2017). Arcobacter spp. have also been isolated from faeces of healthy and sick humans and 61 animals, including cattle, poultry, small ruminants, pigs and wild-living birds (Bogantes et al., 2015; Collado et al., 2009; Van Driessche et al., 2003; Ottaviani et al., 2017). In addition, 62 Arcobacter have been detected from different foods such as fresh and ready to eat vegetables 63 (González and Ferrús, 2011; González et al., 2017; Mottola et al., 2016b), meat and meat products 64 65 (Rivas et al., 2004; Rahimi, 2014, Lehmann et al., 2015), shellfish (Leoni et al., 2017; Levican et 66 al., 2014; Mottola et al., 2016a), fish (Laishram et al., 2016), eggs (Lee et al., 2016) and drinking water (Ertas et al., 2010; Jalava et al., 2014; Jacob et al., 1998). However, for better evaluating the 67 68 foodborne risk linked to Arcobacter spp., more information on its occurrence in foods is needed (Lappi et al., 2013). Regarding milk and dairy products, the detection of Arcobacter from these 69 70 foodstuffs has been also reported (Logan et al., 1982; Pianta et al., 2007; Scullion et al., 2006) but data on the occurrence of Arcobacter spp. in raw milk are still scarce (Shah et al., 2011). In Italy, 19 71 72 million tons of cow's milk are produced every year (www.agri.istat.it), mostly intended for cheese making or direct consumption as pasteurized or sterilized milk. However, in the last few years, the 73 sale of raw milk for direct consumption via vending machines could have increased the risk of 74 75 contact between humans and zoonotic agents (Haran et al., 2012). Our work aims at improving the knowledge on the occurrence of Arcobacter spp. and its molecular characterization in bulk tank 76 milk (BTM) samples collected in Southern Italy. 77

- 78
- 79
- 80

### 81 2. Matherials and methods

#### 82 2.1 Sampling

The survey investigated two Italian Regions, Apulia and Basilicata, located in Southern Italy. On the whole, in these Regions are located 1.230 dairy farms with approximate 130.000 animals (www.vetinfo.it). A total of 484 BTM samples, corresponding to 39.4% of the total number of farms, were collected during 2014 to 2015. Specifically, the samples were from 396 dairy farms in Apulia and from 88 in Basilicata. The samples were aseptically collected in 500-mL sterile plastic containers, carried to laboratory in cooled containers within 24 hours after the of sampling. Samples were stored at -80 °C until analyzed.

90

### 91 2.2. Samples processing

BTM samples were defrosted and mixed using an agitator; then, 10 mL of milk were added to 93 90 mL of *Arcobacter* broth (Oxoid, Milan, Italy) supplemented with Cefoperazone, Amphotericin B 94 and Teicoplanin (CAT selective supplement SR0174E; Oxoid) in sterile bags and homogenized 95 using a stomacher (PBI International, Milan, Italy) at 11.000 rev min <sup>-1</sup> for 1 min. Then, the bags 96 were incubated at 30 °C under microaerophilic conditions (5% O<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 85% N<sub>2</sub>) by means of 97 the CampyGen gas generating system (Oxoid) for 48 h.

- 98
- 99

#### 100 2.3 Molecular screening

### 101 2.3.1 DNA extraction from enrichment broth

After incubation, 1 mL of enrichment broth was centrifuged at 13.000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was subjected to DNA extraction using the heat lysis and snap chilling method as described by Rasmussen et al., 2013 with some modifications. Briefly, 200 µL of sterile distilled water was added to the pellet and boiled in a water bath at 100 °C for 15 minutes. The cell lysate was immediately transferred into ice and centrifuged
at 13.000 rpm for 2 minutes. Supernatant was collected and used as DNA template for direct realtime PCR detection.

109109

*110 2.3.2 Real-time PCR* 

Genus specific real-time PCR was performed in order to screen the presence of Arcobacter 111 spp. directly on the bacterial lysate. The reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 µL, using 112 1.25 µL EvaGreen 20X (Biotium, Hayward, USA), 0.2 nM of each dNTP, 2.5 µL of HotMaster Taq 113 Buffer 10X (5PRIME, Hilden, Germany), 1 U of HotMaster Tag DNA Polymerase (5PRIME, 114 Hilden, Germany), 5 pmol of each oligonucleotide primer and 2 µL of DNA template. The 115 oligonucleotide primers used in this study (Arco-Fw and Arco-Rv), described by Gonzàlez et al., 116 2014. The amplification profile was carried out as follows: 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles 117 consisting of 94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min. A. butzleri ATCC 46916T, A. 118 119 cryaerophilus ATCC 43158T and A. skirrowii ATCC 51132 were used as positive controls. In order to identify nonspecific products, the melting curve was generated at the end of each run, thus 120 121 exposing the final PCR product to a temperature gradient from about 60 °C to 90 °C in 20 min. The PCR reactions were processed in Applied Biosystems® 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo 122 123 Fisher Scientific, USA). All reactions were performed in duplicate.

124124

125 2.4 Isolation and biochemical identification of Arcobater spp.

Ten mL of real-time PCR *Arcobacter* spp. positive enrichment broths were filtered using 0.45
µm membrane filters (Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Germany). Then, 200 µL of each filtered
sample were streaked in parallel on Columbia Blood, Modified Charcoal Cefoperazone
Deoxycholate (MCCD) and Karmali Agar plates (Oxoid). Plates were incubated at 30 °C under
microaerophilic conditions (5% O<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 85% N<sub>2</sub>) as described above, for 3-4 days. After

incubation, five typical *Arcobacter* spp. colonies for each sample were picked, subcultured onto
Columbia Blood Agar and incubated for 48 h at 30 °C under microaerophilic conditions.

The colonies were confirmed morphologically by Gram staining and by determination of oxidase (Oxidase strips, Oxoid Microbact, Basingstoke, UK) and catalase activity (Mottola et al., 2016a; Mottola et al, 2016b). In addition, presumptive *Arcobacter* spp. colonies were further subjected to biochemical identification using API Campy<sup>®</sup> bioMerièux. The colonies identified as *Arcobacter* spp. were transferred onto *Arcobacter* broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

139

### 140 2.5 Molecular identification and MLST typing of Arcobacter isolates

The extraction of DNA of isolates previously identified as *Arcobacter* spp. was performed using the GenomicPrep® kit (GE Helthcare. Illinois, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The identification of the *Arcobacter* isolates was determined using the *atp*A gene sequencing as described by Miller at al., 2014.

MLST was carried out on one identified isolate per positive sample using primers and conditions described by Miller et al., 2009. Specifically, the amplification and the sequencing of the seven housekeeping genes (*aspA*, *atpA*, *glnA*, *gltA*, *glyA*, *pgm* and *tkt*) included in the *Arcobacter* scheme of the PubMLST database were performed (http://pubmlst.org/arcobacter/).

The PCR products were purified using ExoSAP-IT according to supplier recommendations (GE Healthcare). Sequence reactions were carried out using BigDye 3.1 Ready reaction mix (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequenced products were separated with a 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). Sequences were imported and assembled with Bionumerics 7.6 software (Applied Maths, Belgium). Any new alleles and STs were assigned by submitting the DNA sequences to the *Arcobacter* MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/arcobacter/).

### **157 3.** Results

#### 158 3.1 Molecular screening

The Real-Time PCR performed on enrichment broth from each BTM sample gave positive results for *Arcobacter* spp. in 64/484 (13.2 %) BTM samples. Specifically, all the positive samples were from Apulia (64/396) and none of Basilicata samples were positive for *Arcobacter* spp.

3.2 Confirmation of Real-Time PCR screening by cultural methods and identification of Arcobacter
 isolates

The cultural analysis carried out on the 64 Real-Time PCR positive enrichment broth showed typical *Arcobacter* colonies in 20 (31.2%) samples. On the whole, 4.1 % of BTM samples were positive for *Arcobacter* spp. Biochemical tests identified all isolates as *A. cryaerophilus*. Since the API Campy<sup>®</sup> test misidentifies all *Arcobacter* species as *A. cryaerophilus*, all the isolates identified as *A. cryaerophilus* were considered *Arcobacter* spp. The sequencing of the *atp*A gene revealed that all the isolates were *A. butzleri*.

170170

171 3.3 Multi-Locus Sequence Typing

All the 20 *A. butzleri* isolates were successfully typed by MLST allowing the identification of 81 alleles of which 15 (18.5%) were previously unreported. A total of 16 STs were identified of which 14 (87.5%) STs were previously unreported and resulted from new allele's sequences (**Table 1**).

176176

### 177 4. Discussion

Arcobacter spp., is an important pathogen with an increasing interest for public health and food safety because of its frequent detection in different foods and its link to gastrointestinal diseases in humans (Fong *et al.*, 2007). In addition, it represents a common pathogen isolated from fecal samples from people with acute enteric disease and it is responsible for traveler's diarrhea

(Collado and Figueras, 2011; Figueras et al., 2014; Van den Abeele et al., 2014). In order to 182 perform proper food safety risk assessments, data on the presence of Arcobacter spp. and related 183 184 genotypes circulating in foods are needed. Given the identification of Arcobacter spp. (especially A. butzleri) in raw milk and the evidence of Arcobacter spp. transmission to human that is also 185 186 possible through the consumption or handling of contaminated raw milk led researchers to have 187 more attention in this subject. Therefore, many authors focused their research on the topic through 188 publication of data on Arcobacter spp. prevalences in Europe, Asia and Southern America (Ertas et 189 al., 2010; Milesi, 2010; Pianta et al., 2007; Revez et al., 2013; Scullion et al., 2006; Serraino et al., 2013a; Shah et al., 2012; Yesilmen et al., 2014). Surveys on BTM detected prevalence rates of 190 191 5.8%, 15% and 46% in Malaysia, Finland and Northern Ireland, respectively (Revez et al., 2013; 192 Scullion et al., 2006; Shah et al., 2012). In our survey the prevalence of Arcobacter spp. in BTM 193 samples was low (4.1%) if compared to other Italian studies reporting a prevalence rate of 26% in BTM produced in Nothern Italy and of 57% as a result of an on in-line milk filters survey of dairy 194 195 farms authorized to produce raw milk for direct human consumption (Milesi, 2010; Serraino et al., 2013a). Many factors could explain the remarkable difference between the prevalence rates reported 196 197 in literature, such as, the different sampling methods, the absence of a standardized protocol for the detection of Arcobacter, but also the hygienic standard protocols adopted on farms, the feeding 198 199 type, the climate, etc. (Collado and Figueras 2011; Hsu and Lee, 2015). In our study, all the isolates were identified as A. butzleri by molecular methods; these results were in agreement with other 200 studies where A. butzleri was the main species isolated from raw milk and dairy plants (Ertas et al., 201 2010; Giacometti et al., 2015a; Ferreira et al., 2017; Milesi, 2010; Nieva-Echevarria et al., 2013; 202 Pianta et al., 2007; Shah et al. 2012; Revez et al., 2013: Scuillon et al., 2006; Yesilmen et al., 203 204 2014). On the other hand, A. butzleri was the only species isolated probably because of the lack of standardized isolation protocols for Arcobacter spp. other than A. butzleri. In fact, our isolation 205 206 procedure requires the use of an enrichment step that promotes the growth of A. butzleri which

207 could mask the presence of other *Arcobacter* species (Levican *et al.* 2016). This could represent a
208 procedure's drawback.

Furthermore, the difference in findings between the molecular screening and cultural analysis are 209 probably due to the viable but non-culturable (VNC) state of Arcobacter spp. in response to adverse 210 211 environmental conditions (Mottola et al., 2016a) or to the presence of free DNA deriving from dead 212 bacterial cells. Notably, our study highlighted that a strong discrepancy between the biochemical 213 and the molecular identification of Arcobacter exists. In fact, all the isolates were identified as A. 214 cryaerophilus using a miniaturize biochemical identification kit and as A. butzleri when using the molecular approach. This could be due to the difficult of identification of Arcobacter at species 215 level by biochemical tests; in fact the API Campy<sup>®</sup> test misidentifies all Arcobacter species as A. 216 217 cryaerophilus These findings are noteworthy because they show that the epidemiological studies 218 carried out using one or other identification method could have been affected by the chosen technique. 219

The ability of A. butzleri to grow between 4 and 10 °C, to survive to sanitizing procedures and 220 adhere to glass, stainless steel and plastic surfaces and to form biofilm, could promote its survival, 221 222 colonization and persistence in farms, milking equipment and dairy plants, becoming a source of contamination for milk and dairy products (Assanta et al., 2002; Kjeldgaard et al., 2009; 223 224 Rasmussen et al., 2013; Mottola et al., 2016 a,b; Giacometti et al., 2014;2015 a,b; Badilla-Ramírez et al. 2016; Serraino et al., 2013 a,b; Serraino and Giacometti, 2014). Contaminated raw milk and 225 dairy products represent a potential source of human infections, having significant food safety and 226 human health implications, especially for immunocompromised people for which the consumption 227 of cheese manufactured from unpasteurized milk in small processing facilities employing traditional 228 production technologies could represent a risk factor (Giacometti et al., 2015 b; Serraino et al., 229 2013 a). 230

It is well known that *Arcobacter* spp. population show a great genetic diversity hindering the epidemiologic studies, especially when the source of infection must be traced. In our study, among

20 genotyped isolates, five belonged to the already known ST66 and ST420. Both genotypes ST66 233 and ST420 were reported in a previous survey on dairy plants in Italy (De Cesare et al., 2016). The 234 detection of the genotypes ST66 and ST420 from our samples, supports the hypothesis that some 235 genotypes could be associated with specific foods. Our study led to the identification of new alleles 236 and new STs, confirming that the A. butzleri population has a great genetic diversity (Alonso et al., 237 238 2014; De Cesare et al., 2015; Merga et al., 2011; Merga et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2009; Perez-239 Cataluna et al., 2017; Rasmussen et al., 2013). In fact, in the present study the 87.5 % of the 240 detected STs were unreported; the presence of new alleles among the seven analysed loci, or from new combinations of known alleles, highlights a high diversity among the strains and confirms that 241 242 recombination is possible in A. butzleri (Alonso et al., 2014). Among new alleles, the gene glyA 243 was the most diverse, confirming the diversity observed by Pérez-Cataluña et al. (2017).

On the other hand, other authors have also reported a high heterogeneity among isolates using different genotyping techniques such as Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE), Multiple Locus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis (MLVA), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), and Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC-PCR) (Forsythe, 2006; Douidah *et al.*, 2014; Ramees *et al.*, 2014).

However, in comparison with other genotyping methods, MLST is a good typing method because it gives fast and comparable results, and has been used as a routine molecular typing procedure for *Arcobacter* spp. in several studies (Ramees *et al.*, 2014).

In conclusion, our study clearly shows the presence of *A. butzleri* in BTM in Southern Italy and a large genetic diversity between the isolates, contributing effectively to fill up the knowledge gap on this foodborne pathogen. The presence of *A. butzleri* in raw milk, could represent a hazard for consumers; thus, its presence should be carefully taken into account by both dairy food business operators and competent authority for reducing the foodborne risk linked to this pathogen.

257257

- 259 Acknowledgements
- 260 Funding

# 261 Funding Ministry of Health - RC 006PB014

### **263 REFERENCES**

- 264 Alonso, R., Girbau, C., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Fernandez-Astorga, A. 2014. Multilocus
- sequence typing reveals genetic diversity of foodborne Arcobacter butzleri isolates in the North of
- 266 Spain. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 191, 125–128.
- 267 Arguello, E., Otto, C.C., Mead, P., Babady, N.E., 2015. Bacteremia caused by Arcobacter butzleri
- in an immunocompromised host. Journal of Clinical. Microbiology. 53, 1448–1451.
- Assanta, M.A., Roy, D., Lemay, M.J., Montpetit, D., 2002. Attachment of *Arcobacter butzleri*, a
  new waterbone pathogen, to water distribution pipe surfaces. J. Food. Prot. 65, 1240–1247.
- 271 Badilla-Ramírez, Y., Fallas-Padilla, K.L., Fernández-Jaramillo, H., Arias-Echandi, M.L., 2016.
- 272 Survival capacity of Arcobacter butzleri inoculated in poultry meat at two different refrigeration
- temperatures. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo. 58, 22.
- 274 Bogantes, E.V., Fallas-Padilla, K.L., Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C.E., Jaramillo, H.F., Echandi, M.L.,
- 275 2015. Zoonotic species of the genus *Arcobacter* in poultry from different regions of Costa Rica. <u>J.</u>
  276 Food. Prot. 78, 808–11.
- 277 Collado, L., Figueras, M.J., 2011. Taxonomy, epidemiology, and clinical relevance of the genus
- 278 Arcobacter. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 24,174–92.
- Collado, L., Guarro, J., Figueras, M.J., 2009. Prevalence of *Arcobacter* in meat and shellfish. <u>J.</u>
  <u>Food. Prot.</u> 72, 1102–6.
- 281 Collado, L., Levican, A., Perez, J., Figueras, M.J., 2011. Arcobacter defluvii sp. nov., isolated from
- sewage samples. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 61, 2155–2161.
- 283 De Cesare, A., Parisi, A., Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Piva, S., Caruso, M., De Santis, E.P.,
- 284 Manfreda, G., 2015. Multilocus sequence typing of *Arcobacter butzleri* isolates collected from dairy
- plants and their products, and comparison with their PFGE types. J. Appl. Microbiol. 120, 165–74.

- Douidah, L., De Zutter, L., Bare, J., Houf, K., 2014. Towards a typing strategy for *Arcobacter*species isolated from humans and animals and assessment of the in vitro genomic stability.
  Foodborne. Pathog. Dis. 11, 1–9.
- <u>Ertas, N., Dogruer, Y., Gonulalan, Z., Guner, A., Ulger I., 2010.</u> Prevalence of *Arcobacter* species
  in drinking water, spring water, and raw milk as determined by multiplex PCR. <u>J. Food Prot.</u> 73, 2099–2102.
- <u>Fallas-Padilla, K.L.</u>, Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C.E., Fernández Jaramillo, H., <u>Arias Echandi, M.L.</u>,
   2014. *Arcobacter*: comparison of isolation methods, diversity, and potential pathogenic factors in
   commercially retailed chicken breast meat from Costa Rica. J. Food Prot. 77, 880–4.
- Fernandez, H., Villanueva, M.P., Mansilla, I., Gonzáales, M., Latif, F., 2015. *Arcobacter butzleri*and *A. cryaerophilus* in human, animals and food sources, in southern Chile. Braz. J. Microbiol. 46,
  145–147.
- Ferreira, S., Oleastro, M., Domingues, F.C., 2017. Occurrence, genetic diversity and antibiotic
  resistance of *Arcobacter* sp. in a dairy plant. J. Appl. Microbiol. 123, 1019–1026.
- Fong, T.T., Mansfield, L.S., Wilson, D.L., 2007. Massive microbiological groundwater
  contamination associated with a waterborne outbreak in Lake Erie, South Bass Island, Ohio
  Environ. Health. Perspest. 116, 856–864.
- 303 Figueras, M.J., Levican, A., Pujol, L., Ballester, F., Rabada, Quilez, M.J., Gomez-Bertomeu, F.,
- 304 2014. A severe case of persistent diarrhoea associated with Arcobacter cryaerophilus but attributed
- to *Campylobacter* sp. and a review of the clinical incidence of *Arcobacter*. New New Microbes and
- 306 New Infections. 2, 31–37.
- Forsythe, S.J., 2006. Arcobacter. In Emerging Foodborne Pathogens ed. Motarjemi, Y. and Adams,
  M. pp. 181–221. Cambridge, UK: Woodhead Publishing Ltd.
- 309 Giacometti. F., Lucchi, A., Di Francesco, A., Delogu, M., Grilli, E., Guarniero, I., Stancampiano,
- L., Manfreda, G., Merialdi, G., Serraino, A. 2015a. Arcobacter butzleri, Arcobacter cryaerophilus,

- and *Arcobacter skirrowii* circulation in a dairy farm and sources of milk contamination. Appl.
  Environ. Microbiol. 81: 5055–63.
- Giacometti, F., Losio, M.N., Daminelli, P., Cosciani-Cunico, E., Dalzini, E., Serraino A., 2015b.
  Short communication: *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* survival and growth in
  artisanal and industrial ricotta cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 98, 6776–81.
- 316 Giacometti, F., Lucchi, A., Manfreda, G., Florio, D., Zanoni, R.G., Serraino, A., 2013a. Occurrence
- and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter butzleri* in an artisanal dairy plant in Italy. Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol. 79, 6665–6669
- 319 Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Marchetti, G., Bonerba, E., Florio, D., Bonfante, E., Zanoni, R.G.,
- Rosmini, R., 2013b. Isolation of Arcobacter butzleri in environmental and food samples in an
- industrial and an artisanal dairy plant. Italian J. Food Saf. 2, 121–123.
- 322 Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Pasquali, F., De Cesare, A., Bonerba, E., Rosmini, R., 2014. Behavior
- 323 of Arcobacter butzleri and Arcobacter cryaerophilus in ultrahigh-temperature, pasteurized, and raw
- 324 cow's milk under different temperature conditions. Foodborne. Pathog Dis. 11, 15–20
- González, I., Fernández-Tomé, S., García, T., Martín, R., 2014. Genus-specific PCR assay for
  screening *Arcobacter* spp. in chicken meat. J. Sci. Food. Agric. 94, 1218–1224.
- González, A., Ferrús, M.A., 2011. Study of *Arcobacter* spp. contamination in fresh lettuces detected
  by different cultural and molecular methods. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 145, 311–314.
- 329 González, A., Morejón, I.F.B., Ferrús, M.A., 2017. Isolation, molecular identification and
- 330 quinolone-susceptibility testing of *Arcobacter* spp. isolated from fresh vegetables in Spain. Food
- 331 Microbiol. 65, 279–283.
- Haran, K. P., Godden, S. M., Boxrud, D., Jawahir, S., Bender, J. B., Sreevastan, S., 2012.
  Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus*, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, isolated from BTM from Minnesota dairy farms. J. Clin Microbiol. 50,
  688–695.

- Ho, H.T., Lipman, L.J., Gaastra, W., 2006. *Arcobacter*, what is known and unknown about a
  potential foodborne zoonotic agent. Vet. Microbiol. 115, 1–13.
- Hsu, T.T., Lee, J., 2015. Global Distribution and Prevalence of *Arcobacter* in Food and Water.
  Zoonoses. Public. Health. 62, 579–589.
- 340 International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods. Microorganisms in foods
- 341 (ICMSF), 7. In: Microbiological Testing in Food Safety Management. New York, NY: Kluwer
  342 Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2002, p. 171.
- Jacob, J., Woodward, D., Feuerpfeil, I., Johnson, W.M., 1998. Isolation of *Arcobacter butzleri* in
  raw water and drinking water treatment plants in Germany. Zentralbl. Hyg. Umweltmed., 201, 189–
  198.
- Jalava, K., Rintala, H., Ollgren, J., Maunula, L., Gomez-Alvarez, V., Revez, J., Palander, M.,
  Antikainen, J., Kauppinen, A., Räsänen, P., Siponen, S., Nyholm, O., Kyyhkynen, A., Hakkarainen,
  S., Merentie, J., Pärnänen M., Loginov, R., Ryu, H., Kuusi, M., Siitonen, A., Miettinen, I.,
  Domingo, J. W. S., Hänninen, M.L., Pitkänen, T., 2014. Novel microbiological and spatial
- statistical methods to improve strength of epidemiological evidence in a community-wide
  waterborne outbreak. PLoS One. 9, e104713.
- Jiang, Z.D., Dupont, H.L., Brown, E.L., Nandy, R.K., Ramamurthy, T., Sinha, A., Ghosh, S., Guin,
- 353 S., Gurleen, K., Rodrigues, S., Chen, J.J., McKenzie, R., Steffen, R., 2010. Microbial etiology of
- 354 travelers' diarrhea in Mexico, Guatemala, and India: importance of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides
- fragilis and Arcobacter species. J. Clin Microbiol. 48, 1417–9.
- Kjeldgaard, J., Jørgensen, K., Ingmer, H., 2009. Growth and survival at chiller temperatures of *Arcobacter butzleri*. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 131, 256–259.
- Laishram, M., Rathlavath, S., Lekshmi, M., Kumar, S., Nayak, B.B., 2016. Isolation and characterization of *Arcobacter* spp. from fresh seafood and the aquatic environment. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 232, 87–89.

- 361 Lappi, V., Archer, J.R., Cebelinski, E., Leano, F., Besser, J.M., Klos, R.F., Medus, C., Smith, K.E.,
- Fitzgerald, C., Davis, J.P., 2013. An outbreak of foodborne illness among attendees of a wedding
- reception in Wisconsin likely caused by *Arcobacter butzleri*. Foodborne. Pathog. Dis. 10, 250–255.
- Lee, M., Seo, D.J., Jeon, S. B., Ok, H.E., Jung, H., Choi, C., Chun, H.S., 2016. Detection of
- foodborne pathogens and mycotoxins in eggs and chicken feeds from farms to retail markets.
  Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 36, 463–468.
- Lehmann, D., Alter, T., Lehmann, L., Uherkova, S., Seidler, T., Gölz, G., 2015. Prevalence,
  virulence gene distribution and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter* in food samples in Germany. Berl.
  Münch. Tierärztl. Wochenschr. 128.
- 270 Leoni, F., Chierichetti, S., Santarelli, S., Talevi, G., Masini, L., Bartolini, C., Rocchegiani, E.,
- 371 Naceur, Haouet M., Ottaviani, D., 2017. Occurrence of Arcobacter spp. and correlation with the
- bacterial indicator of faecal contamination *Escherichia coli* in bivalve molluscs from the Central
  Adriatic, Italy. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 245, 6–12.
- Levican, A., Collado, L., Figueras, M.J., 2016. The use of two culturing methods in parallel reveals
  a high prevalence and diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. in a wastewater treatment plant. Biomed. Res.
  Int. 8132058.
- Levican, A., Collado, L., Yustes, C., Aguilar, C., Figueras, M.J., 2014. Higher Water Temperature
  and Incubation under Aerobic and Microaerobic Conditions Increase the Recovery and Diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. from Shellfish. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 385–391.
- Logan, E.F., Neill, S.D., Mackie, D.P. 1982. Mastitis in dairy cows associated with an aerotolerant
   *Campylobacter*. Vet Rec. 110, 229-30.
- 382 Merga, J.Y., Leatherbarrow, A.J., Winstanley, C., Bennett, M., Hart, C.A., Miller, W.G., Williams,
- 383 N.J., 2011. Comparison of Arcobacter isolation methods, and diversity of Arcobacter spp. in
- 384 Cheshire, United Kingdom. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 1646–1650.

- Merga, J.Y., Williams, N.J., Miller, W.G., Leatherbarrow, A.J., Bennett, M., Hall, N., Ashelford,
  K.E., Winstanley, C., 2013. Exploring the diversity of *Arcobacter butzleri* from cattle in the UK
  using MLST and whole genome sequencing. PLoS One. 8, e55240.
- Milesi, S., 2010. Emerging pathogen *Arcobacter* spp. in food of animal origin". PhD Thesis.
  Doctoral Program in Animal Nutrition and Food Safety.
- 390 Miller W.G., Wesley I.V., On S.L., Houf K., Megraud F., Wang G., Yee E., Srijan A., Mason C.J.
- et al. 2009 First multi-locus sequence typing scheme for *Arcobacter* spp. BMC. Microbiol. 9: 196.
- Miller, W.G., Yee, E., Jolley, K.A., Chapman, M.H., 2014. Use of an improved *atpA* amplification
- 393 and sequencing method to identify members of the *Campylobacteraceae* and *Helicobacteraceae*.
- 394 Lett. Appl. Microbiol. J. 58, 582–90.
- 395 Mottola, A., Bonerba, E., Figueras, M.J., Pérez-Cataluña, A., Marchetti, P., Serraino, A., Bozzo, G.,
- Terio, V., Tantillo, G., Di Pinto, A., 2016a. Occurrence of potentially pathogenic arcobacters in
  shellfish. Food Microbiol. 57, 23–27.
- 398 Mottola, A., Bonerba, E., Bozzo, G., Marchetti, P., Celano, G.V., Colao, V., Terio, V., Tantillo, G.,
- 399 Figueras, M.J., Di Pinto, A., 2016b. Occurrence of emerging food-borne pathogenic Arcobacter
- spp. isolated from pre-cut (ready-to-eat) vegetables. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 236, 33–7.
- 401 Mottola, A., Alberghini, L., Giaccone, V., Marchetti, P., Tantillo, G., Di Pinto, A., 2018.
- 402 Microbiological safety and quality of Italian donkey milk. Journal of Food Safety. e12444.
- 403 Nieva-Echevarria, B., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Girbau, C., Alonso, R., Fernández-Astorga, A.,
- 404 2013. Prevalence and Genetic Diversity of *Arcobacter* in Food Products in the North of Spain. J.
- 405 Food Prot. 76, 1447–1450.
- 406 Ottaviani, D., Mosca, F., Chierichetti, S., Tiscar, P.G., Leoni, F., 2017. Genetic diversity of
- 407 Arcobacter isolated from bivalves of Adriatic and their interactions with Mytilus galloprovincialis
- 408 hemocytes. Microbiologyopen. 6(1).
- 409 Pérez-Cataluña, A., Salas-Massó, N., Figueras M.J., 2018. Arcobacter canalis sp. nov., isolated
- 410 from a water canal contaminated with urban sewage. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 1258–1264.

- 411 Pérez-Cataluña, A., Tapiol, J., Benavent, C., Sarvisé, C., Gómez, F., Martínez, B., Terron-Puig, M.,
- 412 Recio, G., Vilanova, A., Pujol, I., Ballester, F., Rezusta, A., Figueras, M.J., 2017. Antimicrobial
- 413 susceptibility, virulence potential and sequence types associated with *Arcobacter* strains recovered
- 414 from human faeces. J. Med. Microbiol. 66, 1736–1743.

424

- 415 Pianta, C., Passos, D.T., Hepp, D., Oliveira, S.J., 2007. Isolation of Arcobacter spp. From the milk
- 416 dairy cows in Brazil. Cienc. Rural Santa Maria. Hepp d. 37, 171–174.
- Rahimi, E., 2014. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Arcobacter* species isolated from
  poultry meat in Iran. Br. Poult. Sci. 55, 174–180.
- 419 Ramees, T.P., Rathore, R.S., Bagalkot, P.S., Sailo, B., Mohan, H.V., Kumar, A., Dhama, K., Raj
- 420 Kumar. Singh. R.K., 2014. Genotyping and genetic diversity of Arcobacter butzleri and Arcobacter
- 421 *cryaerophilus* isolated from different sources by using ERIC-PCR from India. Veterinary.
  422 Quarterly. 34, 211–217.
- 423 Ramees, T.P., Dhama, K., Karthik, K., Rathore, R.S., Kumar, A., Saminathan, M., Tiwari, R.,

Malik, Y.S., Singh, R.K., 2017. Arcobacter: an emerging food-borne zoonotic pathogen, its public

- 425 health concerns and advances in diagnosis and control-a comprehensive review. Vet Q. 37, 136–
  426 161.
- Rasmussen, L.H., Kjeldgaard, J., Christensen, J.P., Ingmer, H., 2013. Multilocus sequence typing
  and biocide tolerance of *Arcobacter butzleri* from Danish broiler carcasses. BMC. Res. Notes. 6,
  322.
- Revez, J., Huuskonen, M., Ruusunen, M., Lindström, M., Hänninen, M.L., 2013. *Arcobacter*species and their pulsed-field gel electrophoresis genotypes in Finnish raw milk during summer. <u>J.</u>
  Food. Prot. 76, 1630–2.
- Rivas, L., Fegan, N., Vanderlinde, P., 2004. Isolation and characterisation of *Arcobacter butzleri*from meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 91, 31–41.
- 435 Scullion, R., Harrington. CS., Madden, R.H., 2006. Prevalence of Arcobacter spp. in raw milk and
- 436 retail raw meats in Northern Ireland. J Food Prot. 69, 1986–90.

- 437 Serraino, A., Florio, D., Giacometti, F., Piva, S., <u>Mion, D.</u>, Zanoni, RG., 2013a. Presence of
  438 *Campylobacter* and *Arcobacter* species in in-line milk filters of farms authorized to produce and
  439 sell raw milk and of a water buffalo dairy farm in Italy. J. Dairy. Sci. 96, 2801–7.
- Serraino, A., Giacometti, F., 2014. Occurrence of *Arcobacter* species in industrial dairy plants. J.
  Dairy Sci. 97, 2061–2065.
- 442 Shah, A. H., Salena, A. A., Zunita, Z., Murugaiyah, M., 2011. Arcobacter an emerging threat to
- animals and animal origin food products? Trends in Food Science and Technology. 22, 225–236.
- 444 Shah, A.H., Saleha, A.A., Murugaiyah, M., Zunita, Z., Memon, A.A., 2012. Prevalence and
- 445 <u>distribution of Arcobacter spp. in raw milk and retail raw beef.</u> J Food Prot. 75, 1474–8.
- Van den Abeele, A.M., Vogelaers, D., Van Hende, J., Houf, K., 2014. Prevalence of *Arcobacter*species among humans, Belgium, 2008-2013. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 20, 1731–1734.
- Van Driessche, E., Houf, K., 2008. Survival capacity in water of *Arcobacter* species under different
  temperature conditions. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 443–51.
- 450 Van Driessche, E., Houf, K., van Hoof, J., De Zutter L., Vandamme, P., 2003. Isolation of
  451 *Arcobacter* species from animal feces. FEMS. Microbiol. Lett. 229, 243–8.
- 452 <u>www.agri.istat.it</u> last access 31.01. 2019.
- 453 Webb, A.L., Boras, V.F., Kruczkiewicz, P., Selinger, L.B., Taboada, E.N., Inglis, G.D., 2016.
- 454 Comparative Detection and Quantification of Arcobacter butzleri in Stools from Diarrheic and
- 455 Nondiarrheic People in Southwestern Alberta, Canada. J. Clin. Microbiol. 54, 1082–8.
- 456 Whiteduck-Léveillée, K., Whiteduck-Léveillée, J., Cloutier, M., Tambonq, J.T., Xu, R., Topp, E.,
- 457 Arts, M.T., Chao, J., Adam, Z., Lévesque, C.A., Lapen, D.R., Villemur, R., Talbot, G., Khan, I.U.,
- 458 2015. Arcobacter lanthieri sp. nov., isolated from pig and dairy cattle manure. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
- 459 Microbiol. 65, 2709–2716.
- 460 Whiteduck-Léveillée, K., Whiteduck-Léveillée, J., Cloutier, M., Tambong, J.T., Xu, R., Topp, E.,
- 461 Arts, M.T., Chao, J., Adam, Z., Lévesque, C.A., Lapen, D.R., Villemur, R., Khan, I.U., 2016.

- 462 Identification, characterization and description of *Arcobacter faecis* sp. nov., isolated from a human
- 463 waste septic tank. <u>Syst. Appl. Microbiol.</u> 39, 93–9.
- 464 Yesilmen, S., Vural, A., Erkan, M.E., Yildirim, I.H., 2014. Prevalence and antimicrobial
- susceptibility of *Arcobacter* species in cow milk, water buffalo milk and fresh village cheese. Int. J.
- 466 Food. Microbiol. 188, 11–14.

Isolate	Herd	aspA	atpA	glnA	gltA	glyA	pgm	tkt	ST
1	Herd 27	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
2	Herd 34	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
3	Herd 38	6	23	1	11	494	58	199	627
4	Herd 63	48	25	41	19	487	101	272	633
5	Herd 64	15	10	1	17	186	102	13	628
6	Herd 70	77	209	1	17	637	339	199	634
7	Herd 155	5	5	9	15	120	7	6	629
8	Herd 166	20	39	34	19	104	340	51	635
9	Herd 167	23	17	17	19	461	11	65	630
10	Herd 184	209	15	186	48	638	74	86	646
11	Herd 227	5	5	5	15	66	11	10	420
12	Herd 241	309	210	4	146	467	58	14	636
13	Herd 242	20	20	11	19	639	255	11	647
14	Herd 244	13	12	1	208	640	290	165	648
15	Herd 261	310	133	11	19	19	123	271	637
16	Herd 271	20	12	11	19	458	11	10	631
17	Herd 274	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
18	Herd 312	5	5	5	15	66	11	10	420
19	Herd 344	48	25	41	19	487	101	272	633
20	Herd 351	17	15	15	12	66	102	17	632

 Table 1 A. butzleri MLST analysis results.

### Highlights

Bulk tank milk produced in southern Italy is contaminated by Arcobacter butzleri.

Large genetic diversity of *A. butzleri* isolated from bulk tank milk in southern in Italy and identification of 14 previously unreported sequence-types.

Great discrepancy of the two technical approaches used for the identification of *Arcobacter* spp.

### 6 Large genetic diversity of Arcobacter butzleri isolated from raw milk in Southern

#### 7

8

9

10

Caruso Marta<sup>1</sup>, Normanno Giovanni<sup>2</sup> (\*), Miccolupo Angela<sup>1</sup>, Capozzi Loredana<sup>1</sup>, Bonerba Elisabetta<sup>3</sup>, Difato Laura<sup>1</sup>, Mottola Anna<sup>3</sup>, Di Pinto Angela<sup>3</sup>, Santagada Gianfranco<sup>1</sup>, Parisi Antonio<sup>1</sup>

Italy

7

 <sup>1</sup>Experimental Zooprophylactic Institute of Apulia and Basilicata, Foggia, via Manfredonia 20, 19 71122, Foggia, Italy.

20 <sup>2</sup>Department of Science of Agriculture, Food and Environment (SAFE), University of Foggia, via

21 Napoli 25, 71122, Foggia, Italy.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Veterinary Medicine, SP Casamassima, km 3, 70010 Valenzano (BA), Italy.

#### **23** (\*) Corresponding Author

24 Prof. Giovanni Normanno

25 Department of Science of Agriculture, Food and the Environment (SAFE)

26 University of Foggia

27 Via Napoli, 25 - 71122 Foggia

#### 18 +39 0881 589124

#### 19

**36** Abstract

Arcobacter butzleri is a zoonotic foodborne pathogen able to cause enteric and extraintestinal
diseases. Its occurrence in foodstuff is well recognized worldwide but data on its presence in foods
from Southern Italy are scarce. In this study the results on the occurrence and genotyping of

*Arcobacter* spp. in bulk milk samples collected in Southern Italy are reported. Out of 484 samples,
64 (13.2%) resulted positive for the presence of *Arcobacter* spp. using Real Time PCR but as few as
31.2% of these samples turned out as positive by using the cultural method, showing an overall
prevalence of 4.1%. All isolates were identified as *A. cryaerophilus* using the biochemical
identification whilst the sequencing of the *atp*A gene revealed that all the isolates were *A. butzleri*.

Among the confirmed isolates, 16 different Sequence Types (ST) were identified using the Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), 14 (87.5 %) of which were previously unreported. Our survey reveals the presence of *A. butzleri* in bulk tank milk from Southern Italy and highlights the discrepancy between the two approaches used both for the detection (i.e., real time PCR vs cultural method) and the identification (i.e., biochemical test vs aptA sequencing) of *Arcobacter* spp In addition, a large genetic diversity among the isolates was detected and this makes the identification of source of the infections very challenging in outbreaks investigation.

36

39 Key Words: Arcobacter, Genotyping, Multi Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), Real-time PCR,
40 Bulk Tank Milk

39

#### 78 Introduction

*Arcobacter* spp. has been associated with human and animal disease and it is considered an important foodborne pathogen (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Ho *et al.*, 2006). The true incidence of human infections associated with *Arcobacter* is unknown, because these bacteria are not routinely investigated during human diarrheal diseases. In addition to this, a standardized protocol for their detection and characterization is not available (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Figueras *et al.*, 2014).

Among the several known Arcobacter species, A. butzleri, A. cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii have 84 been recognized as those of clinical importance for animals and humans (Collado et al., 2011; 85 Figueras at al., 2014; Hsu and Lee, 2015; Peréz-Cataluña et al., 2018; Ramees et al., 2017; 86 Whiteduck-Léveillée *et al.*, 2015). In humans, these species have been associated with enterocolitis, 87 peritonitis and bacteremia (Jiang et al., 2010; Lappi et al., 2013; Mottola et al., 2016a; Webb et al., 88 2016), while in animals they can cause gastroenteritis, mastitis, bacteremia and reproductive 89 disorders (Arguello et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2006; Logan et al., 1982; Van Driessche and Houf, 2008; 90 Whiteduck-Léveillée et al., 2016). Although the infectious dose has not yet been established, point-91 source outbreaks caused by Arcobacter spp. have been associated with well water ingestion, or with 92

the handling or consumption of contaminated raw or poorly-cooked animal food products. Also, 93 direct contact with infected animals has been reported as a potential source of human infection 94 95 (Fernandez et al., 2015). In fact, the presence of Arcobacter has been documented worldwide from a wide range of sources and hosts with A. butzleri as the most prevalent species, followed by A. 96 cryaerophilus and A. skirrowii (Collado and Figueras, 2011; Fallas-Padilla et al., 2014; Ramees et 97 98 al., 2017). Arcobacter spp. have also been isolated from faeces of healthy and sick humans and 99 animals, including cattle, poultry, small ruminants, pigs and wild-living birds (Bogantes et al., 100 2015; Collado et al., 2009; Van Driessche et al., 2003; Ottaviani et al., 2017). In addition, Arcobacter have been detected from different foods such as fresh and ready to eat vegetables 101 (González and Ferrús, 2011; González et al., 2017; Mottola et al., 2016b), meat and meat products 102 103 (Rivas et al., 2004; Rahimi, 2014, Lehmann et al., 2015), shellfish (Leoni et al., 2017; Levican et 104 al., 2014; Mottola et al., 2016a), fish (Laishram et al., 2016), eggs (Lee et al., 2016) and drinking water (Ertas et al., 2010; Jalava et al., 2014; Jacob et al., 1998). However, for better evaluating the 105 106 foodborne risk linked to Arcobacter spp., more information on its occurrence in foods is needed (Lappi et al., 2013). Regarding milk and dairy products, the detection of Arcobacter from these 107 108 foodstuffs has been also reported (Logan et al., 1982; Pianta et al., 2007; Scullion et al., 2006) but data on the occurrence of Arcobacter spp. in raw milk are still scarce (Shah et al., 2011). In Italy, 19 109 110 million tons of cow's milk are produced every year (www.agri.istat.it), mostly intended for cheese making or direct consumption as pasteurized or sterilized milk. However, in the last few years, the 111 sale of raw milk for direct consumption via vending machines could have increased the risk of 112 contact between humans and zoonotic agents (Haran et al., 2012). Our work aims at improving the 113 knowledge on the occurrence of Arcobacter spp. and its molecular characterization in bulk tank 114 milk (BTM) samples collected in Southern Italy. 115

- 79
- 80

### 90 2. Matherials and methods

#### 91 2.1 Sampling

The survey investigated two Italian Regions, Apulia and Basilicata, located in Southern Italy. On the whole, in these Regions are located 1.230 dairy farms with approximate 130.000 animals (www.vetinfo.it). A total of 484 BTM samples, corresponding to 39.4% of the total number of farms, were collected during 2014 to 2015. Specifically, the samples were from 396 dairy farms in Apulia and from 88 in Basilicata. The samples were aseptically collected in 500-mL sterile plastic containers, carried to laboratory in cooled containers within 24 hours after the of sampling. Samples were stored at -80 °C until analyzed.

90

### 98 2.2. Samples processing

BTM samples were defrosted and mixed using an agitator; then, 10 mL of milk were added to 90 mL of *Arcobacter* broth (Oxoid, Milan, Italy) supplemented with Cefoperazone, Amphotericin B and Teicoplanin (CAT selective supplement SR0174E; Oxoid) in sterile bags and homogenized using a stomacher (PBI International, Milan, Italy) at 11.000 rev min <sup>-1</sup> for 1 min. Then, the bags were incubated at 30 °C under microaerophilic conditions (5% O<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 85% N<sub>2</sub>) by means of the CampyGen gas generating system (Oxoid) for 48 h.

98

#### 99

- 138 2.3 Molecular screening
- 139 2.3.1 DNA extraction from enrichment broth

After incubation, 1 mL of enrichment broth was centrifuged at 13.000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was subjected to DNA extraction using the heat lysis and snap chilling method as described by Rasmussen et al., 2013 with some modifications. Briefly, 200 µL of sterile distilled water was added to the pellet and boiled in a water bath at 100 °C for 15 minutes. The cell lysate was immediately transferred into ice and centrifuged
at 13.000 rpm for 2 minutes. Supernatant was collected and used as DNA template for direct realtime PCR detection.

147109

*148 2.3.2 Real-time PCR* 

Genus specific real-time PCR was performed in order to screen the presence of Arcobacter 149 spp. directly on the bacterial lysate. The reactions were performed in a final volume of 25 µL, using 150 1.25 µL EvaGreen 20X (Biotium, Hayward, USA), 0.2 nM of each dNTP, 2.5 µL of HotMaster Taq 151 Buffer 10X (5PRIME, Hilden, Germany), 1 U of HotMaster Taq DNA Polymerase (5PRIME, 152 Hilden, Germany), 5 pmol of each oligonucleotide primer and 2 µL of DNA template. The 153 oligonucleotide primers used in this study (Arco-Fw and Arco-Rv), described by Gonzàlez et al., 154 2014. The amplification profile was carried out as follows: 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles 155 consisting of 94 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1 min. A. butzleri ATCC 46916T, A. 156 157 cryaerophilus ATCC 43158T and A. skirrowii ATCC 51132 were used as positive controls. In order to identify nonspecific products, the melting curve was generated at the end of each run, thus 158 159 exposing the final PCR product to a temperature gradient from about 60 °C to 90 °C in 20 min. The PCR reactions were processed in Applied Biosystems® 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo 160 161 Fisher Scientific, USA). All reactions were performed in duplicate.

162124

*2.4 Isolation and biochemical identification of* Arcobater *spp.* 

Ten mL of real-time PCR *Arcobacter* spp. positive enrichment broths were filtered using 0.45 μm membrane filters (Sartorius Stedim Biotech GmbH, Germany). Then, 200 μL of each filtered sample were streaked in parallel on Columbia Blood, Modified Charcoal Cefoperazone Deoxycholate (MCCD) and Karmali Agar plates (Oxoid). Plates were incubated at 30 °C under microaerophilic conditions (5% O<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 85% N<sub>2</sub>) as described above, for 3-4 days. After incubation, five typical *Arcobacter* spp. colonies for each sample were picked, subcultured onto
Columbia Blood Agar and incubated for 48 h at 30 °C under microaerophilic conditions.

The colonies were confirmed morphologically by Gram staining and by determination of oxidase (Oxidase strips, Oxoid Microbact, Basingstoke, UK) and catalase activity (Mottola et al., 2016a; Mottola et al, 2016b). In addition, presumptive *Arcobacter* spp. colonies were further subjected to biochemical identification using API Campy<sup>®</sup> bioMerièux. The colonies identified as *Arcobacter* spp. were transferred onto *Arcobacter* broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) and incubated at 30 °C for 48 h.

139

### 230 2.5 Molecular identification and MLST typing of Arcobacter isolates

The extraction of DNA of isolates previously identified as *Arcobacter* spp. was performed using the GenomicPrep® kit (GE Helthcare. Illinois, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The identification of the *Arcobacter* isolates was determined using the *atp*A gene sequencing as described by Miller at al., 2014.

MLST was carried out on one identified isolate per positive sample using primers and conditions described by Miller et al., 2009. Specifically, the amplification and the sequencing of the seven housekeeping genes (*aspA*, *atpA*, *glnA*, *gltA*, *glyA*, *pgm* and *tkt*) included in the *Arcobacter* scheme of the PubMLST database were performed (http://pubmlst.org/arcobacter/).

The PCR products were purified using ExoSAP-IT according to supplier recommendations (GE Healthcare). Sequence reactions were carried out using BigDye 3.1 Ready reaction mix (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The sequenced products were separated with a 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). Sequences were imported and assembled with Bionumerics 7.6 software (Applied Maths, Belgium). Any new alleles and STs were assigned by submitting the DNA sequences to the *Arcobacter* MLST database (https://pubmlst.org/arcobacter/).

### 247 **3.** Results

#### 248 3.1 Molecular screening

The Real-Time PCR performed on enrichment broth from each BTM sample gave positive results for *Arcobacter* spp. in 64/484 (13.2 %) BTM samples. Specifically, all the positive samples were from Apulia (64/396) and none of Basilicata samples were positive for *Arcobacter* spp.

3.2 Confirmation of Real-Time PCR screening by cultural methods and identification of Arcobacter
 isolates

The cultural analysis carried out on the 64 Real-Time PCR positive enrichment broth showed typical *Arcobacter* colonies in 20 (31.2%) samples. On the whole, 4.1 % of BTM samples were positive for *Arcobacter* spp. Biochemical tests identified all isolates as *A. cryaerophilus*. Since the API Campy<sup>®</sup> test misidentifies all *Arcobacter* species as *A. cryaerophilus*, all the isolates identified as *A. cryaerophilus* were considered *Arcobacter* spp. The sequencing of the *atp*A gene revealed that all the isolates were *A. butzleri*.

260170

261 3.3 Multi-Locus Sequence Typing

All the 20 *A. butzleri* isolates were successfully typed by MLST allowing the identification of 81 alleles of which 15 (18.5%) were previously unreported. A total of 16 STs were identified of which 14 (87.5%) STs were previously unreported and resulted from new allele's sequences (**Table 1**).

266176

### 267 4. Discussion

Arcobacter spp., is an important pathogen with an increasing interest for public health and food safety because of its frequent detection in different foods and its link to gastrointestinal diseases in humans (Fong *et al.*, 2007). In addition, it represents a common pathogen isolated from fecal samples from people with acute enteric disease and it is responsible for traveler's diarrhea

(Collado and Figueras, 2011; Figueras et al., 2014; Van den Abeele et al., 2014). In order to 272 perform proper food safety risk assessments, data on the presence of Arcobacter spp. and related 273 274 genotypes circulating in foods are needed. Given the identification of Arcobacter spp. (especially A. butzleri) in raw milk and the evidence of Arcobacter spp. transmission to human that is also 275 276 possible through the consumption or handling of contaminated raw milk led researchers to have 277 more attention in this subject. Therefore, many authors focused their research on the topic through 278 publication of data on Arcobacter spp. prevalences in Europe, Asia and Southern America (Ertas et 279 al., 2010; Milesi, 2010; Pianta et al., 2007; Revez et al., 2013; Scullion et al., 2006; Serraino et al., 2013a; Shah et al., 2012; Yesilmen et al., 2014). Surveys on BTM detected prevalence rates of 280 5.8%, 15% and 46% in Malaysia, Finland and Northern Ireland, respectively (Revez et al., 2013; 281 282 Scullion et al., 2006; Shah et al., 2012). In our survey the prevalence of Arcobacter spp. in BTM 283 samples was low (4.1%) if compared to other Italian studies reporting a prevalence rate of 26% in BTM produced in Nothern Italy and of 57% as a result of an on in-line milk filters survey of dairy 284 285 farms authorized to produce raw milk for direct human consumption (Milesi, 2010; Serraino et al., 2013a). Many factors could explain the remarkable difference between the prevalence rates reported 286 287 in literature, such as, the different sampling methods, the absence of a standardized protocol for the detection of Arcobacter, but also the hygienic standard protocols adopted on farms, the feeding 288 289 type, the climate, etc. (Collado and Figueras 2011; Hsu and Lee, 2015). In our study, all the isolates were identified as A. butzleri by molecular methods; these results were in agreement with other 290 studies where A. butzleri was the main species isolated from raw milk and dairy plants (Ertas et al., 291 292 2010; Giacometti et al., 2015a; Ferreira et al., 2017; Milesi, 2010; Nieva-Echevarria et al., 2013; Pianta et al., 2007; Shah et al. 2012; Revez et al., 2013: Scuillon et al., 2006; Yesilmen et al., 293 294 2014). On the other hand, A. butzleri was the only species isolated probably because of the lack of standardized isolation protocols for Arcobacter spp. other than A. butzleri. In fact, our isolation 295 296 procedure requires the use of an enrichment step that promotes the growth of A. butzleri which

could mask the presence of other *Arcobacter* species (Levican *et al.* 2016). This could represent a
procedure's drawback.

Furthermore, the difference in findings between the molecular screening and cultural analysis are 299 probably due to the viable but non-culturable (VNC) state of Arcobacter spp. in response to adverse 300 301 environmental conditions (Mottola et al., 2016a) or to the presence of free DNA deriving from dead 302 bacterial cells. Notably, our study highlighted that a strong discrepancy between the biochemical 303 and the molecular identification of Arcobacter exists. In fact, all the isolates were identified as A. 304 cryaerophilus using a miniaturize biochemical identification kit and as A. butzleri when using the molecular approach. This could be due to the difficult of identification of Arcobacter at species 305 level by biochemical tests; in fact the API Campy<sup>®</sup> test misidentifies all Arcobacter species as A. 306 307 cryaerophilus These findings are noteworthy because they show that the epidemiological studies 308 carried out using one or other identification method could have been affected by the chosen technique. 309

The ability of A. butzleri to grow between 4 and 10 °C, to survive to sanitizing procedures and 310 adhere to glass, stainless steel and plastic surfaces and to form biofilm, could promote its survival, 311 312 colonization and persistence in farms, milking equipment and dairy plants, becoming a source of contamination for milk and dairy products (Assanta et al., 2002; Kjeldgaard et al., 2009; 313 314 Rasmussen et al., 2013; Mottola et al., 2016 a,b; Giacometti et al., 2014;2015 a,b; Badilla-Ramírez et al. 2016; Serraino et al., 2013 a,b; Serraino and Giacometti, 2014). Contaminated raw milk and 315 dairy products represent a potential source of human infections, having significant food safety and 316 human health implications, especially for immunocompromised people for which the consumption 317 of cheese manufactured from unpasteurized milk in small processing facilities employing traditional 318 production technologies could represent a risk factor (Giacometti et al., 2015 b; Serraino et al., 319 2013 a). 230

It is well known that *Arcobacter* spp. population show a great genetic diversity hindering theepidemiologic studies, especially when the source of infection must be traced. In our study, among

20 genotyped isolates, five belonged to the already known ST66 and ST420. Both genotypes ST66 278 and ST420 were reported in a previous survey on dairy plants in Italy (De Cesare et al., 2016). The 279 detection of the genotypes ST66 and ST420 from our samples, supports the hypothesis that some 280 genotypes could be associated with specific foods. Our study led to the identification of new alleles 281 and new STs, confirming that the A. butzleri population has a great genetic diversity (Alonso et al., 282 283 2014; De Cesare et al., 2015; Merga et al., 2011; Merga et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2009; Perez-284 Cataluna et al., 2017; Rasmussen et al., 2013). In fact, in the present study the 87.5 % of the 285 detected STs were unreported; the presence of new alleles among the seven analysed loci, or from new combinations of known alleles, highlights a high diversity among the strains and confirms that 286 recombination is possible in A. butzleri (Alonso et al., 2014). Among new alleles, the gene glyA 287 288 was the most diverse, confirming the diversity observed by Pérez-Cataluña et al. (2017).

On the other hand, other authors have also reported a high heterogeneity among isolates using different genotyping techniques such as Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE), Multiple Locus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis (MLVA), Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), and Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus (ERIC-PCR) (Forsythe, 2006; Douidah *et al.*, 2014; Ramees *et al.*, 2014).

However, in comparison with other genotyping methods, MLST is a good typing method because it gives fast and comparable results, and has been used as a routine molecular typing procedure for *Arcobacter* spp. in several studies (Ramees *et al.*, 2014).

In conclusion, our study clearly shows the presence of *A. butzleri* in BTM in Southern Italy and a large genetic diversity between the isolates, contributing effectively to fill up the knowledge gap on this foodborne pathogen. The presence of *A. butzleri* in raw milk, could represent a hazard for consumers; thus, its presence should be carefully taken into account by both dairy food business operators and competent authority for reducing the foodborne risk linked to this pathogen.

302257

- 304 Acknowledgements
- 305 Funding

# 306Funding Ministry of Health - RC 006PB014

### **308 REFERENCES**

- 309 Alonso, R., Girbau, C., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Fernandez-Astorga, A. 2014. Multilocus
- sequence typing reveals genetic diversity of foodborne *Arcobacter butzleri* isolates in the North of
- 311 Spain. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 191, 125–128.
- 312 Arguello, E., Otto, C.C., Mead, P., Babady, N.E., 2015. Bacteremia caused by Arcobacter butzleri
- in an immunocompromised host. Journal of Clinical. Microbiology. 53, 1448–1451.
- Assanta, M.A., Roy, D., Lemay, M.J., Montpetit, D., 2002. Attachment of Arcobacter butzleri, a
- new waterbone pathogen, to water distribution pipe surfaces. J. Food. Prot. 65, 1240–1247.
- Badilla-Ramírez, Y., Fallas-Padilla, K.L., Fernández-Jaramillo, H., Arias-Echandi, M.L., 2016.
- 317 Survival capacity of Arcobacter butzleri inoculated in poultry meat at two different refrigeration
- temperatures. Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. Sao Paulo. 58, 22.
- 319 Bogantes, E.V., Fallas-Padilla, K.L., Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C.E., Jaramillo, H.F., Echandi, M.L.,
- 2015. Zoonotic species of the genus *Arcobacter* in poultry from different regions of Costa Rica. <u>J.</u>
- 276 <u>Food. Prot.</u> 78, 808–11.
- Collado, L., Figueras, M.J., 2011. Taxonomy, epidemiology, and clinical relevance of the genus *Arcobacter*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 24,174–92.
- 282 Collado, L., Guarro, J., Figueras, M.J., 2009. Prevalence of *Arcobacter* in meat and shellfish. <u>J.</u>
  280 <u>Food. Prot.</u> 72, 1102–6.
- 291 Collado, L., Levican, A., Perez, J., Figueras, M.J., 2011. Arcobacter defluvii sp. nov., isolated from
- sewage samples. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 61, 2155–2161.
- 293 De Cesare, A., Parisi, A., Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Piva, S., Caruso, M., De Santis, E.P.,
- 294 Manfreda, G., 2015. Multilocus sequence typing of *Arcobacter butzleri* isolates collected from dairy
- plants and their products, and comparison with their PFGE types. J. Appl. Microbiol. 120, 165–74.

- Douidah, L., De Zutter, L., Bare, J., Houf, K., 2014. Towards a typing strategy for *Arcobacter*species isolated from humans and animals and assessment of the in vitro genomic stability.
  Foodborne. Pathog. Dis. 11, 1–9.
- <u>Ertas, N., Dogruer, Y., Gonulalan, Z., Guner, A., Ulger I., 2010.</u> Prevalence of *Arcobacter* species
  in drinking water, spring water, and raw milk as determined by multiplex PCR. <u>J. Food Prot.</u> 73,
  2099–2102.
- <u>Fallas-Padilla, K.L.</u>, Rodríguez-Rodríguez, C.E., Fernández Jaramillo, H., <u>Arias Echandi, M.L.</u>,
  2014. *Arcobacter*: comparison of isolation methods, diversity, and potential pathogenic factors in
  commercially retailed chicken breast meat from Costa Rica. J. Food Prot. 77, 880–4.
- 300 Fernandez, H., Villanueva, M.P., Mansilla, I., Gonzáales, M., Latif, F., 2015. Arcobacter butzleri
- and *A. cryaerophilus* in human, animals and food sources, in southern Chile. Braz. J. Microbiol. 46,
- 297 145–147.
- Ferreira, S., Oleastro, M., Domingues, F.C., 2017. Occurrence, genetic diversity and antibiotic
  resistance of *Arcobacter* sp. in a dairy plant. J. Appl. Microbiol. 123, 1019–1026.
- Fong, T.T., Mansfield, L.S., Wilson, D.L., 2007. Massive microbiological groundwater
  contamination associated with a waterborne outbreak in Lake Erie, South Bass Island, Ohio
  Environ. Health. Perspest. 116, 856–864.
- 323 Figueras, M.J., Levican, A., Pujol, L., Ballester, F., Rabada, Quilez, M.J., Gomez-Bertomeu, F.,
- 324 2014. A severe case of persistent diarrhoea associated with Arcobacter cryaerophilus but attributed
- to *Campylobacter* sp. and a review of the clinical incidence of *Arcobacter*. New New Microbes and
- 326 New Infections. 2, 31–37.
- Forsythe, S.J., 2006. Arcobacter. In Emerging Foodborne Pathogens ed. Motarjemi, Y. and Adams,
  M. pp. 181–221. Cambridge, UK: Woodhead Publishing Ltd.
- 329 Giacometti. F., Lucchi, A., Di Francesco, A., Delogu, M., Grilli, E., Guarniero, I., Stancampiano,
- 330 L., Manfreda, G., Merialdi, G., Serraino, A. 2015a. Arcobacter butzleri, Arcobacter cryaerophilus,

- and *Arcobacter skirrowii* circulation in a dairy farm and sources of milk contamination. Appl.
  Environ. Microbiol. 81: 5055–63.
- Giacometti, F., Losio, M.N., Daminelli, P., Cosciani-Cunico, E., Dalzini, E., Serraino A., 2015b.
  Short communication: *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter cryaerophilus* survival and growth in
- artisanal and industrial ricotta cheese. J. Dairy Sci. 98, 6776–81.
- 336 Giacometti, F., Lucchi, A., Manfreda, G., Florio, D., Zanoni, R.G., Serraino, A., 2013a. Occurrence
- and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter butzleri* in an artisanal dairy plant in Italy. Appl. Environ.
  Microbiol. 79, 6665–6669
- 331 Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Marchetti, G., Bonerba, E., Florio, D., Bonfante, E., Zanoni, R.G.,
- 332 Rosmini, R., 2013b. Isolation of Arcobacter butzleri in environmental and food samples in an
- industrial and an artisanal dairy plant. Italian J. Food Saf. 2, 121–123.
- 334 Giacometti, F., Serraino, A., Pasquali, F., De Cesare, A., Bonerba, E., Rosmini, R., 2014. Behavior
- 335 of Arcobacter butzleri and Arcobacter cryaerophilus in ultrahigh-temperature, pasteurized, and raw
- cow's milk under different temperature conditions. Foodborne. Pathog Dis. 11, 15–20
- González, I., Fernández-Tomé, S., García, T., Martín, R., 2014. Genus-specific PCR assay for
  screening *Arcobacter* spp. in chicken meat. J. Sci. Food. Agric. 94, 1218–1224.
- González, A., Ferrús, M.A., 2011. Study of *Arcobacter* spp. contamination in fresh lettuces detected
  by different cultural and molecular methods. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 145, 311–314.
- 341 González, A., Morejón, I.F.B., Ferrús, M.A., 2017. Isolation, molecular identification and
- 342 quinolone-susceptibility testing of *Arcobacter* spp. isolated from fresh vegetables in Spain. Food
- 331 Microbiol. 65, 279–283.
- Haran, K. P., Godden, S. M., Boxrud, D., Jawahir, S., Bender, J. B., Sreevastan, S., 2012.
  Prevalence and characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus*, including methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, isolated from BTM from Minnesota dairy farms. J. Clin Microbiol. 50,
  688–695.

- Ho, H.T., Lipman, L.J., Gaastra, W., 2006. *Arcobacter*, what is known and unknown about a
  potential foodborne zoonotic agent. Vet. Microbiol. 115, 1–13.
- 347 Hsu, T.T., Lee, J., 2015. Global Distribution and Prevalence of *Arcobacter* in Food and Water.
  348 Zoonoses. Public. Health. 62, 579–589.
- 349 International Commission on Microbiological Specifications for Foods. Microorganisms in foods
- 350 (ICMSF), 7. In: Microbiological Testing in Food Safety Management. New York, NY: Kluwer
  351 Academic/Plenum Publishers, 2002, p. 171.
- Jacob, J., Woodward, D., Feuerpfeil, I., Johnson, W.M., 1998. Isolation of *Arcobacter butzleri* in
  raw water and drinking water treatment plants in Germany. Zentralbl. Hyg. Umweltmed., 201, 189–
  198.
- Jalava, K., Rintala, H., Ollgren, J., Maunula, L., Gomez-Alvarez, V., Revez, J., Palander, M.,
  Antikainen, J., Kauppinen, A., Räsänen, P., Siponen, S., Nyholm, O., Kyyhkynen, A., Hakkarainen,
  S., Merentie, J., Pärnänen M., Loginov, R., Ryu, H., Kuusi, M., Siitonen, A., Miettinen, I.,
- 363 Domingo, J. W. S., Hänninen, M.L., Pitkänen, T., 2014. Novel microbiological and spatial 364 statistical methods to improve strength of epidemiological evidence in a community-wide 365 waterborne outbreak. PLoS One. 9, e104713.
- Jiang, Z.D., Dupont, H.L., Brown, E.L., Nandy, R.K., Ramamurthy, T., Sinha, A., Ghosh, S., Guin,
- 367 S., Gurleen, K., Rodrigues, S., Chen, J.J., McKenzie, R., Steffen, R., 2010. Microbial etiology of
- 368 travelers' diarrhea in Mexico, Guatemala, and India: importance of enterotoxigenic Bacteroides
- 369 *fragilis* and *Arcobacter* species. J. Clin Microbiol. 48, 1417–9.
- Kjeldgaard, J., Jørgensen, K., Ingmer, H., 2009. Growth and survival at chiller temperatures of *Arcobacter butzleri*. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 131, 256–259.
- 372 Laishram, M., Rathlavath, S., Lekshmi, M., Kumar, S., Nayak, B.B., 2016. Isolation and
- 373 characterization of Arcobacter spp. from fresh seafood and the aquatic environment. Int. J. Food
- 360 Microbiol. 232, 87–89.

- 276 Lappi, V., Archer, J.R., Cebelinski, E., Leano, F., Besser, J.M., Klos, R.F., Medus, C., Smith, K.E.,
- 377 Fitzgerald, C., Davis, J.P., 2013. An outbreak of foodborne illness among attendees of a wedding
- reception in Wisconsin likely caused by *Arcobacter butzleri*. Foodborne. Pathog. Dis. 10, 250–255.
- Lee, M., Seo, D.J., Jeon, S. B., Ok, H.E., Jung, H., Choi, C., Chun, H.S., 2016. Detection of
- 380 foodborne pathogens and mycotoxins in eggs and chicken feeds from farms to retail markets.
- 381 Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour. 36, 463–468.
- Lehmann, D., Alter, T., Lehmann, L., Uherkova, S., Seidler, T., Gölz, G., 2015. Prevalence,
  virulence gene distribution and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter* in food samples in Germany. Berl.
  Münch. Tierärztl. Wochenschr. 128.
- Leoni, F., Chierichetti, S., Santarelli, S., Talevi, G., Masini, L., Bartolini, C., Rocchegiani, E.,
- 386 Naceur, Haouet M., Ottaviani, D., 2017. Occurrence of Arcobacter spp. and correlation with the
- bacterial indicator of faecal contamination *Escherichia coli* in bivalve molluscs from the Central
  Adriatic, Italy. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 245, 6–12.
- 389 Levican, A., Collado, L., Figueras, M.J., 2016. The use of two culturing methods in parallel reveals
- a high prevalence and diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. in a wastewater treatment plant. Biomed. Res.
  Int. 8132058.
- Levican, A., Collado, L., Yustes, C., Aguilar, C., Figueras, M.J., 2014. Higher Water Temperature
  and Incubation under Aerobic and Microaerobic Conditions Increase the Recovery and Diversity of *Arcobacter* spp. from Shellfish. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 385–391.
- Logan, E.F., Neill, S.D., Mackie, D.P. 1982. Mastitis in dairy cows associated with an aerotolerant *Campylobacter*. Vet Rec. 110, 229-30.
- 410 Merga, J.Y., Leatherbarrow, A.J., Winstanley, C., Bennett, M., Hart, C.A., Miller, W.G., Williams,
- 411 N.J., 2011. Comparison of Arcobacter isolation methods, and diversity of Arcobacter spp. in
- 412 Cheshire, United Kingdom. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 1646–1650.

- Merga, J.Y., Williams, N.J., Miller, W.G., Leatherbarrow, A.J., Bennett, M., Hall, N., Ashelford,
  K.E., Winstanley, C., 2013. Exploring the diversity of *Arcobacter butzleri* from cattle in the UK
  using MLST and whole genome sequencing. PLoS One. 8, e55240.
- 416 Milesi, S., 2010. Emerging pathogen *Arcobacter* spp. in food of animal origin". PhD Thesis.
  417 Doctoral Program in Animal Nutrition and Food Safety.
- 418 Miller W.G., Wesley I.V., On S.L., Houf K., Megraud F., Wang G., Yee E., Srijan A., Mason C.J.
- et al. 2009 First multi-locus sequence typing scheme for *Arcobacter* spp. BMC. Microbiol. 9: 196.
- 420 Miller, W.G., Yee, E., Jolley, K.A., Chapman, M.H., 2014. Use of an improved *atpA* amplification
- 421 and sequencing method to identify members of the *Campylobacteraceae* and *Helicobacteraceae*.
- 422 Lett. Appl. Microbiol. J. 58, 582–90.
- 423 Mottola, A., Bonerba, E., Figueras, M.J., Pérez-Cataluña, A., Marchetti, P., Serraino, A., Bozzo, G.,
- 424 Terio, V., Tantillo, G., Di Pinto, A., 2016a. Occurrence of potentially pathogenic arcobacters in
  425 shellfish. Food Microbiol. 57, 23–27.
- 426 Mottola, A., Bonerba, E., Bozzo, G., Marchetti, P., Celano, G.V., Colao, V., Terio, V., Tantillo, G.,
- 427 Figueras, M.J., Di Pinto, A., 2016b. Occurrence of emerging food-borne pathogenic Arcobacter
- 428 spp. isolated from pre-cut (ready-to-eat) vegetables. Int. J. Food. Microbiol. 236, 33–7.
- 429 Mottola, A., Alberghini, L., Giaccone, V., Marchetti, P., Tantillo, G., Di Pinto, A., 2018.
- 430 Microbiological safety and quality of Italian donkey milk. Journal of Food Safety. e12444.
- 431 Nieva-Echevarria, B., Martinez-Malaxetxebarria, I., Girbau, C., Alonso, R., Fernández-Astorga, A.,
- 432 2013. Prevalence and Genetic Diversity of *Arcobacter* in Food Products in the North of Spain. J.
- 405 Food Prot. 76, 1447–1450.
- 422 Ottaviani, D., Mosca, F., Chierichetti, S., Tiscar, P.G., Leoni, F., 2017. Genetic diversity of
- 423 Arcobacter isolated from bivalves of Adriatic and their interactions with Mytilus galloprovincialis
- 424 hemocytes. Microbiologyopen. 6(1).
- 425 Pérez-Cataluña, A., Salas-Massó, N., Figueras M.J., 2018. Arcobacter canalis sp. nov., isolated
- 426 from a water canal contaminated with urban sewage. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 68, 1258–1264.

- 427 Pérez-Cataluña, A., Tapiol, J., Benavent, C., Sarvisé, C., Gómez, F., Martínez, B., Terron-Puig, M.,
- 428 Recio, G., Vilanova, A., Pujol, I., Ballester, F., Rezusta, A., Figueras, M.J., 2017. Antimicrobial
- 429 susceptibility, virulence potential and sequence types associated with *Arcobacter* strains recovered
- 430 from human faeces. J. Med. Microbiol. 66, 1736–1743.
- 431 Pianta, C., Passos, D.T., Hepp, D., Oliveira, S.J., 2007. Isolation of *Arcobacter* spp. From the milk
- 432 dairy cows in Brazil. Cienc. Rural Santa Maria. Hepp d. 37, 171–174.
- Rahimi, E., 2014. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Arcobacter* species isolated from
  poultry meat in Iran. Br. Poult. Sci. 55, 174–180.
- 435 Ramees, T.P., Rathore, R.S., Bagalkot, P.S., Sailo, B., Mohan, H.V., Kumar, A., Dhama, K., Raj
- 436 Kumar. Singh. R.K., 2014. Genotyping and genetic diversity of *Arcobacter butzleri* and *Arcobacter*
- 437 *cryaerophilus* isolated from different sources by using ERIC-PCR from India. Veterinary.
  422 Quarterly. 34, 211–217.
- Ramees, T.P., Dhama, K., Karthik, K., Rathore, R.S., Kumar, A., Saminathan, M., Tiwari, R.,
  Malik, Y.S., Singh, R.K., 2017. *Arcobacter*: an emerging food-borne zoonotic pathogen, its public
- 425 health concerns and advances in diagnosis and control-a comprehensive review. Vet Q. 37, 136–
  426 161.
- Rasmussen, L.H., Kjeldgaard, J., Christensen, J.P., Ingmer, H., 2013. Multilocus sequence typing
  and biocide tolerance of *Arcobacter butzleri* from Danish broiler carcasses. BMC. Res. Notes. 6,
  322.
- Revez, J., Huuskonen, M., Ruusunen, M., Lindström, M., Hänninen, M.L., 2013. Arcobacter
  species and their pulsed-field gel electrophoresis genotypes in Finnish raw milk during summer. <u>J.</u>
- 432 <u>Food. Prot.</u> 76, 1630–2.
- 441 Rivas, L., Fegan, N., Vanderlinde, P., 2004. Isolation and characterisation of *Arcobacter butzleri*442 from meat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 91, 31–41.
- 443 Scullion, R., Harrington. CS., Madden, R.H., 2006. Prevalence of Arcobacter spp. in raw milk and
- 444 retail raw meats in Northern Ireland. J Food Prot. 69, 1986–90.

- Serraino, A., Florio, D., Giacometti, F., Piva, S., <u>Mion, D.</u>, Zanoni, RG., 2013a. Presence of *Campylobacter* and *Arcobacter* species in in-line milk filters of farms authorized to produce and
  sell raw milk and of a water buffalo dairy farm in Italy. J. Dairy. Sci. 96, 2801–7.
- Serraino, A., Giacometti, F., 2014. Occurrence of *Arcobacter* species in industrial dairy plants. J.
  Dairy Sci. 97, 2061–2065.
- 459 Shah, A. H., Salena, A. A., Zunita, Z., Murugaiyah, M., 2011. Arcobacter an emerging threat to
- animals and animal origin food products? Trends in Food Science and Technology. 22, 225–236.
- 461 Shah, A.H., Saleha, A.A., Murugaiyah, M., Zunita, Z., Memon, A.A., 2012. <u>Prevalence and</u>
  462 distribution of *Arcobacter* spp. in raw milk and retail raw beef. J Food Prot. 75, 1474–8.
- Van den Abeele, A.M., Vogelaers, D., Van Hende, J., Houf, K., 2014. Prevalence of *Arcobacter*species among humans, Belgium, 2008-2013. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 20, 1731–1734.
- Van Driessche, E., Houf, K., 2008. Survival capacity in water of *Arcobacter* species under different
  temperature conditions. J. Appl. Microbiol. 105, 443–51.
- Van Driessche, E., Houf, K., van Hoof, J., De Zutter L., Vandamme, P., 2003. Isolation of *Arcobacter* species from animal feces. FEMS. Microbiol. Lett. 229, 243–8.
- 469 <u>www.agri.istat.it</u> last access 31.01. 2019.
- 470 Webb, A.L., Boras, V.F., Kruczkiewicz, P., Selinger, L.B., Taboada, E.N., Inglis, G.D., 2016.
- 471 Comparative Detection and Quantification of Arcobacter butzleri in Stools from Diarrheic and
- 472 Nondiarrheic People in Southwestern Alberta, Canada. J. Clin. Microbiol. 54, 1082–8.
- 473 Whiteduck-Léveillée, K., Whiteduck-Léveillée, J., Cloutier, M., Tambonq, J.T., Xu, R., Topp, E.,
- 474 Arts, M.T., Chao, J., Adam, Z., Lévesque, C.A., Lapen, D.R., Villemur, R., Talbot, G., Khan, I.U.,
- 475 2015. Arcobacter lanthieri sp. nov., isolated from pig and dairy cattle manure. Int. J. Syst. Evol.
- 459 Microbiol. 65, 2709–2716.
- 467 Whiteduck-Léveillée, K., Whiteduck-Léveillée, J., Cloutier, M., Tambong, J.T., Xu, R., Topp, E.,
- 468 Arts, M.T., Chao, J., Adam, Z., Lévesque, C.A., Lapen, D.R., Villemur, R., Khan, I.U., 2016.

- 469 Identification, characterization and description of *Arcobacter faecis* sp. nov., isolated from a human
- 470 waste septic tank. <u>Syst. Appl. Microbiol.</u> 39, 93–9.
- 471 Yesilmen, S., Vural, A., Erkan, M.E., Yildirim, I.H., 2014. Prevalence and antimicrobial
- 472 susceptibility of *Arcobacter* species in cow milk, water buffalo milk and fresh village cheese. Int. J.
- 473 Food. Microbiol. 188, 11–14.

Isolate	Herd	aspA	atpA	glnA	gltA	glyA	pgm	tkt	ST
1	Herd 27	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
2	Herd 34	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
3	Herd 38	6	23	1	11	494	58	199	627
4	Herd 63	48	25	41	19	487	101	272	633
5	Herd 64	15	10	1	17	186	102	13	628
6	Herd 70	77	209	1	17	637	339	199	634
7	Herd 155	5	5	9	15	120	7	6	629
8	Herd 166	20	39	34	19	104	340	51	635
9	Herd 167	23	17	17	19	461	11	65	630
10	Herd 184	209	15	186	48	638	74	86	646
11	Herd 227	5	5	5	15	66	11	10	420
12	Herd 241	309	210	4	146	467	58	14	636
13	Herd 242	20	20	11	19	639	255	11	647
14	Herd 244	13	12	1	208	640	290	165	648
15	Herd 261	310	133	11	19	19	123	271	637
16	Herd 271	20	12	11	19	458	11	10	631
17	Herd 274	15	10	1	17	19	2	13	66
18	Herd 312	5	5	5	15	66	11	10	420
19	Herd 344	48	25	41	19	487	101	272	633
20	Herd 351	17	15	15	12	66	102	17	632

 Table 1 A. butzleri MLST analysis results.

## Highlights

Bulk tank milk produced in southern Italy is contaminated by Arcobacter butzleri.

Large genetic diversity of *A. butzleri* isolated from bulk tank milk in southern in Italy and identification of 14 previously unreported sequence-types.

Great discrepancy of the two technical approaches used for the identification of *Arcobacter* spp.